Therapeutic Class Overview Platelet Inhibitors

Therapeutic Class

• Overview/Summary: Platelet inhibitors play a major role in the management of cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular diseases. The agents in the class are Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for a variety of indications including treatment and/or prevention of acute coronary syndromes, stroke/transient ischemic attack, and thrombocythemia. The platelet inhibitors are also indicated to prevent thrombosis in patients undergoing cardiovascular procedures and/or surgery. The platelet inhibitors exert their pharmacologic effects through several different mechanisms of action. The newest platelet inhibitor to be FDA-approved is ticagrelor (Brilinta®), which is indicated to reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndromes. Ticagrelor is a cyclopentyltriazolopyrimidine; therefore, works in a similar manner to the other thienopyridine platelet inhibitors (clopidogrel, prasugrel and ticlopidine). Unlike the other agents, ticagrelor is a reversible inhibitor of the P2Y₁₂ receptor located on the surface of platelets. In addition, ticagrelor is not a prodrug; therefore, does not require enzymatic conversion to become pharmacologically active, and is not subject to potential drug interactions associated with the other agents. Ticagrelor is available for twice-daily dosing, while clopidogrel and prasugrel are administered once-daily. Currently, anagrelide, clopidogrel, dipyridamole and ticlopidine are available generically.

Table 1. Current Medications Available in the Therapeutic Class¹⁻⁷

Generic Name	Food and Drug Administration Approved	Dosage Form/	Generic
(Trade Name)	Indications	Strength	Availability
Single-Entity Age			
Anagrelide	Treatment of thrombocytopenia associated with	Capsule:	
(Agrylin [®] *)	myeloproliferative disorders [†]	0.5 mg	~
01 11 1		1 mg	
Clopidogrel	Recent myocardial infarction, recent stroke, or	Tablet:	
(Plavix [®] *)	established peripheral arterial disease, reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in	75 mg	~
	patients with acute coronary syndrome [‡]	300 mg	
Dipyridamole	Prevention of postoperative thromboembolic	Tablet:	
(Persantine®*)	complications of cardiac valve replacement§	25 mg	J
		50 mg	·
		75 mg	
Prasugrel	Reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular	Tablet:	
(Effient®)	events in patients with acute coronary syndrome	5 mg	-
	who are being managed with percutaneous coronary intervention	10 mg	
Ticagrelor	Reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular	Tablet:	
(Brilinta [®])	events in patients with acute coronary	90 mg	-
,	syndrome [¶]		
Ticlopidine	Reduce the incidence of subacute stent	Tablet:	
(Ticlid [®] *)	thrombosis in patients undergoing successful	250 mg	
	coronary stent implantation*, reduce the risk of		
	thrombotic stroke (fatal or nonfatal) in patients		~
	who have experienced stroke precursors, and in		
	patients who have had a completed thrombotic stroke		
Combination-Prod		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Aspirin/	Reduce the risk of stroke in patients who have	Capsule:	
extended-release	had transient ischemia of the brain or completed	25/200 mg	-
dipyridamole	ischemic stroke due to thrombosis	_	





Generic Name	Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications	Dosage Form/	Generic
(Trade Name)		Strength	Availability
(Aggrenox [®])			

^{*}Generic available in at least one dosage form or strength.

‡For patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome, including patients who are to be managed medically and those who are to be managed with coronary revascularization, and for patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. §As adjunct to coumarin anticoagulants.

Patients who are to be managed with percutaneous coronary intervention as follows: patients with unstable angina or non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction and patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction when managed with primary or delayed percutaneous intervention.

Patients with unstable angina, non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction, or ST-elevation myocardial infarction. #As adjunct to aspirin.

Evidence-based Medicine

- Clopidogrel, Food and Drug Administration-approved in 1997, has been the principle platelet inhibitor for several years as the clinical data supporting its use is well established.
- Approval of prasugrel for use in acute coronary syndromes (ACS) was based on the clinical evidence for safety and efficacy derived from the TRITON-TIMI 38 study (N=13,608). Within the study, prasugrel was significantly more effective compared to clopidogrel in reducing ischemic events in patients with ACS who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention. Prasugrel did not demonstrate a mortality benefit and a significantly higher rate of major, minor, life-threatening, and fatal bleeding events was observed with prasugrel.¹⁶
 - o Of note, a benefit with prasugrel was not observed in certain patient subgroups within TRITON-TIMI 38, specifically those who were ≥75 years of age, those weighing <60 kg, and those with a past history of stroke or transient ischemic attack.
- The approval of ticagrelor for use in ACS was based on the clinical evidence for safety and efficacy derived from the PLATO study. Within the trial, hospitalized patients with documented ACS, with or without ST-elevation, were randomized to either ticagrelor or clopidogrel (N=18,624). After 12 months of treatment, ticagrelor was significantly more effective compared to clopidogrel in reducing the primary composite endpoint of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke; without increasing the risk of major bleeding. Ticagrelor demonstrated a mortality benefit compared to clopidogrel.¹⁷

Key Points within the Medication Class

- According to Current Clinical Guidelines:
 - Use of the platelet inhibitors, as monotherapy or combination therapy, is based on the specific clinical indication and the patient's risk for thromboembolic events. 18-28
 - Antiplatelet therapy (aspirin, aspirin plus extended-release [ER] dipyridamole, or clopidogrel) is recommended for long-term secondary prevention in patients with an acute ischemic stroke who are not receiving thrombolysis. Combination aspirin plus dipyridamole ER is recommended over aspirin, and clopidogrel is suggested over aspirin. Dual antiplatelet therapy should be used with caution and is favored in patients who have had a recent acute myocardial infarction, other acute coronary syndrome (ACS), or recently placed coronary stent. 18,19
 - According to the 2012 guideline on Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis by the American College of Chest Physicians, dual therapy with clopidogrel, prasugrel or ticagrelor in addition to low-dose aspirin is recommended in the first year following ACS in patients regardless of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) status.²⁰
 - The guideline recommends ticagrelor plus low-dose aspirin over clopidogrel plus low-dose aspirin in patients post-ACS independent of whether PCI has been conducted.²⁰
 - The 2013 guidelines for managing patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction by American College of Cardiology Foundation and American Heart Association recommend clopidogrel, prasugrel or ticagrelor for one year following PCI, without recommendation for one antiplatelet drug over another.²¹





[†]To reduce the elevated platelet count and the risk of thrombosis and to ameliorate associated symptoms including thrombohemorrhagic events.

- The 2011 European Society of Cardiology guideline for the management of ACS in patients presenting without persisting ST-elevation recommends ticagrelor first-line in patients at moderate to high risk of ischemic events, regardless of treatment strategy and including those pretreated with clopidogrel.²²
 - If coronary anatomy is known and PCI is planned, prasugrel is recommended.
 - Clopidogrel is recommended in patients who cannot receive prasugrel or ticagrelor.
- The 2011 American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association/Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions guideline for percutaneous intervention recommends clopidogrel, prasugrel, and ticagrelor as treatment options.²³
 - Treatment with all agents should be continued for at least one year.
- Other Key Facts:
 - Anagrelide, dipyridamole, and ticlopidine are available generically.

References

- Anagrelide [package insert]. Sellersville (PA): TEVA Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2011 Feb.
- Plavix[®] [package insert]. Bridgewater (NJ): Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi Pharmaceuticals Partnership; 2011 Dec.
- Persantine® [package insert]. Ridgefield (CT): Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2006 Jun.
- Effient® [package insert]. Indianapolis (IN): Eli Lilly and Company; 2011 Sep.
- Ticlopidine [package insert]. Sellersville (PA): TEVA Pharmaceuticals.; 2009 Jul. Aggrenox® [package insert]. Ridgefield (CT): Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc; 2011 Oct.
- Brilinta® [package insert]. Wilmington (DE): Astrazeneca; 2011 Jul.
- Micromedex® Healthcare Series [database on the Internet]. Greenwood Village (CO): Thomson Reuters (Healthcare) Inc.; Updated periodically [cited 2013 Jul 1]. Available from: http://www.thomsonhc.com/.
- Drug Facts and Comparisons 4.0 [database on the Internet]. St. Louis: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.; 2013 [cited 2013 Jul 1]. Available from: http://online.factsandcomparisons.com.
- 10. Diener HC, Bogousslavsky J, Brass LM, Cimminiello C, Csiba L, Kaste M, et al. Aspirin and clopidogrel compared to clopidogrel alone after recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack in high-risk patients (MATCH): randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2004;364:331-7.
- 11. Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients. BMJ. 2002;324:71-86.
- Sabatine MS, Cannon CP, Gibson CM, Lopez-Sendon JL, Montalescot G, Theroux P, et al. Addition of clopidogrel to aspirin and fibrinolytic therapy for myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(12):1179-89.
- 13. COMMIT (Clopidogrel and Metoprolol in Myocardial Infarction Trial) Collaborative Group. Addition of clopidogrel to aspirin in 45,852 patients with acute myocardial infarction: randomized placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2005;366:1607-21.
- Bhatt DL, Fox KAA, Hacke W, Berger PB, Black HR, Boden WE, et al; for the CHARISMA Investigators. Clopidogrel and aspirin vs aspirin alone for the prevention of atherothrombotic events. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(16):1706-17.
- The CURE Trial Investigators. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(7):494-502.
- 16. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Montalescot G, Ruzyllo W, Neumann FJ, et al. Prasugrel vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2007;357(20):2001-15.
- 17. Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, Cannon CP, Emanuelsson H, Held C, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2009 Sep 10;361(11):1045-57.
- Furie KL, Kasner SE, Adams RJ, Albers GW, Bush RL, Fagan SC, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke or transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2011 Jan;42(1):227-76.
- Hirsh J, Guyatt G, Albers GW, Harrington R, Schunemann HJ. Executive summary: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). Chest. 2008;133:71S-109S.

 20. Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Crowther M, Gutterman DD, Schuünemann HJ; American College of Chest Physicians Antithrombotic
- Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis Panel. Executive summary: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest. 2012 Feb;141(2 Suppl):7S-
- 21. American College of Emergency Physicians; Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey DE Jr, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013 Jan 29;61(4):485-510.
- 22. Hamm CW, Bassand JP, Agewell S, Bax J, Boersma E, Bueno H, et al. ESC guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: the task force for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2011 Dec;32(23):2999-3054.
- 23. Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, Bailey SR, Bittl JA, Cercek B, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011 Dec 6;58(24):e44-122.
- 24. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. MI: secondary prevention. Secondary prevention in primary and secondary care for patients following a myocardial infarction. London (UK): National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE); 2007 May [cited 2013 Jul 1]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG48NICEGuidance.pdf.





- 25. Fraker TD Jr, Fihn SD, Gibbons RJ, Abrams J, Chatterjee K, Daley J, et al. 2007 chronic angina focused update of the ACC/AHA 2002 guidelines for the management of chronic stable angina: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines writing group to develop the focused update of the 2002 guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina. Circulation. 2007 Dec 4;116(23):2762-72.
- 26. Fox K, Garcia MA, Ardissino D, Buszman P, Camici PG, Crea F, et al. Guidelines on the management of stable angina pectoris: executive summary: the Task Force on the Management of Stable Angina Pectoris of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2006(11):1341-81.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Clopidogrel and modified-release dipyridamole for the prevention of
 occlusive vascular events. London (UK): National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; 2010 Dec [cited 2013 Jul 1].
 Available from: http://guidance.nice.org.uk/TA210.
- 28. Hirsch AT, Haskal ZJ, Hertzer NR, Bakal CW, Creager MA, Halperin JL, et al. ACC/AHA 2005 guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease (lower extremity, renal, mesenteric, and abdominal aortic): executive summary: a collaborative report from the American Association for Vascular Surgery/Society for Vascular Surgery, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology, Society of Interventional Radiology, and the ACC/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines (writing committee to develop guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease). Circulation. 2006;113;1474-547.
- 29. Patrono C, Bachmann F, Baigent C, Bode C, De Caterina R, Charbonnier B, et al. Expert consensus document on the use of antiplatelet agents. The task force on the use of antiplatelet agents in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2004;25:166-81.





Therapeutic Class Review Platelet Inhibitors

Overview/Summary

Platelet inhibitors play a major role in the management of cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular diseases. These agents are indicated for a variety of Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved indications including treatment and/or prevention of acute coronary syndromes (myocardial infarction, unstable angina), stroke/transient ischemic attack and thrombocythemia. The platelet inhibitors are also approved to prevent thrombosis in patients undergoing cardiovascular procedures and/or surgery. The use of these agents as both monotherapy or combination therapy by national and international clinical guidelines is based on the specific clinical indication and the patient's risk for thromboembolic events. The second seco

The platelet inhibitors exert their pharmacologic effects through several different mechanisms of action. Aspirin, a salicylate, causes irreversible inhibition of platelet cyclooxygenase, which prevents the formation of thromboxane A₂, a platelet aggregant and potent vasoconstrictor. Its use has been the cornerstone of acute treatment for over 15 years; however, evidence from clinical trials demonstrates that aspirin reduces adverse clinical events among a broad group of patients treated for both acute and chronic vascular disease. Of the available platelet inhibitors, aspirin is the only one that has been evaluated for the treatment of an acute ischemic attack; however, antiplatelet therapy plays an important role in long-term secondary prevention of ischemic stroke.

Clopidogrel (Plavix®) and ticlopidine (Ticlid®) are both thienopyridines, which work by blocking the adenosine diphosphate receptors found on platelets, leading to a subsequent inhibition of both platelet aggregation and activation.^{2,4} Clopidogrel is associated with a more favorable safety profile compared to ticlopidine, and is available for once-daily administration as opposed to twice-daily administration as seen with ticlopidine. The platelet inhibition effects of thienopyridines are delayed; therefore, a loading dose is typically required with these agents. As mentioned previously, these agents have been shown to be effective for the prevention of stroke and other vascular events in patients with cerebrovascular disease. In addition, the benefit of thienopyridines as monotherapy or in combination with aspirin in the treatment of coronary artery disease is well established.⁸

Prasugrel (Effient®) is a third generation thienopyridine adenosine diphosphate receptor antagonist; therefore, it has a similar mechanism of action to that of clopidogrel and ticlopidine. Prasugrel has been reported to be the most potent of these agents with a 10 mg dose of prasugrel being approximately 2.5 to 2.7 times more potent than a 75 mg dose of clopidogrel in inhibiting platelet aggregation and thrombus formation. This reported greater efficacy in platelet inhibition is due to the difference in cytochrome activation between the agents. Clopidogrel requires a multi-step cytochrome activation process, whereas prasugrel requires only a single step. Prasugrel has been shown to have more desirable characteristics when compared to clopidogrel with regards to drug-drug interactions and interpatient enzyme variability. Looking more specifically at drug-drug interactions, potent cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 inhibitors have been shown to affect clopidogrel; however, no effect has been seen with prasugrel, suggesting that no dosage adjustments are necessary when faced with this type of interaction. Regarding polymorphism, studies have shown that clinical outcomes with prasugrel are not affected by patient genetic variations of the CYP2C9 and 2C19 enzymes, which have been reported with clopidogrel.

The newest platelet inhibitor to be approved by the FDA, ticagrelor (Brilinta®), also works in a similar manner to the other thienopyridine platelet inhibitors. Specifically, ticagrelor is a cyclopentyltriazolopyrimidine, and the agent and its equipotent active metabolite reversibly bind to the P2Y₁₂ receptor located on the surface of platelets, preventing platelet signal transduction and activation.^{5,29} In contrast to ticagrelor, the other available thienopyridines work via the irreversible binding to the P2Y₁₂ receptor. In addition, these agents are all prodrugs, while ticagrelor is not. Therefore, ticagrelor does not require enzymatic conversion to become pharmacologically active, and is not subject to potential drug interactions associated with the other platelet inhibitors. Ticagrelor is administered twice-





daily, while clopidogrel and prasugrel are administered once-daily.^{2,4,5} When compared to clopidogrel, ticagrelor resulted in lower platelet receptor expression and a greater extent of inhibition of platelet aggregation, suggesting increased potency at the P2Y₁₂ receptor.³⁰ Of the four available P2Y₁₂ platelet inhibitors, clopidogrel and ticlopidine are currently available generically.

The mechanism of action of dipyridamole (Persantine[®]) is not completely understood; however, it may involve its ability to increase the concentrations of adenosine, a platelet aggregation inhibitor and a coronary vasodilator, and cyclic adenosine monophosphate, which decreases platelet activation.^{3,29} Dipyridamole, particularly when combined with aspirin, is effective for the prevention of stroke.^{8,9} Currently, there is no evidence to support the use of dipyridamole either instead of, or in addition to, aspirin and thienopyridines in the acute treatment of patients presenting with a non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome.¹⁰

The mechanism of action of anagrelide (Agrylin[®]) is also not completely understood. It is believed that anagrelide reduces platelet production via a decrease in megakaryocyte hypermaturation. Of note, significant inhibition of platelet aggregation with anagrelide is observed only at doses higher than those required to reduce the platelet count. Anagrelide is the only platelet inhibitor approved for the treatment of thrombocythemia associated with myeloproliferative disorders. Specifically, this agent is used to reduce elevated platelet counts and the risk of thrombosis, and to ameliorate associated symptoms, including thrombohemorrhagic events.

Currently, anagrelide, clopidogrel, dipyridamole and ticlopidine are the platelet inhibitors that are available generically. Aspirin, which is available over-the-counter, is available as a branded combination product with extended-release dipyridamole (Aggrenox®).

Medications

Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review

Generic Name (Trade name)	Medication Class	Generic Availability
Single-Entity Agents		
Anagrelide (Agrylin [®] *)	Platelet inhibitors	~
Clopidogrel (Plavix [®] *)	Platelet inhibitors	~
Dipyridamole (Persantine®*)	Platelet inhibitors	~
Prasugrel (Effient®)	Platelet inhibitors	-
Ticagrelor (Brilinta®)	Platelet inhibitors	-
Ticlopidine (Ticlid®*)	Platelet inhibitors	~
Combination Products		
Aspirin/dipyridamole (Aggrenox®)	Platelet inhibitors	-

^{*}Generic available in at least one dosage form or strength.





Indications

Table 2. Food and Drug Administration-Approved Indications 1-7

Indication			Combination Products				
maication	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole
Prevention of postoperative thromboembolic complications of cardiac valve replacement			* *				
Recent myocardial infarction, recent stroke, or established peripheral arterial disease		~					
Reduce the incidence of subacute stent thrombosis in patients undergoing successful coronary stent implantation						↓ †	
Reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndrome		v ‡			√ §		
Reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndrome who are being managed with percutaneous coronary intervention				,			
Reduce the risk of stroke in patients who have had transient ischemia of the brain or completed ischemic stroke due to thrombosis							•
Reduce the risk of thrombotic stroke (fatal or nonfatal) in patients who have experienced stroke precursors, and in patients who have had a completed thrombotic stroke						•	
Treatment of patients with thrombocythemia, secondary to myeloproliferative disorders	, ¶						

^{*}As an adjunct to coumarin anticoagulants.

[¶]To reduce the elevated platelet count and the risk of thrombosis and to ameliorate associated symptoms including thrombohemorrhagic events.





[†]As adjunctive therapy with aspirin.

[‡]For patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome, including patients who are to be managed medically and those who are to be managed with coronary revascularization, and for patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

[§]Patients with unstable angina, non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction, or ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

Patients who are to be managed with percutaneous coronary intervention as follows: patients with unstable angina or non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction and patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction when managed with primary or delayed percutaneous intervention.

In addition to the Food and Drug Administration-approved indications, the platelet inhibitors have the potential to be used off-label in several other conditions, most of which are cardiovascular in nature. Clopidogrel may be used for thrombosis prophylaxis in patients with atrial fibrillation, chronic heart failure or who are undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Dipyridamole may be used to improve myocardial function and perfusion following a myocardial infarction, to reduce the rate of graft occlusion after aortocoronary-artery bypass grafting, to slow the progression of diabetic neuropathy or end stage renal failure, to reduce the risk of pressure ulcers, to treat fetal growth restriction and to reduce the fall in platelet counts caused by hemodialysis. Ticlopidine may be used to lessen the complications of myocardial infarctions or transient ischemic attacks, to maintain saphenous vein graft patency after aortocoronary bypass, to manage angina or to reduce post surgical deep vein thrombosis. Aspirin/dipyridamole may be used to reduce the graft occlusion rate in patients receiving an arterial bypass graft, to treat thrombocytopenic purpura, as prophylaxis for cerebrovascular accident, for the management of Kasabach-Merritt Syndrome and for slowing the progression of peripheral occlusive arterial disease. ²⁹

Pharmacokinetics

Table 3. Pharmacokinetics 1-7,29

Generic Name	Bioavailability Renal Excretion (%) (%)		Active Metabolites	Serum Half-Life (hours)		
Single-Entity Agents						
Anagrelide	75	72 to 90	Four detected but not identified	76		
Clopidogrel	50	50	Thiol metabolite	6.0 (0.5 to 0.7*)		
Dipyridamole	37 to 66	Minimal (not reported)	None	0.66 to 10.00		
Prasugrel	≥79	68 to 70	R-138727	7 to 8*		
Ticagrelor	36	26 to 27	AR-C124910XX	7		
Ticlopidine	80 to 90	60	None	12.6		
Combination Products						
Aspirin/dipyridamole	50 to 75/37	1/not reported	Not reported/none	0.3/14.0		

^{*}Metabolite.

Clinical Trials

The clinical trials demonstrating the safety and efficacy of the platelet inhibitors in Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved indications are outlined in Table 4. 27,31-100

Aspirin is the only platelet inhibitor that has been evaluated for the treatment of an acute ischemic attack; however, antiplatelet therapy plays an important role in the long-term prevention of stroke or transient ischemic attacks (TIAs).^{8,9} In a large meta-analysis of patients with a previous myocardial infarction (MI), acute MI, previous TIA/stroke, and acute stroke, as well as patients with an increased risk of atherothrombotic events, it was demonstrated that overall, antiplatelet therapy reduced the odds of the composite outcome of stroke, MI, or vascular death in secondary prevention by approximately 25%. Looking at the endpoints individually, antiplatelet therapy reduced the odds of nonfatal MI by 34%, nonfatal stroke by 25%, and vascular death by 15%. 47 Looking at the individual platelet inhibitors, data from clinical trials demonstrated that ticlopidine reduced the risk of stroke and other vascular outcomes in patients with cerebrovascular disease. 42,43 The CAPRIE trial demonstrated that patients with a recent ischemic stroke or MI, or those with symptomatic peripheral arterial disease who were treated with clopidogrel experienced a 5.32% annual risk of ischemic stroke, MI or vascular death compared to 5.83% of patients treated with aspirin (relative risk reduction [RRR], 8.7% in favor of clopidogrel; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.3 to 16.3; P=0.043). 48 Results from the MATCH trial demonstrated that the addition of aspirin to clopidogrel in high-risk patients with a recent ischemic stroke or TIA was associated with a nonsignificant difference in reducing major vascular events. In this trial, dual antiplatelet therapy was associated with more life-threatening, major and minor bleeds.³⁹ The ESPRIT trial randomized patients





within six months of a TIA or minor stroke of presumed arterial origin to aspirin with or without dipyridamole. The rate of the primary composite outcome, death from all vascular causes, nonfatal stroke, nonfatal MI, or major bleeding complications (whichever happened first), was 13% with combination therapy and 16% with aspirin (hazard ratio [HR], 0.80; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.98, absolute risk reduction, 1.0% per year; 95% CI, 0.1 to 1.8). A meta-analysis of patients with acute ischemic stroke or TIA demonstrated that dual platelet inhibitor therapy was associated with a reduction in stroke recurrence (relative risk [RR], 0.67; 95% CI, 0.49 to 0.93) and a nonsignificant increase in major bleeding (RR, 2.09; 95% CI, 0.86 to 5.06) compared to monotherapy.

With regards to the treatment of acute coronary syndromes (ACS), the CLARITY-TIMI 28 trial randomized patients who presented within 12 hours of a ST-segment elevation MI to either clopidogrel or placebo for 30 days. Treatment with clopidogrel was associated with an absolute reduction of 6.7% in the composite endpoint of occluded infarct-related artery on angiography, death or recurrent MI before angiography (P value not reported).⁵¹ The COMMIT trial randomized patients who were admitted within 24 hours of a suspected acute MI to either combination therapy with clopidogrel and aspirin or to monotherapy with aspirin. In this trial, there was a significant reduction in the risk of the composite endpoint of death, reinfarction or stroke (P=0.002), and in death from any cause (P=0.03) in patients receiving combination therapy after 15 days. 53 The CURE trial compared long-term (three to 12 months) combination therapy with clopidogrel plus aspirin to monotherapy with aspirin in patients with a non-ST-segment elevation MI who presented within 24 hours of symptom onset. The results demonstrated that combination therapy resulted in a 20% RRR in the composite outcome of nonfatal MI, stroke or vascular death (P<0.001). The compelling benefit of combination therapy noted in the CURE trial was in the reduction of nonfatal MI. Due to the low number of strokes that occurred during the trial, the associated reduction was not significant. There was also a weak trend suggesting the possibility of small reductions in death associated with combination therapy that was not significant. 57 The CHARISMA trial was also a long-term trial (median, 28 months) that enrolled patients with clinically evident cardiovascular disease and randomized them to either combination treatment with clopidogrel and aspirin or to monotherapy with aspirin. In this trial, the rate of the primary composite endpoint of MI, stroke, or death from cardiovascular causes was not different between the two treatments (6.8 vs 7.3%; relative risk, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.05; P=0.22). ⁵⁴ As mentioned previously, there is no evidence to support the use of dipyridamole in the acute treatment of patients presenting with a non-ST-segment elevation ACS. In addition, a meta-analysis of 29 randomized-controlled trials demonstrated that in patients with arterial vascular disease, dipyridamole had no clear effect on the secondary prevention of vascular death. Compared to control (no drug or another antiplatelet inhibitor), dipyridamole appeared to reduce the risk of vascular events; however, the effect was only significant in patients presenting with cerebral ischemia. 49

The major clinical trial demonstrating the safety and efficacy of prasugrel for its FDA-approved indication is the TRITON-TIMI 38 (N=13,608). Results demonstrated that prasugrel was significantly more effective than clopidogrel in reducing ischemic events in patients with ACS who underwent percutaneous intervention. However, the trial did not demonstrate a decrease in the mortality rate with prasugrel. In addition, TRITON-TIMI 38 did report a significantly higher rate of major, minor, life-threatening and fatal bleeding events with prasugrel. Of note, certain patient subgroups, specifically those who were ≥75 years of age, those weighing <60 kg and those with a past history of stroke or TIA, did not demonstrate a clinical benefit with prasugrel. In addition, several subgroup analyses were also conducted based on TRITON-TIMI 38 and one patient subgroup in particular, those with diabetes, were found to have a significantly greater reduction in ischemic events with prasugrel when compared to nondiabetic patients being treated with either prasugrel or clopidogrel. 87-93

The major clinical trial demonstrating the safety and efficacy of ticagrelor for its FDA-approved indication is the PLATO trial. PLATO was an international, double-blind, double-dummy, multicenter, randomized-controlled trial that compared ticagrelor to clopidogrel in adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS, with or without ST-segment elevation within the previous 24 hours (N=18,624). After 12 months, the risk of the primary composite endpoint of vascular death, MI or stroke was significantly reduced with ticagrelor (9.8 vs 11.7%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.95; *P*<0.001). Ticagrelor also significantly reduced the risk of the secondary endpoints of the composite of all-cause mortality, MI or stroke (10.2 vs 12.3%;





HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.92; P<0.001); the composite of vascular death, MI, stroke, severe recurrent ischemia, recurrent ischemia, TIA or other arterial thrombotic event (14.6 vs 16.7%; HR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.81 to 0.95; P<0.001); MI (5.8 vs 6.9%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.75 to 0.95; P=0.005) and vascular death (4.0 vs 5.1%; HR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.91). Furthermore, ticagrelor significantly reduced the risk of all-cause mortality (4.5 vs 5.9%; HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.89). Rates of major bleeding were not different between the two treatments (P=0.43).

Several subanalyses of the PLATO trial have been conducted. ⁶¹⁻⁷⁰ In patients with ACS undergoing noninvasive (P=0.045) or invasive procedures (P=0.0025), ticagrelor remained more efficacious compared to clopidogrel. ^{61,62} However, in patients with ST-elevation or left bundle branch block (P=0.07), chronic kidney disease (P=0.13) or diabetes (P value not reported) and in those who underwent coronary artery bypass graft surgery (P=0.2862), there was no difference between ticagrelor and clopidogrel with regards to the primary composite endpoint. ⁶³⁻⁶⁶ A genetic substudy was also conducted and demonstrated ticagrelor to be more efficacious than clopidogrel, irrespective of cytochrome P450 2C19 and ABCB1 polymorphisms (P=0.0380). ⁶⁵ In the original PLATO trial, a significantly higher rate of dyspnea was observed with ticagrelor; however, data from a substudy revealed ticagrelor had no effect on pulmonary function. ^{60,69}

Mahaffey et al compared the effects of ticagrelor and clopidogrel among patients enrolled in the PLATO trial who were from the United States (N=1,413). The "superior" benefits of ticagrelor in reducing thrombotic cardiovascular events were not observed among this specific patient population. Specifically, there was no difference between ticagrelor and clopidogrel in the rate of the primary composite endpoint (11.9 vs 9.5%; HR, 1.27; 95% CI, 0.92 to 7.75; P=0.1459). The authors discussed that among these patients who were treated with ticagrelor, the lowest event rates were observed in patients also receiving low-dose aspirin maintenance therapy. In contrast, event rates in those treated with clopidogrel were similar regardless of concurrent high- or low-dose aspirin. Despite the potential role that aspirin maintenance dosing may play in explaining the regional differences observed within the PLATO trial, the authors noted that the pattern of results are consistent with what might be expected by chance alone in a large, multiregional clinical trial with multiple exploratory analyses. A potential mechanism by which highdose aspirin is thought to reduce the effects of ticagrelor relates to its ability to inhibit the endothelial release of prostacyclin in a dose-dependent fashion at doses greater than 80 mg/day. Prostacyclin reduces platelet reactivity and may contribute synergistically in vivo to the antiplatelet effects of P2Y₁₂ inhibitors. Therefore, the therapeutic effects of a higher mean level of P2Y₁₂ inhibition achieved with ticagrelor in the PLATO trial may be attenuated when endogenous prostacyclin production is inhibited.⁶⁸ Until a prospective clinical trial comparing the effects of low- vs high-dose aspirin maintenance therapy and its effect on the efficacy of ticagrelor is conducted, it remains unclear as to why the diminished effects of ticagrelor in the United States population were observed. Of note, the FDA-approved dosing of ticagrelor recommends that after the initial loading dose of aspirin (325 mg), a daily maintenance dose of aspirin of 75 to 100 mg should be used.5





Table 4. Clinical Trials

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration		
Cerebrovascular Condition			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Geeganage et al ³¹	MA of 12 RCTs	N=3,766	Primary: Recurrent stroke	Primary: Dual antiplatelet therapy was associated with a significant decrease in stroke
Dual therapy with clopidogrel or dipyridamole plus aspirin	Patients with acute ischemic stroke or TIA	Duration varied	Secondary: Composite of stroke, TIA, ACS and death;	recurrence in comparison to monotherapy (3.3 vs 5.0%; RR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.49 to 0.93). Secondary:
vs monotherapy with aspirin, clopidogrel or dipyridamole			composite of nonfatal stroke, nonfatal MI and vascular death; MI, severe stroke, intracerebral hemorrhage, major bleeding, all-cause death and vascular death	Compared to monotherapy, dual antiplatelet therapy was associated with a significant reduction in the risk of composite endpoint of stroke, TIA, ACS and death (1.7 vs 9.1%; RR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.56 to 0.91) as well as the composite endpoint of nonfatal stroke, nonfatal MI and vascular death (4.4 vs 6.0%; RR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.56 to 0.99). No significant differences were seen between dual therapy and monotherapy with regard to the occurrence of MI (RR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.25 to 2.03), severe stroke (RR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.91 to 1.12), intracerebral hemorrhage (RR, 1.39; 95% CI, 0.22 to 8.75), all-cause death (RR, 1.34; 95% CI, 0.76 to 2.34) and vascular death (RR, 1.31; 95% CI, 0.59 to 2.93). Major bleeding occurred more frequently with dual therapy compared to
				monotherapy, though this increase was not statistically significant (RR, 2.09; 95% CI, 0.86 to 5.06).
Uchiyama et al ³² JASAP	AC, DB, MC, PG, RCT	N=1,294 12 months	Primary: Recurrent ischemic stroke (fatal or	Primary: Recurrent ischemic stroke occurred in 6.9 (n=45) and 5.0% (n=32) of patients receiving combination therapy and aspirin, respectively. Noninferiority of
Aspirin/dipyridamole ER 25/200 mg BID	Patients ≥50 years of age with an ischemic		nonfatal) Secondary:	combination therapy compared to aspirin was not shown (HR, 1.47; 95% CI, 0.93 to 2.31). Results were consistent in the PP population.
vs	stroke ≥1 week (but no more		Cerebral hemorrhage;	Secondary: The event rate of stroke was significantly higher with combination therapy
aspirin 81 mg QD	than 6 months) prior to		subarachnoid hemorrhage; TIA;	compared to aspirin.
Concomitant use of anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapies was	enrollment, with ≥2 additional risk factors, stable		ACS; other vascular events; composite of ischemic stroke,	There was no difference between the two treatments for any other secondary endpoint.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
prohibited.	neurological signs and symptoms, and responsible lesion confirmed by CT or MRI		TIA, MI, unstable angina, or sudden death attributable to thromboembolism; stroke (composite of ischemic stroke, cerebral hemorrhage, or subarachnoid hemorrhage); safety	Combination therapy and aspirin were both well tolerated. There was a significantly higher total number of adverse events with combination therapy (640 vs 611; <i>P</i> =0.04). The difference in drug-related adverse events was mainly due to headache in the early stages of treatment with combination therapy. More patients receiving combination therapy discontinued treatment because of headache. Major bleeding events and clinically relevant minor bleeding events were comparable between the two treatments. No relevant changes in laboratory parameters, vital signs, and electrocardiography were noted with either treatment. There were four (0.6%) and 10 (1.6%) deaths with combination therapy and aspirin.
			A post hoc analysis was performed evaluating the event rate of intracranial hemorrhage and the composite of stroke or major bleeding for different subgroups	A multivariate analysis taking into account potential confounders for recurrence of ischemic stroke but only keeping covariates with a significant contribution in the model revealed a similar result for the comparison between treatments as the primary analysis. The analysis also revealed that higher modified Rankin Scale values and established end organ damage at baseline had a deleterious effect on the primary outcome, whereas the concomitant therapy with statins had a beneficial effect.
ESPRIT Study Group ³³ ESPRIT Aspirin 30 to 325 mg/day plus dipyridamole 200 mg BID	MC, OL, RCT Patients who were referred to one of the participating	N=2,739 Mean follow- up 3.5 years	Primary: Composite of death from all vascular causes, nonfatal stroke, nonfatal MI or major bleeding	Primary: Primary outcome events occurred in 173 (13%) patients receiving combination therapy compared to 216 (16%) patients receiving aspirin therapy (HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.98; absolute risk reduction 1.0% per year; 95% CI, 0.1 to 1.8).
vs aspirin 30 to 325 mg/day	hospitals within 6 months of a TIA or minor ischemic stroke of		complication (which ever happened first) Secondary:	Patients receiving combination therapy discontinued trial medication more often than those receiving aspirin (470 vs 184 patients), mainly because of headache.
Aspirin plus dipyridamole was administered either as a fixed-dose combination or as the two agents	presumed arterial origin		Death from all causes, death from all vascular causes, death from all vascular causes and	Secondary: The HR for death from all causes and all vascular causes were 0.88 (93 vs 107 patients; 95% CI, 0.67 to 1.17) and 0.75 (44 vs 60 patients; 95% CI, 0.51 to 1.10).
administered separately.			nonfatal stroke, all major ischemic	Ischemic events were less frequent with combination therapy (HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.65 to 1.01).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			events, all vascular events, major bleeding complications	Major bleeding complications arose in 35 patients receiving combination therapy compared to 53 patients receiving aspirin, whereas minor bleeding was reported in 171 patients receiving combination therapy compared to 168 patients receiving aspirin (RR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.84 to 1.25).
Verro et al ³⁴ Aspirin plus dipyridamole (IR and ER) vs aspirin	MA of 6 RCT (4 were DB) Patients with a history of non-cardioembolic stroke or TIA	N=7,648 Duration varied	Primary: Incidence of nonfatal stroke Secondary: Composite of stroke, MI or vascular death; subset analysis comparing outcomes with IR and ER dipyridamole	Primary: Combination therapy significantly reduced the risk of nonfatal ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke compared to aspirin therapy (RR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.89). Secondary: Combination therapy significantly reduced the risk of the composite of stroke, MI or vascular death (RR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.76 to 0.94). Based on four trials, aspirin plus IR dipyridamole did not show a significant reduction in the risk of stroke (RR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.59 to 1.15) or the composite outcome (RR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.19) compared to aspirin. Based on two trials, aspirin plus ER dipyridamole showed a significant reduction in risk for stroke (RR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.89) and for the
Diener et al ³⁵ ESPS 2 Aspirin 25 mg BID vs aspirin/dipyridamole 25/200 mg BID vs	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients who had an ischemic stroke or TIA within 3 months prior to study entry	N=6,602 24 months	Primary: Stroke (fatal or nonfatal), death (all cause mortality), combined stroke or death Secondary: TIA and adverse events	composite outcome (RR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.73 to 0.92) compared to aspirin. Primary: In comparison to placebo, stroke risk was reduced by 18% with aspirin (<i>P</i> =0.013), 37% with aspirin/dipyridamole (<i>P</i> <0.001) and 16% with dipyridamole ER (<i>P</i> =0.039). There was no significant difference in all cause mortality among the active treatment groups (<i>P</i> values not reported). In comparison to placebo, the risk of stroke or death was reduced by 13% with aspirin (<i>P</i> =0.016), 24% with aspirin/dipyridamole (<i>P</i> <0.001) and 15% with dipyridamole ER (<i>P</i> =0.015).
dipyridamole ER 200 mg* BID				Secondary: Aspirin (<i>P</i> <0.001), aspirin/dipyridamole (<i>P</i> <0.001) and dipyridamole ER (<i>P</i> <0.01) were significantly effective in preventing TIA compared to placebo.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
VS				
placebo				Headache was the most common adverse event, occurring more frequently in the dipyridamole-treated patients (<i>P</i> values not reported). All-site bleeding and gastrointestinal bleeding were significantly more common with aspirin in comparison to placebo or dipyridamole (<i>P</i> values not reported).
Sacco et al ³⁶	Post hoc analysis	N=3,299	Primary:	Primary:
Aspirin/dipyridamole 25/200 mg BID	using data from the ESPS 2	Duration not reported	Rates of annual strokes, combined stroke or vascular events	Compared to aspirin, combination therapy was more effective in reducing the risk of stroke (RRR, 23%; <i>P</i> =0.006) and combined stroke or vascular events (RRR, 22%; <i>P</i> =0.003).
VS				A more pronounced efficacy was observed for patients <70 years; those with
aspirin 25 mg BID			Secondary: Not reported	hypertension or prior MI, stroke, TIA or prior cardiovascular disease and smokers (all <i>P</i> <0.01). The greatest relative hazard reduction (44.6%) was noted for patients with a stroke or TIA before the qualifying event.
				Significant hazard reductions were reported for the combined outcome of stroke or vascular events with the greatest reductions found in patients with prior stroke or TIA, previous MI and among current smokers.
				The difference in efficacy increased in high-risk patients.
				Secondary: Not reported
Leonardi-Bee et al ³⁷	MA of 5 RCT	N=11,492	Primary:	Primary:
Dipyridamole	(including the ESPS 1 and 2)	Follow-up at 15 to 72	Incidence of stroke (combined fatal and nonfatal)	The incidence of recurrent stroke was reduced by dipyridamole therapy compared to control (OR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.68 to 1.00; <i>P</i> <0.05), and by combination therapy compared to aspirin (OR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.93;
VS	Patients with	months	Cocondoru	<i>P</i> <0.05), dipyridamole therapy (OR, 0.74; 95% CI, 0.60 to 0.90; <i>P</i> <0.05) or control (OR, 0.61; 95% CI, 0.51 to 0.71; <i>P</i> <0.05).
aspirin plus dipyridamole	previous ischemic stroke and/or TIA		Secondary: Nonfatal stroke; MI (combined fatal and	Secondary:
VS			nonfatal); vascular	Dipyridamole therapy reduced nonfatal stroke as compared to control, and
aspirin			death; composite of nonfatal stroke, nonfatal MI and	combination therapy reduced nonfatal stroke as compared to aspirin. Combination therapy significantly reduced the incidence of fatal and nonfatal
VS			vascular death	MI compared to control (<i>P</i> <0.05), but not compared to aspirin or dipyridamole





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
control (not specified)/placebo Two formulations of dipyridamole were assessed: conventional (150 to 300 mg/day) and modified-release (400 mg/day). The daily dose of aspirin				(<i>P</i> >0.05). Vascular death was not altered in any group. Combination therapy also significantly reduced the composite outcome of nonfatal stroke, nonfatal MI and vascular death as compared to aspirin (OR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.97; <i>P</i> <0.05), dipyridamole (OR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.90; <i>P</i> <0.05) or control (OR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.75; <i>P</i> <0.05).
was 50 to 1,300 mg. Sacco et al ³⁸ Aspirin 25 mg plus dipyridamole ER 200 mg BID vs clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus placebo or telmisartan 80 mg/day	AC, DB, PC, RCT Patients ≥50 years of age with a recent ischemic stroke (within <90 days before randomization, or 90 to 120 days before randomization if they had ≥2 additional vascular risk factors)	N=20,332 2.5 years (mean)	Primary: Recurrent stroke of any type Secondary: Composite of stroke, MI or death from vascular causes	Primary: Confirmed first recurrence of stroke occurred in 1,814 patients. There was no interaction between the treatment benefit of antiplatelet plus telmisartan (<i>P</i> =0.35). The primary outcomes occurred in 916 (9.0%) and 898 (8.8%) patients in the aspirin plus dipyridamole ER and clopidogrel groups (HR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.11). Although the HR is very close to 1.00, the upper limit of the CI extends beyond the prespecified noninferiority margin of 1.075. Ischemic stroke accounted for 87.4% of the recurrent strokes. Secondary: The numbers of patients with the secondary endpoint were identical between the two groups (1,333 [13.1%]; HR for aspirin plus dipyridamole ER vs clopidogrel, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.07).
Diener et al ³⁹ MATCH Clopidogrel 75 mg/day vs	DB, PC, RCT High-risk patients with a recent ischemic stroke or TIA, with ≥1 additional	N=7,599 18 months	Primary: Composite of ischemic stroke, MI, vascular death or rehospitalization for an acute ischemic event	Primary: There was no significant benefit of combination therapy compared to clopidogrel therapy in reducing the primary outcome (15.7 vs 16.7%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.244). Secondary: There was no significant benefit of combination therapy compared to





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus aspirin 75 mg/day	vascular risk factor who were already receiving clopidogrel		Secondary: Death, stroke, individual components and various combinations of the primary end points	clopidogrel therapy in reducing the secondary outcomes. Life-threatening bleeds were higher in the group receiving combination therapy (2.6 vs 1.3%; <i>P</i> <0.0001). Major and minor bleeds were also significantly higher with combination therapy (<i>P</i> <0.0001). [Note: Although clopidogrel plus aspirin is recommended over aspirin for acute coronary syndromes, with most guidelines advocating for up to 12 months of treatment, the results of MATCH do not suggest a similar risk:benefit ratio for stroke and TIA survivors.]
Markus et al ⁴⁰ CARESS Clopidogrel 300 mg on day 1, followed by clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus aspirin 75 mg/day on days 2 to 7 vs aspirin 75 mg QD	DB, PC, RCT Patients >18 years of age with ≥50% carotid stenosis who experienced ipsilateral carotid territory TIA or stroke within the past 3 months	N=107 7 days	Primary: Proportion of patients who were microembolic signal positive on day seven Secondary: Proportion of patients who were microembolic signal positive on day two, the rate of embolization on both days two and seven and their percent change from baseline, safety	Primary: ITT analysis revealed a significant reduction in the primary end point: 43.8% of patients receiving combination therapy were microembolic signal positive on day seven, as compared to 72.7% of patients receiving aspirin (RRR, 39.8%; 95% CI, 13.8 to 58.0; <i>P</i> =0.0046). Secondary: Microembolic signal frequency/hour was reduced compared to baseline by 61.4% (95% CI, 31.6 to 78.2; <i>P</i> =0.0013) in the combination therapy group at day seven, and by 61.6% (95% CI, 34.9 to 77.4; <i>P</i> =0.0005) on day two. There were four recurrent strokes and seven TIAs in the aspirin group compared to no stroke and four TIAs in the combination therapy group that were considered treatment-emergent and ipsilateral to the qualifying carotid stenosis. Microembolic signal frequency was greater in the 17 patients with recurrent ipsilateral events compared to the 90 patients without (<i>P</i> =0.0003).
Kennedy et al ⁴¹ FASTER Clopidogrel 300 mg once,	Factorial design 2x2, DB, PC, RCT	N=392 90 days	Primary: Incidence of stroke (ischemic and hemorrhagic), safety	Primary: The trial was stopped early due to a failure to recruit patients at the prespecified minimum enrollment rate because of increased use of statins. Within 20 days 7.4% of patients an elepidagral (with as without simulatoria)
followed by 75 mg/day or	Patients ≥40 years of age with a TIA or minor stroke,		(hemorrhage, myositis) Secondary:	Within 90 days, 7.1% of patients on clopidogrel (with or without simvastatin) had a stroke compared to 10.8% of patients not taking clopidogrel (RR, 0.7; 95% CI, 0.3 to 1.2) for an absolute risk reduction of 3.8% compared to placebo (95% CI, -9.4 to 1.9; <i>P</i> =0.19). In the simvastatin group (with or without





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo	randomized within 24 hours of		Composite of stroke, MI and vascular	clopidogrel), 10.6% of patients had a stroke within 90 days compared to 7.3% of patients not taking simvastatin (RR, 1.3; 95% Cl, 0.7 to 2.4) for an absolute
and	symptom onset		death	risk increase of 3.3% compared to placebo (95% CI, -2.3 to 8.9; <i>P</i> =0.25).
simvastatin 40 mg once, followed by 40 mg/day				Two patients on clopidogrel had intracranial hemorrhage compared to none in patients not receiving clopidogrel (absolute risk increase, 1.0%; 95% CI, -0.4 to 2.4; <i>P</i> =0.5). There was no difference between groups for the simvastatin safety outcomes.
placebo All patients were also given aspirin 81 mg/day, with a 162 mg loading dose if naïve to aspirin.				Secondary: Clopidogrel was associated with a -3.3% risk difference in the secondary end point compared to placebo (95% CI, -9.3 to 2.7; <i>P</i> =0.28). Simvastatin was associated with a 2.7% risk difference compared to placebo (95% CI, -3.2 to 8.7; <i>P</i> =0.37).
Gent et al ⁴² CATS Ticlopidine 250 mg BID vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients with ischemic strokes occurring from 1 week to 4 months	N=1,072 Up to 3 years (mean 24 months)	Primary: Event rate/year for stroke, MI or vascular death Secondary: Adverse events	Primary: The event rate/year for stroke, MI or vascular death was 10.8% in the ticlopidine group and 15.3% in the placebo group. Compared to placebo, ticlopidine reduced the RR of stroke, MI or vascular death by 30% (<i>P</i> =0.006) in the on-treatment analysis and by 23% (<i>P</i> =0.020) using the ITT approach. Ticlopidine reduced the RR of ischemic stroke by 33% (<i>P</i> =0.008) in the ontreatment analysis. Ticlopidine was beneficial for both men and women (RR, 28.1%; <i>P</i> =0.037 and RR, 34.2%; <i>P</i> =0.045, respectively). Secondary: Adverse events associated with ticlopidine included neutropenia (severe in about 1% of cases), skin rash (severe in about 2% of cases) and diarrhea
Hass et al ⁴³ TASS Ticlopidine 250 mg BID	Blinded, MC, RCT Patients with a minor stroke or	N=3,069 2 to 6 years	Primary: Nonfatal stroke or death Secondary:	(severe in about 2% of cases). Primary: Compared to aspirin, ticlopidine showed a 12% reduction in nonfatal stroke or death (three-year event rate, 17 vs 19%; <i>P</i> =0.048). Ticlopidine reduced the risk of stroke after three years by 21% (10 vs 13%;





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration		
VS	TIA within the		Adverse events	<i>P</i> =0.024).
	past 3 months			
aspirin 650 mg BID				Secondary:
				Ticlopidine significantly increased total cholesterol compared to aspirin (9 vs 2%; <i>P</i> <0.01). Serious gastrointestinal adverse effects were 2.5 times more common in the aspirin group, but bleeding from other anatomic sites was infrequent and about equal in the two treatment groups. Severe neutropenia occurred in 0.9% of patients.
Gorelick et al ⁴⁴	DB, MC, RCT	N=1,809	Primary:	Primary:
AAASPS	, ,	,	Composite of	There was no significant difference in the percent of patients reaching the
	African American	Up to 2 years	recurrent stroke, MI	primary outcome between ticlopidine and aspirin (14.7 vs 12.3%, respectively;
Ticlopidine 250 mg BID	patients who		or vascular death	<i>P</i> =0.12).
	recently had a			
VS	non-		Secondary:	Secondary:
	cardioembolic		Stroke (fatal and	There was a nonsignificant trend for reduction of fatal or nonfatal stroke
aspirin 325 mg BID	ischemic stroke		nonfatal)	among those in the aspirin group (P=0.08).
Fukuuchi et al ⁴⁵	DB, DD, MC,	N=1,151	Primary:	Primary:
T	RCT		Safety (emphasis on	During the study period, 15.1 and 7.0 % of ticlopidine- and clopidogrel-treated
Ticlopidine 200 mg QD		52 weeks	hematologic	patients had at least one primary safety end point (<i>P</i> <0.001). Significant
	Japanese		changes, hepatic	differences were primarily noted between ticlopidine and clopidogrel for
VS	patients 20 to 80		dysfunction, non-	hematologic disorders (2.4 vs 1.0%; <i>P</i> =0.043) and hepatic dysfunction (11.9
clopidogrel 75 mg QD	years of age who experienced a		traumatic hemorrhage and	vs 4.2%; <i>P</i> <0.001).
clopidogrei 75 mg QD	non-		other serious	Study medication was discontinued prematurely due to safety end points in 27
	cardioembolic		adverse reactions)	and 17% of patients receiving ticlopidine and clopidogrel, respectively
	cerebral		adverse reactions)	(<i>P</i> <0.001). The HR for the risk of discontinuing study medication due to a
	infarction ≥8 days		Secondary:	primary safety end point was 0.559 (95% CI, 0.434 to 0.721) in favor of
	prior to		Combined incidence	clopidogrel.
	enrollment		of nonfatal or fatal	
			cerebral infarction,	Secondary:
			MI or death due to	The incidence of vascular events did not differ significantly between ticlopidine
			other vascular	and clopidogrel (2.6 vs 3.0%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.948; HR, 0.977; 95% CI, 0.448
			causes	to 1.957).
Uchiyama et al ⁴⁶	2 DB, DD, Phase	N=1,921	Primary:	Primary:
	II, RCT		Combined endpoint	Fewer patients in the clopidogrel group (35.0%) experienced the combined
Ticlopidine 200 mg QD		26 to 52	of accessory	safety endpoint compared to those in the ticlopidine group (48.7%). At one





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
vs clopidogrel 75 mg QD	Japanese patients 20 to 80 years of age, with a history of cerebral infarctions; the most recent stroke being >8 days prior to enrollment	weeks	symptoms and abnormal laboratory changes Secondary: Combined incidence of vascular events (cerebral infarction, MI, vascular death, TIA, amaurosis fugax, angina pectoris, peripheral artery occlusion, retinal artery occlusion or other vascular event)	month, it was estimated that 83.4 and 69.9% of patients in the clopidogrel and ticlopidine groups were safety event free. At both two and 12 months, the estimated incidence of the safety events was significantly lower with clopidogrel compared to ticlopidine (<i>P</i> value not reported). It was estimated that almost twice as many patients (25.6%) in the ticlopidine group experienced symptoms and/or abnormal laboratory findings of hepatic dysfunction compared to the clopidogrel group (13.4%; HR, 0.455; 95% CI, 0.367 to 0.565; <i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary: There was no difference in the incidence of the combined efficacy endpoint of cerebral infarction, MI or vascular death with clopidogrel compared to ticlopidine (2.6 vs 2.5%; HR, 0.918; 95% CI, 0.518 to 1.626). There were no MIs or vascular deaths; only recurrence of cerebral infarctions. There was no difference in the total number of vascular events between the clopidogrel (3.6%) and ticlopidine (3.7%) groups (HR, 0.878; 95% CI, 0.545 to 1.412). The incidences of TIA, angina pectoris, PAD or other events were comparable between the two groups. There was no significant difference in the incidence of the combined efficacy endpoint between patients with prior lacunar stroke in the clopidogrel group (2.8%) and in the ticlopidine group (3.3%; <i>P</i> value not reported).
Cerebrovascular and Cardi			Γ = .	
Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration ⁴⁷ Antiplatelet agents	MA (197 RCTs compared antiplatelet therapy vs	N=135,640 Duration varied	Primary: Serious vascular event (nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke or	Primary: Overall, antiplatelet therapy reduced the combined outcome of any serious vascular event by 25%, nonfatal MI by 34%, nonfatal stroke by 25% and vascular mortality by 15%, with no apparent adverse effect on other deaths.
vs	control and 90 trials compared different antiplatelet		vascular death) Secondary: Not reported	Aspirin was the most widely studied antiplatelet drug and low-dose (75 to 150 mg/day) was at least as effective as higher daily doses for long-term use. In acute settings an initial loading dose of ≥150 mg aspirin may be required.
vs one antiplatelet regimen vs	regimens) Patients at high risk of occlusive		Not reported	Clopidogrel reduced serious vascular events by 10% compared to aspirin, which was similar to the 12% reduction observed with ticlopidine.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
another CAPRIE Steering Committee ⁴⁸ CAPRIE Clopidogrel 75 mg QD vs aspirin 325 QD	and	and Study	Primary: Composite of ischemic stroke, MI or vascular death Secondary: Composite of ischemic stroke, MI, vascular death and amputation; vascular death; all cause mortality; safety	Results The addition of dipyridamole to aspirin produced no significant further reduction in vascular events compared to aspirin alone. Secondary: Not reported Primary: The ITT analysis showed that clopidogrel had an annual 5.32% risk of ischemic stroke, MI or vascular death compared to 5.83% with aspirin, for a RRR of 8.7% (95% CI, 0.3 to 16.5; P=0.043) in favor of clopidogrel. Corresponding on-treatment analysis yielded a RRR of 9.4% in favor of clopidogrel (P value not reported). For the 6,431 patients enrolled in the trial with prior stroke, the RRR for ischemic stroke, MI or vascular death was 7.3% in favor of clopidogrel (P=0.26), and the RRR for the end point of stroke was 8.0% (P=0.28). For the 6,302 patients enrolled in the trial with MI, a RR increase of 3.7% was associated with clopidogrel (P=0.66). For the 6,452 patients enrolled in the trial with PAD, a RRR of 23.8% was noted in favor of clopidogrel (P=0.0028). Secondary:
				Clopidogrel reduced the risk of the primary outcome plus amputation by 7.6% compared to aspirin (P =0.076). There was no significant difference between clopidogrel and aspirin with regards to vascular death (1.90 vs 2.06%; P =0.29) and all cause mortality (3.05 vs 3.11%; P =0.71). There were no major differences in terms of safety. Severe rash (P =0.017) and severe diarrhea (P =0.080) were reported more frequently with clopidogrel. Severe upper gastrointestinal discomfort (P =0.096), intracranial hemorrhage (P =0.23) and gastrointestinal hemorrhage (P =0.05) were reported more frequently with aspirin.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Dipyridamole with or without other antiplatelet drugs vs control (no drug or another antiplatelet drug)	MA of 29 RCTs Patients with arterial vascular disease (angina, CAD, MI, nephropathy, PAD, retinopathy, stroke and TIA)	N=23,019 Duration varied (≥1 month in duration)	Primary: Secondary prevention of vascular death and vascular events (vascular death, any death from an unknown cause, nonfatal stroke or nonfatal MI) Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Compared to control, dipyridamole had no clear effect on vascular death (RR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.12). The dose of dipyridamole or type of presenting vascular disease did not influence this result. Compared to control, dipyridamole appeared to reduce the risk of vascular events (RR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.81 to 0.95). This effect was only significant in patients presenting with cerebral ischemia. There was no evidence that dipyridamole alone was more efficacious than aspirin. Secondary:
Cardiovascular Indications	(Aguta Caranary S	vndromo Myoo	ordial Inforation Angi	Not reported
Ho et al ⁵⁰ Clopidogrel, dose not specified	RETRO cohort Patients with ACS discharged on clopidogrel from 127 Veterans Affairs hospitals between October 2003 and March 2005	N=3,137 Duration varied (mean follow- up after stopping clopidogrel was 196 days for patients medically treated and 203 days for patients receiving PCI)	Primary: Rate of all cause mortality or acute MI after stopping clopidogrel Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Among medically treated patients the mean duration of clopidogrel treatment was 302 days. Death or acute MI occurred in 17.1% of these patients, with 60.8% of the events occurring during 0 to 90 days, 21.3% during 91 to 180 days and 9.7% during 181 to 270 days after stopping treatment with clopidogrel. In multivariable analysis including adjustment for duration of clopidogrel treatment, the first 90 day interval after stopping treatment with clopidogrel was associated with a significantly higher risk of adverse events (IRR, 1.98; 95% CI, 1.46 to 2.69 vs the interval 91 to 180 days). Among the PCI-treated patients the mean duration of clopidogrel treatment was 278 days. Death or acute MI occurred in 7.9% of these patients, with 58.9% of the events occurring during 0 to 90 days, 23.4% during 91 to 180 days and 6.5% during 181 to 270 days after stopping clopidogrel treatment. In multivariable analysis including adjustment for duration of clopidogrel treatment, the first 90 day interval after stopping clopidogrel treatment was associated with a significantly higher risk of adverse events (IRR, 1.82; 95%





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Sabatine et al ⁵¹ CLARITY-TIMI 28 Clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day vs placebo Patients received a fibrinolytic agent, aspirin, and when appropriate, heparin. Patients were also scheduled to undergo angiography 48 to 192 hours after the start of the study medication.	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients 18 to 75 years of age who presented within 12 hours after the onset of an STEMI	N=3,491 30 days (study medication given up to, and including, the day of angiography, or up to day 8 or hospital discharge if no angiography)	Primary: Composite of an occluded infarct-related artery on angiography, death or recurrent MI before angiography (death or recurrent MI by day eight or hospital discharge in patients who did not undergo angiography) Secondary: Safety	Secondary: Not reported Primary: The primary end point was reached in 15.0% of patients receiving clopidogrel compared to 21.7% of patients receiving placebo, representing an absolute reduction of 6.7% in the rate and 36% in the odds of reaching the end point with clopidogrel therapy (95% CI, 27 to 47; P<0.001). By 30 days, clopidogrel therapy reduced the odds of the composite end point of death from cardiovascular causes, recurrent MI or recurrent ischemia leading to the need for urgent revascularization by 20% (from 14.1 to 11.6%; P=0.03). Secondary: The rates of major bleeding and intracranial hemorrhage were similar in the two groups.
Ahmed et al ⁵² Clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day vs placebo Patients received a fibrinolytic agent, aspirin, and when appropriate, heparin.	Substudy of CLARITY-TIMI 28 trial ⁵¹ Patients 18 to 75 years of age who presented within 12 hours after the onset of an STEMI stratified by baseline GFR	N=3,252 30 days (study medication given up to, and including, the day of angiography, or up to day 8 or hospital discharge if	Primary: Composite of an occluded infarct-related artery on angiography, all-cause mortality or recurrent MI prior to angiography (death or recurrent MI by day eight or hospital discharge in patients who did not undergo angiography)	Primary: There was a significant trend for an increased rate of the primary composite endpoint with lower GFR and was the highest rate (23.4%) in patients with moderately reduced GFR (<i>P</i> =0.003). Secondary: By day 30, both the rates of the composite clinical endpoint (<i>P</i> <0.0001) and the safety endpoints of bleeding (<i>P</i> =0.0008) and intracranial hemorrhage (<i>P</i> =0.03) also trended towards a significant increase with lower GFRs. By day 30, there was a significant trend for an increased rate of cardiovascular death with lower GFR and was the highest rate (11.3%) in patients with moderately reduced GFR (<i>P</i> <0.0001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
COMMIT Collaborative	MC, PC, RCT	no angiography) N=45,852	Secondary: Composite clinical endpoint of cardiovascular death, MI, or recurrent ischemia leading to urgent revascularization at 30 days; cardiovascular death; safety Primary:	Primary:
Group ⁵³ COMMIT Clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus aspirin 162 mg/day vs	Patients admitted to the hospital within 24 hours of suspected acute MI	15 days (mean)	Composite of death, re-infarction or stroke; death from any cause Secondary: Safety	Combination therapy produced a highly significant nine percent proportional reduction in death, reinfarction or stroke compared to aspirin (actual reductions 9.2 vs 10.1%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.002), corresponding to nine fewer events/1,000 patients treated for about two weeks. There was also a significant seven percent proportional reduction in any death in the combination therapy group compared to the aspirin group (7.5 vs 8.1%; <i>P</i> =0.03).
aspirin 162 mg/day				Secondary: Considering all fatal, transfused or cerebral bleeds together, no significant excess risk was noted with combination therapy compared to aspirin; either overall (0.58 vs 0.55%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.59), in patients >70 years of age (<i>P</i> value not reported) or in those given fibrinolytic therapy (<i>P</i> value not reported).
Bhatt et al ⁵⁴ CHARISMA Clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients ≥45	N=15,603 Median 28 months	Primary: Composite of first occurrence of MI, stroke or death from	Primary: The rate of the composite of MI, stroke or death from cardiovascular causes was 6.8% with combination therapy and 7.3% with aspirin (RR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.05; <i>P</i> =0.22).
aspirin 75 to 162 mg/day	years of age with clinically evident cardiovascular	HIOHUIS	cardiovascular causes	The rate of the primary end point among patients with multiple risk factors was 6.6% with combination therapy and 5.5% with aspirin (RR, 1.2; 95% CI, 0.91
aspirin 75 to 162 mg/day	disease		Secondary: First occurrence of	to 1.59; <i>P</i> =0.20), and the rate of death from cardiovascular causes also was higher with combination therapy (3.9 vs 2.2%; <i>P</i> =0.01). In the subgroup with





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration	MI, stroke, death from cardiovascular causes or hospitalization for unstable angina, TIA	clinically evident atherothrombosis, the rate was 6.9% with combination therapy and 7.9% with aspirin (RR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.77 to 1.00; <i>P</i> =0.046). Secondary: The secondary end point was reached in 16.7 and 17.9% (RR, 0.92; 95% CI,
			or revascularization procedure; safety	0.86 to 1.00; <i>P</i> =0.04) of patients receiving combination therapy and aspirin, respectively.
				The rate of severe bleeding was 1.7 and 1.3% (RR, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.97 to 1.61; <i>P</i> =0.09) for patients receiving combination therapy and aspirin.
Dasgupta et al ⁵⁵	Post hoc analysis of CHARISMA ⁵⁴	N=2,009	Primary: Composite of first	Primary: Almost all cardiovascular events occurred significantly more frequently in
Clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus		Median 28	occurrence of MI,	diabetic patients with neuropathy. Patients with diabetic neuropathy had a
aspirin 75 to 162 mg/day	Post hoc analysis	months	stroke or death from	higher case fatality rate of MI compared to diabetic patients without
	of patients with		cardiovascular	nephropathy and nondiabetic patients (20 vs 14 vs 11%, respectively), but this
VS	diabetic		causes	higher rate was not significant (<i>P</i> =0.240).
	neuropathy in the			
aspirin 75 to 162 mg/day	CHARISMA trial,		Secondary:	Secondary:
	who were ≥45 years of age with		First occurrence of MI, stroke, death	Patients with nephropathy who were assigned clopidogrel experienced a significant increase in overall mortality (HR, 1.8; 95% CI, 1.2 to 2.7; <i>P</i> =0.006)
	clinically evident		from cardiovascular	compared to placebo, as well as significantly increased cardiovascular
	cardiovascular		causes or	mortality (HR, 1.7; 95% CI, 1.1 to 2.9; <i>P</i> =0.028).
	disease or		hospitalization for	mortality (1114, 1.7, 3376 OI, 1.1 to 2.3, 7 =0.020).
	multiple		unstable angina, TIA	The frequency of bleeding in patients with diabetic nephropathy who received
	atherothrombotic		or revascularization	clopidogrel tended to be higher compared to placebo, but this increase was
	risk factors		procedure; safety	not significant (2.6 vs 1.5%; HR, 1.8; <i>P</i> =0.075).
Hart et al ⁵⁶	Post hoc analysis	N=593	Primary:	Primary:
	of CHARISMA ⁵⁴		Composite of first	There was no difference in the composite of stroke, MI or vascular death
Clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus		Median 28	occurrence of MI,	between patients receiving combination therapy (35 of 298 patients) and
aspirin 75 to 162 mg/day	Post hoc analysis	months	stroke or death from	patients receiving aspirin (27 of 285 patients; <i>P</i> =0.40).
	of participants		cardiovascular	
VS	with a history of		causes	Secondary:
	AF in the		0	There was no difference in the composite of stroke, MI, vascular death or
aspirin 75 to 162 mg/day	CHARISMA trial,		Secondary:	rehospitalization (70 vs 66 patients; <i>P</i> =0.93) or all cause mortality (29 vs 25
	who were ≥45		First occurrence of	patients; <i>P</i> =0.69) between the two groups.
	years of age with		MI, stroke, death	





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	clinically evident cardiovascular disease or multiple atherothrombotic risk factors		from cardiovascular causes, or hospitalization for unstable angina, TIA or revascularization procedure; safety	Stroke (ischemic and hemorrhagic) occurred in 15 patients receiving combination therapy (2.2% per year) and in 14 patients receiving aspirin (2.1% per year; HR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.49 to 2.13; <i>P</i> =0.94). Severe or fatal extracranial hemorrhage occurred in six patients given combination therapy compared to three patients given aspirin alone (<i>P</i> =0.51), while intracranial bleeding occurred in three and one patients (<i>P</i> =0.62), respectively.
CURE Trial Investigators ⁵⁷ CURE Clopidogrel (300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day) plus aspirin vs aspirin	DB, PC, RCT Patients with NSTEMI, presenting within 24 hours of symptom onset	N=12,562 3 to 12 months	Primary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or stroke (first primary outcome); composite of the first primary outcome or refractory ischemia (second primary outcome) Secondary: Severe ischemia, heart failure, need for revascularization, safety	Primary: A composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or stroke occurred in 9.3% of patients in the combination therapy group compared to 11.4% of patients in the aspirin group (RR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.90; P<0.001). When refractory ischemia was included with the first primary outcome, the composite rate was 16.5 vs 18.8% (RR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.79 to 0.94; P<0.001). Secondary: Significant reductions in nonfatal MI (5.2 vs 6.7%), and trends toward reduction in death (5.1 vs 5.5%) and stroke (1.2 vs 1.4%) with combination therapy compared to aspirin were noted (P values not reported). The percentages of patients with in-hospital refractory or severe ischemia, recurrent angina, heart failure and revascularization procedures were also significantly lower with combination therapy (all P<0.05 vs aspirin). There were significantly more patients with major bleeds in the combination therapy group than in the aspirin group (3.7 vs 2.7%; RR, 1.38; 95% CI, 1.13 to 1.67; P=0.001), but there were not significantly more patients with episodes of life-threatening bleeds (2.1 vs 1.8%; RR, 1.21; 95% CI, 0.95 to 1.56; P=0.13).
Roe et al ⁵⁸ TRILOGY ACS Prasugrel 10 mg/day or 5 mg/day (patients who were ≥75 years of age or who	AC, DB, DD, event-driven, RCT Patients with ACS if selected	N=7,243 (primary analysis; patients <75 years of age)	Primary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke among patients <75	Primary: At a median follow-up of 17 months, the primary endpoint occurred in 13.9 vs 16.0% of prasugrel- and clopidogrel-treated patients (HR in the prasugrel group, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.79 to 1.05; <i>P</i> =0.21). Similar results were observed in the overall population (18.7 vs 20.3%; HR, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.86 to 1.07; <i>P</i> =0.45). Because superiority was not established in the primary cohort, the





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
weighed <60 kg received 5 mg/day) vs clopidogrel 75 mg/day Patients who underwent randomization within 72 hours after the first medical contact without previous clopidogrel treatment received a loading dose of 30 mg of prasugrel or 300 mg of clopidogrel. Patients who did not undergo randomization within 72 hours were required to be treated with OL clopidogrel before randomization and were started on daily maintenance administration of a study drug after randomization.	and	and Study	years of age Secondary: Incidence of cardiovascular death, MI, and stroke; all-cause mortality; bleeding events; safety	Prespecified testing strategy did not direct further superiority testing. The frequency of the primary end point in the two treatment groups did not differ significantly among prespecified subgroups of patients who were <75 years of age, but an interaction with prasugrel treatment was apparent in current or recent smokers, those who underwent angiography before randomization, and those taking a PPI at randomization. The prespecified analysis that was performed to account for multiple recurrent ischemic events suggested a lower risk among patients <75 years of age with prasugrel (HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.72 to 1.00; P=0.04). Among patients who had an ischemic event, 364 patients treated with prasugrel (10.1%) had at least one ischemic event compared to 397 patients (11.0%) with clopidogrel, whereas 77 (2.1%) vs 109 (3.0%) had a least two recurrent ischemic events, and 18 (0.5%) vs 24 (0.7%) had at least three recurrent ischemic events, respectively. Secondary: Among patients <75 years of age, there were no differences in the incidences of cardiovascular death (6.6 vs 6.8%; HR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.15; P=0.48), MI (8.3 vs 10.5%; HR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.07; P=0.21), and stroke (1.5 vs 2.2%; HR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.42 to 1.06; P=0.08) between prasugrel- and clopidogrel-treated patients. Similar results were observed in the overall population (P=0.38, P=0.58, and P=0.52) Among patients <75 years of age, all-cause mortality was similar between the two treatments (7.8 vs 8.1%; HR, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.79 to 1.16; P=0.63). Similar results were observed in the overall population (P=0.40). At 30 months, the key bleeding end points of non-CABG-related severe or life-threatening events and major bleeding occurred with similar frequency among patients <75 years of age in the two treatment groups. The only subgroup in which there was a significant treatment interaction for TIMI major bleeding was
	revascularization with either PCI or CABG			patients receiving a reduced dose of aspirin. The frequency of new, benign neoplasms in the overall treated population did





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Gurbel et al ⁵⁹	Substudy of TRILOGY ACS	N=2,564	Primary: Platelet reactivity	not differ significantly between prasugrel and clopidogrel (1.9 vs 1.8%; <i>P</i> =0.79); similar findings were observed among treated patients with no history of cancer or a history of previous cancer that had been cured before randomization. The incidence of common (>1.0%) nonhemorrhagic serious adverse events was balanced between the two treatments among patients <75 years of age, and the only significant difference observed was a higher rate of heart failure with clopidogrel. Primary: Among patients <75 years of age and weighing ≥60 kg, median P2Y₁₂ reaction
Prasugrel 10 mg/day or 5 mg/day (patients who were ≥75 years of age or who weighed <60 kg received 5 mg/day)	Patients with ACS if selected for a final treatment strategy of medical management	Up to 30 months	(measured in P2Y ₁₂ reaction units); composite of cardiovascular death, MI, or stroke through 30 months Secondary:	unit values at 30 days were 64 (interquartile range, 33 to 128) with prasugrel compared to 200 (interquartile range, 141-260) with clopidogrel (<i>P</i> <0.001), a difference that persisted through all subsequent time points. Among patients <75 years of age and weighing <60 kg, corresponding values were 139 (interquartile range, 86 to 203) vs 209 (interquartile range, 148 to 283) (<i>P</i> <0.001). Among patients >75 years of age, corresponding values were 164 (interquartile range, 105 to 216) vs 222 (interquartile range, 148 to 268) (<i>P</i> <0.001).
Patients who underwent randomization within 72 hours after the first medical contact without previous clopidogrel treatment received a loading dose of 30 mg of prasugrel or 300 mg of clopidogrel. Patients who did not undergo randomization within 72 hours were required to be treated with OL clopidogrel before randomization and were started on daily maintenance administration of a study drug after randomization	without revascularization within 10 days after the index event; patients with MI without ST-segment elevation had elevated cardiac markers and patients with unstable angina with negative cardiac markers had an ST- segment depression of >1 mm in ≥2 electrocardiograp		Not reported	At 30 months, the rate of the composite endpoint was 17.2 (160 events) vs 18.9% (180 events) with prasugrel and clopidogrel (P =0.29). There were no significant differences in the continuous distributions of 30 day P2Y ₁₂ reaction unit values for patients with a primary efficacy endpoint compared to patients without an event (P =0.07) and no significant relationship between the occurrence of the primary efficacy endpoint and continuous P2Y ₁₂ reaction unit values (adjusted HR for increase of 60 P2Y ₁₂ reaction units, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.96 to 1.11; P =0.44). Similar findings were observed with 30 day P2Y ₁₂ reaction unit cut points used to define high on-treatment platelet reactivity; P2Y ₁₂ reaction unit >280 (adjusted HR, 1.16; 95% CI, 0.89 to 1.52; P =0.28) and P2Y ₁₂ reaction unit >230 (adjusted HR, 1.20; 95% CI, 0.90 to 1.61; P =0.21). Secondary: Not reported





	Study Design	Sample Size		
Study and Drug Regimen	and	and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration		
	hic leads, and			
	patients had ≥1			
	of 4 risk criteria:			
	age ≥60 years of			
	age, the			
	presence of			
	diabetes,			
	previous MI, or			
	previous			
	revascularization			
	with either PCI or			
	CABG			
Wallentin et al ⁶⁰	AC, DB, DD, MC,	N=18,624	Primary:	Primary:
PLATO	PG, PRO, RCT		Composite endpoint	At 12 months, ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer composite
		12 months	of the rate of	events compared to clopidogrel (9.8 vs 11.7%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.92;
Ticagrelor 180 mg loading	Adult patients		vascular death, MI,	P<0.001). A treatment effect was seen within 30 days and persisted
dose, followed by 90 mg	hospitalized with		or stroke; major	throughout the trial.
BID	documented ACS		bleeding	
	within the			The rate of major bleeding was not different between ticagrelor and clopidogrel
VS	previous 24		Secondary:	(11.6 vs 11.2%; HR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.95 to 1.13; <i>P</i> =0.43).
	hours, with or		Effect in patients for	
clopidogrel 300 mg loading	without ST-		whom invasive	Secondary:
dose, followed by 75 mg	segment		treatment was	In patients undergoing invasive procedures, significantly fewer composite
QD	elevation		planned; composite	events occurred with ticagrelor (8.9 vs 10.6%; HR, 8.4; 95% CI, 0.75 to 0.94;
D			endpoint of all-	<i>P</i> =0.003).
Patients received aspirin 70			cause mortality, MI,	
to 100 mg/day maintenance			or stroke; composite	Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the
therapy, unless intolerant.			endpoint of vascular	composite of all-cause mortality, MI or stroke (10.2 vs 12.3%; HR, 0.84; 95%
For notionts with a sure			death, MI, stroke,	CI, 0.77 to 0.92; <i>P</i> <0.001).
For patients who were			severe recurrent	Tipography was possibled with significantly forward with the second to the
aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was			cardiac ischemia,	Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the
the preferred loading dose.			recurrent cardiac	composite of vascular death, MI, stroke, severe recurrent ischemia, recurrent
In notionto receiving c			ischemia, TIA, or	ischemia, TIA, or other thrombotic event (14.6 vs 16.7; HR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.81
In patients receiving a			other arterial	to 0.95; <i>P</i> <0.001).
stent, 325 mg was allowed			thrombotic event;	The reter of MI (5.0 vo. 6.00/ : HD .0.04 : 050/ .01.0.75 to 0.05 : D. 0.005 \ and
for 6 months.			individual	The rates of MI (5.8 vs 6.9%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.75 to 0.95; <i>P</i> =0.005) and





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			components of the primary endpoint; all-cause mortality; other bleeding events; dyspnea; bradyarrhythmia; any other adverse event; results of laboratory safety tests	vascular death (4.0 vs 5.1%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.91; <i>P</i> =0.001) were significantly lower with ticagrelor. The rate of stroke was not different between the two treatments (1.5 vs 1.3%; HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 0.91 to 1.52; <i>P</i> =0.22). The rate of all-cause mortality was significantly lower with ticagrelor (4.5 vs 5.9%; HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.89; <i>P</i> <0.001). Data on minor bleeding events were not reported. Rates of fatal bleeding were not different between the two treatments (0.3 vs 0.3%; HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.48 to 1.59; <i>P</i> =0.66). The rate of fatal non-intracranial bleeding was significantly higher with clopidogrel (0.3 vs 0.1%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.03). The rate of fatal intracranial bleeds was significantly higher with ticagrelor (0.10 vs 0.01%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.02). The rate of dyspnea was significantly higher with ticagrelor (13.8 vs 7.8%; HR, 1.84; 95% CI, 1.68 to 2.02; <i>P</i> <0.001). From this group, 0.9 and 0.1% of patients discontinued treatment (HR, 6.12; 95% CI, 3.41 to 11.01; <i>P</i> <0.001). Rates of pacemaker insertion (<i>P</i> =0.87), syncope (<i>P</i> =0.08), bradycardia (<i>P</i> =0.21) and heart block (<i>P</i> =1.00) were not different between the two treatments. Laboratory testing revealed significant increases in baseline serum uric acid with ticagrelor at one (<i>P</i> <0.001) and 12 months (<i>P</i> <0.001). Similar results were observed with serum creatinine (<i>P</i> <0.001 for both). One month after the end of treatment, there were no differences between the two treatments for either serum uric acid (<i>P</i> =0.56) or creatinine (<i>P</i> =0.59).
James et al ⁶¹ Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID	Substudy of PLATO ⁵⁸ Adult patients hospitalized with	N=5,216 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of the rate of vascular death, MI, or stroke; major	Primary: At 12 months, ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer composite events compared to clopidogrel (12.0 vs 14.3%; HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.73 to 1.00; <i>P</i> =0.045).
VS	documented ACS within the previous 24		bleeding events Secondary:	The rate of major bleeding did not differ between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (11.9 vs 10.3%; HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 0.98 to 1.39; <i>P</i> =0.079).
clopidogrel 300 mg loading	hours, with or		Individual	Secondary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant. For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.	without ST- segment elevation, undergoing noninvasive procedures		components of the primary composite endpoint; all-cause mortality; nonvascular mortality; composite of vascular death, MI, stroke, severe recurrent cardiac ischemia, recurrent cardiac ischemia, TIA, or other arterial thrombotic event; subclasses of stroke; other bleeding events	The rate of vascular death was significantly lower with ticagrelor (5.5 vs 7.2%; HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.61 to 0.96; P =0.019). The rates of MI (7.2 vs 7.8%; HR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.77 to 1.15; P =0.555) and stroke (2.1 vs 1.7%; HR, 1.35; 95% CI, 0.89 to 2.07; P =0.162) were not different between the two treatments. The rates of all-cause mortality was significantly lower with ticagrelor (6.1 to 8.2%; HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.61 to 0.93; P =0.010). The rate of nonvascular death was not different between the two treatments (0.6 vs 1.0%; HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.35 to 1.31; P =0.252). The rate of the composite of vascular death, MI, stroke, composite ischemic events, or other arterial thrombotic events was not different between the two treatments (18.6 vs 20.3%; HR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.82 to 1.06; P =0.309). The rates of ischemic (1.5 vs 1.4%; P =0.530), hemorrhagic (0.5 vs 0.2%; P =0.069) or unknown (0.20 vs 0.06%; P =0.124) strokes were not different between the two treatments. The rates of life threatening or fatal (5.5 vs 5.6%; HR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.77 to 1.26; P =0.911) and intracranial bleeding (0.5 vs 0.2%; HR, 2.83; 95% CI, 0.90 to 8.90; P =0.075) were not different between the two treatments. The rate of other major bleeding was significantly higher with ticagrelor (6.8 vs 4.9%; HR, 1.38; 95% CI, 1.09 to 1.76; P =0.009). The rates of non-CABG-related (P =1.03), CABG-related (P =0.335), coronary procedure related (P =0.231), noncoronary procedure related (P =0.072) bleeding was significantly higher with ticagrelor (16.4 vs 14.4%; HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.36; P =0.0358).
Cannon et al ⁶² Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID	Substudy of PLATO ⁵⁸ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the	N=13,408 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of vascular death, MI, or stroke; total major bleeding Secondary:	Primary: At 12 months, ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer composite events compared to clopidogrel (9.0 vs 10.7%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.75 to 0.94; <i>P</i> =0.0025). The rate of major bleeding did not differ between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (<i>P</i> =0.8803).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant. For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.	previous 24 hours, with or without ST- segment elevation, undergoing invasive procedures		Composite endpoint of all-cause mortality, MI, or stroke; composite endpoint of vascular death, MI, stroke, severe recurrent cardiac ischemia, recurrent cardiac ischemia, TIA, or other arterial thrombotic event; components of the primary endpoint; all-cause mortality; stent thrombosis; other bleeding events; safety	Secondary: Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of all-cause mortality, MI or stroke (9.4 vs 11.2%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.75 to 0.94; P =0.0016). Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of vascular death, MI, stroke, composite ischemic events or other arterial thrombotic events (9.4 vs 11.2%; HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.93; P =0.0005). The rates of MI (5.3 vs 6.6%; HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.92; P =0.0023) and vascular death (3.4 vs 4.3%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.68 to 0.98; P =0.0250) were significantly lower with ticagrelor. The rate of stroke was not different between the two treatments (1.2 vs 1.1%; HR, 1.08; 95% CI, 0.78 to 1.50; P =0.6460). The rate of all-cause mortality was significantly lower with ticagrelor (3.9 vs 5.0%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.68 to 0.95; P =0.0054). The rates of definite (1.3 vs 2.0%; HR, 0.64; 95% CI, 0.46 to 0.88; P =0.0054), definite or probable (2.2 vs 3.0%; HR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.94; P =0.0142) and total (definite, probable or possible) (2.8 vs 3.8%; HR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.92; P =0.0068) stent thrombosis were significantly lower with ticagrelor. The rates of life-threatening or fatal (P =0.6095), intracranial (P =0.4364) and other major bleeding (P =0.4030) were not different between the two treatments. The rates of total major or minor (P =0.0700), CABG-related (P =0.0710), coronary procedure-related (P =0.3998) bleeding were not different between the two treatments. The rate of non-CABG-related bleeding was significantly higher with ticagrelor (8.9 vs 7.1%; HR, 1.26; 95% CI, 1.11 to 1.43; P =0.0004). The rate of dyspnea was significantly higher with ticagrelor (13.9 vs 8.0%; P <0.0001). Of the patients experiencing dyspnea, 0.8 and 0.2% discontinued treatment (P value not reported).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID vs clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant. For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.	Substudy of the PLATO ⁵⁸ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with ST-segment elevation or left bundle-branch block	N=7,544 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of vascular death, MI, or stroke; major bleeding Secondary: Composite endpoint of vascular death or MI (excluding silent); composite endpoint of all-cause mortality, MI (excluding silent), or stroke; composite endpoint of vascular death, total MI, stroke, severe recurrent cardiac ischemia, recurrent ischemia, TIA, or other arterial thrombotic events; components of the primary endpoint; all-cause mortality; severe recurrent cardiac ischemia; recurrent ischemia; TIA; arterial thrombotic events; stent thrombosis; safety	Primary: At 12 months, there was no difference in the rate of the primary composite endpoint between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (9.4 vs 10.8%; HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.01; P =0.07). The rate of major bleeding did not differ between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (HR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.8 to 1.14; P =0.76). Secondary: Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of vascular death and MI (8.4 vs 10.2%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.69; P =0.01). Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of all-cause mortality, MI or stroke (9.8 vs 11.3%; HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.00; P =0.05). Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of vascular death, MI, stroke, composite ischemic events or other arterial thrombotic events (13.3 vs 15.0%; HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.99; P =0.03). The rates of MI (4.7 vs 5.8%; HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.98; P =0.03) and stroke (1.7 vs 1.0%; HR, 1.63; 95% CI, 1.07 to 2.48; P =0.02) were significantly lower with ticagrelor, but not vascular death (4.5 vs 5.5%; HR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.67 to 1.02; P =0.07). The rate of all-cause mortality was significantly lower with ticagrelor (5.0 vs 6.1%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.67 to 1.00; P =0.05). The rates of severe recurrent cardiac ischemia (2.7 vs 3.2%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.61 to 1.06; P =0.13), TIA (0.2 vs 0.2%; P value not reported) and arterial thrombotic events (0.3 vs 0.4%; HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.28 to 1.51; P =0.32) were not different between the two treatments. The rate of recurrent ischemia was significantly lower with ticagrelor (4.3 vs 5.1%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.65 to 1.01; P =0.05).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				The rates of definite or probable stent thrombosis was not different between the two treatments (2.6 vs 3.4%; HR, 0.74; 95% CI, 0.55 to 1.00; P =0.05). The rates of definite, probable or possible (3.3 vs 4.3%; HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.99; P =0.04) and definite (1.6 vs 2.4%; HR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.45 to 0.95; P =0.03) stent thromboses were significantly lower with ticagrelor. The rates of fatal (P value not reported), life-threatening (P =0.86), major (P =0.76), major and minor (P =0.43), CABG-related (major; P =0.30, major and minor; P =0.26), non-CABG-related (major; P =0.61, major and minor; P =0.11), procedure-related (major; P =0.83, major and minor; P =0.72) and major non-procedure-related (P =0.30) bleeding were not different between the two treatments. The rate of non-procedure-related major and minor bleeding was significantly lower with clopidogrel (5.1 vs 3.7%; HR, 1.31; 95% CI, 1.04 to 1.66; P =0.02). The rate of dyspnea was significantly higher with ticagrelor (12.6 vs 8.4%; P <0.0001), and caused significantly more treatment discontinuations (0.5 vs 0.1%; P =0.0004). Rates of bradycardia (P =0.83), syncope (P =0.18), heart block (P =0.64) and pacemaker insertion (P =0.20) were not different between the two treatments.
James et al ⁶⁴ Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID vs clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance	Substudy of PLATO ⁵⁸ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST-segment elevation and chronic kidney disease (creatine	N=15,202 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of vascular death, MI, or stroke; major bleeding Secondary: All-cause mortality, other bleeding events, safety	Primary: In patients with chronic kidney disease, there was no difference in the rate of the primary composite endpoint between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (17.3 vs 22.0%; HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.90; <i>P</i> =0.13). In patients with chronic kidney disease, there was no difference in the rate of major bleeding between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (15.1 vs 14.3%; HR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.88 to 1.03; <i>P</i> =0.92). Secondary: In patients with chronic kidney disease, the rate of all-cause mortality was not different between the two treatments (10.0 vs 14.0%; HR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.89; <i>P</i> =0.16).
therapy, unless intolerant.	clearance <60			In patients with chronic kidney disease, the rates of major or minor (<i>P</i> =0.54),





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months. James et al ⁶⁵ Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg	Substudy of PLATO ⁵⁸ Adult patients	N=4,662 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of vascular death, MI, or stroke; major	non-CABG-related major (<i>P</i> =0.77), fatal major (<i>P</i> =0.06) and intracranial bleeding (<i>P</i> =0.69) were not different between the two treatments. In patients with chronic kidney disease, the rate of dyspnea was significantly less with clopidogrel (16.4 vs 11.5%; HR, 1.54; 95% CI, 1.27 to 1.88; <i>P</i> =0.04). In patients with chronic kidney disease, the rate of ventricular pauses was no different between the two treatments (5.4 vs 4.6%; HR, 1.16; 95% CI, 0.51 to 2.52; <i>P</i> =0.56). Primary: In patients with diabetes, there was no difference in the rate of the primary composite endpoint between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (14.1 vs 16.2%; HR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.76 to 1.03).
BID vs clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant.	hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST- segment elevation and diabetes		bleeding Secondary: All-cause mortality, MI, definite stent thrombosis, other bleeding events	In patients with diabetes, there was no difference in the rate of major bleeding between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (14.1 vs 14.8%; HR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.81 to 1.12). Secondary: In patients with diabetes, the rate of all-cause mortality was not different between the two treatments (7.0 vs 8.7%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.01). In patients with diabetes, the rate of MI was not different between the two treatments (8.4 vs 9.1%; HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.13).
For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.				In patients with diabetes, the rate of definite stent thrombosis was not different between the two treatments (1.6 vs 2.4%; HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.36 to 1.17). In patients with diabetes, the rates of non-CABG-related major (5.5 vs 4.9%; HR, 1.13; 95% CI, 0.86 to 1.49) and CABG-related major bleeding (9.3 vs 10.4%; HR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.09) were not different between the two treatments.
Held et al ⁶⁶ Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg	RETRO substudy of PLATO ⁵⁸ Adult patients	N=1,261 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of vascular death, MI, or stroke after	Primary: There was no difference between ticagrelor and clopidogrel with regards to the primary composite endpoint (10.6 vs 13.1%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.60 to 1.16; <i>P</i> =0.2862).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
BID	hospitalized with documented ACS		CABG; major CABG-related	There was no difference between ticagrelor and clopidogrel in the rate of
VS	within the previous 24		bleeding	major CABG-related bleeding (81.3 vs 80.1%; HR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.90 to 1.15; <i>P</i> =0.84).
clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg	hours, with or without ST-		Secondary: Individual	Secondary:
QD	segment		components of the	Rates of MI (excluding silent) (6.0 vs 5.7%; HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.68;
	elevation who		primary endpoint	P=0.8193) and stroke (2.1 vs 2.1%; HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 0.53 to 2.62; P=0.6967)
Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance	underwent CABG		after CABG; all- cause mortality after	were not different between the two treatments. The rate of vascular death was significantly less with ticagrelor (4.1 vs 7.9%; HR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.32 to 0.85;
therapy, unless intolerant.			CABG; other	Significantly less with the agree of $(4.1 \text{ Vs } 7.9\%, 11\text{K}, 0.32, 93\% \text{ CI}, 0.32 \text{ to } 0.03, P=0.0092).$
For patients who were			bleeding events after CABG	The rate of all-cause mortality was significantly less with ticagrelor (4.7 vs
aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was			alter CABO	9.7%; HR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.32 to 0.77; <i>P</i> =0.0018).
the preferred loading dose.				
In patients receiving a				The rates of life-threatening or fatal CABG-related bleeding were not different between the two treatments (42.6 vs 43.7%; HR, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.21;
stent, 325 mg was allowed				P=0.77).
for 6 months.				
Wallentin et al ⁶⁷	Genetic (CYP 2C19 and	N=10,285	Primary:	Primary:
Ticagrelor 180 mg loading	ABCB1)	12 months	Composite endpoint of vascular death,	In patients with any loss-of-function allele, ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer composite events compared to clopidogrel (8.3 vs 10.7%;
dose, followed by 90 mg	substudy of	12 1110111110	MI, or stroke; major	HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.60 to 0.99; <i>P</i> =0.0380).
BID	PLATO ⁵⁸		bleeding (loss-of-	
VS	Adult patients		function allele)	In patients with any loss-of-function allele, there was no difference in the rate of major bleeding between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (10.8 vs 10.4%; HR,
V 3	hospitalized with		Secondary:	1.04; 95% CI, 0.82 to 1.30; <i>P</i> =0.77).
clopidogrel 300 mg loading	documented ACS		Composite endpoint	
dose, followed by 75 mg QD	within the previous 24		of vascular death or MI, definite stent	Secondary:
עט	hours, with or		thrombosis, major	In patients with any loss-of-function allele, ticagrelor was association with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of vascular death or
Patients received aspirin 70	without ST-		bleeding (gain-of-	MI (7.4 vs 9.9%; HR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.51 to 0.95; <i>P</i> =0.0184).
to 100 mg/day maintenance	segment		function allele),	
therapy, unless intolerant.	elevation		other bleeding events, net clinical	In patients with any loss-of-function allele, the rate of definite stent thrombosis was not different between the two treatments (1.6 vs 2.2%; HR, 0.71; 95% CI,
For patients who were			benefit	0.36 to 1.37; <i>P</i> =0.30).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.				In patients with any gain-of-function allele, the rate of major bleeding was not different between the two treatments (9.5 vs 10.8%; HR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.71 to 1.05; P =0.13). In patients with any loss-of-function allele, the rates of non-CABG-related major (4.1 vs 3.0%; HR, 1.39; 95% CI, 0.93 to 2.08; P =0.11) and CABG-relate major bleeding (7.0 vs 7.8%; HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.14; P =0.31) were not different between the two treatments. In patients with any loss-of-function allele, the net clinical benefit was not different between the two treatments (14.7 vs 16.6%; HR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.72 to 1.06; P =0.17). In patients with no loss-of-function, clopidogrel was significantly favored (13.4 vs 15.2%; HR, 0.86, 95% CI, 0.76 to 0.97; P =0.0172).
Mahaffey et al ⁶⁸ Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID vs clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant. For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose.	Substudy of PLATO ⁵⁸ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST-segment elevation who received treatment in the United States	N=1,413 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of the vascular death, MI, or stroke; major bleeding Secondary: Individual components of the primary composite endpoint, all-cause mortality, other bleeding events	Primary: Within the United States, there was no difference in the rate of the primary composite endpoint between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (11.9 vs 9.5%; HR, 1.27; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.75; P =0.1459). For the rest of world, ticagrelor was significantly favored (9.0 vs 11.0%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.74 to 0.90; P <0.001). Within the United States, there was no difference in the rates of major bleeding between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (11.3 vs 11.0%; HR, 1.05; 95% CI, 0.76 to 1.45; P =0.7572). Secondary: Within the United States, the rates of vascular death (3.4 vs 2.7%; HR, 1.26; 95% CI, 0.69 to 2.31; P =0.4468), MI (9.1 vs 6.7%; HR, 1.38; 95% CI, 0.95 to 2.01; P =0.0956) and stroke (1.0 vs 0.6%; HR, 1.75; 95% CI, 0.51 to 0.597; P =0.3730) were not different between the two treatments. For the rest of world, ticagrelor was significantly favored for reducing vascular death (3.8 vs 4.9%; HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.89; P =0.0005) and MI (5.1 vs 6.4%; HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.70 to 0.90; P =0.0004).
aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was				





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.				P=0.5812). For the rest of world, ticagrelor was significantly favored (4.3 vs 5.6%; HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.88; P =0.0001). Within the United States, the rates of non-CAGB-related major (4.3 vs 3.7%; HR, 1.20; 95% CI, 0.70 to 2.04; P =0.5115) and major or minor bleeding (14.8 vs 13.6%; HR, 1.11; 95% CI, 0.84 to 1.84; P =0.4599) were not different between the two treatments. For the rest of the world, clopidogrel was significantly favored (3.9 vs 3.3%; HR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.39; P =0.0330 and 14.5 vs 13.2%; HR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.02 to 1.20; P =0.0114). For the entire population, results for the overall cohort yields an HR of 1.45 (95% CI, 1.01 to 2.09) favoring clopidogrel for maintenance aspirin doses ≥300 mg/day and HR of 0.77 (95% CI, 0.69 to 0.86) favoring ticagrelor for a maintenance aspirin dose ≤100 mg/day. The interaction between aspirin dose category and treatment is significant (P =0.00006). Within the United States, for patients receiving daily aspirin doses ≥300 mg, the event rate was 40 vs 27 with ticagrelor and clopidogrel (HR, 1.62; 95% CI, 0.99 to 2.94). The event rate was 19 vs 24 in patients receiving ≤100 mg/day of aspirin (HR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.40 to 1.33).
Storey et al ⁶⁹ Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID vs clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant. For patients who were	Substudy of PLATO ⁵⁸ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST-segment elevation	N=199 12 months	Primary: FEV ₁ after the completion of study treatment (six, nine, or 12 months depending on phase of entry into the PLATO trial) Secondary: FEV ₁ after one month of treatment and one month after the discontinuation of treatment, other measures of pulmonary function,	Primary: FEV_1 values at the different evaluated time points were similar between treatments before and 20 minutes after inhalation of a β agonist (P values not reported). Secondary: There was no apparent change in FEV_1 before and 20 minutes after inhalation of a β agonist over time with either treatment and after the discontinuation of the study medication (P value not reported). Similar numbers of ticagrelor- and clopidogrel-treated patients showed >10% improvement in FEV_1 over time (seven and 12), with similar numbers of these patients showing improvement at the first visit after inhaled β agonist. The results of other pulmonary function parameters were also similar between the two treatments, with no apparent change over time and after discontinuation of study medication.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months. James et al ⁷⁰	Substudy of	N=18,624	safety Primary:	Dyspnea or heart failure was noted in six and seven patients receiving ticagrelor and clopidogrel; pulmonary function parameters for these patients were consistent with findings in the rest of the treatment cohorts. Primary:
Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID vs clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant. For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.	Substudy of PLATO ⁵⁸ Adult patients with and without a history of prior stroke or TIA and who were hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST-segment elevation	12 months	Composite endpoint of the vascular death, MI or stroke and major bleeding Secondary: Components of primary composite endpoint and all-cause mortality	A total of 1,152 patients (6.2%) had a history of stroke or TIA. Overall, patients with prior history of stroke had higher rates of the primary composite endpoint compared to those without prior stroke or TIA; however, safety and efficacy in these patients were similar in the overall study population. The RRR of the primary composite endpoint with ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel was similar in patients with (HR, 0.87) and without (HR, 0.84) prior stroke or TIA (P =0.84). The risk of major bleeding with ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with prior history of stroke or TIA was similar in patients without prior history (P =0.77). Secondary: When comparing patients with prior history of stroke or TIA to those without prior history, the RRR of cardiovascular death (P =0.42), MI (P =0.19) and overall stroke (P =0.89) was similar. The HR of all-cause mortality with ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel was 0.62 in patients with prior stroke or TIA and 0.81 in those without a prior history (P =0.19).
Kohli et al ⁷¹ Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID vs	Substudy of PLATO ⁵⁸ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the	N=18,624 12 months	Primary: Total (i.e., first and recurrent) occurrences of any of primary outcome events (e.g., vascular death, MI	Primary: Of the 1,888 patients who experienced a primary end point event during follow-up for six to 12 months, 1570 experienced a single event, but 318 patients experienced multiple occurrences of the composite end point of vascular death/MI/stroke. Patients who experienced multiple events were more likely to be older or have diabetes mellitus, a previous history of MI or CABG, impaired renal function and hypertension and were less likely to be





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant. For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.	previous 24 hours, with or without ST- segment elevation who experienced nonfatal events		and stroke), other ischemic events, (urgent revascularization, (severe) recurrent ischemia, transient ischemic attacks, and arterial thrombotic events Secondary: Recurrent bleeding events	Patients with STEMI at study entry were more likely to experience a single vascular death/Ml/stroke event during the trial compared to patients with NSTEMI, who were more likely to experience multiple events (<i>P</i> <0.001). The risk of the second occurrence of the composite end point or all-cause death was significantly reduced by ticagrelor (HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.70 to 0.90; <i>P</i> <0.001). Patients treated with ticagrelor had fewer total vascular death/Ml/stroke events as compared to clopidogrel (1057 vs 1225; RR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.79 to 0.93; <i>P</i> =0.003). Beyond the first event, there were numerically fewer additional events with ticagrelor; however, the difference was not statistically significant (189 vs 205; <i>P</i> =0.40). Patients treated with ticagrelor experienced a lower risk of any first atherothrombotic event (vascular death/Ml/Stroke/recurrent ischemia/severe recurrent ischemia/TIA/arterial thrombotic events) (RR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.82 to 0.95; <i>P</i> <0.001). Recurrent events were significantly reduced with ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel (740 vs 834) demonstrating a significant reduction in risk of second event or death (RR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.75 to 0.91; <i>P</i> <0.001). With regard to the other composite ischemic end point of vascular death/Ml/stroke/urgent revascularization, significantly fewer events were reported with ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel (1325 vs 1515; <i>P</i> <0.001), demonstrating a RR of 0.87 (95% CI, 0.81 to 0.94) and a NNT of 47. Secondary: In an on-treatment cohort, there were 961 first occurrences of PLATO major bleeding with ticagrelor compared to 929 with clopidogrel (HR, 1.04; <i>P</i> =0.43). The recurrent bleeding events were infrequent compared to the first occurrences in both ticagrelor and clopidogrel groups (70 vs 68; <i>P</i> =0.89). This resulted in a similar number of total PLATO major bleeding events between





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				patients treated with ticagrelor or clopidogrel (1031 vs 997; P =0.53). Ticagrelor was associated with a higher number of PLATO major or minor events in the on-treatment population (RR, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.17; P =0.02) and the ITT population (RR, 1.08; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.16; P =0.03). Primarily, this was due to the first occurrences of the composite bleeding end point, as no difference in additional bleeding events were reported in either the ITT cohort (228 vs 226; P =0.96) or the on-treatment cohort (168 vs 162; P =0.78).
				There were significantly more TIMI major non-CABG bleeding events in the on-treatment cohort with ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel (234 vs 188; P =0.03). Although first occurrences of bleeding increased with ticagrelor, recurrent bleeding events were uncommon and similar by treatment for both the safety cohort (13 vs 11; P =0.69) as well as the ITT cohort (18 vs 13; P =0.38).
Procedures and/or Surgery		T	1	1
Collet et al ⁷²	OL, RCT	N=2,440	Primary:	Primary:
Clopidogrel with platelet- function evaluation and drug adjustment (monitoring)	Patients scheduled to undergo PCI	1 year	Composite of death from any cause, MI, stroke or transient ischemic attack, urgent coronary revascularization,	After one year, there was no statistically significant difference in the composite of death from any cause, MI, stroke or transient ischemic attack, urgent coronary revascularization, and stent thrombosis between patients in the monitoring group and the conventional treatment group (34.6 vs 31.1%; HR, 1.13; 95% CI, 0.98 to 1.29; <i>P</i> =0.10).
clopidogrel conventional treatment without platelet-function evaluation			and stent thrombosis Secondary: Composite of stent thrombosis	Secondary: The incidence of the composite of stent thrombosis (revascularized or not) and urgent revascularization was not significantly different between patients in the monitoring group compared to the conventional treatment group (4.6 vs 4.9%; HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.52; <i>P</i> =0.77).
(conventional treatment) Prior to stent implantation, if high platelet reactivity during treatment with clopidogrel occurred, a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor was administered and an			(revascularized or not) and urgent revascularization; composite of death, recurrent ACS, or stroke; composite of death or	The composite of death, recurrent ACS, or stroke occurred in a similar proportion of patients managed by platelet monitoring and those who received conventional treatment (8.2 vs 7.0; HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 0.88 to 1.56; <i>P</i> =0.28). The composite of death or resuscitation after cardiac arrest occurred in a similar proportion of patients managed by platelet monitoring and those who received conventional treatment (2.7 vs 1.7%; HR, 1.59; 95% CI, 0.92 to 2.74;





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
additional loading dose of clopidogrel (≥600 mg) or prasugrel (60 mg) was administered before the procedure, followed by a maintenance dose of 150 mg of clopidogrel or 10 mg of prasugrel following the procedure. At 14 to 30 days after stent implantation, patients with high platelet reactivity with clopidogrel switched to prasugrel (10 mg) or increased the dose of clopidogrel by 75 mg. Patients with low platelet reactivity (≥90% inhibition), switched to clopidogrel 75 mg if they were receiving prasugrel 10 mg or clopidogrel 150 mg.			resuscitation after cardiac arrest; the composite of death or MI; each individual component of the primary end point; major bleeding events	P=0.10). There was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of death or MI between patients randomized to the monitoring group and those in the conventional treatment group (31.7 vs 28.8%; HR, 1.11; 95% CI, 0.96 to 1.29; P=0.15). There was no statistically significant difference between the platelet monitoring group and the conventional treatment group with regard to death (P=0.24), MI (P=0.32), stent thrombosis (P=0.51), stroke or TIA (P=0.78) or urgent revascularization (P=0.76). The incidence of major bleeding events (2.3 vs 3.3; P=0.15), minor bleeding events (1.0 vs 1.7; P=0.12) and major or minor bleeding events (3.1 vs 4.5%; P=0.08) were not significantly different between the platelet monitoring group and the conventional treatment group, respectively.
Banerjee et al ⁷³ Clopidogrel for ≥1 year following PCI vs clopidogrel for <1 year following PCI Patients were free of cardiovascular events for 6 months after PCI, and had	RETRO Patients who underwent PCI	N=530 2.4±0.8 years (mean follow-up)	Primary: All cause mortality Secondary: Incidence of major adverse cardiovascular events (composite of all cause death, nonfatal MI and repeat coronary revascularization by PCI or CABG)	Primary: Twelve (3.5%) patients who received clopidogrel for ≥1 year died compared to 28 (15%) patients who received clopidogrel for <1 year (<i>P</i> <0.001). On a multivariate analysis, the use of clopidogrel for ≥1 year was associated with lower mortality (HR, 0.28; 95% CI, 0.14 to 0.59; <i>P</i> <0.001), independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors, clinical presentation and drug eluting stent use. Survival in the <1 and ≥1 year clopidogrel groups was 97 and 99%, respectively, at two years after PCI, and 80 and 93%, respectively, at three years after PCI.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration		
follow-up available for >12 months.				Secondary: There were no significant differences in the incidence of nonfatal MI (P =0.50), repeat coronary revascularization (P =0.16) or major adverse cardiovascular events between the two groups (P =0.10). Patients who experienced major adverse cardiovascular events were significantly older and had preexisting CAD, and those who died were more likely to have chronic renal disease and heart failure.
CURRENT-OASIS ⁷⁴ Clopidogrel 600 mg once, followed by 150 mg/day for 6 days, followed by clopidogrel 75 mg/day through day 30 (double dose) vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day for 6 days, followed by 75 mg/day for 6 days, followed by 75 mg/day through day 30 (standard dose) and aspirin ≥300 mg/day once, followed by 75 to 100 mg/day through day 30 (low-dose) vs aspirin ≥300 mg/day once, followed by 300 to 325 mg/day through day 30	2x2 factorial design, RCT Patients ≥18 years of age who presented with a NSTE ACS or a STEMI	N=25,086 (n=17,263 underwent PCI) 30 days	Primary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or stroke Secondary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, MI, stroke or recurrent ischemia; the individual components of the primary endpoint; death from any cause; bleeding	Primary: The primary outcome occurred in 4.2% of patients in the double-dose group compared to 4.4% with the standard dose group (HR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.06; <i>P</i> =0.30). Overall, 4.2% of the patients in the high-dose aspirin group had a primary outcome event compared to 4.4% of patients in the low-dose aspirin group (HR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.86 to 1.09; <i>P</i> =0.61). A nominally significant interaction between the clopidogrel dose comparison and the aspirin dose comparison for the primary outcome was noted (<i>P</i> =0.04). Among patients assigned to high-dose aspirin, the primary outcome occurred in 3.8 and 4.6% in the double and standard clopidogrel dose groups (HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.98; <i>P</i> =0.03). Among patients assigned to low-dose aspirin, there was no significant difference between the double and standard clopidogrel groups (4.5 vs 4.2%; HR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.90 to 1.26; <i>P</i> =0.46). Secondary: Consistent results were observed for each component of the primary outcome, as well as for the expanded composite endpoint for the clopidogrel and aspirin dose comparison. A nominally significant reduction in recurrent ischemia alone was associated with high-dose aspirin as compared to low-dose aspirin (0.3 vs 0.5%; HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.94; <i>P</i> =0.02). The rate of death from any cause did not differ significantly between the double and standard dose groups (2.3 vs 2.4%; HR with the double dose, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.82 to 1.13; <i>P</i> =0.61). Death from any cause occurred in 2.2 and 2.5% of patients in the high- and low-dose groups (HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.03; <i>P</i> =0.10).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
(high-dose) All patients were to				standard dose groups (HR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.05 to 1.46; <i>P</i> =0.01). The aspirin groups did not differ significantly with respect to major bleeding (<i>P</i> value not reported). There was a nominally significant increase in the increase of minor
undergo early angiography and PCI, if appropriate, no later than 72 hours after				bleeding among patients who received high-dose aspirin (HR, 1.13; 95% CI, 1.00 to 1.27; <i>P</i> =0.04). There was a small increase in the incidence of major gastrointestinal bleeding among patients who received high-dose aspirin, as
randomization.	55 MO 50	N. 4.000	B	compared to those who received low-dose aspirin (0.4 vs 0.2%; <i>P</i> =0.04).
Sabatine et al ⁷⁵	DB, MC, PC,	N=1,863	Primary:	Primary:
PCI-CLARITY	RCT	30 days	Composite of cardiovascular	Pretreatment with clopidogrel significantly reduced the primary end point following PCI compared to pretreatment without clopidogrel (3.6 vs 6.2%;
Clopidogrel 300 mg once,	Patients with	30 days	death, recurrent MI	adjusted OR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.35 to 0.85; <i>P</i> =0.008). Pretreatment with
followed by 75 mg/day plus	STEMI who		or stroke from PCI	clopidogrel also reduced the incidence of MI or stroke prior to PCI (4.0 vs
aspirin 150 to 325 mg once,	received		to 30 days after	6.2%; OR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.40 to 0.95; <i>P</i> =0.03).
followed by 75 to 162	fibrinolytics and		randomization	
mg/day	underwent PCI			Secondary:
	(after mandated		Secondary:	Overall, pretreatment with clopidogrel significantly reduced the secondary
vs	angiography in CLARITY-TIMI		MI or stroke before PCI, the primary end	outcome (7.5 vs 12.0%; adjusted OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.81; <i>P</i> =0.001).
aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162	28)		point from randomization to 30	There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin (2.0 vs 1.9%,
mg/day			days	respectively; <i>P</i> >0.99).
Mehta et al ⁷⁶	DB, RCT	N=2,658	Primary:	Primary:
PCI-CURE	, -	,	Composite of	Four and a half percent of patients in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had
	Patients with	8 months	cardiovascular	the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin group ($P=0.03$).
Prior to PCI, patients	NSTE ACS from	(average	death, MI or urgent	
received aspirin plus	the CURE study	duration of	target-vessel	Long-term administration of clopidogrel after PCI was associated with a lower
clopidogrel or placebo	undergoing PCI	follow-up	revascularization	rate of cardiovascular death, MI or any revascularization (P=0.03), and of
46 50		after PCI)	within 30 days of	cardiovascular death or MI (<i>P</i> =0.047).
After PCI, stented patients			PCI; cardiovascular	
received OL aspirin plus a			death or MI from	Overall, clopidogrel was associated with a 31% reduction in cardiovascular
thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4			time of PCI to scheduled end of	death or MI, including events before and after PCI (<i>P</i> =0.002).
weeks; after which			trial	At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the
administration of the			ulai	groups (P=0.64).
randomly assigned study			Secondary:	9:00po (r =0.0 i).
medication (clopidogrel or			Not reported	Secondary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months after initial randomization).				Not reported
Steinhubl et al'' CREDO Clopidogrel 300 mg once (3 to 24 hours before PCI), followed by clopidogrel 75 mg/day vs placebo (3 to 24 hours before PCI), followed by clopidogrel 75 mg/day through day 28, followed by placebo All patients received aspirin	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients undergoing PCI	N=2,116 12 months	Primary: One year incidence of the composite of death, MI or stroke; 28 day incidence of the composite of death, MI or urgent target vessel revascularization Secondary: Components of the composite end points, administration of clopidogrel <6 hours or >6 hours before	Primary: Long-term (one year) clopidogrel plus aspirin was associated with a 26.9% RR in the combined risk of death, MI or stroke compared to aspirin (95% CI, 3.9 to 44.4; <i>P</i> =0.02; absolute reduction, 3.0%). Clopidogrel pretreatment did not significantly reduce the combined risk of death, MI or urgent revascularization at 28 days (-18.5%; 95% CI, -14.2 to 41.8; <i>P</i> =0.23). Secondary: A similar level of benefit was found in the individual components of the primary end point at one year, although individual outcomes were not significant (<i>P</i> values not reported). Treatment randomization did not appear to influence the rate of target vessel revascularization or any other revascularization during the follow-up period. Patients who had received clopidogrel at least six hours before PCI
325 mg prior to PCI, followed by 325 mg/day through day 28, followed by 81 to 325 mg/day.			PCI, need for target vessel revascularization or any revascularization at one year	experienced a reduction in the relative combined risk of death, MI or stroke by 38.6% (95% CI, -1.6 to 62.9; <i>P</i> =0.051) compared to no reduction when treatment was given less than six hours before PCI (<i>P</i> =0.051). Risk of major bleeding at one year increased, but not significantly (8.8 vs 6.7%; <i>P</i> =0.07).
Lev et al ⁷⁸ Clopidogrel 300 to 600 mg	PRO Patients with	N=292 6 months	Primary: Occurrence of TIMI myocardial	Primary: TIMI myocardial perfusion grade 3 occurred in a higher proportion of patients in the clopidogrel pretreatment group (85 vs 71%; <i>P</i> =0.01).
before PCI, followed by 75 mg/day for 3 to 12 months	chest pain and STEMI undergoing		perfusion grade 3 after PCI	Secondary: The incidence of re-infarction at 30 days (0 vs 3.2%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.04) and
vs clopidogrel 300 to 600 mg	emergency PCI		Secondary: Incidence of re- infarction, stent	six months (0.6 and 3.9%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.09) was lower in the pretreatment group.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
immediately after PCI, followed by 75 mg/day for 3 to 12 months All patients were treated with aspirin 325 mg before PCI, followed by aspirin (dose not specified) for 3 to 12 months.			thrombosis, target vessel revascularization, death	The incidence of stent thrombosis at 30 days (0 vs 2.4%, respectively; P =0.08) and six months (0 and 3.9%, respectively; P =0.02) was lower in the pretreatment group than in the no pretreatment group. The incidence of death and target vessel revascularization were not significantly different between the two groups at 30 days (P =0.6 and P =1.0) or six months (P =0.7 and P =0.9).
Han et al ⁷⁹ Clopidogrel 600 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day vs clopidogrel 600 mg once, followed by 150 mg/day All patients received aspirin 300 mg/day. All patients received dual antiplatelet therapy on admission followed by maintenance dose administration according to study protocol and PCI was performed within 48 hours of admission.	Patients ≥18 years of age, diagnosed with ACS, planned pretreatment with 600 mg clopidogrel loading dose, presence of ≥1 severe coronary stenosis requiring PCI located in native arteries and suitable for drug eluting stent implantation	N=813 30 days	Primary: Major adverse cardiac event (composite of cardiac death, nonfatal MI and urgent target vessel revascularization) Secondary: Stent thrombosis, major and minor bleeding events	Primary: A total of 13 patients reached the primary end points, including four (1.0%) patients in the 150 mg group and nine (2.2%) patients in the 75 mg group (<i>P</i> >0.05). There was no significant difference in cumulative major adverse cardiac event-free survival between the two groups. The incidences of MI (two vs five; <i>P</i> >0.05), urgent target vessel revascularization (three vs eight; <i>P</i> >0.05) and cardiac death (one vs one; <i>P</i> >0.05) were similar between the two groups. Secondary: The incidence of stent thrombosis (zero vs six; <i>P</i> <0.05) was significantly lower in the 150 mg group compared to the 75 mg group. There was no significant differences between both groups regarding the risk of major (one vs zero; <i>P</i> >0.05) or minor (two vs one; <i>P</i> >0.05) bleedings.
Valgimigli et al ⁸⁰ PRODIGY Clopidogrel 300 or 600 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day plus aspirin 160 to	MC, OL, RCT Patients ≥18 years of age with chronic stable CAD, NSTEMI or	N=2,013 24 months	Primary: Composite of death of any cause, nonfatal MI and cerebrovascular accident	Primary: The cumulative risk of the primary endpoint at 24 months was 10.1% in the 24-month group and 10.0% in the six-month group (HR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.29; <i>P</i> =0.91). Secondary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
325 mg orally or 500 mg intravenously once, followed by 80 to 160 mg/day for six months vs clopidogrel 300 or 600 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day plus aspirin 160 to 325 mg orally or 500 mg intravenously once, followed by 80 to 160 mg/day for 24 months Patients in the six-month group who received bare metal stent were allowed to discontinue treatment after 30 days.	STEMI ACS who were receiving a stent placement		Secondary: Components of the composite primary endpoint, cardiovascular death, stent thrombosis and bleeding outcomes	When individual components were analyzed separately, there were no differences between the six-month and 24-month groups with regard to risks of death of any cause (6.6% for both; HR, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.72 to 1.40; P =0.98), nonfatal MI (4.2 vs 4.0%; HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.69 to 1.63; P =0.80), cerebrovascular accident (1.4 vs 2.1%; HR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.29 to 1.23; P =0.17), cardiovascular death (3.8 vs 3.7%; HR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.61; P =0.89) and stent thrombosis (4.7 vs 3.9%; HR, 1.21; 95% CI, 0.79 to 1.86; P =0.38). Safety end point was a composite end point of fatal bleeding, overt bleeding plus hemoglobin drop of \geq 3 g/dL, bleeding that requires nonsurgical/medical intervention, bleeding that leads to hospitalization or increased level of care and bleeding that prompts evaluation. Dual-antiplatelet therapy for six months was associated with a lower risk of bleeding compared to the 24-month therapy (3.5 vs 7.4%; HR, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.31 to 0.69; P =0.00018).
Gwon et al ⁸¹ EXCELLENT Clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus aspirin 100 to 200 mg/day for six months then aspirin alone for six months vs clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus aspirin 100 to 200 mg/day for 12 months All patients received aspirin ≥300 mg plus clopidogrel	MC, OL, PRO, RCT Korean patients with coronary vessel occlusion and who were undergoing PCI with drug-eluting stent placement	N=1,443 12 months	Primary: Target vessel failure defined as a composite of cardiac death, MI and target vessel revascularization Secondary: Components of the composite primary endpoint, death of any cause, death or MI, stent thrombosis, major bleeding according	Primary: Incidence of target vessel failure was similar between the six- and 12-month dual antiplatelet treatment groups (4.8 vs 4.3%; HR, 1.14; 95% CI, 0.70 to 1.86). In the pre-specified subgroup analysis, the incidence of target vessel failure was higher with the six-month group compared to the 12-month group for patients with diabetes (HR, 3.16; 95% CI, 1.42 to 7.03). Secondary: No differences were seen between the six- and 12-month groups in the rate of cardiac death (0.3 vs 0.4%; HR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.11 to 3.99), MI (1.8 vs 1.0%; HR, 1.86; 95% CI, 0.74 to 4.67) and target vessel revascularization (3.1 vs 3.2%; HR, 2.00; 95% CI, 0.75 to 5.34). Risk of death of any cause was 0.6 and 1.0% in the six-month and 12-month





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
300 to 600 mg once before PCI.			to TIMI criteria, major adverse cardiocerebral events and composite safety endpoint	groups (HR, 0.57; 95% CI, 0.17 to 1.95). Death or MI occurred in 2.4 and 1.9% of patients in the six- and 12-month groups (HR, 1.21; 95% CI, 0.60 to 2.47). Incidence of stent thrombosis was higher with the six-month group but was not statistically different from the 12-month group (0.9 vs 0.1%; HR, 6.02; 95% CI, 0.72 to 49.96). Risk of TIMI major bleeding was similar between the six- and 12-month groups (0.3 vs 0.6%; HR, 0.5; 95% CI, 0.09 to 2.73). Risk of major cardiocerebral event, which is a composite of death, MI, stroke, stent thrombosis and any revascularization, was similar between the six- and 12-month groups (8.0 vs 8.5%; HR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.65 to 1.35). Safety endpoint, defined as a composite of death, MI, stroke, stent thrombosis and TIMI major bleeding, was also similar between the six- and 12-month groups (3.3 vs 3.0%; HR, 1.15; 95% CI, 0.64 to 2.06).
Bertrand et al ⁸² CLASSICS Clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day plus aspirin 325 mg/day vs clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus aspirin 325 mg/day vs ticlopidine 250 mg BID plus aspirin 325 mg/day	Patients receiving a stent placement	N=1,020 28 days	Primary: Major peripheral or bleeding complications, neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, early discontinuation due to non-cardiac adverse event Secondary: Incidence of cardiac events	Primary: Primary end point occurred in 4.6% of patients in the combined clopidogrel groups and in 9.1% of patients in the ticlopidine group (RR, 0.50; 95% CI, 0.31 to 0.81; <i>P</i> =0.005). Secondary: Overall rates of major adverse cardiac events (cardiac death, MI, target lesion revascularization) were low and comparable between treatment groups (1.2% with clopidogrel loading dose, 1.5% with clopidogrel without the loading dose and 0.9% with ticlopidine; <i>P</i> values are nonsignificant for all comparisons).
Isshiki et al ⁸³ CLEAN	DB, MC, RCT Japanese	N=931 12 weeks	Primary: Composite of clinically significant	Primary: The composite primary endpoint occurred in 10.1% of patients in the clopidogrel group and 34.2% in the ticlopidine group (HR, 0.259; 95% CI,





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day plus aspirin 81 to 100 mg/day vs ticlopidine 100 mg BID plus aspirin 81 to 100 mg/day	patients ≥20 years of with stable angina or history of MI and who were undergoing PCI	Duration	bleeding, blood disorders, elevated liver function tests and study drug discontinuation due to an adverse reaction Secondary: Composite of all-	0.187 to 0.359; <i>P</i> <0.0001). When individual components were analyzed separately, there were no differences between clopidogrel and ticlopidine with regard to the risks of clinically significant bleeding (0.9 vs 0.6%; HR, 1.328; 95% CI, 0.297 to 5.936) and blood disorder (1.7 vs 3.4%; HR, 0.495; 95% CI, 0.212 to 1.158). Clopidogrel was associated with lower risk of liver function test elevation (6.0 vs 30.3%; HR, 0.172; 95% CI, 0.115 to 0.258) and treatment discontinuation due to an adverse reaction (3.9 vs 13.1%; HR, 0.281; 95% CI, 0.166 to 0.476) compared to ticlopidine.
			cause mortality, acute MI, revascularization, stent thrombosis or ischemic stroke	Secondary: There was no difference in the cumulative risk of the composite cardiovascular endpoint between the clopidogrel and ticlopidine groups (9.2 vs 10.3%; HR, 0.886; 95% CI, 0.587 to 1.337). Acute MI was reported in 7.7 and 9.2% of patients in the clopidogrel and ticlopidine groups, revascularization in 1.5 and 0.4% of patients and ischemic stroke in 0.2 and 0.6% of patients in the respective treatment group (<i>P</i> values not reported). No death or stent thrombosis was reported during the study.
Leon et al ⁸⁴ Aspirin 325 mg/day	MC, RCT Patients receiving a stent	N=1,653 30 days	Primary: Composite of death, revascularization of target lesion,	Primary: The primary end point was observed in 38 patients; 3.6% assigned to aspirin, 2.7% assigned to aspirin plus warfarin and 0.5% assigned to aspirin plus ticlopidine (<i>P</i> =0.001 for the comparison of all three groups).
vs aspirin 325 mg/day plus warfarin vs			angiographically evident thrombosis or MI within 30 days Secondary: Achievement of	Secondary: Compared to aspirin and aspirin plus warfarin, treatment with aspirin plus ticlopidine resulted in a lower rate of stent thrombosis (<i>P</i> =0.001) following coronary stenting.
aspirin 325 mg/day plus ticlopidine 250 mg BID			<50% residual stenosis without death or emergency bypass surgery, procedure-related MI, hematologic dyscrasias,	Hemorrhagic complications occurred in 10 patients; 1.8% with aspirin, 6.2% with aspirin plus warfarin and 5.5% with aspirin plus ticlopidine (<i>P</i> <0.001 for the comparison of all three groups); the incidence of vascular surgical complications was 0.4, 2.0 and 2.0%, respectively (<i>P</i> =0.02). There were no significant differences in the incidence of neutropenia or thrombocytopenia among the three treatment groups and the overall incidence





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			hemorrhagic and vascular surgical complications	was 0.3% (P values not reported).
Lee et al ⁸⁵ DECLARE-DIABETES Aspirin 200 mg/day plus clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day beginning ≥24 hours before stent placement and continued for ≥6 months vs aspirin plus clopidogrel (as above) plus cilostazol 200 mg immediately after stent placement and continued for 6 months at 100 mg BID	MC, PRO, RCT Diabetic patients ≥18 years of age undergoing drug eluting stent implantation	N=400 9 months	Primary: In-stent late loss at six months Secondary: In-segment late loss and restenosis rate at six months; stent thrombosis, target vessel revascularization, major adverse cardiac events (death, MI, and target lesion revascularization) at nine months; safety	Primary: At six months, the in-stent late loss was significantly lower in the triple therapy vs dual therapy group (0.25±0.53 vs 0.38±0.54 mm; <i>P</i> =0.025). Secondary: At six months, the in-segment late loss (0.42±0.50 vs 0.53±0.49 mm; <i>P</i> =0.031) and restenosis (8.0 vs 15.6%; <i>P</i> =0.033) were significantly lower in the triple therapy group vs dual therapy group. At nine months, there was no difference in the rate of stent thrombosis (0 vs 0.5%; <i>P</i> =0.999). Target vessel revascularization was lower in the triple therapy group vs dual therapy group (3.5 vs 8.0%; <i>P</i> =0.053). At nine months, major adverse cardiac events tended to be lower in the triple therapy group than in the dual therapy group (3.0 vs 7.0%; <i>P</i> =0.066). Drug discontinuation was more common in the triple therapy group vs the dual therapy group (14.5 vs 2.5%; <i>P</i> <0.001) with skin rash and gastrointestinal disturbance the most common reasons for termination of cilostazol.
Wiviott et al ⁸⁶ TRITON-TIMI 38 Prasugrel 60 mg once, followed by 10 mg/day vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	DB, MC, PG, RCT Patients with ACS (unstable angina, NSTEMI or STEMI) with a scheduled PCI; for patients with unstable angina or NSTEMI ischemic symptoms lasting ≥10 minutes and	N=13,608 6 to 15 months (median, 14.5 months)	Primary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or nonfatal stroke Secondary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI and need for urgent target vessel revascularization; composite of death	Primary: The rate of the composite endpoint was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (9.9%) than in the clopidogrel group (12.1%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.73 to 0.90; <i>P</i> <0.001). Each individual endpoint was analyzed separately and of the three, only nonfatal MI was reduced significantly greater in the prasugrel group (7.4%) than in the clopidogrel group (9.7%; HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.85; <i>P</i> <0.001).There were no significant differences reported in the rate of death from cardiovascular causes or in nonfatal stroke. A significant reduction was seen in the prasugrel group by day three with a 4.7% composite rate of death compared to 5.6% in the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.96; <i>P</i> =0.01).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	occurring within 72 hours of randomization, a TIMI score ≥3 and either ST-segment deviation ≥1 mm or elevated cardiac necrosis biomarker levels; STEMI patients were included within 12 hours after symptom onset if PCI was planned or within 14 days after receiving medical treatment for STEMI		from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke or rehospitalization due to a cardiac ischemic event; urgent target vessel revascularization; stent thrombosis; safety	Secondary: The composite endpoint of the rate of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI and need for urgent target vessel revascularization was significantly less in the prasugrel group (10.0%) compared to the clopidogrel group (12.3%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.73 to 0.89; <i>P</i> <0.001). The composite endpoint of the rate of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke or rehospitalization due to a cardiac ischemic event was also significantly less in the prasugrel group (12.3%) than in the clopidogrel group (14.6%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.76 to 0.92; <i>P</i> <0.001). Urgent target vessel revascularization was found to be significantly less in the prasugrel group (2.5%) than in the clopidogrel group (3.7%; HR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.54 to 0.81; <i>P</i> <0.001). Stent thrombosis was found to be significantly less in the prasugrel group (1.1%) than in the clopidogrel group (2.4%; HR, 0.48; 95% CI, 0.36 to 0.64; <i>P</i> <0.001). The relative rate of non-CABG related TIMI major bleeding was increased by 32.0% in the prasugrel group compared to the clopidogrel group (HR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.03 to 1.60; <i>P</i> =0.03). Life-threatening bleeding was significantly greater in the prasugrel group (1.4%) compared to the clopidogrel group (0.9%; HR, 1.52; 95% CI, 1.08 to 2.13; <i>P</i> <0.01). Fatal bleeding was significantly greater in the prasugrel group (0.4%) compared to the clopidogrel group (0.1%; HR, 4.19; 95% CI, 1.58 to 11.11; <i>P</i> =0.002). CABG related TIMI major bleeding was seen in 13.4% of patients in the prasugrel group compared to 3.2% in the clopidogrel group (HR, 4.73; 95% CI, 1.90 to 11.82; <i>P</i> <0.001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Wiviott et al ⁸⁷ Prasugrel 60 mg once, followed by 10 mg/day vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).			Primary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or nonfatal stroke Secondary: Rate of cardiovascular death, MI (fatal or nonfatal) or stent thrombosis; safety; net clinical benefit	Results The rate of death from cardiovascular causes was not significantly different between the two treatment groups with a rate of 2.1% in the prasugrel group and 2.4% in the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.70 to 1.12; <i>P</i> =0.31). Overall mortality was not significantly different between the two treatment groups (HR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.78 to 1.16; <i>P</i> =0.64). Primary: The composite endpoint in patients with diabetes was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (12.2%) than in the clopidogrel group (17.0%; HR, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.85; <i>P</i> <0.001). A 14.0% overall reduction in the primary endpoint was seen in the prasugrel and no diabetes group compared to the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.76 to 0.98; <i>P</i> =0.02). Among the diabetes group the reduction was 30% in the prasugrel group compared to the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.85; <i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary: The rate of cardiovascular death in patients with diabetes was not significantly lower in the prasugrel group (3.4%) than in the clopidogrel group (4.2%; HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.58 to 1.24; <i>P</i> =0.40).
				The rate of MI in patients with diabetes was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (8.2%) than in the clopidogrel group (13.2%; HR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.48 to 0.76; P <0.001). The rate of MI in patients without diabetes was also significantly lower in the prasugrel group (8.7%) than in the clopidogrel group (7.2%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.95; P =0.006). There was an 18.0% reduction in MI among nondiabetic prasugrel patients compared to a 40.0% reduction in MI among diabetic prasugrel patients. The rate of stent thrombosis in patients with diabetes was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (2.0%) than in the clopidogrel group (3.6%; HR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.33 to 0.84; P =0.007). The rate of TIMI major non-CABG bleeding in patients with diabetes was not





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Montalescot et al ⁸⁸ Prasugrel 60 mg once, followed by 10 mg/day vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	Subanalysis of TRITON-TIMI 38 patients with a median age of 58 and 59 in the prasugrel and clopidogrel groups respectively, with STEMI status stratified into either primary PCI (those enrolled within 12 hours of symptom onset) or secondary PCI (those enrolled between 12	and Study	Primary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or nonfatal stroke at 15 months Secondary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or urgent target vessel revascularization at 30 days; stent thrombosis; composite of cardiovascular death or nonfatal MI; all individual components of composite	significantly greater in the prasugrel group (2.5%) compared to the clopidogrel group (2.6%; HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.69; <i>P</i> =0.81). The rate of TIMI major or minor non-CABG bleeding in patients with diabetes was not significantly greater in the prasugrel group (5.3%) compared to the clopidogrel group (4.3%; HR, 1.30; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.82; <i>P</i> =0.13). The rate of net clinical benefit was significantly greater in the prasugrel group (14.6%) than in the clopidogrel group (19.2%; HR, 0.74; 95% CI, 0.62 to 0.89; <i>P</i> =0.001). Primary The composite rate of death in all patients with a STEMI was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (10.0%) than in the clopidogrel group (12.4%; HR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.97; <i>P</i> =0.022). When examined by type of STEMI prasugrel only showed greater clinical efficacy in secondary PCI (9.6%) compared to clopidogrel (14.1%; HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.46 to 0.92; <i>P</i> =0.015). Secondary: The composite endpoint of the rate of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or urgent target vessel revascularization was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (6.7%) than in the clopidogrel group (8.8%; HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.96; <i>P</i> =0.0205). This benefit continued to 15 months, with a rate of 9.6% in the prasugrel group and 12.0% in the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.97; <i>P</i> =0.0250). When examined by type of STEMI, only secondary PCI patients treated with prasugrel (9.0%) had a lower rate of event compared to clopidogrel (13.9%; HR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.89; <i>P</i> =0.009). Stent thrombosis was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (1.6%) than in the clopidogrel group (2.8%; HR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.36 to 0.93; <i>P</i> =0.0232). The composite endpoint of cardiovascular death or nonfatal MI was significantly less in the prasugrel group (8.8%) than in the clopidogrel group
	hours and 14 days after symptom onset)		endpoints; all cause death rate; safety	(11.5%; HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.61 to 0.93; <i>P</i> =0.0071). When the clinical endpoints were examined individually the only event that was significantly less in the prasugrel group was nonfatal MI with a rate of 6.8% compared to 9.0% in the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.95; <i>P</i> =0.016). All cause





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Wiviott et al ⁸⁹	Subanalysis of	N=13,608	Primary:	death was not found to be significantly different between the two groups (HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.54 to 1.07; <i>P</i> =0.113). TIMI major bleeding events unrelated to CABG surgery (<i>P</i> =0.645), and TIMI life-threatening bleeding events (<i>P</i> =0.750) were both not significantly different between the two treatment groups. TIMI major bleeding after CABG surgery was significantly greater in the prasugrel group (18.8%) than in the clopidogrel group (2.7%; HR, 8.19; 95% CI, 1.76 to 38.18; <i>P</i> =0.003). Primary:
Prasugrel 60 mg once, followed by 10 mg/day vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	TRITON-TIMI 38 patients who underwent PCI with stent implantation, with a median age of 60 and 61 for prasugrel and clopidogrel respectively in the bare metal stent group and 60 for both groups in the drug eluting stent cohort who received ≥1 coronary stent	(n=12,844 stent population) 6 to 15 months (median 14.5 months)	Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or nonfatal stroke Secondary: Composite endpoint of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or urgent target vessel revascularization; cardiovascular death; MI; urgent target vessel revascularization; stent thrombosis	The primary endpoint was reduced significantly greater in stent patients in the prasugrel group (9.7%) compared to the clopidogrel group (11.9%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.90; P =0.0001). Drug eluting stent patients in the prasugrel group (9.0%) had a lower rate of the primary endpoint compared to the clopidogrel group (11.1%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.97; P =0.019). This was also seen in bare metal stent patients (10.0 vs 12.0%; HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.93; P =0.003). Secondary: The secondary endpoint was reduced significantly greater in stent patients in the prasugrel group (9.7%) compared to the clopidogrel group (11.9%; HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.89; P =0.0001). Drug eluting stent patients in the prasugrel group (9.0%) had a lower rate of primary endpoint compared to the clopidogrel group (11.0%; HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.92; P =0.004). This was also seen in bare metal stent patients in the prasugrel group (10.0%) compared to the clopidogrel group (12.0%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.95; P =0.009). Cardiovascular death was not significantly different in the entire stent cohort (P =0.17), nor was it significant in the drug eluting stent subgroup (P =0.25), or the bare metal stent subgroup (P =0.16). Rates of MI (fatal or nonfatal) were significantly less in the entire stent cohort





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration		that was treated with prasugrel (7.0%) than those treated with clopidogrel (10.0%; HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.86; <i>P</i> <0.0001). Rates were also significantly better in the individual prasugrel drug eluting stent (<i>P</i> =0.003) and bare metal stent (<i>P</i> =0.006) groups. Rates of urgent target vessel revascularization were significantly better in the
				entire stent cohort that was treated with prasugrel (2.0%) than those treated with clopidogrel (4.0%; HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.55 to 0.84; <i>P</i> <0.0003). Rates were only significantly better in the prasugrel drug eluting stent group (2.0%) compared to the clopidogrel group (4.0%; HR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.38 to 0.76; <i>P</i> <0.0003).
				Rates of stent thrombosis were significantly better in the entire stent cohort that was treated with prasugrel (0.88%) than those treated with clopidogrel (2.03%; HR, 0.42; 95% CI, 0.31 to 0.59; P <0.0001). Rates were significantly better in the prasugrel drug eluting stent group (0.70%) compared to the clopidogrel group (1.92%; HR, 0.35; 95% CI, 0.21 to 0.61; P <0.0001). Rates were significantly better in the prasugrel bare metal stent group (0.96%) compared to the clopidogrel group (1.92%; HR, 0.42; 95% CI, 0.31 to 0.59; P <0.0001).
				TIMI major bleeding not related to CABG was not significantly different with a rate of 2.0% seen in both treatment groups in the overall stent cohort (<i>P</i> =0.06).
Pride et al ⁹⁰	Subanalysis of	N=13,608	Primary:	Primary:
Prasugrel 60 mg once,	TRITON-TIMI	(n=569 PCI population)	Composite of death from cardiovascular	The primary endpoint occurred in 14.2% of patients randomized to prasugrel and 17.1% of patients randomized to clopidogrel, a nonsignificant 18.0% RRR
followed by 10 mg/day	30	population)	causes, nonfatal MI	(HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.53 to 1.25; <i>P</i> =0.27).
Towns and any Towns, and	TRITON-TIMI 38	6 to 15	or nonfatal stroke	
VS	patients who	months		Overall, the unadjusted incidence of the primary composite outcome was
alanida aral 200 ma anas	underwent PCI	(median, 14.5 months)	Secondary:	significantly higher among patients who underwent PCI without stent
clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day	without stent implantation	14.5 months)	Composite of death from cardiovascular	implantation compared to those who received stents (15.6 vs 10.8%; <i>P</i> =0.001).
is.is.isa by ro mg, ady	piaritation		causes, nonfatal MI,	3,000 17.
Patients were also on			nonfatal stroke or	Secondary:
concurrent aspirin (75 to			urgent target vessel	There were significant reductions in the incidence of urgent target vessel
162 mg/day).			revascularization;	revascularization (3.6 vs 8.2%; HR, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.22 to 0.98; <i>P</i> =0.040), any





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration		
			safety	target vessel revascularization (4.0 vs 10.1%; HR, 0.40; 95% CI, 0.20 to 0.82; <i>P</i> =0.009), the composite of any revascularization procedure (6.3 vs 12.9%; HR, 0.48; 95% CI, 0.27 to 0.87; <i>P</i> =0.014), and CABG surgery (12.5 vs 19.4%; HR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.40 to 0.98; <i>P</i> =0.041) with prasugrel compared to clopidogrel. There were trends towards reductions in nonfatal MI (9.1 vs 13.5%; HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.39 to 1.10; <i>P</i> =0.11) and all MI (9.8 vs 13.9%; HR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.41 to 1.14; <i>P</i> =0.14) favoring prasugrel. The incidence of all cause mortality, cardiovascular death and nonfatal and all stroke did not differ significantly between the groups. Non-CABG-related major bleeding was more frequent among patients randomized to prasugrel (2.1 vs 0.0%; <i>P</i> =0.033), and there was a trend toward an increased incidence of non-CABG-related life-threatening bleeding (1.7 vs 0.0%; <i>P</i> =0.057). The incidence of intracranial hemorrhage and the composite of non-CABG TIMI major and minor bleeding did not differ significantly between the groups (4.3 vs 2.2%; HR, 1.85; 95% CI, 0.63 to 5.42), although there was no significant interactions between bleeding rates and treatment with prasugrel compared to clopidogrel as a function of PCI stent (stent vs no stent).
Antman et al ⁹¹ Prasugrel 60 mg once, followed by 10 mg/day vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	Subanalysis of TRITON-TIMI 38 ⁸² Patients with ACS (unstable angina, NSTEMI or STEMI) with a scheduled PCI; for patients with unstable angina or NSTEMI ischemic symptoms lasting ≥10 minutes and occurring within	N=13,608 6 to 15 months (median, 14.5 months)	Primary: Rate of MI, stent thrombosis and urgent target vessel revascularization from randomization to day three and from day three to the end of the trial Secondary: Safety, percent net clinical benefit	Primary: The rate of MI was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (4.27%) than in the clopidogrel group by day three (5.24%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.70 to 0.95; \$P=0.008\$) and from day three until the end of the study (3.40 vs 4.79%; HR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.83; \$P<0.0001\$). The rate of stent thrombosis was significantly lower in the prasugrel group than in the clopidogrel group by day three (0.33 vs 0.67%; HR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.29 to 0.82; \$P=0.006\$) and from day three until the end of the study (0.08 vs 1.74%; HR, 0.45; 95% CI, 0.32 to 0.64; \$P<0.0001\$). The rate of urgent target vessel revascularization was significantly lower in the prasugrel group than in the clopidogrel group by day three (0.54 vs 0.83%; HR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.99; \$P=0.047\$) and from day three until the end of the study (1.94 vs 2.97%; HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.52 to 0.82; \$P=0.0003\$).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration		
	72 hours of randomization, a TIMI score ≥3 and either ST-segment deviation ≥1 mm or elevated cardiac necrosis biomarker levels; STEMI patients were included within 12 hours after symptom onset if PCI was planned or within 14 days after receiving medical treatment for STEMI			Secondary: Through the first three days the rate of TIMI major non-CABG bleeding was numerically greater in the prasugrel group (0.74%) compared to the clopidogrel group (0.61%), however the difference between the two groups was not significant, (<i>P</i> =0.35). From day three to the end of the trial prasugrel was associated with a significantly greater risk of TIMI major non-CABG bleeding (1.71%) compared to clopidogrel (1.23%; HR, 1.39; 95% CI, 1.02 to 1.89; <i>P</i> =0.036). The rate of net clinical benefit was significantly greater in the prasugrel group than in the clopidogrel group by day three (6.19 vs 5.29%; HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.74 to 0.98; <i>P</i> =0.025) and from day three until the end of the study (8.33 vs 7.35%; HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.98; <i>P</i> =0.028).
Murphy et al ⁹² Prasugrel 60 mg once, followed by 10 mg/day	Subanalysis of TRITON-TIMI 38 ⁸² Patients with	N=13,608 6 to 15 months (median,	Primary: Total number of reoccurrences of the composite endpoint (rate of death from	Primary: Prasugrel demonstrated a significant overall reduction in subsequent events with 195 fewer total primary events compared to clopidogrel (HR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.87; <i>P</i> <0.001).
vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day	ACS (unstable angina, NSTEMI or STEMI) with a scheduled PCI; for patients with	14.5 months)	cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or nonfatal stroke), risk of second event following initial	From the time of the first event to the recurrent event or last follow up a second event occurred in 10.8% of the prasugrel group compared to 15.4% in the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.46 to 0.92; <i>P</i> =0.016). Cardiovascular death following the nonfatal event was also reduced in the
Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	unstable angina or NSTEMI ischemic symptoms lasting ≥10 minutes and occurring within 72 hours of		event, cardiovascular deaths following nonfatal event Secondary: Safety	prasugrel group (3.7%) compared to the clopidogrel group (7.1%; HR, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.25 to 0.82; <i>P</i> =0.008). Secondary: Recurrent bleeding events occurred infrequently, with TIMI major non-CABG bleeds in four patients treated with prasugrel and two with clopidogrel. There were also five repeat TIMI minor non-CABG bleeds in each treatment group.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	randomization, a TIMI score ≥3 and either ST- segment deviation ≥1 mm or elevated cardiac necrosis biomarker levels; STEMI patients were included within 12 hours after symptom onset if PCI was planned or within 14 days after receiving medical treatment for STEMI			Among patients with at least one TIMI non-CABG major or minor bleeding event, 17 were reported in the prasugrel group and 13 were reported in the clopidogrel group.
O'Donoghue et al ⁹³ Prasugrel 60 mg once, followed by 10 mg/day vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	Subanalysis of TRITON-TIMI 38 ⁸² TRITON-TIMI 38 patients stratified by GB Ilb/IIIa inhibitor use	N=13,608 (n=7,414 GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor population) 30 days	Primary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or nonfatal stroke Secondary: Periprocedural MI, urgent target vessel revascularization, stent thrombosis, safety	Primary: There was a consistent benefit of prasugrel over clopidogrel in reducing cardiovascular death, MI or stroke at 30 days in patients who did (HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.90) and did not (HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.63 to 0.97; <i>P</i> =0.83) receive a GP Ilb/IIIa inhibitor. Secondary: Prasugrel significantly reduced the risk of recurrent MI in subjects by approximately 25% regardless of the use of a GP Ilb/IIIa inhibitor, including a comparable benefit toward a reduction in periprocedural MI across both subgroups. Patients treated with prasugrel also exhibited a significant reduction in urgent target vessel revascularization, irrespective of whether or not they were treated with a GP Ilb/IIIa inhibitor (<i>P</i> =0.63).
				At the end of 30 days, prasugrel significantly reduced the risk of stent thrombosis by 54% in patients treated with a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor (HR, 0.46;





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				95% CI, 0.29 to 0.71) and by 66% in patients not treated with a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor (HR, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.17 to 0.65; <i>P</i> =0.46). In the overall cohort, prasugrel significantly increased the risk of TIMI non-CABG-related major or minor bleeding compared to clopidogrel (2.6 vs 2.1; HR, 1.26; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.57; <i>P</i> =0.04). The excess risk of TIMI non-CABG-related major or minor bleeding observed with prasugrel was comparable regardless of whether a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor was used (HR, 1.16; 95% CI, 0.89 to 1.50) or was not used (HR, 1.63; 95% CI, 1.05 to 2.52; <i>P</i> =0.19). The absolute excess in the risk of TIMI non-CABG-related major bleeding with prasugrel vs clopidogrel was 0.1% in patients treated with a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor (1.2 vs 1.1%; HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.69 to 1.64) and 0.3% in subjects not treated with a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor (0.9 vs 0.6%; HR, 1.47; 95% CI, 0.81 to 2.66), a difference that was not significantly different between subgroups (<i>P</i> =0.39). Similarly, the relative hazard of TIMI life-threatening bleeding with prasugrel compared to clopidogrel did not differ significantly in the presence or absence of a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor (<i>P</i> =0.19). The incidence of procedure-related TIMI major bleeding was similar for subjects treated with prasugrel or clopidogrel and was not significantly influenced by the use of a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor (<i>P</i> value not reported). Consistent with the overall trial, there was no significant difference in the incidence of intracranial hemorrhage between
Trenk et al ⁹⁴	RCT	N=423	Primary:	treatment arms in either stratum (<i>P</i> value not reported). Primary:
TRIGGER-PCI Prasugrel 60 mg loading dose followed by 10 mg/day	Patients 18 to 80 years of age with stable CAD who underwent PCI with at least one	6 months	Composite of cardiovascular death and MI and non-CABG-related TIMI major bleeding	Composite primary endpoint occurred in one patient in the clopidogrel group vs none in the prasugrel group (<i>P</i> >0.05). Non-CABG-related TIMI major bleeding occurred in three patients in the prasugrel group and one in the clopidogrel group (<i>P</i> >0.05).
vs clopidogrel 75 mg/day All patients received clopidogrel 600 mg loading	drug-eluting stent placement and demonstrated high on-treatment platelet reactivity after clopidogrel		Secondary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI and target vessel revascularization,	Secondary: Composite endpoint of cardiovascular death, MI and revascularization occurred in two patients in each treatment group (<i>P</i> >0.05). Composite endpoint of cardiovascular death, MI, stroke and rehospitalization for cardiac ischemic event occurred in two patients treated with prasugrel and
dose plus aspirin ≥250 mg	loading dose		composite of	six patients treatment with clopidogrel (HR, 0.493; 95% CI, 0.090 to 2.692).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
within 24 hours before PCI and one-time clopidogrel 75 mg the morning after PCI. Wiviott et al ²⁷ Prasugrel 60 mg loading dose, followed by 10 mg/day vs clopidogrel 600 mg loading dose, followed by 150 mg/day Maintenance dose administered upon PCI completion.	followed by one- time clopidogrel 75 mg AC, DB, DD, RCT, XO Patients ≥18 years of age, who were scheduled to undergo cardiac catheterization with planned PCI for angina and ≥1 of the following: angiograph within 14 days with ≥1 PCI amendable legion, objective findings of ischemia within 8 weeks of study, or prior PCI or CABG	N=201 28 days (treatment periods were 14 days each)	cardiovascular death, MI, stroke and rehospitalization for cardiac ischemic event and composite safety endpoint Primary: Inhibition of platelet aggregation with 20 µmol/L adenosine diphosphate at six hours during the loading dose phase and at 14±2 days of the maintenance dose Secondary: Mean maximal platelet aggregation with 20 µmol/L adenosine diphosphate, mean P2Y12 assay percent inhibition, safety	Secondary safety endpoint, a composite of any non-CABG-related bleeding, occurred in 2.9 and 1.9% in the prasugrel and clopidogrel groups, respectively (HR, 1.517; 95% CI, 0.428 to 5.376). The authors concluded that due to low event rate, the utility of prasugrel in patients with high on-treatment platelet reactivity could not be determined. Primary: For the loading dose phase, mean inhibition of platelet aggregation with 20 μmol/L adenosine diphosphate at six hours was significantly greater (higher inhibition of platelet aggregation indication of greater antiplatelet effect) in the prasugrel group (74.8%) compared to the clopidogrel group (31.8%). The mean difference between the two groups was 43.2% (<i>P</i> <0.0001). For the maintenance dose phase mean inhibition of platelet aggregation with 20 μmol/L adenosine diphosphate at 14±2 days was significantly greater in the prasugrel group (61.3%) compared to the clopidogrel group (46.1%). The mean difference between the two groups was 14.9% (<i>P</i> <0.0001). Secondary: For the loading dose phase mean maximal platelet aggregation with 20 μmol/L adenosine diphosphate was significantly lower (lower maximal platelet aggregation indication of greater antiplatelet effect) in the prasugrel group (18.9%) compared to the clopidogrel group (52.1%). The mean difference between the two groups was 33.1% (<i>P</i> <0.0001). For the maintenance dose phase mean maximal platelet aggregation with 20 μmol/L adenosine diphosphate at 14±2 days was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (29.2%) compared to the clopidogrel group (40.9%). The mean difference between the two groups was 11.3% (<i>P</i> <0.0001).
				3. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				For the maintenance dose phase prasugrel also showed significantly greater platelet inhibition with the $P2Y_{12}$ assay (83.3%) compared to clopidogrel (65.1%). The mean difference between the two groups was 18.9% (P <0.0001).
				There were no TIMI major bleeding episodes in either treatment group. For TIMI minor bleeding episodes 2% of patients in the prasugrel group experienced a minor bleed compared to 0% in the clopidogrel group.
				In the prasugrel group 18.6% of the patients reported a hemorrhagic event whether minor or major, compared to 14.1% in the clopidogrel group, however the difference was not significant (<i>P</i> value not reported).
Treatment of Thrombocyth			T = .	In:
Anagrelide Study Group ⁹⁵	MC, Phase II	N=577	Primary:	Primary:
Anagrelide 0.5 to 1 mg QID	Patients ≥18 years of age with a diagnosis of	Duration not reported	Response to therapy (a reduction of platelet count from pretreatment	Of the 577 patients, 424 were treated for at least four weeks. Of which, 396 (93%) met the criteria for response. Equivalent response rates were seen regardless of diagnosis (<i>P</i> =0.123).
To be eligible, patients had to have responded to or have been treated for ≥4 weeks at 4 mg/day.	PV, CGL, ET or another myelo- proliferative process; with a history of thrombocytosis (>900,000/mm³) on 2 occasions		levels by 50% or to <600,000 mm³ for ≥4 weeks), changes in peripheral blood counts, dose of anagrelide to achieve a response, time to response,	Time to a 50% reduction in platelet numbers after the start of treatment was a median of 11 days in the overall patient population. The pretreatment median platelet count (990,000/mm³) was reduced to $<500,000/mm³$ after six to 10 weeks in patients who responded, and remained at that level for up to two years. Longitudinal evaluation of platelet numbers showed a marked and sustained decrease relative to baseline for all responders ($P<0.001$) as well as for diagnostic subgroups ($P<0.05$).
	secondary to a myeloproliferative process		response duration, duration of therapy, maintenance dose of anagrelide, use with hydroxyurea,	The median dose at first response was 2.57 mg/day (range, 2.52 to 2.88 mg/day) for all patients. The dose needed to achieve a response ranged from 0.5 to 9.0 mg/day; however, 95% of patients responded at a dose of ≤4 mg/day.
			resistance to anagrelide, discontinuation of treatment, safety	The time to achieve a reduction in platelets ranged from a median of 2.6 to 3.9 weeks. No difference in the time to response was observed between diagnostic groups (<i>P</i> =0.447).
			Secondary:	The median duration of first response ranged from 7.7 months for PV patients to >28.6 months for ET patients, with an overall median of 16.7 months.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			Not reported	The median duration of therapy was 5.60 months, with a range of 0.03 to 61.00 months.
				A median daily dose of 1.7 to 2.8 mg/day was required to control platelet numbers at five to seven, 11 to 13 and 17 to 19 months after treatment.
				Eighty nine of the 114 patients with CGL also received hydroxyurea, and the median dose of anagrelide needed to control platelet numbers in these patients was the same as for the group as a whole. No enhanced toxicity was observed.
				Of the 577 patients, 424 were considered evaluable for response, and 396 had an initial response and maintained that response for at least four weeks at a constant dose of anagrelide. Of these, 16 (four percent) needed to have their dose increased by ≥0.5 mg/day on a long-term basis to maintain the same degree of control over platelet counts.
				Of the 195 patients who discontinued therapy, 94 did so because of an adverse effect of the drug, 68 for a reason unrelated to treatment, 21 because of death and 12 because the drug caused a response in platelet numbers but was not therapeutically adequate in the treating physician's opinion. In all patients who discontinued treatment, within four days the platelet count rose rapidly.
				In addition to the overall decrease in hemoglobin over time observed, it appears possible that anagrelide may affect red blood cell formation as well as thrombocytopoiesis. Although changes in blood pressure were noted in 12 patients, fluid retention was a much more common side effect; 132 (24%) patients had fluid retention or edema and 14 developed frank congestive heart failure. Two hundred nine (36%) patients complained of palpitations, forceful heartbeat or tachycardia; and 14 had an irregular pulse including four with atrial fibrillation or premature heart beats. The major neurologic side effect was headache, with dizziness as the second most frequent. Approximately 89
				(19%) patients complained of nausea, which could possibly be related to treatment with anagrelide. Gas, eructation or bloating was noted by 49 (8%)





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Silver et al ⁹⁶ Anagrelide 0.5 to 1 mg QID Weekly adjustments to the dose were made to achieve and maintain a platelet count ≤600,000/µL. These patients previously received hydroxyurea therapy (hydroxyurearesistant) before being	Subanalysis of Anagrelide Study Group ⁹¹ Patients with CML	N=38 Duration not reported	Primary: Efficacy, safety Secondary: Not reported	and pain or gastric distress by a comparable number (n=48). The major lower gastrointestinal symptom was diarrhea (n=89; 15%). Secondary: Not reported Primary: Of the 38 patients who previously received hydroxyurea, 27 (71%) patients met the criteria for response to anagrelide. After treatment, there were 27 responders, but 11 remained symptomatic. Following treatment, the mean platelet levels in responders and nonresponders were 250,000±360,400/μL. In one-third of the responders, the initial platelet count was reduced by 50%. At six to eight weeks, the median platelet count in two-thirds of the responders was <600,000/μL. The median time to best response in both subgroups was 7.1 weeks. Responders maintained their counts for a median of seven weeks and as long as eight months; thereafter, the platelet counts in each patient were affected by change in censored status of CML to accelerated or blast phase disease,
reated with anagrelide. Patients fell into two groups: hydroxyurea-refractory patients and probably, but not definitely, hydroxyurea-refractory patients.				by alternative chemotherapy for CML, marrow transplantation and by refusal of a physician to complete the paperwork. The symptoms of the group of patients with thrombosis included TIAs, MI, erythromelalgia, DVT, and ischemia with or without cutaneous ulceration of the extremities. Secondary: Not reported
Penninga et al ⁹⁷ Anagrelide 0.5 mg/day for 7 days, followed by a dosage increase by 0.5 mg/week until an acceptable decline in platelet counts was recorded	MC, RETRO Patients with chronic myelo-proliferative disease	N=52 Duration not reported	Primary: Complete response (reduction in platelet counts to <600x10 ⁹ /L or to a minimum 50% of pre-treatment level for ≥4 weeks), partial response (20	Primary: Forty one (79%) patients responded to treatment, with 39 (75%) patients being complete responders. All achieved a platelet count <600x10 ⁹ /L, and 34 (65%) patients achieved a platelet count <400x10 ⁹ /L. Eleven (21%) patients were nonresponders. The mean dose necessary to maintain response was 1.7 mg/day (range, 0.5 to 5 mg/day) and the mean daily dose for patients in the non-responder group





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Birgegard et al ⁹⁸	Noncomparative, OL, Phase II,	N=60	to 50% reduction of pretreatment level for ≥4 weeks), no response (<20% reduction in pretreatment platelet counts) Secondary: Adverse events Primary: Clinical effects,	was 2.7 mg/day (range, 0.5 to 8.5 mg/day). The time to response varied among the patients, mostly because some patients needed to have a temporary dose reduction because of adverse events. The mean time to response was 7.9 weeks. Secondary: Forty two (81%) patients developed adverse effects and 28 (54%) patients reported more than one adverse effect. The most common adverse effect was anemia. Headache and palpitations were the second most common adverse events. Most of the adverse events were seen within a month from initiation of treatment, with patients reporting them as generally mild and transient. Primary: The overall response rate was 73% (67% complete responses [platelet count
Anagrelide 1 to 8 mg/day Doses were evaluated until the lowest effective dose required to reduce and maintain platelet count <400 x10 ⁹ /L in symptomatic patients or <600 x10 ⁹ /L in asymptomatic patients was established. Patients who were receiving treatment with another agent to control platelets were switched over to anagrelide.	Patients with a diagnosis of myelo-proliferative disease and a platelet count >600 x10 ⁹ /L in symptomatic patients or >1,000 x10 ⁹ /L in all other patients	2 years	short- and long-term tolerability, patient's management Secondary: Not reported	<400 x10³/L or <600 x10³/L in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients for ≥4 weeks], 6% partial response [reduction of the platelet count to ≥50% of the baseline value]) and the failure rate (platelet count that did not fall below <50% of the baseline value) was 27%. Primary treatment failure (n=16) was usually due to a lack of efficacy at a tolerable dose. In addition, another 14 patients withdrew from treatment before the end of the two year period. The most common reasons for discontinuing treatment were lack of efficacy at a tolerable dose and side effects while in complete response. Side effects included palpitations (70%), headache (52%), nausea (35%), diarrhea or flatulence (33%), edema (22%) and fatigue (23%). The frequency and severity of side effects was dose dependent. Patients and doctors rated the feasibility of anagrelide treatment on the 10-grade scale from 7.6 at three months to >9.0 at 24 months. The patients who continued treatment for the full two years (n=30) showed a high degree of satisfaction, as did their doctors. The hemoglobin level dropped significantly during treatment, this effect first occurring within one week after initiation of treatment (P=0.002). Two patients had a thromboembolic event occur during the study period. Secondary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Not reported
Steurer et al ⁹⁹ Anagrelide 0.5 mg BID for 14 days, followed by 1 mg BID and then the dosage was adjusted for each patient In patients pretreated with hydroxyurea or interferon-α, it was allowed to combine anagrelide with one of those compounds.	MC, Phase II Newly diagnosed or pretreated patients with ET, PV or chronic idiopathic myelofibrosis	N=97 6 months	Primary: Platelet counts Secondary: Rate of clinical complications before and during anagrelide therapy, number of patients achieving response (complete, partial or failure to respond)	Primary: Platelet counts decreased significantly during the six month study period from a median baseline count of 743x10 ⁹ /L (range, 335 to 1.912x10 ⁹ /L) to 441x10 ⁹ /L (range, 153 to 1.141x10 ⁹ /L; <i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary: During the six months before the study, the rate of major thromboembolic complications was 5%. At the end of the study, the rate decreased to 2%. Seven patients had minor thromboembolic symptoms despite initiation of anagrelide treatment. At the start of the study, the rate of minor thromboembolic complications was 25%. After the study period, the rate decreased to 14%. Fifty patients qualified as complete responders and 25 patients had a very good partial response. The overall (complete, very good partial and partial; n=77) response rate was 79% when an ITT analysis was applied. Of the
				patient subgroups, the highest overall response rate of 82% was achieved in patients with no previous cytoreductive therapy. The lowest rate of 75% occurred among patients with PV.
Harrison et al ¹⁰⁰ Hydroxyurea 0.5 to 1	OL, RCT Patients ≥18	N=809 39 months	Primary: Composite of time from randomization	Primary: As compared to the hydroxyurea group, the anagrelide group had a significantly higher rate of the composite primary end point (OR, 1.57; 95% CI,
mg/day vs	years of age with ET who were at high risk for	(median follow-up)	until death from thrombosis, hemorrhage, arterial	1.04 to 2.37; $P=0.03$). The estimated rate of the primary endpoint at five years was 16% (95% CI, 12 to 21) and 11% (95% CI, 7 to 14) in the anagrelide and hydroxyurea groups, with a median follow-up of 39 months.
anagrelide 0.5 mg BID	thrombotic or hemorrhagic events		or venous thrombotic event or serious hemorrhage	Secondary: Analyses of the secondary endpoints revealed significant differences between
Doses of hydroxyurea and anagrelide were adjusted to maintain the platelet count <400,000/mm ³ .			Secondary: Time to first arterial or venous thrombotic event or	the two groups. Arterial thrombosis developed in more than twice as many anagrelide-treated patients compared to hydroxyurea treated patients (OR, 2.16; 95% CI, 1.27 to 3.69; <i>P</i> =0.004). There were significantly more TIAs in the anagrelide group as well (14 vs 1; OR, 5.72; 95% CI, 2.08 to 15.73; <i>P</i> <0.001). The rates of MI, unstable angina and thrombotic stroke were higher
All patients received aspirin 75 mg/day.			to the first serious hemorrhage; time to	with anagrelide but not significantly different compared to hydroxyurea. There was a significant increase in the rate of serious hemorrhage with anagrelide





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
If aspirin was contraindicated, alternative agents were used (e.g., clopidogrel, dipyridamole).	Demographics	Duration	death; incidence of transformation to myelofibrosis, AML, myelodysplasia or PV; control of platelet count	(OR, 2.61; 95% CI, 1.27 to 5.33; <i>P</i> =0.008), with gastrointestinal hemorrhage being most common (OR, 3.54; 95% CI, 1.33 to 9.44; <i>P</i> =0.01). The rate of venous thromboembolism with anagrelide was approximately one fourth that with hydroxyurea (OR, 0.27; 95% CI, 0.11 to 0.71; <i>P</i> =0.006), and there was a significantly lower rate of DVT with anagrelide (OR, 0.20; 95% CI, 0.06 to 0.71; <i>P</i> =0.009). Pulmonary emboli developed in seven patients, five of which were in the hydroxyurea group. The rates of death from any cause and death from thrombotic or hemorrhagic causes were not significantly different between the two groups, although the study was not powered to detect any difference in mortality. Anagrelide-treated patients had a significantly increased rate of transformation to myelofibrosis (OR, 2.92; 95% CI, 1.24 to 6.86; <i>P</i> =0.01). The estimated actuarial risk of myelofibrosis five years after trial entry was 2% (95% CI, 0 to 5) and 7% (95% CI, 3 to 10). Myelodysplasia or AML developed in 10 patients, four in the anagrelide group.
*Agent not available in the United Stat	es			Control of platelet count was similar in the two groups by nine months after trial entry and subsequently. At three and six months after trial entry, platelet counts in the anagrelide group were significantly higher than those in the hydroxyurea group (<i>P</i> <0.001 for both time points). PV developed in two patients, one in each treatment group.

Drug regimen abbreviations: BID=twice-daily, ER=extended-release, IR=immediate-release, QD=once-daily, QID=four times daily

Study abbreviations: AC=active-controlled, CI=confidence interval, DB=double-blind, DD=double-dummy, HR=hazard ratio, ITT=intention to treat, IRR=incidence rate ratio, MA=meta-analysis, MC=multicenter, OL=open-label, OR=odds ratio, PC=placebo-controlled, PG=parallel-group, PP=per patient, PRO=prospective, RCT=randomized controlled trial, RETRO=retrospective, RR=relative risk, RRR=relative risk reduction, XO=cross over trial

Miscellaneous abbreviations: ACS=acute coronary syndrome, AF=atrial fibrillation, AML=acute myeloid leukemia, CABG=coronary artery bypass graft, CAD=coronary artery disease, CGL=chronic granulocytic leukemia, CML=chronic myeloid leukemia, CT=computerized tomography, DVT=deep vein thrombosis, ET=essential thrombocythemia, FEV₁=forced expiratory volume in one second, GFR=glomerular filtration rate, GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor=glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor, MI=myocardial infarction, MRI=magnetic resonance imaging, NNT=number needed to treat, NSTE ACS=non-STsegment elevation acute coronary syndromes, NSTEMI=non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, PAD=peripheral arterial disease, PCI=percutaneous coronary intervention, PV=polycythemia ruba vera. STEMI=ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. TIA=transient ischemic attack. TIMI=thrombolysis in myocardial infarction





Special Populations

Table 5. Special Populations 1-7

Generic	al Populations -/	Population and Precaution									
Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk						
Single-Entity											
Anagrelide	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Food and Drug Administration approved for use in children.	Not reported	Hepatic dosage adjustment is required; initiate therapy with 0.5 mg/day for ≥1 week with careful monitoring of cardiovascular effects. Contraindicated in severe hepatic impairment.	С	Unknown; use with caution.						
Clopidogrel	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Not reported	No dosage adjustment required.	В	Unknown; use with caution.						
Dipyridamole	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Safety and efficacy in children <12 years of age have not been established.	Not reported	Not reported	В	Yes (% not reported); use with caution.						
Prasugrel	Use in patients ≥75 years of age is generally not recommended. Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	No dosage adjustment required.	No dosage adjustment required in mild to moderate hepatic dysfunction. Not studied in severe hepatic dysfunction.	В	Unknown; use with caution.						
Ticagrelor	No evidence of overall differences in safety or efficacy observed between elderly and younger adult patients.	No dosage adjustment required.	No dosage adjustment required in mild hepatic dysfunction; use with caution in	С	Unknown; use with caution.						



Generic		Population and Precaution									
Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk						
	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.		moderate hepatic dysfunction. Contraindicated with severe hepatic dysfunction.								
Ticlopidine	No evidence of overall differences in safety or efficacy observed between elderly and younger adult patients. Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Renal dosage adjustment may be required; a dosage reduction or the discontinuation of therapy may be required.	Use is not recommended.	В	Unknown; use with caution.						
Combination	Products			I	•						
Aspirin/ dipyridamole	No evidence of overall differences in safety or efficacy observed between elderly and younger adult patients.	Not studied in renal dysfunction.	Not studied in hepatic dysfunction.	D	Yes/Yes (% not reported for either component).						
	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.*										

^{*}Due to the aspirin component, use of this product in children is not recommended.





Adverse Drug Events

Table 6. Adverse Drug Events¹⁻⁷

Adverse Event			Single-Entity	Agents			Combination Products
Adverse Event	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole
Cardiovascular							
Angina pectoris	1 to <5	-	~	-	-	-	<1
Arrhythmia	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Atrial fibrillation/flutter	-	1 to 3	-	-	4.2	-	-
Cardiac failure	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	2
Cardiovascular disease	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chest pain	7.8	8	-	-	3.1	-	-
Edema	20.6	4	-	-	-	-	-
Heart failure	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypertension	-	4	-	7.5	3.8	-	-
Hypotension	-	-	✓	-	3.2	-	-
Nodal arrhythmia	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Palpitation	26.1	-	✓	-	-	-	-
Peripheral edema	8.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Postural hypotension	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Syncope	1 to <5	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	1
Tachycardia	7.5	-	~	-	-	-	-
Vasodilation	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Central Nervous System							
Amnesia	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	2
Anxiety	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Cerebral edema	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Cerebral hemorrhage (includes					-		
intracranial and subarachnoid	-	<1	-	-		<1	<1
hemorrhage)							
Coma	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Confusion	1 to <5	<1	-	-	-	-	1
Depression	1 to <5	4	-	-	-	-	
Dizziness	15.4	2 to 6	14	-	4.5	-	-
Fatigue	-	3	-	-	3.2	-	6





Adverse Event		Single-Entity Agents							
Auverse Event	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole		
Fever	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-		
Flushing	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-		
Headache	43.5	3 to 8	2	5.5	6.5	-	38 (tolerance usually develops)		
Insomnia	1 to <5	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-		
Lethargy/malaise	6.4	-	~	-	-	-	2		
Migraine	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Nervousness	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Pain	15	6	-	-	-	-	6		
Seizure	-	-	-	-	-	-	2		
Somnolence	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	1		
Vertigo	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-		
Dermatologic									
Alopecia	1 to <5	-	~	-	-	-	<1		
Bullous eruption	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-		
Eczema	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-		
Erythema multiforme	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	-		
Erythema nodosum	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-		
Exfoliative dermatitis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-		
Ischemic necrosis	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-		
Lichen planus	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-		
Maculopapular rash	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	-		
Pruritus	5.5	3	✓	-	-	1	<1		
Purpura	-	-	-	-	-	2	1		
Rash	8.3	4	2	-	-	5	<1		
Skin disease	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stevens-Johnson syndrome	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-		
Toxic epidermal necrolysis	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-		
Ulceration	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1		
Urticaria	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1		
Endocrine/Metabolic									
Dehydration	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-		





Adverse Event			Combination Products				
AUVEISE LVEIIL	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole
Gout/hyperuricemia	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia/increased cholesterol	-	-	-	7	-	>10*	-
Hyponatremia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Pancreatitis	-	<1	-	-	-	-	<1
Gastrointestinal							
Abdominal distress	ı	-	6	-	-	-	-
Abdominal pain	16.4	2 to 6	-	-	-	4	18
Abnormal stools	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Anorexia	7.7	-	-	-	-	-	1
Aphthous stomatitis	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bleeding	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Chronic diarrhea	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Constipation	1 to <5	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Diarrhea	25.7	2 to 5	~	-	3.7	13	13
Dyspepsia	5.2	2 to 5	~	-	-	7	>10
Dysuria	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eructation	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Flatulence	10.2	-	-	-	-	2	-
Gastritis	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gastrointestinal distress	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gastrointestinal hemorrhage	ı	1 to 3	-	-	-	<1	1
Hematemesis	ı	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Hematuria	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hemorrhoids	ı	-	-	-	-	-	1
Melena	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nausea	17.1	3	✓	-	4.3	7	16
Peptic ulcer	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Rectal bleeding	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Retroperitoneal hemorrhage	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Vomiting	9.7	1 to 3	~	-	-	2	8
Genitourinary							
Cystitis	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-





Adverse Event		Single-Entity Agents							
	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole		
Hematuria	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	-		
Interstitial nephritis	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1		
Menorrhagia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-		
Papillary necrosis	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1		
Renal failure	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1		
Serum creatinine increased	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-		
Urinary tract infection	-	3	-	-	-	-	-		
Uterine hemorrhage	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1		
Hematologic									
Agranulocytosis	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	-		
Anemia	1 to <5	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	2		
Aplastic anemia	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1		
Bleeding	-	Major, 4; minor, 5	-	Major, 2.2; minor, 2.4	Non- CABG- related, 8.7; CABG- related, 85.8	-	-		
Disseminated intravascular coagulation	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1		
Ecchymosis	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Eosinophilia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-		
Epistaxis	1 to <5	3	-	-	-	-	-		
Granulocytopenia	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-		
Hematoma	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-		
Hemolytic anemia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-		
Hemorrhage	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Hypochromic anemia	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-		
Leukopenia	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-		
Lymphadenopathy	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Neutropenia	-	<1	-	-	-	2	-		
Pancytopenia	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1		
Prothrombin time prolonged	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1		





Adverse Event			Single-Entity	Agents			Combination Products
Auverse Lvent	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole
Purpura	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
Thrombocytopenia	1 to <5	<1	✓	-	-	<1	<1
Thrombocytosis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Thrombosis	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Hepatic							
Acute liver failure	=	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Bilirubinemia	=	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Cholelithiasis	=	-	~	-	-	-	<1
Elevated liver enzymes	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fatty liver	=	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Hepatic failure	=	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Hepatic necrosis	=	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Hepatitis	-	<1	~	-	-	<1	<1
Jaundice	=	-	-	-	-	<1	<1
Liver dysfunction	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-
Liver function test abnormalities	-	<3	-	-	-	1	-
Neuromuscular/Musculoskeletal							
Arthralgia	1 to <5	6	-	-	-	-	6
Arthritis	-	1 to 3	✓	-	-	-	2
Arthropathy	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Arthrosis	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Back pain	5.9	6	-	5	3.6	-	5
Fatigue	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-
Leg cramps	1 to <5	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Myalgia	1 to <5	-	✓	-	-	-	1
Myositis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Neuralgia	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Paresthesia	5.9	1 to 3	✓	-	-	-	<1
Peripheral neuropathy	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Rhabdomyolysis	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Weakness		1 to 3	-	-	-	-	2





Adverse Event		Combination Products					
Adverse Event	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole
Respiratory							
Asthma	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bronchiolitis obliterans- organized pneumonia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Bronchitis	1 to <5	4	_	_	_	_	_
Bronchospasm	- 1 10 < 0	-	_	_	_	_	<1
Cough	6.3	3	_	_	4.9	_	2
Dyspnea	11.9	5	_	_	13.8	_	<1
Epistaxis	-	-	_	6.2	-	_	2
Hemoptysis	_	<1	_	-	_	_	<1
Hemothorax	_	<1	_	_	_	_	-
Intestinal pneumonitis	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_
Larynx edema	_	-	~	_	_	_	_
Pharyngitis	6.8	_	-	_	_	_	_
Pneumonia	1 to <5	_	_	_	_	_	_
Pneumonitis	-	_	_	_	_	<1	-
Pulmonary edema	-	-	-	_	_	-	<1
Pulmonary hemorrhage	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Respiratory disease	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhinitis	1 to <5	4	-	-	-	-	-
Sinusitis	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tachypnea	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Upper respiratory infection	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Other							
Abnormal vision	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allergic reaction	-	<1	-	-	-	-	<1
Allergic vasculitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Amblyopia	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Anaphylactoid reaction/anaphylaxis	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1
Angioedema	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1
Ante-/peri-/postpartum bleeding	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Asthenia	23.1	-	-	-	-	-	-





Adverse Event		Combination Products					
Adverse Event	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole
Cataract	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Chills	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Conjunctival bleeding	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Conjunctivitis	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Deafness	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Diplopia	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fever	8.9	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Flu symptoms	1 to <5	8	-	-	-	-	-
Hypersensitivity reaction	-	<1	✓	-	-	-	-
Lower weight infants	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Non-cardiac chest pain	-	-	-	-	3.7	-	-
Ocular/retinal hemorrhage	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Photosensitivity	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Positive antinuclear antibody	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Reye's syndrome	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Sepsis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Serum sickness	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	-
Stillbirths	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Systemic lupus erythematosus	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Taste disorder	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Tinnitus	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vasculitis	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	-
Visual field abnormality	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-





CABG=coronary artery bypass graft surgery
*Increases of eight to 10% within one month of therapy.
-Event not reported or incidence <1%.

[✓] Percent not specified.

Contraindications

Table 7. Contraindications¹⁻⁷

Contraindication	Single-Entity Agents					Combination Products	
Contramdication	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole
Active pathological bleeding	-	>	-	>	~	~	-
Children and teenagers with viral infection because of the risk of Reye syndrome	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
Hematopoietic disorders or a past history of either thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura or aplastic anemia	-	-	-		-	•	-
Hemostatic disorder	-	ı	-	-	-	→	-
History of intracranial hemorrhage	-	-	-	-	>	-	-
Hypersensitivity to any product ingredient	-	✓	•	~	•	•	•
Known allergy to nonsteroidal anti- inflammatory drugs	-	-	-	-	-	-	~
Prior transient attack or stroke	-	-	-	>	-	-	-
Severe hepatic impairment	>	-	-	-	~	~	-
Syndrome of asthma, rhinitis and nasal polyps	-	-	-	-	-	-	•

Black Box Warning for Plavix® (clopidogrel)²

WARNING

Diminished effectiveness in poor metabolizers: The effectiveness of clopidogrel is dependent on its activation to an active metabolite by the cytochrome P450 (CYP 450) system, principally CYP2C19. Poor metabolizers treated with clopidogrel at recommended doses exhibit higher cardiovascular event rates following acute coronary syndrome or percutaneous coronary intervention than patients with normal CYP2C19 function. Tests are available to identify a patient's CYP2C19 genotype and can be used an as aid in determining therapeutic strategy. Consider alternative treatment or treatment strategies in patients identified as CYP2C19 poor metabolizers.





Black Box Warning for Effient® (prasugrel)⁴

WARNING

Bleeding risk: Prasugrel can cause significant, sometimes fatal, bleeding. Do not use prasugrel in patients with active pathological bleeding or a history of transient ischemic attack or stroke. In patients 75 years of age and older, prasugrel is generally not recommended because of the increased risk of fatal and intracranial bleeding and uncertain benefit, except in high-risk situations (patients with diabetes or a history of prior myocardial infarction) in which its effect appears to be greater and its use may be considered. Do not start prasugrel in patients likely to undergo urgent coronary artery bypass graft surgery. When possible, discontinue prasugrel at least seven days prior to any surgery.

Additional risk factors for bleeding include body weight less than 60 kg, propensity to bleed, and concomitant use of medications that increase the risk of bleeding (e.g., warfarin, heparin, fibrinolytic therapy, chronic use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs). Suspect bleeding in any patient who is hypotensive and has recently undergone coronary angiography, percutaneous coronary intervention), coronary artery bypass graft surgery, or other surgical procedures in the setting of prasugrel. If possible, manage bleeding without discontinuing prasugrel. Discontinuing prasugrel, particularly in the first few weeks after acute coronary syndrome, increases the risk of subsequent cardiovascular events.

Black Box Warning for Brilinta® (ticagrelor)⁵

WARNING

Ticagrelor, like other antiplatelet agents, can cause significant, sometimes fatal, bleeding. Do not use ticagrelor in patients with active pathological bleeding or a history of intracranial hemorrhage. Do not initiate therapy with ticagrelor in patients planning to undergo urgent coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery. When possible, discontinue ticagrelor at least five days prior to any surgery. Suspect bleeding in any patient who is hypotensive and has recently undergone coronary angiography, percutaneous coronary intervention, CABG, or other surgical procedures in the setting of ticagrelor. If possible, manage bleeding without discontinuing ticagrelor. Stopping ticagrelor increases the risk of subsequent cardiovascular events.

Maintenance doses of aspirin above 100 mg reduce the effectiveness of ticagrelor; avoid such doses. After any initial dose, use with aspirin 75 to 100 mg/day.

Black Box Warning for ticlopidine⁶

WARNING

Ticlopidine can cause life-threatening hematological adverse reactions, including neutropenia/agranulocytosis and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and aplastic anemia.

Neutropenia/agranulocytosis: Among 2,048 patients in clinical trials, there were 50 cases (2.4%) of neutropenia (less than 1,200 neutrophils/mm³), and the neutrophil count was below 450/mm³ in 17 of these patients (0.8% of the total population).

Thrombotic Thrombocytopenic Purpura: One case of thrombocytopenic purpura was reported during clinical trials. Based on postmarketing data, United States physicians reported about 100 cases between 1992 and 1997. Based on an estimated patient exposure of two to four million, and assuming an event reporting rate of 10% (the true rate is not known), the incidence of ticlopidine-associated thrombocytopenic





WARNING

purpura may be as high as one case in every 2,000 to 4,000 patients exposed.

Aplastic anemia: Aplastic anemia was not seen during clinical trials in stroke patients, but United States physicians reported about 50 cases between 1992 and 1998. Based on an estimated patient exposure of two to four million, and assuming an event reporting rate of 10% (the true rate is not known), the incidence of ticlopidine-associated aplastic anemia may be as high as one case in every 4,000 to 8,000 patients exposed.

Monitoring of clinical and hematologic status: Severe hematologic adverse reactions may occur within a few days of the start of therapy. The incidence of thrombocytopenic purpura peaks after about three to four weeks of therapy and neutropenia peaks at approximately four to six weeks. The incidence of aplastic anemia peaks after about four to eight weeks of therapy. The incidence of the hematologic adverse reactions declines thereafter. Only a few cases of neutropenia, thrombocytopenic purpura, or aplastic anemia have arisen after more than three months of treatment. Hematological adverse reactions cannot be reliably predicted by any identified demographic or clinical characteristics. During the first three months of treatment, patients receiving ticlopidine must, therefore, be hematologically and clinically monitored for evidence of neutropenia or thrombocytopenic purpura. If any such evidence is seen, ticlopidine should be immediately discontinued.

Warnings/Precautions

Table 8. Warnings and Precautions¹⁻⁷

Warning/Precaution	Single-Entity Agents						Combination Products
warming/Frecaution	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole
Anticoagulant drugs; the concurrent use of ticlopidine with heparin, oral anticoagulants or fibrinolytic agents have not been established; discontinue anticoagulants or fibrinolytic drugs prior to initiating ticlopidine	-	-	-	-	-	•	-
Cardiovascular effects; vasodilation, tachycardia, palpitations and congestive heart failure may occur; use with caution in patients with known or suspected heart disease and only if the potential benefits of therapy outweigh the potential risks	•	-	-	-	-	-	-





Warning/Precaution	Single-Entity Agents						Combination Products
_	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole
Cholesterol elevation; serum cholesterol and triglycerides may increase	-	-	-	-	-	•	-
Coagulation abnormalities; aspirin can lead to an increase in bleeding time and adversely affect patients with inherited or acquired bleeding disorders	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
Concomitant aspirin maintenance dose; aspirin doses above 100 mg decreases effectiveness of ticagrelor	-	-	-	-	•	-	-
Concomitant aspirin use; risk of major hemorrhagic events is increased	•	-	-	-	-	-	-
Coronary artery bypass surgery- related bleeding; risk increases in patients receiving prasugrel	-	-	-	•	-	-	-
Coronary artery disease; use with caution; chest pain may be aggravated in patients with underlying coronary artery disease	-	-	•	-	-	-	•
Discontinuation of treatment; abrupt discontinuation is followed by an increase in platelet counts	•	-	-	-	-	-	-
Discontinuation of treatment; premature discontinuation may increase the risk of cardiovascular events	-	•	-	-	-	-	-
Discontinuation of treatment; premature discontinuation may increase the risk of stent thrombosis, myocardial infarction and death	-	-	-	•	•	-	-
Dyspnea; self-limiting; rule out other causes	-	-	-	-	•	-	-





Warning/Precaution	Single-Entity Agents						Combination Products
warning/Frecaution	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole
Gastrointestinal bleeding; risk is increased in patients who are heavy alcohol users, have a history of peptic ulcer disease or vitamin K deficiency	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
Hematological adverse reactions; neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and aplastic anemia may occur; agranulocytosis, pancytopenia and leukemia have been reported in post-marketing experience; monitor at baseline, every two weeks through the third month of therapy and two weeks after discontinuation	-	-	-	-	-	•	-
Hepatic impairment; consider the risks and benefits of treatment in this patient population; exposure to medication may be increased	•	-	-	-	•	-	-
Hepatic insufficiency; elevations of hepatic enzymes and hepatic failure have been reported	-	-	>	-	-	-	•
Hypersensitivity; incident including angioedema has been reported including in patients with a history of hypersensitivity reaction to other thienopyridines	-	-	·	•	-	-	-
Hypotension; use with caution since dipyridamole can produce peripheral vasodilation	-	-	•	-	-	-	•
Increased risk of bleeding; discontinue treatment five days prior to elective surgery	-	•	-	-	•	-	-
Increased risk of bleeding; do not	-	-	-	~	-	-	-





Warning/Precaution	Single-Entity Agents						Combination Products
	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ Dipyridamole
use in patients with active bleeding, prior transient ischemic attack or stroke							
Increased risk of bleeding, including intracranial hemorrhage as with other antiplatelets	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
Interstitial lung diseases; cases have been reported in post-marketing experience; time of onset ranged from one week to several years after initiating anagrelide	•	-	-	-	-	-	-
Laboratory tests; blood counts, renal and hepatic function should be monitored during treatment	•	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pregnancy; use of aspirin in pregnancy can cause fetal harm, especially during the third trimester	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
Recent transient ischemic attack or stroke; concurrent use with aspirin in these patients was shown to increase major bleeding without being more effective than using medication alone	-	•	-	-	-	-	-
Reduced effectiveness in impaired cytochrome P450 2C19 function; avoid concomitant use with omeprazole or esomeprazole	-	•	-	-	-	-	-
Renal failure, severe; avoid aspirin in this patient population	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura; incidence has been reported with treatment, including fatal cases	-	•	-	•	-	•	-





Drug Interactions

Table 9. Drug Interactions 1-7

Table 9. Drug Inter	Interacting	
Generic Name	Medication or Disease	Potential Result
Platelet inhibitors	NSAIDs	NSAIDs may reduce the cardioprotective effect of
(aspirin,		low-dose, uncoated aspirin. Aspirin and NSAIDs are
prasugrel)		also gastric irritants. The risk of bleeding may be
		increased when prasugrel and NSAIDs are
		administered concurrently.
Platelet inhibitors	Warfarin	Anticoagulant activity may be enhanced; increasing
(aspirin,		the risk of bleeding.
prasugrel)		
Platelet inhibitors	Angiotensin converting	Aspirin may reduce the hypotensive and vasodilator
(aspirin)	enzyme Inhibitors	effects of angiotensin converting enzyme Inhibitors.
Platelet inhibitors	β-blockers	Salicylates (aspirin) may attenuate the blood
(aspirin)		pressure lowering effects of β blockers. In addition,
		the beneficial effects of β-blockers on left ventricular
		ejection fraction in patients with chronic heart failure
		may be attenuated.
Platelet inhibitors	Carbonic anhydrase	Concomitant use may result in carbonic anhydrase
(aspirin)	inhibitors	inhibitor accumulation and toxicity.
Platelet inhibitors	Clopidogrel	The risk of life-threatening bleeding may be
(aspirin)		increased in high-risk patients with transient ischemic
, ,		attack or ischemic stroke.
Platelet inhibitors	Heparin	Concomitant use may increase the risk of bleeding.
(aspirin)		g
Platelet inhibitors	Influenza virus vaccine,	The risk of Reye syndrome may be increased.
(aspirin)	intranasal	
Platelet inhibitors	Insulin	The serum glucose lowering action of insulin may be
(aspirin)		potentiated.
Platelet inhibitors	Methotrexate	Increased toxic effects of methotrexate may occur.
(aspirin)		
Platelet inhibitors	Sulfinpyrazone	Concomitant use may suppress the uricosuria
(aspirin)		produced by sulfinpyrazone.
Platelet inhibitors	Sulfonylureas	Increased hypoglycemic effect of sulfonylureas.
(aspirin)	Cameriyiareae	moreacea hypogrycenne eneet er canenyiareae.
Platelet inhibitors	Valproic acid	Increased free fraction of valproic acid, possibly
(aspirin)	Valprois asia	leading to toxic effects of valproic acid.
Platelet inhibitors	Azole antifungals	Ketoconazole may inhibit the antiplatelet effect of
(clopidogrel)	(ketoconazole)	clopidogrel.
Platelet inhibitors	Proton pump inhibitors	Proton pump inhibitors (omeprazole, esomeprazole)
(clopidogrel)	Trotori parrip irriibitoro	may decrease the antiplatelet activity of clopidogrel.
Platelet inhibitors	Adenosine	Dipyridamole may potentiate the pharmacologic
(dipyridamole)	Adenosine	effects of adenosine, resulting in profound
(dipyridamoic)		bradycardia after rapid bolus adenosine
		administration.
Platelet inhibitors	Digoxin	Concurrent use may result in increased digoxin
(ticagrelor)		levels.
Platelet inhibitors	HMG CoA reductase	Concurrent use may result in increased lovastatin
(ticagrelor)	inhibitors (lovastatin,	and simvastatin plasma concentrations.
(iicagieioi)	simvastatin)	מווע אווויעמאנמנווו פומאווים נטווניבוונומנוטווא.
Distalat inhibitara		Concurrent use may regult in decreesed/increesed
Platelet inhibitors	Strong cytochrome P450	Concurrent use may result in decreased/increased





Generic Name	Interacting Medication or Disease	Potential Result
(ticagrelor)	3A inducers/inhibitors	ticagrelor plasma concentrations.
Platelet inhibitors (ticlopidine)	Cyclosporine	Cyclosporine whole blood concentrations may decrease, producing a decrease in pharmacologic effects.
Platelet inhibitors (ticlopidine)	Hydantoins	Plasma hydantoin concentrations may be increased, resulting in an increase in adverse effects.
Platelet inhibitors (ticlopidine)	Theophyllines	Increased theophylline levels have been noted when administered concomitantly with ticlopidine.

NSAIDs=nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

Dosage and Administration

If intolerable headaches occur during administration of aspirin/dipyridamole during initial treatment, patients should switch to one capsule in the evening plus a low-dose aspirin in the morning. As the headaches become less of a problem, patients should return to the usual dosing regimen as soon as possible, usually within one week.⁷

Table 10. Dosing and Administration¹⁻⁷

Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
Single-Entity Age	ents		
Anagrelide	Treatment of patients with thrombocythemia, secondary to myeloproliferative disorders: Capsule: initial, 0.5 mg QID or 1 mg BID for ≥1 week; maintenance, adjust to the lowest effective dosage required to reduce and maintain platelet count <600,000/µL; maximum, 10 mg/day or 2.5 mg in a single dose*	Treatment of patients with thrombocythemia, secondary to myeloproliferative disorders: ¹ Capsule: initial, 0.5 mg/day; maintenance, adjust to the lowest effective dosage required to reduce and maintain platelet count <600,000/µL; maximum, 10 mg/day or 2.5 mg in a single dose*	Capsule: 0.5 mg 1 mg
Clopidogrel	Recent MI, recent stroke, or established peripheral arterial disease: Tablet: 75 mg QD Reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with ACS, non-ST-elevation: Tablet: initial, 300 mg as a single loading dose; maintenance, 75 mg QD [‡] Reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with ACS, ST-elevation MI: Tablet: 75 mg QD [§]	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Tablet: 75 mg 300 mg
Dipyridamole	Prevention of postoperative thromboembolic complications of cardiac valve replacement:	Safety and efficacy in children <12 years of age have not been established.	Tablet: 25 mg 50 mg





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
	Tablet: 75 to 100 mg QID ^{II}		75 mg
Prasugrel	Reduce the rate of thrombotic	Safety and efficacy in	Tablet:
	cardiovascular events in patients with	children have not been	5 mg
	ACS who are being managed with PCI:	established.	10 mg
	Tablet: initial, 60 mg as a single		
	loading dose; maintenance, 5 to 10 mg		
	QD [¶]		
Ticagrelor	Reduce the rate of thrombotic	Safety and efficacy in	Tablet:
	cardiovascular events in patients with	children have not been	90 mg
	ACS:	established.	
	Tablet: initial, 180 mg (two tablets) as		
	a single loading dose, maintenance, 90		
	mg BID [#]		
Ticlopidine	Reduce the incidence of subacute	Safety and efficacy in	Tablet:
	stent thrombosis in patients	children have not been	250 mg
	undergoing successful coronary stent	established.	
	implantation:		
	Tablet: 250 mg BID for up to 30 days**		
	Reduce the risk of thrombotic stroke		
	(fatal or nonfatal) in patients who have		
	experienced stroke precursors, and in		
	patients who have had a completed		
	thrombotic stroke:		
	Tablet: 250 mg BID ^{††}		
Combination Pro	ducts		
Aspirin/	Reduce the risk of stroke in patients	Safety and efficacy in	Capsule:
dipyridamole ^{‡‡}	who have had transient ischemia or	children have not been	25/200 mg
	the brain or completed ischemic stroke	established.	
	due to thrombosis:		
	Capsule: 25/200 mg BID		

ACS=acute coronary syndrome, BID=twice-daily, MI=myocardial infarction, PCI=percutaneous coronary intervention, QD=once daily, QID=four times daily

Clinical Guidelines

Current guidelines are summarized in Table 11. Please note that due to the complexity of treatment regimens for stroke, stable and unstable angina, acute coronary syndromes, myocardial infarction, peripheral arterial disease and secondary prevention of coronary artery disease (or myocardial infarction), the associated clinical guideline summaries focus on the role of platelet inhibitors in disease management.

In addition, while not Food and Drug Administration-approved, the use of clopidogrel in combination with aspirin to reduce the risk of major vascular events, including stroke, can be considered in patients with atrial fibrillation in whom oral anticoagulation with warfarin is considered unsuitable. Patients with atrial





^{*}The dosage should be increased by no more than 0.5 mg/day in any one week.

[†]An open-label safety and pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic study was conducted in children seven to 14 years of age. ‡Administer with daily aspirin (75 to 325 mg).

[§]May be administered with or without a loading dose.

As adjunct to the usual warfarin therapy. Aspirin is not to be administered concomitantly with coumarin anticoagulants.

The safety and efficacy of the 5 mg dose have not been prospectively studied.

[#]Patients receiving ticagrelor should receive a typical initial loading dose of aspiring (325 mg), followed by a daily maintenance dose of aspirin of 75 to 100 mg.

^{**}Take with food and with antiplatelet doses of aspirin.

^{††}Take with food.

^{‡‡}Aspirin/dipyridamole is not interchangeable with the individual components of aspirin and dipyridamole.

fibrillation, who have undergone percutaneous coronary intervention or revascularization surgery, may also be considered for low-dose aspirin and/or clopidogrel in combination with anticoagulation therapy to prevent myocardial ischemic events. Of note, this treatment strategy has not been thoroughly evaluated and puts a patient at an increased risk of bleeding. ¹⁸⁻²⁰

Table 11. Clinical Guidelines

Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
American College of	Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation (AF)
Chest Physicians:	Patients with AF, including those with paroxysmal AF, who are at low risk
Antithrombotic	of stroke: no therapy is suggested over antithrombotic therapy. For
Therapy and	patients who choose antithrombotic therapy, aspirin is suggested over
Prevention of	oral anticoagulation or combination therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel.
Thrombosis, 9 th	Patients with AF, including those with paroxysmal AF, who are at
edition (2012) ⁸	intermediate risk of stroke: oral anticoagulation is recommended over no
	therapy. Oral anticoagulation is suggested over aspirin or combination
	therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel. For patients who are unsuitable for
	or choose not to take an oral anticoagulant, combination therapy with
	aspirin and clopidogrel are suggested over aspirin.
	Patients with AF, including those with paroxysmal AF, who are at high risk
	of stroke: oral anticoagulation is recommended over no therapy, aspirin,
	or combination therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel. For patients who are
	unsuitable for or choose not to take an oral anticoagulant, combination
	therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel is recommended over aspirin.
	Patients with AF, including those with paroxysmal AF: for
	recommendations in favor of oral anticoagulation, dabigatran 150 mg
	twice daily is suggested over adjusted-dose vitamin K antagonist (VKA)
	therapy (target international normalized ratio [INR] range, 2.0 to 3.0).
	Patients with AF and mitral stenosis: adjusted-dose VKA therapy is
	recommended over no therapy, aspirin, or combination therapy with
	aspirin and clopidogrel. For patients who are unsuitable for or choose not
	to take adjusted-dose VKA therapy, combination therapy with aspirin and
	clopidogrel is recommended over aspirin alone.
	Patients with AF and stable coronary artery disease and who choose oral anticographic adjusted does VKA thereby close is suggested ever the
	anticoagulation: adjusted-dose VKA therapy alone is suggested over the
	combination of adjusted-dose VKA therapy and aspirin.
	Patients with AF at high risk of stroke during the first month after placement of a bare-metal stent or the first three to six months after
	placement of a drug-eluting stent: triple therapy (e.g., VKA therapy,
	aspirin, and clopidogrel) is suggested over dual antiplatelet therapy (e.g.,
	aspirin, and clopidogrel) is suggested over dual antiplatelet therapy (e.g., aspirin and clopidogrel). After this initial period, a VKA plus a single
	antiplatelet agent is suggested over a VKA alone. At 12 months after
	stent placement, antithrombotic therapy is suggested as for patients with
	AF and stable coronary artery disease.
	Patients with AF at intermediate risk of stroke during the first 12 months
	after placement of a stent: dual antiplatelet therapy is suggested over
	triple therapy. At 12 months after stent placement, antithrombotic therapy
	is suggested as for patients with AF and stable coronary artery disease.
	Patients with AF at intermediate to high risk of stroke who experience an
	acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and do not undergo stent placement, for
	the first 12 months: adjusted-dose VKA therapy plus single antiplatelet
	therapy is suggested over dual antiplatelet therapy or triple therapy. After
	the first 12 months, antithrombotic therapy is suggested as for patients
	with AF and stable coronary artery disease.





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Cilinical Guldellile	
	Patients with AF at low risk of stroke: dual antiplatelet therapy is suggested over adjusted-dose VKA therapy plus single antiplatelet therapy or triple therapy. After the first 12 months, antithrombotic therapy
	is suggested as for patients with AF and stable coronary artery disease.
	 Patients with AF being managed with a rhythm control strategy: it is
	suggested that antithrombotic therapy decisions follow the general risk-
	based recommendations for patients with nonrheumatic AF, regardless of the apparent persistence of normal sinus rhythm.
	Patients with atrial flutter: it is suggested that antithrombotic therapy
	decisions follow the same risk-based recommendations as for AF.
	Antithrombotic therapy for ischemic stroke
	 In patients with acute ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA), early (within 48 hours) aspirin 160 to 325 mg is recommended over therapeutic parenteral anticoagulation.
	In patients with a history of noncardioembolic ischemic stroke or TIA,
	aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily), clopidogrel (75 mg daily),
	aspirin/dipyridamole extended-release (ER) (25 mg/200 mg twice daily) or cilostazol (100 mg twice daily) is recommended over oral anticoagulants, the combination of clopidogrel plus aspirin or triflusal.
	Clopidogrel or aspirin/dipyridamole ER is recommended over aspirin or cilostazol.
	In patients with a history of ischemic stroke or TIA and AF, oral
	anticoagulation with dabigatran 150 mg twice daily is recommended over VKA therapy.
	 In patients who are unable to or choose not to take an oral anticoagulant, the combination of aspirin plus clopidogrel is recommended over aspirin alone.
	Drimary and accordary provention of cardiovaccular discose
	Primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease
	• Patients ≥50 years of age without symptomatic cardiovascular disease: low dose aspirin (75 to 100 mg/day) is suggested over no aspirin therapy.
	 Patients with established coronary artery disease: long term single antiplatelet therapy with aspirin (75 to 100 mg/day) or clopidogrel (75
	mg/day) is recommended over no antiplatelet therapy, and single antiplatelet therapy is suggested over dual antiplatelet therapy.
	 Patients in the first year after ACS who have not undergone percutaneous
	coronary intervention (PCI): dual antiplatelet therapy (ticagrelor 90 mg
	twice daily plus low dose aspirin 75 to 100 mg/day or clopidogrel 75
	mg/day plus low dose aspirin 75 to 100 mg/day) is recommended over
	single antiplatelet therapy. Ticagrelor 90 mg twice daily plus low dose
	aspirin is suggested over clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus low dose aspirin.
	Patients in the first year after an ACS who have undergone PCI with stent
	placement: dual antiplatelet therapy (ticagrelor 90 mg twice daily plus low
	dose aspirin 75 to 100 mg/day, clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus low dose
	aspirin, or prasugrel 10 mg/day plus low dose aspirin) is recommended
	over single antiplatelet therapy. Ticagrelor 90 mg twice daily plus low
	dose aspirin is suggested over clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus low dose
	aspirin.
	Patients with anterior myocardial infarction (MI) and left ventricular (LV) thrombus, or at high risk for LV thrombus, who do not undergo stenting:
	warfarin plus low dose aspirin (75 to 100 mg/day) is recommended over
<u> </u>	1 mariarin pido iom dooc doprim (10 to 100 mg/day) io recommended over





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	single antiplatelet therapy or dual antiplatelet therapy for the first three
	months. Thereafter, it is recommended that warfarin be discontinued and
	dual antiplatelet therapy should be continued for up to 12 months. After
	12 months, single antiplatelet therapy is recommended as per the
	established coronary artery disease recommendations.
	 Patients with anterior MI and LV thrombus, or at high risk LV thrombus,
	who undergo bare-metal stent placement: triple therapy (warfarin, low
	dose aspirin, clopidogrel 75 mg/day) for one month is suggested over
	dual antiplatelet therapy. Warfarin and single antiplatelet therapy for the
	second and third month post-bare-metal stent is suggested over
	alternative regimens and alternative time frames for warfarin use.
	Thereafter, it is recommended that warfarin be discontinued and dual
	antiplatelet therapy should be continued for up to 12 months. After 12
	months, antiplatelet therapy is recommended as per the established
	coronary artery disease recommendations.
	Patients with anterior MI and LV thrombus, or at high risk for LV thrombus
	who undergo drug-eluting stent placement: triple therapy (warfarin, low
	dose aspirin, clopidogrel 75 mg/day) for up to three to six months is
	suggested over alternative regimens and alternative durations of warfarin
	therapy. Thereafter, it is recommended that warfarin be discontinued and
	dual antiplatelet therapy should be continued for up to 12 months. After
	12 months, antiplatelet therapy is recommended as per the established
	coronary artery disease recommendations.
	 Patients who have undergone elective PCI with placement of bare-metal
	stent: dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin 75 to 325 mg/day and
	clopidogrel 75 mg/day for one month is recommended over single
	antiplatelet therapy. For the subsequent 11 months, dual antiplatelet
	therapy with combination low dose aspirin 75 to 100 mg/day and
	clopidogrel 75 mg/day is suggested over single antiplatelet therapy. After
	12 months, single antiplatelet therapy is recommended over continuation
	of dual antiplatelet therapy.Patients who have undergone elective PCI with placement of drug-eluting
	Patients who have undergone elective PCI with placement of drug-eluting stent: dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin 75 to 325 mg/day and
	clopidogrel 75 mg/day for three to six months is recommended over
	single antiplatelet therapy. After three to six months, continuation of dual
	antiplatelet therapy with low dose aspirin 75 to 100 mg/day and
	clopidogrel 75 mg/day is suggested to be continued until 12 months over
	antiplatelet therapy. After 12 months, single antiplatelet therapy is
	recommended over continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy. Single
	antiplatelet therapy thereafter is recommended as per the established
	coronary artery disease recommendations.
	Patients who have undergone elective bare-metal stent or drug-eluting
	stent placement: low dose aspirin 75 to 100 mg/day and clopidogrel 75
	mg/day is recommended over cilostazol in addition to these drugs. Aspirin
	75 to 100 mg/day or clopidogrel 75 mg/day as part of dual antiplatelet
	therapy is suggested over the use of either drug with cilostazol. Cilostazol
	100 mg twice daily as a substitute for either low dose aspirin or
	clopidogrel as part of a dual antiplatelet regimen in patients with an
	allergy or intolerance of either drug class is suggested.
	Patients with coronary artery disease undergoing elective PCI but no
	stent placement: for the first month dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin
	75 to 325 mg/day and clopidogrel 75 mg/day is suggested over single





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Jiiiioui Juidoiiiio	antiplatelet therapy. Single antiplatelet therapy thereafter is
	recommended as per the established coronary artery disease
	recommendations.
	Patients with systolic LV dysfunction without established coronary artery
	disease and no LV thrombus: it is suggested that antiplatelet therapy and
	warfarin not be used.
	 Patients with systolic LV dysfunction without established coronary artery disease with identified acute left thrombus: moderate intensity warfarin for at least three months is suggested.
	Patients with systolic LV dysfunction and established coronary artery
	disease: recommendations are as per the established coronary artery disease recommendations.
	Antithrombotic therapy in peripheral artery disease (PAD)
	In patients with asymptomatic PAD, aspirin 75 to 100 mg daily is recommended.
	In patients with symptomatic PAD, long-term therapy with aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) or clopidogrel (75 mg daily) is recommended for secondary prevention of cardiovascular events. Dual antiplatelet therapy or the combination of an antiplatelet agent with moderate-intensity warfarin is not recommended.
	Use of cilostazol in addition to aspirin or clopidogrel is recommended in patients with intermittent claudication refractory to exercise therapy and smoking cessation.
	Use of prostanoids in addition to aspirin or clopidogrel is recommended in patients with symptomatic PAD and critical leg ischemia who are not candidates for vascular intervention.
	In patients undergoing peripheral artery percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with or without stenting, long-term therapy with aspirin or clopidogrel is recommended over dual antiplatelet therapy.
	Following peripheral artery bypass graft surgery, long-term therapy with aspirin or clopidogrel is recommended over the combination of antiplatelet agent plus warfarin. Clopidogrel plus aspirin for one year is recommended in patients undergoing below-knee bypass graft surgery with prosthetic grafts.
	 In patients with asymptomatic carotid stenosis, aspirin 75 to 100 mg daily is recommended.
	In patients with symptomatic carotid stenosis, long-term therapy with
	clopidogrel (75 mg daily) or aspirin/dipyridamole ER (25 mg/200 mg twice
	daily) is recommended over aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily).
American Heart	Antithrombotic therapy for noncardioembolic stroke or TIA (specifically,
Association/American	atherosclerotic, lacunar, or cryptogenic infarcts)
Stroke Association:	The use of antiplatelet agents rather than oral anticoagulation is
Guidelines for the Prevention of Stroke	recommended to reduce the risk of recurrent stroke and other cardiovascular events.
in Patients with	
Stroke or Transient	Aspirin (50 to 325 mg/day) monotherapy, the combination of aspirin 25 mg and dipyridamole ER 200 mg twice-daily and clopidogrel (75 mg/day)
Ischemic Attack	monotherapy are all acceptable options for initial therapy. The selection
(2011) ⁹	of an antiplatelet agent should be individualized on the basis of patient
	risk factor profiles, cost, tolerance, and other clinical characteristics.
	The risk of hemorrhage is increased when aspirin is added to clopidogrel; therefore, the combination is not recommended for routine secondary





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Omnoai Guidenne	prevention after ischemic stroke or TIA.
	For patients allergic to aspirin, clopidogrel is reasonable.
	For patients who have an ischemic stroke while taking aspirin, there is no
	evidence that increasing the dose of aspirin provides additional benefit.
	Although alternative antiplatelet agents are often considered, no single
	agent or combination has been studied in patients who have had an
	event while receiving aspirin.
	event while receiving aspirin.
	Recommendations for patients with cardioembolic stroke types
	• AF:
	For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA with paroxysmal or
	permanent AF, anticoagulation with a VKA (target INR, 2.0 to 3.0)
	is recommended.
	For patients unable to take oral anticoagulants, aspirin alone is
	recommended.
	The combination of clopidogrel plus aspirin carries a risk of
	bleeding similar to that of warfarin and therefore is not
	recommended for patients with a hemorrhagic contraindication to
	warfarin.
	 For patients with AF at high risk for stroke who require temporary
	interruption of oral anticoagulation, bridging therapy with a low
	molecular weight heparin agent administered subcutaneously is
	reasonable.
	Acute MI and LV thrombus:
	Patients with ischemic stroke or TIA in the setting of an acute MI
	complicated by LV mural thrombus formation should be treated
	with oral anticoagulation (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0 to 3.0) for at
	least three months.
	Cardiomyopathy:
	In patients with prior stroke or transient cerebral ischemic attack
	in sinus rhythm who have cardiomyopathy characterized by
	systolic dysfunction, the benefit of warfarin has not been
	established.
	 Warfarin (INR, 2.0 to 3.0), aspirin (81 mg/day), clopidogrel (75
	mg/day), or the combination of aspirin (25 mg twice-daily) plus
	ER dipyridamole (200 mg twice-daily) may be considered to
	prevent recurrent ischemic events in patients with pervious
	ischemic stroke or TIA and cardiomyopathy.
	Native valvular heart disease:
	 For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA who have rheumatic
	mitral valve disease, whether or not AF is present, long-term
	warfarin therapy is reasonable with an INR target range of 2.5
	(range, 2.0 to 3.0).
	 To avoid additional bleeding risk, antiplatelet agents should not
	be routinely added to warfarin.
	 For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA and native aortic or non-
	rheumatic mitral valve disease who do not have AF, antiplatelet
	therapy may be reasonable.
	 For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA and mitral annular
	calcification, antiplatelet therapy may be considered.
	 For patients with mitral valve prolapse who have ischemic stroke
	or TIA, long-term antiplatelet therapy may be considered.
L	1 2, 3





Clinical Guidalina	Decommondations
Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	Prosthetic heart valves: For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA who have mechanical prosthetic heart valves, warfarin is recommended with a target INR of 3.0 (range, 2.5 to 3.5). For patients with prosthetic heart valves who have an ischemic stroke or systemic embolism despite adequate therapy with oral anticoagulants, aspirin 75 to 100 mg/day in addition to oral anticoagulants and maintenance of the INR at a target of 3.0 (range, 2.5 to 3.5) is reasonable if the patient is not at high risk of bleeding. For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA who have bioprosthetic heart valves with no other source of thromboembolism,
	anticoagulation with warfarin (INR 2.0 to 3.0) may be considered.
American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association: 2012 Focused Update Incorporated Into the 2007 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Unstable Angina/Non-ST- Elevation Myocardial Infarction (2012) ¹⁰	 Early hospital care-antiplatelet therapy Aspirin should be administered as soon as possible after hospital presentation and continued indefinitely in patients who tolerate it. A loading dose followed by daily maintenance dose of clopidogrel, prasugrel or ticagrelor should be administered to patients who are unable to take aspirin because of hypersensitivity or major gastrointestinal intolerance. Patients with a definite diagnosis who are at medium or high risk and in whom an initial invasive strategy is selected should receive dual antiplatelet therapy on presentation. Aspirin should be initiated on presentation, and the choice of a second antiplatelet agent to be added to aspirin on presentation should include one of the following: Before PCI: clopidogrel, ticagrelor or an intravenous (IV) glycoprotein (GP) Ilb/Illa inhibitor. At the time of PCI: clopidogrel, prasugrel, ticagrelor or an IV GP Ilb/Illa inhibitor. For an initial conservative strategy, clopidogrel or ticagrelor (loading dose followed by daily maintenance dose) should be added to aspirin and anticoagulant therapy as soon as possible after admission and administered for up to one year. If recurrent symptoms/ischemia, heart failure or serious arrhythmias subsequently appear after an initial conservative strategy, diagnostic angiography should be added to aspirin and anticoagulant therapy before diagnostic angiography. A loading dose of P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor is recommended for whom PCI is planned. Regimens include one of the following: Clopidogrel 600 mg given as early as possible before or at the time of PCI. Prasugrel 60 mg given promptly and no later than one hour after PCI once coronary anatomy is defined and a decision is made to proceed with PCI. Ticagrelor 180 mg given as early as possible before or at the





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Cillical Guideline	anticipated benefits afforded by P2Y ₁₂ receptor inhibitor therapy,
	earlier discontinuation should be considered.
	 If recurrent ischemia discomfort with a P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor, aspirin
	and anticoagulant therapy is experienced with an initial conservative
	strategy, it is reasonable to add a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor before diagnostic
	angiography.
	 For an initial invasive strategy, it is reasonable to omit administration of
	an IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor if bivalirudin is selected as the anticoagulant and at least 300 mg of clopidogrel was administered at least six hours earlier
	than planned catheterization or PCI.
	 For an initial conservative strategy, it may be reasonable to add
	eptifibatide or tirofiban to anticoagulant and oral antiplatelet therapy.
	 Prasugrel 60 mg may be considered for administration promptly upon presentation if PCI is planned, before definition of coronary anatomy if both the risk of bleeding is low and the need for coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) is considered unlikely.
	 The use of upstream GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors may be considered in high-risk patients already receiving aspirin and a P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor who are selected for an invasive strategy and who are not otherwise at high-risk
	for bleeding.
	In patients with a definite diagnosis undergoing PCI as part of an early
	invasive strategy, the use of a loading dose of clopidogrel 600 mg,
	followed by a higher maintenance dose of 150 mg/day for six days, then
	75 mg/day may be reasonable in patients not considered at high risk for bleeding.
	 Abciximab should not be administered to patients in whom PCI is not planned.
	In patients at low risk for ischemic events or at high-risk of bleeding and
	who are already receiving aspirin and a P2Y ₁₂ receptor inhibitor, upstream GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors are not recommended.
	 In patients with a history of stroke and/or TIA for whom PCI is planned,
	prasugrel is potentially harmful as part of dual antiplatelet therapy.
	Additional antiplatelet and anticoagulation therapy
	 In an initial conservative strategy with no subsequent features that would necessitate diagnostic angiography, a stress test should be performed. If the patient is classified as not at low-risk, diagnostic
	angiography should be performed.
	o If the patient is classified as being at low-risk, the following
	should take place in preparation for discharge: Continue aspirin indefinitely.
	 Continue aspirir indefinitely. Continue clopidogrel or ticagrelor for up to one year.
	 Discontinue IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor if started previously.
	 Continue unfractionated heparin (UFH) for 48 hours or
	administer enoxaparin or fondaparinux for the duration of
	hospitalization, up to eight days, and then discontinue
	anticoagulant therapy.
	If CABG was selected as a post-angiography management strategy, the
	following instructions should be followed:
	 Continue aspirin.
	 Discontinue IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor four hours before CABG.
	 Anticoagulant therapy should be managed as follows:





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	Continue UFH. Prince of the Continue Conti
	Discontinue enoxaparin 12 to 24 hours, fondaparinux 24 bours and bireliguidin three hours before CARC and deep
	hours and bivalirudin three hours before CABG and dose
	with UFH per institutional practice.
	In patients taking a P2Y ₁₂ receptor inhibitor in whom CABG is planned and again to deleve difference and add that the discretizated to
	and can be delayed, it is recommended that the drug be discontinued to
	allow for dissipation of the antiplatelet effect. The period of withdrawal
	should be at least five days in patients receiving clopidogrel or ticagrelor
	and at least seven days in those receiving prasugrel unless the need for
	revascularization and/or the net benefit of the P2Y ₁₂ receptor inhibitor
	outweighs the potential risk of excess bleeding.
	 When PCI has been selected as a post-angiography management strategy, the following instructions should be followed:
	 Continue aspirin.
	 Administer a loading dose of a P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor if not
	started before diagnostic angiography.
	 Discontinue anticoagulant therapy after PCI for uncomplicated
	cases.
	When medical therapy is selected as a management strategy and no
	significant obstructive coronary artery disease on angiography is present,
	antiplatelet and anticoagulant therapy should be administered at the
	discretion of the clinician. For patients in whom evidence of coronary
	atherosclerosis is present, albeit without flow-limiting stenosis, long-term
	treatment with aspirin and other secondary prevention measures should
	be prescribed.
	When medical therapy is selected and coronary artery disease is present
	on angiography, the following approach is recommended:
	 Continue aspirin.
	 Administer a loading dose of clopidogrel or ticagrelor if not given
	before diagnostic angiography.
	 Discontinue IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor if started previously.
	Anticoagulant therapy should be managed as follows:
	Continue IV UFH for at least 48 hours or until discharge if
	given before diagnostic angiography. Continue enoxaparin and fondaparinux for duration of
	 Continue enoxaparin and fondaparinux for duration of hospitalization, up to eight days, if given before
	diagnostic angiography.
	Either discontinue bivalirudin or continue at a dose of
	0.25 mg/kg per hour for up to 72 hours at the physician's
	discretion if given before diagnostic angiography.
	When a conservative strategy is selected and no angiography or stress
	testing is performed, the following instructions should be followed:
	 Continue aspirin indefinitely.
	 Continue clopidogrel or ticagrelor for up to 12 months.
	 Discontinue IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor if started previously.
	 Continue UFH for 48 hours or administer enoxaparin or
	fondaparinux for the duration of hospitalization, up to eight days,
	and then discontinue anticoagulant therapy.
	When an initial conservative strategy is selected and no subsequent
	features appear that would necessitate diagnostic angiography, LV
	ejection fraction should be measured.
	When PCI is selected as a post-angiography management strategy, it is





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Omnoai Galacinie	reasonable to administer an IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor if not started before
	diagnostic angiography, particularly for troponin-positive and/or other high-risk patients.
	 When PCI is selected as a management strategy, it is reasonable to omit administration of an IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor if bivalirudin was selected as the anticoagulant and at least 300 mg of clopidogrel was administered at least six hours earlier. If LV ejection fraction is ≤0.4, it is reasonable to perform diagnostic
	 If LV ejection fraction is ≤0.4, it is reasonable to perform diagnostic angiography. If LV ejection fraction is >0.4, it is reasonable to perform a stress test.
	 Platelet function testing to determine platelet inhibitory response in patients on P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor therapy may be considered if results of testing may alter management.
	 Genotyping for a cytochrome P450 2C19 loss of function variant on P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor therapy might be considered if results of testing may alter management.
	 IV fibrinolytic therapy is not indicated in patients without acute ST- elevation, a true posterior MI, or a presumed new left bundle-branch block.
	Long-term medical therapy and secondary prevention
	For patients treated medically without stenting, aspirin should be administered indefinitely. Clopidogrel (75 mg/day) or ticagrelor (90 mg twice daily) should be administered for up to 12 months.
	 For patients treated with a stent, aspirin should be continued indefinitely. The duration and maintenance dose of P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor should be:
	 Clopidogrel 75 mg/day, prasugrel 10 mg/day or ticagrelor 90 mg twice daily for at least 12 months for drug eluting stent and up to 12 months for bare metal stent. If the risk of morbidity because of bleeding outweighs the
	anticipated benefits afforded by P2Y ₁₂ receptor inhibitor therapy, earlier discontinuation should be considered.
	 Clopidogrel 75 mg/day, prasugrel 10 mg/day or ticagrelor 90 mg twice daily should be given to patients recovering from unstable angina (UA)/non-ST-elevation MI (NSTEMI) when aspirin is contraindicated or not tolerated because of hypersensitivity or gastrointestinal intolerance.
	After PCI, it is reasonable to use aspirin 81 mg/day in preference to higher maintenance dose.
	 For patients who have an indication for anticoagulation, the addition of warfarin may be reasonable to maintain an INR of 2.0 to 3.0.
	 Continuation of a P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor beyond 12 months may be considered in patients following drug eluting stent placement.
Furancan Casista at	Dipyridamole is not recommended as an antiplatelet in post-UA/NSTEMI patients because it has not been shown to be effective. December of the patients for and patients because it has not been shown to be effective.
European Society of Cardiology:	Recommendations for oral antiplatelet agents
Guideline for the	Aspirin should be given to all patients without contraindications at an initial loading dose of 150 to 300 mg; maintenance doses should be
Management of	between 75 to 100 mg daily regardless of treatment strategy.
Acute Coronary	A P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor should be added to aspirin as soon as possible and
Syndromes in	maintained over 12 months, unless there are contraindications.
Patients Presenting	A proton pump inhibitor (preferably not omeprazole) is recommended in





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Without Persistent	combination with dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with a history of
ST-Segment	
Elevation (2011) ¹¹	gastrointestinal hemorrhage or peptic ulcer, and is appropriate for
Elevation (2011)	patients with multiple other risk factors (e.g., <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> infection,
	age ≥65 years, concurrent use of anticoagulants or steroids).
	Prolonged or permanent withdrawal of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitors within 12 months the linday exert is discoursed upless aliminally warranted.
	after the index event is discouraged unless clinically warranted.
	Ticagrelor (180 mg loading dose, 90 mg twice daily) is recommended for all patients at moderate to high risk of ischemic events (e.g., elevated).
	,
	troponins), regardless of initial treatment strategy and including those pretreated with clopidogrel. Clopidogrel should be discontinued when
	ticagrelor is initiated.
	 Prasugrel (60 mg loading dose, 10 mg daily) is recommended for P2Y₁₂ inhibitor naïve patients (particularly diabetics) in whom coronary anatomy
	is known and who are proceeding to PCI unless there is a high risk of life-
	threatening bleeding or other contraindications.
	 Clopidogrel (300 mg loading dose, 75 mg daily) is recommended for those who cannot receive ticagrelor or prasugrel.
	A 600 mg loading dose (or a supplementary 300 mg dose at PCI
	following an initial 300 mg loading dose) is recommended for
	patients scheduled for invasive strategy when ticagrelor or
	prasugrel is not an option.
	 A higher maintenance dose of 150 mg/day should be considered
	for the first seven days in patients managed with PCI and without
	increased risk of bleeding.
	 Increasing the maintenance dose of clopidogrel based on platelet
	function testing is not advised as routine, but may be considered
	in selected cases.
	 Genotyping and/or platelet function testing can be considered in
	selected cases when clopidogrel is used.
	 In patients pretreated with P2Y₁₂ inhibitors who need to undergo
	nonemergency major surgery (including CABG), postponing surgery for at
	least five days after cessation of ticagrelor or clopidogrel, and seven days
	for prasugrel, if clinically feasible and unless the patient is at high risk of
	ischemic events should be considered.
	Ticagrelor or clopidogrel should be considered to be re-started after OARC support to a second still park.
	CABG surgery as soon as it is safe.
	The combination of aspirin with a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory is not recommended.
American College of	recommended. Antiplatelet therapy to support primary PCI for STEMI
Cardiology	Aspirin 162 to 325 mg should be given before primary PCI.
Foundation/American	 Aspirin Toz to 323 mg should be given before primary P.Cr. After PCI, aspirin should be continued indefinitely.
Heart Association:	 A loading dose of a P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor should be given as early as
Guideline for the	possible or at time of primary PCI to patients with STEMI. Options include
Management of ST-	clopidogrel 600 mg, prasugrel 60 mg or ticagrelor 180 mg.
Elevation Myocardial	 P2Y₁₂ inhibitor therapy should be given for one year to patients with
Infarction (2013) ¹²	STEMI who receive a stent (bare-metal or drug-eluting) during primary
	PCI using clopidogrel 75 mg/day, prasugrel 10 mg/day or ticagrelor 90
	mg twice daily.
	 It is reasonable to use 81 mg of aspirin per day in preference to higher
	maintenance doses after primary PCI.
	It is reasonable to start treatment with an IV GP IIb/IIIa receptor
	antagonist such as abciximab, high bolus-dose tirofiban or double-bolus





0" : 10 :1"	
Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	eptifibatide at the time of primary PCI (with or without stenting or clopidogrel pre-treatment) in selected patients with STEMI who are receiving UFH.
	It may be reasonable to administer IV GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist in the precatheterization laboratory setting (e.g., ambulance, emergency department) to patients with STEMI for whom primary PCI is intended.
	 It may be reasonable to administer intracoronary abciximab to patients with STEMI undergoing primary PCI.
	 Continuation of a P2Y₁₂ inhibitor beyond one year may be considered in patients undergoing drug-eluting stent placement.
	 Prasugrel should not be administered to patients with a history of prior stroke or TIA.
	Anticoagulant therapy to support primary PCI For patients with STEMI undergoing primary PCI, the following supportive anticoagulant regimens are recommended: UFH, with additional boluses administered as needed to maintain therapeutic activated clotting time levels, taking into account whether a GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist has
	 been administered or bivalirudin with or without prior treatment with UFH. In patients with STEMI undergoing PCI who are at high risk of bleeding, it is reasonable to use bivalirudin monotherapy in preference to the combination of UFH and a GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist. Fondaparinux should not be used as the sole anticoagulant to support primary PCI because of the risk of catheter thrombosis.
	 Adjunctive antiplatelet therapy with fibrinolysis Aspirin (162- to 325-mg loading dose) and clopidogrel (300 mg loading dose for ≤75 year of age, 75-mg dose for patients >75 years of age) should be administered to patients with STEMI who receive fibrinolytic therapy.
	 Aspirin should be continued indefinitely and clopidogrel (75 mg daily) should be continued for at least 14 days and up to one year in patients with STEMI who receive fibrinolytic therapy.
	 It is reasonable to use aspirin 81 mg per day in preference to higher maintenance doses after fibrinolytic therapy.
	 Adjunctive anticoagulant therapy with fibrinolysis Patients with STEMI undergoing reperfusion with fibrinolytic therapy should receive anticoagulant therapy for a minimum of 48 hours, and preferably for the duration of the hospitalization, up to eight days or until revascularization if performed.
	• Recommended regimens include UFH administered as a weight-adjusted IV bolus and infusion to obtain an activated partial thromboplastin time of 1.5 to 2.0 times control, for 48 hours or until revascularization; enoxaparin administered according to age, weight, and creatinine clearance, given as an IV bolus, followed in 15 minutes by subcutaneous injection for the duration of the index hospitalization, up to eight days or until revascularization; or fondaparinux administered with initial IV dose, followed in 24 hours by daily subcutaneous injections if the estimated creatinine clearance is greater than 30 mL/min, for the duration of the index hospitalization, up to eight days or until revascularization.





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Jiiiioai Jaiaciiiic	Antiplatelet therapy to support PCI after fibrinolytic therapy
	After PCI, aspirin should be continued indefinitely.
	Clopidogrel should be provided as a 300 mg loading dose given before or
	at the time of PCI to patients who did not receive a previous loading dose
	and who are undergoing PCI within 24 hours of receiving fibrinolytic
	therapy; a 600 mg loading dose given before or at the time of PCI to
	patients who did not receive a previous loading dose and who are
	undergoing PCI more than 24 hours after receiving fibrinolytic therapy;
	and a dose of 75 mg daily should be given after PCI.
	After PCI, it is reasonable to use 81 mg of aspirin per day in preference to
	higher maintenance doses.
	Prasugrel, in a 60 mg loading dose, is reasonable once the coronary
	anatomy is known in patients who did not receive a previous loading dose
	of clopidogrel at the time of administration of a fibrinolytic agent, but
	prasugrel should not be given sooner than 24 hours after administration
	of a fibrin-specific agent or 48 hours after administration of a non-fibrin-
	specific agent.
	Prasugrel, in a 10 mg daily maintenance dose, is reasonable after PCI.
	Prasugrel should not be administered to patients with a history of prior
	stroke or TIA.
	Anticoagulant therapy to support PCI after fibrinolytic therapy
	For patients with STEMI undergoing PCI after receiving fibrinolytic
	therapy with IV UFH, additional boluses of IV UFH should be
	administered as needed to support the procedure, taking into account
	whether GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonists have been administered.
	For patients with STEMI undergoing PCI after receiving fibrinolytic
	therapy with enoxaparin, if the last subcutaneous dose was administered
	within the prior eight hours, no additional enoxaparin should be given; if
	the last subcutaneous dose was administered between eight and 12
American College of	hours earlier, enoxaparin 0.3 mg/kg IV should be given. Interventional pharmacotherapy-oral antiplatelet therapy
Cardiology	Patients already taking daily aspirin therapy should take 81 to 325 mg
Foundation/American	before PCI.
Heart Association/	Patients not on aspirin therapy should be given non-enteric aspirin 325
Society for	mg before PCI.
Cardiovascular	After PCI, use of aspirin should be continued indefinitely.
Angiography and	A loading dose of one of the following P2Y ₁₂ receptor inhibitors should be
Interventions:	given to patients undergoing PCI with stenting: clopidogrel 600 mg (ACS
2011 Guideline for	and non-ACS patients), prasugrel 60 mg (ACS patients), or ticagrelor 180
Percutaneous	mg (ACS) patients.
Coronary	The loading dose of clopidogrel for patients undergoing PCI after
Intervention (2011) ¹³	fibrinolytic therapy should be 300 mg within 24 hours and 600 mg more
	than 24 hours after receiving fibrinolytic therapy.
	Patients should be counseled on the need for and risks of dual
	antiplatelet therapy before placement of intracoronary stents, especially
	drug-eluting stents, and alternative therapies should be pursued if
	patients are unwilling or unable to comply with the recommended duration of dual antiplatelet therapy.
	 The duration of P2Y₁₂ inhibitor therapy after stent implantation should
	generally be as follows:
	o In patients receiving a stent (bare metal or drug eluting stent)
L	in patients receiving a sterit (bare metal or aray crating sterit)





011 1 1 0 1 1 11	T
Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	during PCI for ACS, P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor therapy with one of the
	following options should be given for at least 12 months:
	clopidogrel 75 mg/day, prasugrel 10 mg/day, or ticagrelor 90 mg twice-daily.
	o In patients receiving drug-eluting stent for a non-ACS indication, clopidogrel 75 mg/day should be given for at least 12 months if
	patients are not at high risk of bleeding.
	o In patients are not at high risk of bleeding.
	clopidogrel should be given for a minimum of one month and
	ideally up to 12 months (unless the patient is at increased risk of
	bleeding; then it should be given for a minimum of two weeks).
	After PCI, it is reasonable to use aspirin 81 mg/day in preference to
	higher maintenance doses.
	 If the risk of morbidity from bleeding outweighs the anticipated benefit
	afforded by a recommended duration of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor therapy after stent
	implantation, earlier discontinuation (e.g., <12 months) of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor
	therapy is reasonable.
	 Continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy beyond 12 months may be
	considered in patients undergoing drug-eluting stent implantation.
	Prasugrel should not be administered to patients with a prior history of
	stroke or TIA.
	Post-procedural recommendations for patients undergoing PCI
	Aspirin:
	Use of aspirin should be continued indefinitely.
	It is reasonable to use aspirin 81 mg/day in preference to higher
	maintenance doses.
	P2Y ₁₂ inhibitors:
	 In patients receiving a stent (bare-metal or drug-eluting stent) during PCI
	for ACS, therapy with either clopidogrel 75 mg/day, prasugrel 10 mg/day,
	or ticagrelor 90 mg twice-daily should be given for at least 12 months.
	In patients receiving drug-eluting stent for a non-ACS indication,
	clopidogrel 75 mg/day should be given for at least 12 months if patients
	are not at high risk of bleeding.
	In patients receiving bare-metal stent for a non-ACS indication,
	clopidogrel should be given for a minimum of one month and ideally up to
	12 months (unless the patient is at an increased risk of bleeding; then it
	 should be given for a minimum of two weeks). Patients should be counseled on the importance of compliance with dual
	antiplatelet therapy and that therapy should not be discontinued before
	discussion with their cardiologist.
	 Proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior
	gastrointestinal bleeding who require dual antiplatelet therapy.
	 If the risk of morbidity from bleeding outweighs the anticipated benefit
	afforded by a recommended duration of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor therapy after stent
	implantation, either discontinuation (e.g., <12 months) of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor
	therapy is reasonable.
	 Use of proton pump inhibitors is reasonable in patients with an increased
	risk of gastrointestinal bleeding (e.g., advanced age, concomitant use of
	warfarin, steroids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, <i>Helicobacter</i>
	pylori infection) who require dual antiplatelet therapy.
	Continuation of clopidogrel, prasugrel, or ticagrelor beyond 12 months





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Omnour Guidenne	may be considered in patients undergoing placement of drug-eluting
	 stent. Routine use of a proton pump inhibitor is not recommended for patients at low risk of gastrointestinal bleeding, who have much less potential to benefit from prophylactic therapy.
National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence: Myocardial Infarction: Secondary Prevention in Primary and Secondary Care for Patients Following a Myocardial Infarction (2007) ¹⁴	 Clopidogrel genetic testing Genetic testing might be considered to identify whether a patient at high risk for poor clinical outcomes is predisposed to inadequate platelet inhibition with clopidogrel. When a patient predisposed to inadequate platelet inhibition with clopidogrel is identified by genetic testing, treatment with an alternative P2Y₁₂ inhibitor (e.g., prasugrel, ticagrelor) might be considered. The routine clinical use of genetic testing to screen patients treated with clopidogrel who are undergoing PCI is not recommended. Aspirin is recommended in all patients after a MI and should be continued indefinitely. Clopidogrel should not be offered as first-line monotherapy after a MI. Clopidogrel combined with low dose aspirin for 12 months is recommended in patients who have had a NSTE ACS who are at moderate to high risk of MI or death. Thereafter, patients may be treated with low dose aspirin without clopidogrel in the absence of indication for dual antiplatelet therapy. Patients who have been treated with aspirin and clopidogrel within the first 24 hours of an STEMI should continue on dual antiplatelet therapy for at least four weeks. Thereafter, low-dose aspirin should be continued, and clopidogrel discontinued in the absence of indication for dual antiplatelet therapy. If both clopidogrel and aspirin were not given during the acute phase of a MI, this combination should not routinely be initiated. Dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel should not be used for longer than 12 months after an acute MI unless another indication for dual antiplatelet therapy exists. After a STEMI, the combination of aspirin and clopidogrel is usually recommended for a shorter duration than 12 months. Clopidogrel monotherapy is an alternative treatment in patients with aspirin hypersensitivity.
American College of	Lipid management
Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association/	Lifestyle modifications, including daily physical activity and weight management, are strongly recommended for all patients with stable ischemic heart disease (SIHD).
American College of	Dietary therapy for all patients should include reduced intake of saturated





Clinical Guideline Recommendations Physicians/American fats (to <7% of total calories), trans fatty acids (to <1% of total calories), Association for and cholesterol (to <200 mg/d). Thoracic Surgery/ In addition to therapeutic lifestyle changes, a moderate or high dose of a Preventive statin therapy should be prescribed, in the absence of contraindications Cardiovascular Nurses or documented adverse effects. Association/Society for Cardiovascular Blood pressure management Angiography and All patients should be counseled about the need for lifestyle modification: Interventions/Society weight control; increased physical activity; alcohol moderation; sodium of Thoracic Surgeons: reduction; and emphasis on increased consumption of fresh fruits. Guideline for the vegetables, and low-fat dairy products. Diagnosis and In patients with SIHD with blood pressure 140/90 mm Hg or higher, Management of antihypertensive drug therapy should be instituted in addition to or after a **Patients with Stable** trial of lifestyle modifications. Ischemic Heart The specific medications used for treatment of high blood pressure Disease (2012)¹⁵ should be based on specific patient characteristics and may include angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and/or beta blockers, with addition of other drugs, such as thiazide diuretics or calcium channel blockers, if needed to achieve a goal blood pressure of less than 140/90 mm Hg. Diabetes management For selected individual patients, such as those with a short duration of diabetes mellitus and a long life expectancy, a goal glycosylated hemoglobin A1c (Hb_{A1c}) of 7% or less is reasonable. A goal Hb_{A1c} between 7 and 9% is reasonable for certain patients according to age, history of hypoglycemia, presence of microvascular or macrovascular complications, or presence of coexisting medical conditions. Initiation of pharmacotherapy interventions to achieve target Hb_{A1c} might be reasonable. Therapy with rosiglitazone should not be initiated in patients with SIHD. Antiplatelet therapy Treatment with aspirin 75 to 162 mg daily should be continued indefinitely in the absence of contraindications in patients with SIHD. Treatment with clopidogrel is reasonable when aspirin is contraindicated in patients with SIHD. Treatment with aspirin 75 to 162 mg daily and clopidogrel 75 mg daily might be reasonable in certain high-risk patients with SIHD. Dipyridamole is not recommended as antiplatelet therapy for patients with SIHD. Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone blocker therapy ACE inhibitors should be prescribed in all patients with SIHD who also have hypertension, diabetes mellitus, LV ejection fraction 40% or less, or chronic kidney disease, unless contraindicated. Angiotensin-receptor blockers are recommended for patients with SIHD who have hypertension, diabetes mellitus, LV systolic dysfunction, or chronic kidney disease and have indications for, but are intolerant of, ACE inhibitors. Treatment with an ACE inhibitor is reasonable in patients with both SIHD





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	 and other vascular disease. It is reasonable to use angiotensin-receptor blockers in other patients who are ACE inhibitor intolerant.
	 Influenza vaccination An annual influenza vaccine is recommended for patients with SIHD.
	Additional therapy to reduce risk of MI and death Estrogen therapy is not recommended in postmenopausal women with SIHD with the intent of reducing cardiovascular risk or improving clinical outcomes.
	 Vitamin C, vitamin E, and beta-carotene supplementation are not recommended with the intent of reducing cardiovascular risk or improving clinical outcomes in patients with SIHD. Treatment of elevated homocysteine with folate or vitamins B6 and B12 is
	not recommended with the intent of reducing cardiovascular risk or improving clinical outcomes in patients with SIHD. • Chelation therapy is not recommended with the intent of improving
	 symptoms or reducing cardiovascular risk in patients with SIHD. Treatment with garlic, coenzyme Q10, selenium, or chromium is not recommended with the intent of reducing cardiovascular risk or improving clinical outcomes in patients with SIHD.
The American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association: Practice	Exercise and lower extremity PAD rehabilitation A program of supervised exercise training is recommended as an initial treatment modality for patients with intermittent claudication. Supervised exercise training should be performed for a minimum of 20 to
Guidelines for the Management of Patients with	 Supervised exercise training should be performed for a minimum of 30 to 45 minutes, in sessions performed at least three times/week for a minimum of 12 weeks. The usefulness of unsupervised exercise programs is not well established
Peripheral Artery Disease (2011) ^{16,17}	as an effective initial treatment modality for patients with intermittent claudication.
	 Smoking Cessation Patients who are smokers or former smokers should be asked about status of tobacco use at every visit. Patients with lower extremity PAD who use tobacco should be advised to stop smoking. Patients should be provided with counseling and assistance with
	 developing a plan for smoking cessation. One or more of the following pharmacological therapies should be offered if not contraindicated: varenicline, bupropion and nicotine replacement therapy.
	 Antiplatelet and antithrombotic drugs Antiplatelet therapy is indicated to reduce the risk of MI, stroke and vascular death in patients with symptomatic atherosclerotic lower extremity PAD and in asymptomatic patients with ankle brachial index ≤0.90. The usefulness of antiplatelet therapy is not well established in
	 asymptomatic patients with ankle brachial index between 0.91 and 0.99. Aspirin (75 to 325 mg/day) is recommended to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events. Clopidogrel (75 mg/day) is recommended as an alternative to aspirin. Combination of aspirin and clopidogrel may be considered to reduce the





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Clinical Guideline	risk of cardiovascular events in patients with symptomatic atherosclerotic lower extremity PAD who are at high cardiovascular risk and not at increased risk of bleeding. The addition of warfarin to antiplatelet therapy is of no proven benefit and is potentially harmful due to increased risk of major bleeding. Medical and pharmacological treatment for claudication Cilostazol (100 mg orally twice daily) is indicated as an effective therapy to improve symptoms and increase walking distance in patients with lower extremity PAD and intermittent claudication (in the absence of heart failure). A therapeutic trial of cilostazol should be considered in all patients with lifestyle-limiting claudication (in the absence of heart failure). Pentoxifylline (400 mg three times daily) may be considered as second-line alternative therapy to cilostazol to improve walking distance in patients with intermittent claudication. The clinical effectiveness of pentoxifylline as therapy for intermittent claudication is marginal and not well established. The effectiveness of L-arginine for patients with intermittent claudication is not well established.
	 The effectiveness of ginkgo biloba as a therapy to improve walking distance in patients with intermittent claudication is not well established. Oral vasodilator prostaglandins such as beraprost* and iloprost are not effective medications to improve walking distance in patients with intermittent claudication.
	 Vitamin E is not recommended as a treatment for patients with intermittent claudication. Chelation (e.g. ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) is not indicated for treatment of intermittent claudication and may have harmful adverse effects.
American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association/	With the exception of the recommendations presented in this Focused Update, the full-text guideline remains current. The 2006 guidelines are outlined below. 8
Heart Rhythm Society: Focused Update on the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation (Updating the 2006 Guideline) (2011) ^{18,19}	 Recommendations for combining anticoagulant with antiplatelet therapy Multiple trials have demonstrated that oral anticoagulation with warfarin is effective for the prevention of thromboembolism in AF patients. Aspirin only offers modest protection against stroke in AF patients. Adjusted-dose oral anticoagulation is more efficacious than aspirin for prevention of stroke in patients with AF. The addition of clopidogrel to aspirin to reduce the risk of major vascular events, including stroke, might be considered in patients with AF in whom oral anticoagulation with warfarin is considered unsuitable due to patient preference or the physician's assessment of the patient's ability to safely sustain anticoagulation.
American Heart Association/American Stroke Association: Oral Antithrombotic Agents for the	 Primary prevention Antiplatelet therapy with aspirin is recommended for low-risk and some moderate-risk patients with AF on the basis of patient preference, bleeding risk and access to anticoagulation monitoring. In high-risk patients with AF who are unable to take oral anticoagulants,





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Prevention	dual antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel plus aspirin offers more
of Stroke in	protection against stroke than aspirin alone but is associated with an
Nonvalvular Atrial	increased risk of major bleeding.
Fibrillation (2012) ²⁰	
	Secondary prevention
	In patients who are unable to take oral anticoagulants, aspirin alone is
	recommended. The risk of bleeding of the combination of clopidogrel plus aspirin is similar to warfarin and is therefore not recommended for
	patients with hemorrhagic contraindication to warfarin.
	patients with hemornagic contrainal autor to warrain.
	Combination therapy with new oral anticoagulants
	The safety and efficacy of combining dabigatran, rivaroxaban or apixaban
	with an antiplatelet agent have not been established.
American College of	Aspirin should be started at 75 to 162 mg/day and continued indefinitely
Cardiology/American	in all patients unless contraindicated.
Heart Association:	The use of warfarin in conjunction with aspirin and/or clopidogrel is
2007 Chronic Angina Focused Update of	associated with an increased risk of bleeding and should be monitored
the 2002 Guidelines	closely.
for the Management	
of Patients With	
Chronic Stable	
Angina (2007) ²¹	
European Society of	Therapy to improve prognosis
Cardiology:	Aspirin 75 mg daily is recommended in all patients without specific
Management of	contraindications (e.g., active gastrointestinal bleeding, aspirin allergy,
Stable Angina Pectoris (2006) ²²	previous aspirin intolerance). Clopidogrel is an alternative antiplatelet agent in patients who cannot take aspirin.
1 0010113 (2000)	The use of unopposed cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition is not recommended
	in patients with stable angina pectoris.
	Clopidogrel may be combined with aspirin after coronary stenting or an
	ACS for a finite period of time, but combination therapy is currently not
	recommended in stable angina pectoris.
	Dipyridamole is not recommended for antithrombotic treatment of stable
A	angina.
American Heart	Antiplatelet agents/anticoagulants
Association/American College of Cardiology	 Aspirin 75 to 162 mg daily is recommended in all patients with coronary artery disease unless contraindicated.
Foundation:	Clopidogrel 75 mg daily is recommended as an alternative for
Secondary	patients who are intolerant of or allergic to aspirin.
Prevention and Risk	 Combination therapy with both aspirin 75 to 162 mg daily and
Reduction Therapy	clopidogrel 75 mg daily may be considered in patients with stable
for Patients with	coronary artery disease.
Coronary and Other	A P2Y ₁₂ receptor antagonist in combination with aspirin is indicated in
Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease:	patients after ACS or PCI with stent placement.
2011 Update (2011) ²³	o For patients receiving a bare-metal stent or drug-eluting stent
2011 Opuale (2011)	during PCI or ACS, clopidogrel 75 mg daily, prasugrel 10 mg daily or ticagrelor 90 mg twice daily should be given for at least
	12 months.
	 If the risk of morbidity from bleeding outweighs the anticipated
	benefit afforded by thienopyridine therapy after stent
	implantation, earlier discontinuation (e.g., 12 months) is





reasonable. The risk for serious cardiovascular events becard for early discontinuation of thienopyridines is greater for patinuity drug-eluting stents than those with bare-metal stents. After PCI, it is reasonable to use aspirin 81 mg daily in preference to higher maintenance doses. For patients undergoing CABG, aspirin should be started within six after surgery to reduce saphenous vein graft closure. Dosing regime ranging from 100 to 325 mg daily for one year appear to be efficacie or patients undergoing CABG, clopidogrel (75 mg daily) is reasonable alternative in patients who are intolerant of or all to aspirin. In patients with extracranial carotid or vertebral atherosclerosis who had ischemic stroke or TIA, treatment with aspirin alone (75 to 325 mg daily), clopidogrel alone (75 mg daily) or the combination of aspirin in dipyridamole ER (25 mg and 200 mg twice daily, respectively) shou started and continued. For patients with symptomatic atherosclerotic PAD of the lower extractional and continued. The benefits of aspirin in patients with asymptomatic PAD of lower extremities are not well established. Antiplatelet therapy is recommended in preference to anticoagulant therapy with warfarin or other VKA to treat patients with atheroscler of there is a compelling indication for anticoagulant therapy, as AF, prosthetic heart valve, LV thrombus or concomitant venous thromboembolic disease, warfarin should be administered in addition to the low-dose aspirin (75 to 81 m daily). For patients requiring warfarin, therapy should be administered continued achieve the recommended INR for the specific condition.	
of early discontinuation of thienopyridines is greater for patiwith drug-eluting stents than those with bare-metal stents. After PCI, it is reasonable to use aspirin 81 mg daily in preference to higher maintenance doses. For patients undergoing CABG, aspirin should be started within six after surgery to reduce saphenous vein graft closure. Dosing regime ranging from 100 to 325 mg daily for one year appear to be efficacid. For patients undergoing CABG, clopidogrel (75 mg daily) is reasonable alternative in patients who are intolerant of or all to aspirin. In patients with extracranial carotid or vertebral atherosclerosis who had ischemic stroke or TIA, treatment with aspirin alone (75 to 325 in daily), clopidogrel alone (75 mg daily) or the combination of aspirin properties of the daily, respectively) shou started and continued. For patients with symptomatic atherosclerotic PAD of the lower extrantiplatelet therapy with aspirin (75 to 325 mg daily) or clopidogrel (daily) should be started and continued. The benefits of aspirin in patients with asymptomatic PAD or lower extremities are not well established. Antiplatelet therapy is recommended in preference to anticoagulant therapy with warfarin or other VKA to treat patients with atherosclere or lift there is a compelling indication for anticoagulant therapy, as AF, prosthetic heart valve, LV thrombus or concomitant venous thromboembolic disease, warfarin should be administered in addition to the low-dose aspirin (75 to 81 m daily). For patients requiring warfarin, therapy should be administered in addition to the low-dose aspirin (75 to 81 m daily).	ause
had ischemic stroke or TIA, treatment with aspirin alone (75 to 325 to daily), clopidogrel alone (75 mg daily) or the combination of aspiring dipyridamole ER (25 mg and 200 mg twice daily, respectively) shou started and continued. • For patients with symptomatic atherosclerotic PAD of the lower extrantiplatelet therapy with aspirin (75 to 325 mg daily) or clopidogrel (daily) should be started and continued. • The benefits of aspirin in patients with asymptomatic PAD of lower extremities are not well established. • Antiplatelet therapy is recommended in preference to anticoagulant therapy with warfarin or other VKA to treat patients with atherosclere of there is a compelling indication for anticoagulant therapy, as AF, prosthetic heart valve, LV thrombus or concomitant venous thromboembolic disease, warfarin should be administered in addition to the low-dose aspirin (75 to 81 m daily). • For patients requiring warfarin, therapy should be administered.	hours ens ous. s a illergic
achieve the recommended fixe for the Specific Condition.	mg plus uld be remity, (75 mg of the t rosis. , such
 Use of warfarin in conjunction with aspirin and/or clopidogre associated with increased risk of bleeding and should be monitored closely. 	el is
European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation: European Guidelines on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (2012) ²⁴ By the Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (2012) ²⁴ Clopidogrel (600 mg loading dose, 75 mg daily dose) is recommended for patients with cannot receive ticagrelor or prasugrel. In the chronic phase (>12 months) after MI, aspirin is recommended secondary prevention. In patients with noncardioembolic TIA or ischemic stroke, secondary prevention with dipyridamole plus aspirin or clopidogrel, as alone is recommended.	h se. ith ended r d for





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Cililical Guldelille	anticoagulation is not superior to aspirin and is not recommended.
	 Aspirin or clopidogrel cannot be recommended in individuals without
	cardiovascular or cerebrovascular disease due to the increased risk of
	major bleeding.
European Society of	Major recommendations for individual antiplatelet agents
Cardiology, Task	Aspirin:
Force on the Use of	Aspirin once-daily is recommended in all clinical conditions in which
Antiplatelet Agents in	antiplatelet prophylaxis has a favorable benefit/risk profile.
Patients With	Because of gastrointestinal toxicity and its potential impact on
Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular	compliance, physicians are encouraged to use the lowest dose of aspirin
Disease:	that was shown to be effective in each clinical setting.
Expert Consensus	 The available evidence supports daily doses of aspirin in the range of 75 to 100 mg for the long-term prevention of serious vascular events in high-
Document on the	risk patients (e.g., ≥3% per annum).
Use of Antiplatelet	 In clinical situations where an immediate antithrombotic effect is required
Agents (2004) ²⁵	(such as in ACS or in acute ischemic stroke), a loading dose of 160 to
	300 mg should be given at diagnosis in order to ensure rapid and
	complete inhibition of thromboxane A2-dependent platelet aggregation.
	No test of platelet function is recommended to assess the antiplatelet
	effect of aspirin in the individual patient.
	The routine use of proton pump inhibitors or cytoprotective agents is not
	recommended in patients taking daily doses of aspirin in the range of 75
	to 100 mg, because of lack of randomized trials demonstrating the
	 efficacy of such protective strategies in this setting. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs have been investigated
	inadequately in terms of their potential cardiovascular effects. Thus,
	physicians prescribing these drugs to arthritic patients with prior vascular
	complications should not discontinue treatment with low-dose aspirin.
	Because of potential pharmacodynamic interactions between
	traditional nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (e.g., ibuprofen) and
	aspirin, patients treated with low-dose aspirin requiring nonsteroidal
	ant-inflammatory drug therapy may benefit from the use of selective
	cyclooxegenase-2 inhibitors.
	Ticlopidine:
	The role of ticlopidine in the present therapeutic armamentarium is uncertain.
	 Although there are no large head-to-head comparisons between the two
	thienopyridines, indirect comparisons are highly suggestive of a lower
	burden of serious bone-marrow toxicity with clopidogrel as compared to
	ticlopidine.
	In contrast to clopidogrel, ticlopidine does not have an approved
	indication for patients with a recent MI.
	Clopidogrel:
	Although clopidogrel may be slightly more effective than aspirin, the size
	of any additional benefit is statistically uncertain and the drug has not been
	granted a claim of "superiority" vs aspirin by regulatory authorities.
	Clopidogrel 75 mg/day is an appropriate alternative for high-risk patients with coronary, cerebrovascular or PAD who have a contraindication to low-
	dose aspirin.
	 The results of the Clopidogrel in Unstable Angina to Prevent Recurrent
	Events (CURE) trial have led to Food and Drug Administration approval of
	a new indication for clopidogrel in patients with NSTE ACS. A loading





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	dose of 300 mg clopidogrel should be used in this setting, followed by 75 mg daily. Revision of the existing guidelines will need a consensus agreement by the experts with respect to timing of PCI, length of clopidogrel treatment and combination with GP IIb/IIIa antagonists. Dipyridamole:
	 Although the combination of low-dose aspirin and dipyridamole ER (200 mg twice-daily) is considered an acceptable option for initial therapy of patients with noncardioembolic cerebral ischemic events, there is no basis to recommend this combination in patients with ischemic heart disease.

Conclusions

The platelet inhibitors play an important role in the treatment and prevention of cerebrovascular and cardiovascular diseases. Anagrelide (Agrylin®), clopidogrel (Plavix®), dipyridamole (Persantine®) and ticlopidine (Ticlid®) are available generically, and single-entity aspirin is available in several over-the-counter formulations. Prasugrel (Effient®), ticagrelor (Brilinta®) and the fixed-dose combination product of aspirin and dipyridamole extended-release (ER) (Aggrenox®) are not available generically. Aggrenox® is not interchangeable with the commercially available generic formulations of aspirin and dipyridamole since the strengths and delivery mechanisms are different among these products.¹⁻⁷

Aspirin has been the most frequently studied platelet inhibitor and is usually the reference drug to which other treatments are compared. 47 Aspirin is the platelet inhibitor recommended as first-line in most treatment guidelines for general use. Aspirin is recommended as a first-line option for the initial management of noncardioembolic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA), acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and myocardial infarction (MI) as well as for primary and secondary prevention in patients with cerebrovascular, cardiovascular and peripheral vascular diseases. Low-dose aspirin (75 to 150 mg/day) is an effective platelet inhibitor regimen for long-term use, but in acute settings, an initial loading dose of ≥150 mg may be required. Other platelet inhibitors are usually reserved for patients with contraindications or severe intolerance to aspirin or who have failed aspirin monotherapy or in high-risk patients when dual antiplatelet therapy is recommended. Dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin plus clopidogrel, prasugrel or ticagrelor is recommended for patients with ACS (non ST-elevation MI and unstable angina). Antiplatelet therapy is also recommended in patients with ST-elevation MI. For patients with noncardioembolic ischemic strokes or TIAs, aspirin/dipyridamole is suggested instead of aspirin alone, and clopidogrel may be considered instead of aspirin alone to reduce the risk of recurrent stroke and other cardiovascular events. 8-14 In a trial comparing aspirin plus dipyridamole ER and clopidogrel (with or without telmisartan), results demonstrated that neither treatment was "superior" to the other in the prevention of recurrent stroke.³⁸ For patients who have an ischemic stroke while taking aspirin, there is no evidence that increasing the dose of aspirin provides additional benefit. Although alternative antiplatelet agents are often considered, no single agent or combination product has been studied in patients who have had an event while receiving aspirin.5

Clopidogrel and ticlopidine are adenosine diphosphate receptor antagonists and have been shown to significantly reduce the odds of a serious vascular event in high-risk patients. The CAPRIE trial reported that clopidogrel significantly reduced the combined risk of ischemic stroke, MI and vascular death by 8.7% compared to aspirin in patients with a recent ischemic stroke, MI or established peripheral vascular disease. In a subanalysis of over 6,000 patients who were enrolled in the trial based on a recent ischemic stroke, clopidogrel reduced the risk of the composite endpoint by 7.3% and stroke by 8.0% compared to aspirin; however, these differences were not statistically significant. And CLARITY trials, clopidogrel received a Food and Drug Administration (FDA) indication for the reduction of atherothrombotic events in patients with ACS and MI, and clopidogrel has been incorporated into the current treatment guidelines for the management of these conditions. Prasugrel is a relatively new adenosine diphosphate receptor antagonist which has been reported to be the most potent of these agents and to have more desirable characteristics when compared to clopidogrel with regards to





drug-drug interactions and interpatient enzyme variability. ²⁶⁻²⁸ Approval of this agent was based on the results from the TRITON-TIMI 38 trial, in which prasugrel was significantly more effective in reducing ischemic events in patients with ACS who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) intervention. Of note, no reduction in the mortality rate was seen with prasugrel, and a significantly greater incidence of major, minor, life-threatening and fatal bleeding events was associated with prasugrel. ⁸⁶ A focused update from the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association recommends the use of prasugrel in patients with a STEMI in which PCI is planned. The overall recommendation is for a thienopyridine to be used in these patients, with both clopidogrel and prasugrel listed as potential options. Of note, use of prasugrel in STEMI patients with a prior history of stroke or TIA for whom primary PCI is not recommended. ¹²

Ticagrelor is the newest platelet inhibitor to be FDA-approved, specifically to reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with ACS, including unstable angina, non ST-elevation MI, and STelevation MI.⁵ As a cyclopentyltriazolopyrimidine, ticagrelor works in a similar manner to the other thienopyridine platelet inhibitors (clopidogrel, prasugrel, ticlopidine); however, ticagrelor is a reversible inhibitor of the P2Y₁₂ receptors. In addition, ticagrelor is not a prodrug and therefore does not require enzymatic conversion to become pharmacologically active, and is not subject to potential drug interactions associated with the other agents. ^{5,29} The pivotal clinical trial establishing the safety and efficacy of ticagrelor in reducing the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with ACS is the PLATO trial. PLATO was a large, international, prospective, double-blind, randomized controlled trial comparing ticagrelor and clopidogrel in hospitalized patients with documented ACS, with or without STsegment elevation (N=18,624). After 12 months of treatment, ticagrelor significantly reduced the primary composite endpoint of cardiovascular death, MI or stroke, without increasing the risk of major bleeding. Within the United States, clopidogrel, prasugrel and ticagrelor are all recommended as potential options in patients receiving PCI, while clopidogrel and ticagrelor are both recommended as potential options in patients with unstable angina/non-ST elevation MI who are not undergoing PCI. 10,13 The 2011 European Society of Cardiology guidelines recommend that patients presenting without persistent ST-elevation receive dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin and a platelet inhibitor. Specifically, ticagrelor is recommended for all patients at moderate to high risk of ischemic events, regardless of initial treatment strategy (i.e., invasive vs noninvasive), including those pretreated with clopidogrel. Prasugrel is recommended for P2Y₁₂ inhibitor-naïve patients who are proceeding to PCI, while clopidogrel is recommended for patients who cannot receive ticagrelor or prasugrel.

Clinical trials have shown that ticlopidine reduces the risk of stroke and other vascular outcomes in patients with cerebrovascular disease. Randomized trials that compared ticlopidine with aspirin in stroke or TIA patients produced conflicting results regarding whether ticlopidine is more effective than aspirin. When compared to aspirin alone, and aspirin plus warfarin, treatment with aspirin plus ticlopidine resulted in a lower rate of stent thrombosis following coronary stenting. Because ticlopidine is associated with a risk of life-threatening blood dyscrasias, ticlopidine should be reserved for patients who are intolerant or allergic to aspirin therapy or who have failed aspirin therapy.

Dipyridamole has been shown to reduce stroke recurrence in patients with previous ischemic cerebrovascular disease compared to placebo, but has not been shown to be more effective than aspirin. Aspirin plus dipyridamole significantly reduced the risk of stroke by 37% compared to 18% with aspirin and 16% with dipyridamole. There was no significant difference in all cause mortality among the active treatment groups. Aspirin plus dipyridamole significantly reduced the composite of death, nonfatal stroke or MI and major bleeding to 13% of patients compared to 16% for aspirin monotherapy; however, the combination regimen was discontinued more often, mainly because of headache.

Anagrelide is the only platelet inhibitor to be FDA-approved for the treatment of thrombocythemia associated with myeloproliferative disorders, and the agent has demonstrated safety and efficacy for this indication. 1,96-100





References

- 1. Agrylin® [package insert]. Wayne (PA): Shire US Inc., Inc.; 2011 Nov.
- Plavix[®] [package insert]. Bridgewater (NJ): Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi Pharmaceuticals Partnership; 2011 Dec.
- 3. Dipyridamole [package insert]. Sellersville (PA): TEVA Pharmaceuticals USA; 2011 Feb.
- 4. Effient® [package insert]. Indianapolis (IN): Eli Lilly and Company; 2012 Nov.
- 5. Brilinta® [package insert]. Wilmington (DE): AstraZeneca; 2013 Mar.
- 6. Ticlopidine [package insert]. Sellersville (PA): TEVA Pharmaceuticals USA; 2003 Jun.
- 7. Aggrenox® [package insert]. Ridgefield (CT): Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc; 2012 Sep.
- 8. Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Crowther M, Gutterman DD, Schuunemann HJ; American College of Chest Physicians Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis Panel. Executive summary: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest. 2012 Feb;141(Suppl 2):7-47.
- 9. Furie KL, Kasner SE, Adams RJ, Albers GW, Bush RL, Fagan SC, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke or transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2011 Jan;42(1):227-76.
- 10. Anderson JL, Adams CD, Antman EM, Bridges CR, Califf RM, Casey DE Jr, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA Focused Update Incorporated Into the ACCF/AHA 2007 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Unstable Angina/Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013 Jun 11;61(23):e179-347.
- 11. Hamm CW, Bassand JP, Agewell S, Bax J, Boersma E, Bueno H, et al. ESC guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: the task force for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2011 Dec;32(23):2999-3054.
- 12. American College of Emergency Physicians; Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey DE Jr, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013 Jan 29;61(4):485-510.
- 13. Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, Bailey SR, Bittl JA, Cercek B, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011 Dec 6;58(24):e44-122.
- 14. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. MI: secondary prevention. Secondary prevention in primary and secondary care for patients following a myocardial infarction. London (UK): National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE); 2007 May [cited 2013 Jul 1]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG48NICEGuidance.pdf.
- 15. ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012 Dec 18;60(24):e44-e164.
- 16. Rooke TW, Hirsch AT, Misra S, Sidawy AN, Beckman JA, Findeiss LK, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA focused update of the guideline for the management of patients with peripheral artery disease (updating the 2005 guideline): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;58:2020-45.
- 17. Hirsch AT, Haskal ZJ, Hertzer NR, Bakal CW, Creager MA, Halperin JL, et al. ACC/AHA 2005 guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease (lower extremity, renal, mesenteric, and abdominal aortic): executive summary: a collaborative report from the American Association for Vascular Surgery/Society for Vascular Surgery, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology, Society of Interventional Radiology, and the ACC/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines (writing committee to develop





- guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease). Circulation. 2006;113;1474-547.
- 18. Wann LS, Curtis AB, January CT, Ellenbogen KA, Lowe JE, Estes NAM, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/HRS focused updated on the management of patients with atrial fibrillation (updating 2006 guideline): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;57:223-42.
- 19. Fuster V, Ryden LE, Cannom DS, Crijns HJ, Curtis AB, Ellenbogen KA, et al. American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association/European Society of Cardiology 2006 guidelines for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation-executive summary. Eur Heart J. 2006;27:1979-2030.
- 20. Furie KL, Goldstein LB, Albers GW, Khatri P, Neyens R, Turakhia MP, et al. Oral Antithrombotic Agents for the Prevention of Stroke in Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation: A Science Advisory for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2012 Aug 2.
- 21. Fraker TD Jr, Fihn SD, Gibbons RJ, Abrams J, Chatterjee K, Daley J, et al. 2007 chronic angina focused update of the ACC/AHA 2002 guidelines for the management of chronic stable angina: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines writing group to develop the focused update of the 2002 guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina. Circulation. 2007 Dec 4;116(23):2762-72.
- 22. Fox K, Garcia MA, Ardissino D, Buszman P, Camici PG, Crea F, et al. Guidelines on the management of stable angina pectoris: executive summary: the Task Force on the Management of Stable Angina Pectoris of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2006(11):1341-81.
- 23. Smith SC Jr, Benjamin EJ, Bonow RO, Braun LT, Creager MA, Franklin BA, et al. AHA/ ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients with Coronary and other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 update: a guideline from the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation. Circulation. 2011 Nov 29;124(22):2458-73.
- 24. Perk J, De Backer G, Gohlke H, Graham I, Reiner Z, Verschuren WMM, et al. European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (version 2012): The Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2012 Aug;19(4):585-667.
- 25. Patrono C, Bachmann F, Baigent C, Bode C, De Caterina R, Charbonnier B, et al. Expert consensus document on the use of antiplatelet agents. The task force on the use of antiplatelet agents in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2004;25:166-81.
- 26. Serebruany V, Shalito I, Kopyleva O. Prasugrel development-Claims and achievements. Thromb Haemost. 2009:101:14-22.
- 27. Wiviott SD, Trenk D, Frelinger AL, O'Donoghue M, Neumann FJ, Michelson AD, et al. Prasugrel compared to high loading- and maintenance-dose clopidogrel in patients with planned percutaneous coronary intervention: the prasugrel in comparison to clopidogrel for inhibition of platelet activation and aggregation thrombolysis in myocardial infarction 44 trial. Circulation. 2007;116(25):2923-32.
- 28. Spinler SA, Rees C. Review of prasugrel for the secondary prevention of atherothrombosis. J Manage Care Pharm. 2009;15(5):383-95.
- 29. Micromedex[®] Healthcare Series [database on the Internet]. Greenwood Village (CO): Thomson Reuters (Healthcare) Inc.; Updated periodically [cited 2013 Jul 1]. Available from: http://www.thomsonhc.com/.
- 30. Gurbel PA, Bliden KP, Butler K, Tantry US, Gesheff T, Wei C, et al. Randomized double-blind assessment of the ONSET and OFFSET of the antiplatelet effects of ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with stable coronary artery disease: the ONSET/OFFSET study. Circulation. 2009 Dec 22; 120(25):2577-85.
- 31. Geeganage CM, Diener HC, Algra A, Chen C, Topol EJ, Dengler R, et al. Dual or mono antiplatelet therapy for patients with acute ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Stroke. 2012 Apr;43(4):1058-66.





- 32. Uchiyama S, Ikeda Y, Urano Y, Horie Y, Yamaguchi T. The Japanese Aggrenox (extended-release dipyridamole plus aspirin) Stroke Prevention vs Aspirin Program (JASAP) study: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2011;31:601-13.
- 33. ESPRIT Study Group, Halkes PH, van Gijn J, Kappelle LJ, Koudstaal PJ, Algra A. Aspirin plus dipyridamole vs aspirin alone after cerebral ischemia of arterial origin (ESPRIT): a randomized controlled trial. Lancet. 2006;367(9523):1665-73.
- 34. Verro P, Gorelick P, Nguyen D. Aspirin plus dipyridamole vs aspirin for prevention of vascular events after stroke or TIA: a meta-analysis. Stroke. 2008;39(4):1358-63.
- 35. Diener HC, Cunha L, Forbes C, Sivenius J, Smets P, Lowenthal A. European Stroke Prevention Study 2. Dipyridamole and acetylsalicylic acid in the secondary prevention of stroke. J Neurol Sci. 1996;143(1-2):1-13.
- 36. Sacco R, Sivenius J, Diener HC. Efficacy of aspirin plus extended-release dipyridamole in preventing recurrent stroke in high-risk populations. Arch Neurol. 2005;62(3):403-8.
- 37. Leonardi-Bee J, Bath PMW, Bousser MG, Davalos A, Diener HC, Guiraud-Chaumeil B, et al. Dipyridamole for preventing recurrent ischemic stroke and other vascular events: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomized controlled trials. Stroke. 2005;36(1):162-8.
- 38. Sacco RL, Diener HC, Yusuf S, Cotton D, Ounpuu S, Lawton WA, et al. Aspirin and extended-release dipyridamole vs clopidogrel for recurrent stroke. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:1238-51.
- 39. Diener HC, Bogousslavsky J, Brass LM, Cimminiello C, Csiba L, Kaste M, et al. Aspirin and clopidogrel compared to clopidogrel alone after recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack in high-risk patients (MATCH): randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2004;364:331-7.
- 40. Markus HS, Droste DW, Kaps M, Larrue V, Lees KR, Siebler M, Ringelstein EB. Dual antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel and aspirin in symptomatic carotid stenosis evaluated using doppler embolic signal detection: The clopidogrel and aspirin for reduction of emboli in symptomatic carotid stenosis (CARESS) trial. Circulation. 2005;111(17):2233-40.
- 41. Kennedy J, Hill MD, Ryckborst KJ, Eliasziw M, Demchuk AM, Buchan AM, for the FASTER Investigators. Fast assessment of stroke and transient ischemic attack to prevent early recurrence (FASTER): a randomized controlled pilot trial. Lancet Neurol. 2007;6:961-9.
- 42. Gent M, Blakely JA, Easton JD, Ellis DJ, Hachinski VC, Harbison JW. The Canadian American ticlopidine study (CATS) in thromboembolic stroke. Lancet. 1989;1(8649):1215-20.
- 43. Hass W, Easton J, Adams H, Pryse-Phillips W, Molony B, Anderson S, et al. A randomized trial comparing ticlopidine hydrochloride with aspirin for the prevention of stroke in high-risk patients. Ticlopidine Aspirin Stroke Study (TASS) Group. N Engl J Med. 1989;8(321):501-7.
- 44. Gorelick PB, Richardson D, Kelly M, Ruland S, Hung E, Harris Y, et al. Aspirin and ticlopidine for prevention of recurrent stroke in black patients: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2003;289(22):2947-57.
- 45. Fukuuchi Y, Tohgi H, Okudera T, Ikeda Y, Miyanaga Y, Uchiyama S, et al. A randomized, double-blind study comparing the safety and efficacy of clopidogrel vs ticlopidine in Japanese patients with noncardioembolic cerebral infarction. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2008;25:40-9.
- 46. Uchiyama S, Fukuuchi Y, Yamaguchi T. The safety and efficacy of clopidogrel vs ticlopidine in Japanese stroke patients: combined results of two Phase III, multicenter, randomized clinical trials. J Neurol. 2009;256:888-97.
- 47. Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients. BMJ. 2002;324:71-86.
- 48. CAPRIE Steering Committee. A randomized, blinded, trial of clopidogrel vs aspirin in patients at risk of ischemic events (CAPRIE), Lancet, 1996;348(9038);1329-39.
- 49. De Schryver EL, Algra A, van Gijn J. Dipyridamole for preventing stroke and other vascular events in patients with vascular diseases. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007;(3):CD001820. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001820.pub3.
- 50. Ho MP, Peterson ED, Wang L, Magid DJ, Fihn SD, et al. Incidence of death and acute myocardial infarction associated with stopping clopidogrel after acute coronary syndrome. JAMA. 2008; 299(5):532-9.





- 51. Sabatine MS, Cannon CP, Gibson CM, Lopez-Sendon JL, Montalescot G, Theroux P, et al. Addition of clopidogrel to aspirin and fibrinolytic therapy for myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(12):1179-89.
- 52. Ahmed S, Gibson CM, Cannon CP, Murphy SA, Sabatine MS. Impact of reduced glomerular filtration rate on outcomes in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing fibrinolysis: a CLARITY-TIMI 28 analysis. J Thromb Thromboylsis. 2011;31:493-500.
- 53. COMMIT (Clopidogrel and Metoprolol in Myocardial Infarction Trial) Collaborative Group. Addition of clopidogrel to aspirin in 45,852 patients with acute myocardial infarction: randomized placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2005;366:1607-21.
- 54. Bhatt DL, Fox KAA, Hacke W, Berger PB, Black HR, Boden WE, et al; for the CHARISMA Investigators. Clopidogrel and aspirin vs aspirin alone for the prevention of atherothrombotic events. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(16):1706-17.
- 55. Dapgusta A, Steinhubl SR, Bhatt DL, Berger PB, Shao M, Mak KH, et al. Clinical outcomes of patients with diabetic nephropathy randomized to clopidogrel plus aspirin vs aspirin alone (a post hoc analysis of the clopidogrel for high atherothrombotic risk and ischemic stabilization, management, and avoidance [CHARISMA] trial. Am J Cardiol. 2009;103:1359-63.
- 56. Hart RG, Bhatt DL, Hacke W, Fox KAA, Hankey GJ, Berger PB, et al for the CHARISMA Investigators. Clopidogrel and aspirin vs aspirin alone for the prevention of stroke in patients with a history of atrial fibrillation: subgroup analysis of the CHARISMA randomized trial. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2008;25(4):344-7.
- 57. The CURE Trial Investigators. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(7):494-502.
- 58. Roe MT, Armstrong PW, Fox KAA, White HD, Prabhakaran D, Goodman SG, et al. Prasugrel vs clopidogrel for acute coronary syndromes without revascularization. N Engl J Med. 2012;367:1297-309.
- 59. Grubel PA, Erlinge D, Ohman EM, Neely B, Neely M, Foodman SG, et al. Platelet function during extended prasugrel and clopidogrel therapy for patients with ACS treated without revascularization. The TRILOGY ACS platelet function substudy. JAMA. 2012;308(17):1785-94.
- 60. Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, Cannon CP, Emanuelsson H, Held C, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2009 Sep 10;361(11):1045-57.
- 61. James SK, Roe MT, Cannon CP, Cornel JH, Horrow J, Husted S, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes intended for non-invasive management: substudy from prospective randomized PLATelet inhibition and patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial. BMJ. 2011 Jun 17:342:d3527.
- 62. Cannon CP, Harrington RA, James S, Ardissino D, Becker RC, Emanuelsson H, et al. Comparison of ticagrelor with clopidogrel in patients with a planned invasive strategy for acute coronary syndromes (PLATO): a randomized double-blind study. Lancet. 2010 Jan 23;375(9711):283-93.
- 63. Steg PG, James S, Harrington RA, Ardissino D, Becker RC, Cannon CP, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes intended for reperfusion with primary percutaneous coronary intervention: A Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial subgroup analysis. Circulation. 2010 Nov 23;122(21):2131-41.
- 64. James S, Budaj A, Aylward P, Buck KK, Cannon CP, Cornel JH, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in acute coronary syndromes in relation to renal function: results from the platelet inhibition and patient outcomes (PLATO) trial. Circulation. 2010;122:1056-67.
- 65. James S, Angiolillo DJ, Corenal JH, Erlinge D, Husted S, Kontny F, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndrome and diabetes: a substudy from the platelet inhibition and patient outcomes (PLATO) trial. Eur Heart J. 2010;31:3006-16.
- 66. Held C, Asenblad N, Bassand JP, Becker RC, Cannon CP, Claeys MJ, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: results from the PLATO (Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011 Feb 8;57(6):672-84
- 67. Wallentin L, James S, Storey RF, Armstrong M, Barratt BJ, Horrow J, et al. Effect of CYP2C19 and ABCB1 single nucleotide polymorphisms on outcomes of treatment with ticagrelor vs clopidogrel for





- acute coronary syndromes: a genetic substudy of the PLATO trial. Lancet. 2010 Oct 16;376(9749):1320-8.
- 68. Mahaffey KW, Wojdyla DM, Carroll K, Becker RC, Storey RF, Angioloillo DJ, et al. Ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel by geographic region in the platelet inhibition and patient outcomes (PLATO) trial. Circulation. 2011;124:544-54.
- 69. Storey RF, Becker RC, Harrington RA, Husted S, James SK, Cools F, et al. Pulmonary function in patients with acute coronary syndrome treated with ticagrelor or clopidogrel (from the Platelet Inhibition and Patients Outcomes [PLATO] Pulmonary Function Substudy). Am J Cardiol. 2011;108:1542-6.
- 70. James SK, Storey RF, Khurmi NS, Husted S, Keltai M, Mahaffey KW, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes and a history of stroke or transient ischemic attack. Circulation. 2012 Jun 12;125(23):2914-21.
- 71. Kohli P, Wallentin L, Reyes E, Horrow J, Husted S, Angiolillo DJ, et al. Reduction in first and recurrent cardiovascular events with ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel in the PLATO Study. Circulation. 2013 Feb 12;127(6):673-80.
- 72. Collet JP, Cuisset T, Rangé G, Cayla G, Elhadad S, Pouillot C, et al. Bedside monitoring to adjust antiplatelet therapy for coronary stenting. N Engl J Med. 2012 Nov 29;367(22):2100-9.
- 73. Banerjee S, Varghese C, Samuel J, Weideman RA, Little BB, Kelly KC, et al. Comparison of the impact of short (<1 year) and long-term (≥1 year) clopidogrel use following percutaneous coronary intervention on mortality. Am J Cardiol. 2008;102:1159-62.
- 74. CURRENT-OASIS 7 Investigators. Dose comparison of clopidogrel and aspirin in acute coronary syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2010;363:930-42.
- 75. Sabatine MS, Cannon CP, Gibson CM, Lopez-Sendon JL, Montalescot G, Theroux P, et al for the Clopidogrel as Adjunctive Reperfusion Therapy (CLARITY)-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) 28 Investigators. Effect of clopidogrel pretreatment before percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction treated with fibrinolytics: the PCI-CLARITY Study. N Engl J Med. 2005;294(10):1224-32.
- 76. Mehta SR, Yusuf S, Peters RJG, Bertrand ME, Lewis BS, Natarajan MK, et al. Effects of pretreatment with clopidogrel and aspirin followed by long-term therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the PCI-CURE study. Lancet. 2001;358(9281):527-33.
- 77. Steinhubl SR, Berger PB, Mann JT III, Fry ETA, DeLago A, Wilmer C, et al; for the CREDO Investigators. Early and sustained dual oral antiplatelet therapy following percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2002;288(19):2411-20.
- Lev El, Kornowski R, Vaknin-Assa H, Brosh D, Fuchs S, Battler A, Assali A. Effect of clopidogrel pretreatment on angiographic and clinical outcomes in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2008;101:435-9.
- 79. Han Y, Wang B, Li Y, Xu K, Wang S, Jing Q, et al. A high maintenance dose of clopidogrel improves short-term clinical outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing drug-eluting stent implantation. Chinese Medical Journal. 2009;122(7):793-7.
- 80. Valgimigli M, Campo G, Monti M, Vranckx P, Percoco G, Tumscitz C, et al. Short- vs long-term duration of dual-antiplatelet therapy after coronary stenting: a randomized multicenter trial. Circulation. 2012 Apr 24;125(16):2015-26.
- 81. Gwon HC, Hahn JY, Park KW, Song YB, Chae IH, Lim DS, et al. Six-month vs 12-month dual antiplatelet therapy after implantation of drug-eluting stents: the Efficacy of Xience/Promus Vs Cypher to Reduce Late Loss After Stenting (EXCELLENT) randomized, multicenter study. Circulation. 2012 Jan;125(3):505-13.
- 82. Bertrand ME, Rupprecht HJ, Urban P, Gershlick AH; for the CLASSICS Investigators. Double-blind study of the safety of clopidogrel with and without a loading dose in combination with aspirin compared to ticlopidine in combination with aspirin after coronary stenting: the clopidogrel aspirin stent international cooperative study (CLASSICS). Circulation. 2000;102;624-9.
- 83. Isshiki T, Kimura T, Ueno T, Nakamura M, Igarashi K, Yokoi H, et al. Clopidogrel trial in patients with elective percutaneous coronary intervention for stable angina and old myocardial infarction (CLEAN). Int Heart J. 2012;53(2):91-101.





- 84. Leon MB, Baim DS, Popma JJ, Gordon PC, Cutlip DE, Ho KKL, et al. A clinical trial comparing three antithrombotic-drug regimens after coronary-artery stenting. N Engl J Med. 1998;339(23):1665-71.
- 85. Lee SW, Park SW, Kim YH, Yun SC, Park DW, Lee CW, et al. Drug-eluting stenting followed by cilostazol treatment reduces late restenosis in patients with diabetes mellitus; the DECLARE-DIABETES Trial (a randomized comparison of triple antiplatelet therapy with dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stent implantation in diabetic patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;51:1181-7.
- 86. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Montalescot G, Ruzyllo W, Neumann FJ, et al. Prasugrel vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2007;357(20):2001-15.
- 87. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, Angiolillo DJ, Meisel S, Dalby AJ, Verheugt FW, et al. Greater clinical benefit of more intensive oral antiplatelet therapy with prasugrel in patients with diabetes mellitus in the trial to assess improvement in therapeutic outcomes by optimizing platelet inhibition with prasugrel thrombolysis in myocardial infarction 38. Circulation. 2008;118:1626-36.
- 88. Montalescot G, Wiviott SD, Murphy SA, Gibson CM, McCabe CH, Antman EM, et al. Prasugrel compared to clopidogrel in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation myocardial infarction (TRITON-TIMI 38): double blind, randomized controlled trial. Lancet. 2009;373:723-31.
- 89. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Horvath I, Keltai M, Herrman JP, et al. Intensive oral antiplatelet therapy for reduction of ischemic events including stent thrombosis in patients with acute coronary syndromes treated with percutaneous coronary intervention and stenting in the TRITON-TIMI 38 trial: a subanalysis of a randomized trial. Lancet. 2008;371:1353-63.
- 90. Pride YB, Wiviott SD, Buros JL, Zorkun C, Tariq MU, Antman EM, et al. Effect of prasugrel vs clopidogrel on outcomes among patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention without stent implantation: a trial to assess improvement in therapeutic outcomes by optimizing platelet inhibitor with prasugrel (TRITON)-thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) 38 substudy. Am Heart J. 2009;158:e21-6.
- 91. Antman EM, Wiviott SD, Murphy SA, Voitk J, Widimsky P, Chandna H, et al. Early and late benefits of prasugrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a TRITON-TIMI 38 analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;51(21):2028-33.
- 92. Murphy SA, Antman EM, Wiviott SD, Weerakkody G, Morocutti G, Huber K, et al. Reduction in recurrent cardiovascular events with prasugrel compared to clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes from the TRITON-TIMI 38 trial. Eur Heart J. 2008;29:2473-9.
- 93. O'Donoghue M, Antman EM, Braunwald E, Murphy SA, Steg PG, Finkelstein A, et al. The efficacy and safety of prasugrel with and without a glycoprotein Ilb/IIIa inhibitor in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;54:678-85.
- 94. Trenk D, Stone GW, Gawaz M, Kastrati A, Angiolillo DJ, Müller U, et al. A randomized trial of prasugrel vs clopidogrel in patients with high platelet reactivity on clopidogrel after elective percutaneous coronary intervention with implantation of drug-eluting stents: results of the TRIGGER-PCI (Testing Platelet Reactivity In Patients Undergoing Elective Stent Placement on Clopidogrel to Guide Alternative Therapy With Prasugrel) study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012 Jun 12;59(24):2159-64.
- 95. Anagrelide Study Group. Anagrelide, a therapy for thrombocythemic states: experience in 577 patients. Am J Med. 1992;92:69-72.
- 96. Silver RT. Anagrelide is effective in treating patients with hydroxyurea-resistant thrombocytosis in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. Leukemia. 2005;19:39-43.
- 97. Penninga E, Jensen BA, Hansen PB, Clausen NT, Mourits-Andersen T, Nielsen OJ, et al. Anagrelide treatment in 52 patients with chronic myeloproliferative diseases. Clin Lab Haem. 2004;26:335-40.
- 98. Birgegard G, Bjorkholm M, Kutti J, Larfars G, Lofvenberg E, Markevarn B, et al. Adverse effects and benefits of two years of anagrelide treatment for thrombocythemia in chronic myeloproliferative disorders. Haematologica. 2004;89:520-7.
- 99. Steurer M, Gastl G, Jedrzejczak WW, Pytlik R, Lin W, Schlogl E, et al. Anagrelide for thrombocytosis in myeloproliferative disorders. Cancer. 2004;101(10):2239-46.
- 100. Harrison CN, Campbell PJ, Buck G, Wheatley K, Bareford D, Wilkins BS, et al. Hydroxyurea compared to anagrelide in high-risk essential thrombocythemia. N Engl J Med. 2005;353:33-45.



