Therapeutic Class Overview Anticonvulsants

Therapeutic Class

Overview/Summary: The anticonvulsants are Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for the prevention and/or treatment of various seizure disorders either as monotherapy or adjunctive therapy. Some anticonvulsants are also FDA-approved for the prevention of migraines, and management of bipolar disorders, fibromyalgia, neuropathic pain and other non-seizure related conditions. The specific FDA-approved indications for each of these agents are outlined in Table 1.¹⁻⁴⁴ Seizure disorders are classified into four major categories: partial seizures (seizures beginning locally), generalized seizures (bilaterally symmetrical and without local onset), unilateral seizures (seizures that are predominantly unilateral) and unclassified epileptic seizures (seizures that are unclassifiable because of incomplete data). Partial seizures are subdivided into those with elementary symptomatology, those with complex symptomatology, and those that are secondarily generalized. Partial seizures with elementary symptomatology include those with motor symptoms (e.g., Jacksonian seizures) or with autonomic symptoms. Partial seizures with complex symptomatology are also known as temporal lobe or psychomotor seizures. Generalized seizures include tonic-clonic (grand mal) seizures, absence (petit mal) seizures, myoclonic seizures and akinetic seizures. Two or more seizures that occur sequentially without full recovery of consciousness between the seizures or seizures that last more than 30 minutes are known as status epilepticus.45

Pharmacologic management of epilepsy should be individualized, and focused on controlling seizures, avoiding treatment-related adverse events and maintaining or restoring quality of life.⁴⁶ Prior to 1990, six major antiepileptic drugs were available for the treatment of various forms of epilepsy, including carbamazepine, ethosuximide, phenobarbital, phenytoin, primidone and valproic acid. Over the past decade, many new chemical entities have become available in the United States. The newer antiepileptic drugs have better adverse event and drug interaction profiles, and they do not require serum concentration monitoring.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ All of the anticonvulsants are FDA-approved for the treatment of various seizure disorders; however, these agents are primarily utilized in the treatment of partial, or focal, seizures and generalized tonic-clonic seizures. Currently there are several generic anticonvulsants available, and at least one generic agent is available within each anticonvulsant subclass.¹

Generic (Trade Name)	Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications	Dosage Form/Strength	Generic Availability
Barbiturates	indicatione	i on gui	, to an about y
Phenobarbital	Anticonvulsant (tablet), emergency control of certain acute convulsive episodes (injection), long term anticonvulsant for the treatment of generalized tonic-clonic and cortical focal seizures (injection), treatment of generalized and partial seizures (elixir), hypnotic, for short term treatment of insomnia (injection), preanesthetic (injection), sedative	Elixir: 20 mg/5 mL Injection: 65 mg/mL 130 mg/mL Tablet: 15 mg 16.2 mg 30 mg 32.4 mg 60 mg 64.8 mg 97.2 mg	V
Primidone	Control of grand mal, psychomotor, and focal	100 mg Tablet:	\checkmark
(Mysoline [®] *)	epileptic seizures, used alone or	50 mg	•

Table 1. Current Medications Available in Therapeutic Class¹⁻⁴⁴



Page 1 of 9 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Generic (Trade Name)	Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications	Dosage Form/Strength	Generic Availability
(Trade Name)	concomitantly with other anticonvulsants	250 mg	Availability
Ponzodiazoninas		250 mg	
Benzodiazepines Clobazam (Onfi [®])	Adjunctive treatment of seizures associated with Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome in patients two years of age or older	Tablet: 5 mg 10 mg 20 mg	-
Clonazepam (Klonopin [®] *)	Treatment of Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome (petit mal variant), akinetic, and myoclonic seizures, alone or as adjunct therapy, treatment of panic disorder, with or without agoraphobia	Orally disintegrating tablet: 0.125 mg 0.25 mg 0.5 mg 1 mg 2 mg Tablet: 0.5 mg 1 mg	V
Diazepam (Diastat [®] *)	Management of selected, refractory, patients with epilepsy, on stable regimens of antiepileptic drugs, who require intermittent use of diazepam to control bouts of increased seizure activity	2 mg Rectal gel: 2.5 mg 10 mg 20 mg	V
Hydantoins			
Ethotoin (Peganone [®])	Control of generalized tonic-clonic and complex partial seizures	Tablet: 250 mg	-
Phenytoin (Phenytek [®] *, Dilantin [®] *)	Control of status epilepticus of the grand mal type (injection), control of generalized tonic- clonic and complex partial seizures (chewable tablet, extended-release capsule, suspension), prevention and treatment of seizures occurring during or following neurosurgery	Chewable tablet: 50 mg Extended- release capsule: 30 mg 100 mg 200 mg 300 mg Injection: 50 mg/mL Suspension: 125 mg/5 mL	V
Succinimides			
Ethosuximide (Zarontin [®] *)	Control of absence epilepsy	Capsule: 250 mg Syrup:	\checkmark
Methsuximide (Celontin [®])	Control of absence seizures that are refractory to other drugs	250 mg/5 mL Capsule: 300 mg	-





Generic (Trade Name)	Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications	Dosage Form/Strength	Generic Availability
Anticonvulsants, Mise	cellaneous		
Carbamazepine (Carbatrol [®] *, Epitol [®] *, Equetro [®] , Tegretol [®] *, Tegretol XR [®] *)	Generalized tonic-clonic seizures, mixed seizure patterns, partial seizures with complex symptomatology, acute treatment of manic or mixed episodes associated with bipolar disorder (Equetro [®]), trigeminal neuralgia	Chewable tablet: 100 mg Extended- release capsule: 100 mg 200 mg 300 mg Extended- release tablet: 100 mg 200 mg 400 mg Suspension: 100 mg/5 mL Tablet:	V
Divalproex (Depakote [®] *, Depakote ER [®] *)	Adjunctive therapy in patients with multiple seizure types, that include absence seizures (extended-release, delayed-release), monotherapy and adjunctive therapy of complex partial seizures and simple and complex absence seizures, acute treatment of the manic episodes associated with bipolar disorder (delayed-release), acute treatment of manic or mixed episodes associated with bipolar disorder (extended- release), prophylaxis of migraine headaches (extended-release, delayed-release)	200 mg Capsule (sprinkle): 125 mg Delayed- release tablet: 125 mg 250 mg 500 mg Extended- release tablet: 250 mg 500 mg	V
Ezogabine (Potiga [®])	Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial onset seizures	Tablet: 50 mg 200 mg 300 mg 400 mg	-
Felbamate (Felbatol [®] *)	Patients who respond inadequately to alternative treatments and whose epilepsy is so severe that a substantial risk of aplastic anemia and/or liver failure is deemed acceptable in light of the benefits conferred by its use	Suspension: 600 mg/5 mL Tablet: 400 mg 600 mg	V
Gabapentin (Neurontin [®] *)	Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial seizures, postherpetic neuralgia	Capsule: 100 mg 300 mg 400 mg	V





Generic	Food and Drug Administration Approved	Dosage	Generic
(Trade Name)	Indications	Form/Strength	Availability
		Solution: 250 mg/5 mL	
		Tablet: 600 mg	
		800 mg	
Lacosamide (Vimpat [®])	Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial seizures	Injection: 200 mg/20 mL	
		Solution: 10 mg/mL	
		Tablet: 50 mg	-
		100 mg 150 mg 200 mg	
Lamotrigine (Lamictal [®] *, Lamictal CD [®] *, Lamictal ODT [®] Lamictal XR [®] *)	Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial seizures, adjunctive therapy in the treatment of primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures, adjunctive therapy for seizures associated with Lennox–Gastaut syndrome (chewable and orally disintegrating tablets), monotherapy in patients with partial seizures who are receiving treatment with carbamazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, primidone, or valproate as the single antiepileptic drugs, maintenance treatment of bipolar disorder to delay the time to occurrence of mood episodes in patients treated for acute mood episodes with standard therapy (chewable and orally disintegrating tablets)	Chewable tablet: 2 mg 5 mg 25 mg Extended- release tablet: 25 mg 50 mg 100 mg 200 mg 250 mg 300 mg Orally disintegrating tablet: 25 mg 50 mg 100 mg 200 mg	V
		Tablet: 25 mg 50 mg 100 mg 150 mg 200 mg 250 mg	
Levetiracetam (Keppra [®] *, Keppra XR [®] *)	Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of myoclonic seizures in patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (injection, tablets), adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial seizures, adjunctive therapy in the treatment	Extended- release tablet: 500 mg 750 mg	1
	of primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures	Injection:	





Generic	Food and Drug Administration Approved	Dosage	Generic
(Trade Name)	Indications	Form/Strength	Availability
	(injection, tablets),	500 mg/5 mL	
		Oslution	
		Solution: 100 mg/mL	
		100 mg/mL	
		Tablet:	
		250 mg	
		500 mg	
		750 mg	
Oxcarbazepine	Monotherapy and adjunctive therapy in the	1,000 mg Extended-	
(Oxtellar XR [®] ,	treatment of partial seizures	release tablet:	
Trileptal [®] *)		150 mg	
		300 mg	
		600 mg	
		Suspension	
		Suspension: 300 mg/5 mL	v
		ooo mg/o me	
		Tablet:	
		150 mg	
		300 mg	
Perampanel	Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial	600 mg Tablet:	
(Fycompa [®])	onset seizures [†]	2 mg	
		4 mg	
		6 mg	-
		8 mg 10 mg	
		12 mg	
Pregabalin (Lyrica [®])	Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial	Capsule:	
	seizures, fibromyalgia, neuropathic pain	25 mg	
	associated with diabetic peripheral	50 mg	
	neuropathy, neuropathic pain associated with spinal cord injury, postherpetic neuralgia	75 mg 100 mg	
		150 mg	
		200 mg	-
		225 mg	
		300 mg	
		Solution:	
		20 mg/mL	
Rufinamide (Banzel [®])	Adjunctive therapy for seizures associated	Suspension:	
. ,	with Lennox–Gastaut syndrome	40 mg/mL	
		Tablati	-
		Tablet: 200 mg	
		400 mg	
Tiagabine (Gabitril [®] *)	Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial	Tablet:	
_ 、 /	seizures	2 mg	, I
		4 mg	\checkmark
		12 mg	
L		16 mg	





Generic (Trade Name)	Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications	Dosage Form/Strength	Generic Availability
Topiramate (Topamax [®] *)	Adjunctive therapy in patients with partial onset or primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures, adjunctive therapy for seizures associated with Lennox–Gastaut syndrome, monotherapy (initial) in patients with partial onset or primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures, prophylaxis of migraine headaches	Capsule (sprinkle): 15 mg 25 mg Tablet: 25 mg 50 mg 100 mg 200 mg	\checkmark
Valproic acid (Depakene [®] * Stavzor [®])	Adjunctive therapy in patients with multiple seizure types, that include absence seizures, monotherapy and adjunctive therapy of complex partial seizures and simple and complex absence seizures, acute treatment of the manic episodes associated with bipolar disorder (delayed-release), prophylaxis of migraine headaches (delayed- release)	Capsule: 250 mg Delayed- release capsule: 125 mg 250 mg 500 mg Solution: 250 mg/5 mL	V
Vigabatrin (Sabril [®])	Adjunctive therapy for adult patients with refractory complex partial seizures who have inadequately responded to several alternative treatments and for whom the potential benefits outweigh the risk of vision loss (tablet), monotherapy for pediatric patients (one month to two years of age) with infantile spasms for whom the potential benefits outweigh the potential risk of vision loss (solution)	Solution (powder): 500 mg Tablet: 500 mg	-
Zonisamide (Zonegran [®] *)	Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial seizures	Capsule: 25 mg 50 mg 100 mg	\checkmark

*Generic available in at least one dosage form or strength.

† With or without secondarily generalized seizures in patients with epilepsy aged 12 years and older.

Evidence-based Medicine

- Hancock et al conducted a meta-analysis of 14 randomized controlled trials which included infants and children with infantile spasms. Treatment with vigabatrin was associated with a complete cessation of spasms in 7/20 (35%) patients compared to 2/20 (10%) patients treated with placebo. A >70% reduction in the number of spasms was reported in 40% of patients treated with vigabatrin compared to 15% of patients treated with placebo.⁵⁰
- Another meta-analysis by Hancock et al included trials that evaluated the safety and efficacy of felbamate, lamotrigine, rufinamide and topiramate in the treatment of Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome (LGS). While all of these agents demonstrated some efficacy, the optimum treatment of LGS remained uncertain as no single drug was highly efficacious. Felbamate, lamotrigine, rufinamide and topiramate may be helpful as add-on therapy.⁵¹
- The results of a study by Ng et al demonstrated that the mean percent reduction in weekly drop seizures was 41.2% with clobazam 0.25 mg/kg/day (*P*=0.0120), 49.4% with clobazam 0.5 mg/kg/day (*P*=0.0015) and 68.3% with clobazam 1.0 mg/kg/day (*P*<0.0001) compared to 12.1% for placebo.⁵²



Page 6 of 9 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



- In a study by Porter et al, treatment with ezogabine 600, 900 and 1,200 mg reduced the total monthly seizure frequency from baseline by 23, 29 and 35% compared to 13% with placebo (*P*<0.001 for all).⁵³ In a second study of patients with drug-resistant partial epilepsy, ezogabine 1,200 mg daily reduced the total monthly seizure frequency from baseline by 44.3% compared to 17.5% with placebo (*P*<0.001).⁵⁴
- Perampanel is approved as adjunctive therapy in patients with partial onset seizures. In one study perampanel 8 mg or 12 mg significantly reduced seizure frequency compared to placebo (*P*=0.0261 and *P*=0.0158 for 8 mg and 12 mg, respectively); however, there was no significant difference in the proportion of patients who achieved a seizure reduction of >50% from baseline compared to the placebo group.⁵⁵ Similar results were reported in a second study (*P*<0.001 and *P*=0.011 for 8 mg and 12 mg, respectively); however, more patients treated with perampanel 8 mg or 12 mg had a reduced seizure frequency of >50% from baseline compared to placebo (*P*=0.002 and *P*<0.001 for 8 mg and 12 mg, respectively).⁵⁶ In a third study, treatment with perampanel 4 mg or 8 mg significantly reduced seizure frequency compared to placebo (*P*=0.003 and *P*<0.001 for 4 mg and 8 mg, respectively). Moreover, a greater proportion of patients treated with perampanel 4 mg or 8 mg achieved a reduction in seizure frequency of >50% from baseline compared to placebo (*P*=0.013 and *P*<0.001 for 4 mg and 8 mg, respectively).

Key Points within the Medication Class

- According to Current Clinical Guidelines:
 - o The 2012 National Institute for Clinical Excellence guideline recommends carbamazepine and lamotrigine as first-line treatment of children, young people, and adults with newly diagnosed focal seizures (partial seizures). Levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine or sodium valproate should be offered if first-line therapies prove inadequate, and adjunctive therapy should be considered if a second well-tolerated antiepileptic also proves inadequate. Sodium valproate is recommended first-line for the treatment of children, young people, and adults with newly diagnosed generalized tonic-clonic focal seizures. Lamotrigine should be offered if sodium valproate proves inadequate, and carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine should be considered. Adjunctive therapy with clobazam, lamotrigine, levetiracetam, sodium valproate, or topiramate should be offered to all patients if first-line therapies are inadequate.⁴⁵
 - Vigabatrin (oral solution) is Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for the management of infantile spasm. According to the 2012 American Academy of Neurology medical management of infantile spasms guideline, there is insufficient evidence to support the use of agents other than adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and vigabatrin. Evidence suggests that ACTH may be preferred over vigabatrin for short-term management.⁵⁸
 - Clobazam, clonazepam, lamotrigine, rufinamide and topiramate are FDA-approved for the management of Lennox Gastaut Syndrome. Sodium valproate is recognized as first-line, with lamotrigine recommended as adjunctive therapy if needed.⁴⁵
 - o Treatment guidelines recommend valproate and carbamazepine as potential beneficial options for the management of adults with a manic or mixed bipolar episode. Lamotrigine, topiramate, or gabapentin are unlikely beneficial in this clinical situation and oxcarbazepine may be considered for treatment. With regard to bipolar depression in adults, lamotrigine should be considered as a potential first-line option, and patients who do not respond to initial monotherapy should receive combination therapy with lithium.⁵⁹⁻⁶³
 - Divalproex, topiramate and valproic acid are FDA-approved for the prophylaxis of migraine headaches, and all should be offered for migraine prevention according to the 2012 guidelines from the American Academy of Neurology/American Headache Society. Furthermore, carbamazepine may be considered for migraine prevention as it is a possibly effective treatment, and lamotrigine is ineffective.
 - According to the American Academy of Neurology, anticonvulsants, antidepressants, opioids and other pharmacologic agents (capsaicin, isosorbide dinitrate spray, and lidocaine patch) are potential treatment options for painful diabetic neuropathy. If clinically appropriate, pregabalin should be offered for treatment. Gabapentin and sodium valproate are other anticonvulsants that should be considered for treatment.⁶⁵





- According to the American Academy of Neurology, first-line therapies for the management of 0 postherpetic neuralgia include tricyclic antidepressants, gabapentin, pregabalin, opioids, and topical lidocaine. At this time the use of these therapies for long-term management remains uncertain.66
- The use of anticonvulsants in the management of fibromyalgia is not addressed in the 0 European League Against Rheumatism guidelines.⁶
- Other Key Facts:
 - o The majority of anticonvulsants are available in a generic formulation, and there is at least one generic agent available within each pharmacologic class.
 - o Clobazam was most recently approved by the FDA in 2011; however, this agent has been available internationally for several years for the treatment of anxiety and epilepsy.
 - Ezogabine has a unique mechanism of action in that it may act as an anticonvulsant by 0 reducing excitability through the stabilization of neuronal potassium channels in an "open" position.
 - Perampanel is a first-in-class anticonvulsant that works as a highly selective, non-competitive 0 AMPA-type glutamate receptor antagonist.⁶⁸

References

- Drug Facts and Comparisons 4.0 [database on the Internet]. St. Louis: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.; 2013 [cited 2013 Apr 10]. 1. Available from: http://online.factsandcomparisons.com.
- Banzel[®] [package insert]. Woodcliff Lake (NJ): Eisai Co., Ltd.; 2011 Nov. Celontin[®] [package insert]. New York (NY): Parke-Davis; 2010 Dec. 2.
- 3.
- Clonazepam [package insert]. Corona (CA): Watson Laboratories, Inc.; 2008 Mar. 4
- Depakote® [package insert]. North Chicago (IL): AbbVie Inc.; 2013 Feb. 5.
- Depakote ER[®] [package insert]. North Chicago (IL): AbbVie Inc.; 2013 Feb. 6.
- Diastat[®] [package insert]. San Antonio (TX): DPT Laboratories, LTD.; 2005 Sep. 7.
- Dilantin[®] [package insert]. New York (NY): Parke-Davis; 2011 Aug. 8.
- Dilantin Infatabs[®] [package insert]. New York (NY): Parke-Davis; 2011 Jul. 9.
- Epitol® [package insert]. Sellersville (PA): Teva Pharmaceuticals; 2011 May. 10.
- Equetro® [package insert]. Parsippany (NJ): Validus Pharmaceuticals LLC; 2012 Nov. 11.
- 12. Ethosuximide capsule [package insert]. Sellersville (PA): Teva Pharmaceuticals USA; 2012 Jul.
- 13. Ethosuximide syrup [package insert]. Atlanta (GA): Milkart, Inc.; 2003 Mar.
- Felbatol[®] [package insert]. Somerset (NJ): Meda Pharmaceuticals Inc.; 2011 Nov.
 Fycompa[®] [package insert]. Woodcliff Lake (NJ): Eisai Co., Ltd.; 2012 Oct.
- 16. Gabitril® [package insert]. Frazer (NY): Cephalon Inc.; 2010 Sept.
- 17.
- Keppra[®] injection [package insert]. Smyrna (GA): UCB Inc.; 2012 Jun. Keppra[®] solution and tablet [package insert]. Smyrna (GA): UCB, Inc.; 2012 Jun. 18.
- Keppra XR[®] [package insert]. Smyrna (GA): UCB Inc.; 2012 Jun.
 Lamictal CD[®], ODT[®], and tablet [package insert]. Research Triangle Park (NC): GlaxoSmithKline; 2012 Sept.
- Lamictal XR[®] [package insert]. Research Triangle Park (NC): GlaxoSmithKline; 2012 Sept. 21.
- Lyrica[®] [package insert]. New York (NY): Pfizer; 2012 Jun. 22.
- 23. Neurontin[®] [package insert]. New York (NY): Pfizer; 2012 Jul.
- Onfi[®] [package insert]. Deerfield (IL): Lundbeck Inc.; 2011 Oct. 24.
- Oxtellar XR [package insert]. Rockville (MD): Supernus Pharmaceuticals Inc,; 2012 Oct. 25.
- 26. Peganone[®] [package insert]. Deerfield (IL): Lundbeck, Inc.; 2010 May.
- Phenobarbital elixir [package insert]. Huntsville (AL): Qualitest Pharmaceuticals; 2008 Jun. 27.
- Phenobarbital injection [package insert]. Eatontown (NJ): West-ward Pharmaceuticals Corp.; 2011 Jun. 28.
- Phenobarbital tablet [package insert]. Physicians Total Care, Inc.; 2012 Mar. 2012 Mar. 29.
- 30. Phenytek® [package insert]. Morgantown (WV): Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; 2011 May.
- 31. Phenytoin extended-release capsule [package insert]. Zanesville (OH): Cardinal Health; 2009 Nov.
- Phenytoin injection [package insert]. Eatontown (NJ): West-ward Pharmaceutical Corp.; 2006 Sep. 32.
- Phenytoin solution [package insert]. Baltimore (MD): Actavis Mid Atlantic LLC; 2006 Jan. 33.
- Potiga [package insert]. Research Triangle Park (NC): GlaxoSmithKline; 2012 Mar. 34.
- Primidone [package insert]. Philadelphia (PA): Lannett Company, Inc.; 2011 May. 35.
- Sabril® oral solution [package insert]. Deerfield (IL): Lundbeck Inc.; 2012 Feb. 36.
- 37.
- Stavzor[®] [package insert]. High Point (NC): Banner Pharmacaps, Inc.; 2009 Jan. Tegretol[®] and Tegretol XR[®] [package insert]. East Hanover (NJ): Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; 2013 Mar. 38.
- Topamax[®] [package insert]. Titusville (NJ): Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2012 Oct. 39
- Trileptal® [package insert]. East Hanover (NJ): Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; 2011 Mar. 40.
- 41. Valproic acid capsule [package insert]. St Petersburg (FL): Catalent Pharma Solutions; 2012 Apr.
- 42. Valproic acid solution [package insert]. Bryan (OH): SUN Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc.; 2012 Jan.
- 43. Vimpat[®] [package insert]. Smyrna (GA): UCB Inc.; 2012 Oct.
- 44. Zonegran [package insert]. Woodcliff Lake (NJ): Elan Pharma International Ltd.; 2012 Jan.



Page 8 of 9 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



- 45. National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE). The epilepsies: the diagnosis and management of the epilepsies in adults and children in primary and secondary care. London, UK: 2012 Jan [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk.
- Schachter SC. Overview of the management of epilepsy in adults. In: Basow DS (Ed). UpToDate [database on the internet]. Waltham (MA): UpToDate; 2013 [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.utdol.com/utd/index.do.
- 47. Schachter SC. Pharmacology of antiepileptic drugs. In: Basow DS (Ed). UpToDate [database on the internet]. Waltham (MA): UpToDate; 2013 [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.utdol.com/utd/index.do.
- 48. French JA, Kanner AM, Bautista J, Abou-Khalil B, Browne T, Harden CL, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of the new antiepileptic drugs I: Treatment of new onset epilepsy: Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee and Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Epilepsy Society. Neurology. 2004;62:1252-60.
- 49. French JA, Kanner AM, Bautista J, Abou-Khalil B, Browne T, Harden CL, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of the new antiepileptic drugs II: Treatment of refractory epilepsy: Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee and Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Epilepsy Society. Neurology. 2004;62:1261-73.(A)
- Hancock EC, Osborne JP, Edwards SW. Treatment of infantile spasms. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008 Oct 8;(4):CD001770.
- 51. Hancock EC, Cross HHJ. Treatment of Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;(3):CD003277.
- 52. Ng YT, Conry JA, Drummond R, Stolle J, Weinberg MA; ÓV-1012 Study Investigators. Randomized, phase III study results of clobazam in Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. Neurology. 2011;77:1473-81.
- 53. Porter RJ, Patriot A, Sachdeo Ř, Nohria V, Alves WM. Randomized, multicenter, dose-ranging trial of retigabine for partialonset seizures. Neurology. 2007;68:1197-204.
- 54. French JA, Abou-Khalil BW, Leroy RF, Yacubian EMT, Shin P, Hall S, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of ezogabine (retigabine) in partial epilepsy. Neurology. 2011;76:1555-63.
- French JA, Krauss GL, Biton V, Squillacote D, Yang Haichen, Laurenza A, et al. Adjunctive perampanel for refractory partialonset seizures: randomized phase III study 304. Neurology. 2012 Aug;79(6):589-96.
- 56. French JA, Krauss GL, Steinhoff BJ, Squillacote D, Yang H, Kumar D, et al. Evaluation of adjunctive perampanel in patients with refractory partial-onset seizures: results of randomized global phase III study 305. Epilepsia. 2013 Jan;54(1):117-25.
- Krauss GL, Serratosa JM, Villanueva V, Endziniene M, Hong Z, French J, et al. Adjunctive perampanel for refractory partialonset seizures: randomized phase III study 306. Neurology. 2012 May;78(18):1405-15.
- 58. Go C, Mackay M, Weiss S, et al. Evidence-based guideline update: medical treatment of infantile spasms: report of the guideline development subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the Practice Committee of the Child Neurology Society. e-publication ahead of print. Available at: http://www.neurology.org/content/78/24/1974.full.html.
- 59. Hirschfeld RMA, Bowden CL, Gitlin MJ, Keck PE, Suppes T, Thase ME, et al. Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with bipolar disorder [monograph on the internet]. 2nd ed. Arlington (VA): American Psychiatric Association; 2002 Apr [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.psych.org/psych_pract/treatg/pg/prac_guide.cfm.
- Management of Bipolar Disorder Working Group. VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for management of bipolar disorder in adults. Washington (DC): Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of Defense; 2010 May. 176 p. Available from: http://www.healthquality.va.gov/bipolar/bd_305_full.pdf.
- 61. McClellan J, Kowatch R, Findling RL, et al. Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with bipolar disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolec Psychiatry. 2007; 46(1):107-25.
- 62. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Bipolar disorder: the management of bipolar disorder in adults, children and adolescents, in primary and secondary care. National clinical practice guideline number 38 [monograph on the internet]. London: The British Psychological Society & The Royal College of Psychiatrists; 2006 [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://guidance.nice.org.uk/cg38.
- Suppes T, Dennehy EB, Hirschfeld RMA, et al. The Texas Implementation of Medication Algorithm: update to the algorithm for treatment of bipolar I disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2005;66(7):870-86. [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/mhprograms/tima.shtm.
- 64. Silberstein SD, Holland S, Freitag F, Dodick DW, Argoff C, Ashman E, et al. Evidence-based guideline update: pharmacologic treatment for episodic migraine prevention in adults: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Headache Society. Neurology. 2012 Apr 24;78(17):1337-45.
- 65. Bril V, England J, Franklin GM, Backonja M, Cohen J, Del Toro D, et al. Evidence-based guideline: treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy: report of the American Academy of Neurology, the American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine, and the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. Neurology. 2011 May 17:76(20):1758-65.
- 66. Dubinsky RM, Kabbani H, El-Chami, Boutwell C, Ali H; Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Practice parameter: treatment of postherpetic neuralgia: an evidence-based report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 2004;63:959.
- 67. Carville SF, Arendt-Nielsen S, Bliddal H, Blotman F, Branco JC, Buskila D, et al. EULAR evidence-based recommendations for the management of fibromyalgia syndrome. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008;67:536-41.
- U.S. Approves Eisai's AMPA Receptor Antagonist Fycompa® (perampanel) as Adjunctive Treatment for Partial Onset Seizures in Patients with Epilepsy Age 12 and Older [press release on the Internet]. Tokyo: Eisai Co., Ltd.; 2012 Oct 23 [cited 2013 Apr 9]. Available from: http://www.eisai.com/news/news201274.html.





Therapeutic Class Review Anticonvulsants

Overview/Summary

The anticonvulsants encompass over 20 different chemical entities including barbiturates, benzodiazepines, hydantoins, succinimides and miscellaneous anticonvulsants. These agents are Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for the prevention and/or treatment of various seizure disorders either as monotherapy or adjunctive therapy. Some anticonvulsants are also FDA-approved for the prevention of migraines, and management of bipolar disorder, fibromyalgia, neuropathic pain and other non-seizure related conditions. The specific FDA-approved indications for each of these agents are outlined in Table 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, and 2e.^{1,2} Seizure disorders are classified into four major categories: partial seizures (seizures beginning locally), generalized seizures (bilaterally symmetrical and without local onset), unilateral seizures (seizures that are predominantly unilateral) and unclassified epileptic seizures (seizures that are unclassifiable because of incomplete data). Partial seizures are subdivided into those with elementary symptomatology, those with complex symptomatology and those that are secondarily generalized. Partial seizures with elementary symptomatology include those with motor symptoms (e.g., Jacksonian seizures) or with autonomic symptoms. Partial seizures with complex symptomatology are also known as temporal lobe or psychomotor seizures. Generalized seizures include tonic-clonic (grand mal) seizures, absence (petit mal) seizures, myoclonic seizures and akinetic seizures. Two or more seizures that occur sequentially without full recovery of consciousness between the seizures or seizures that last more than 30 minutes are known as status epilepticus.

Pharmacologic management of epilepsy should be individualized, and focused on controlling seizures, avoiding treatment-related adverse events and maintaining or restoring quality of life.³ Prior to 1990, six major antiepileptic drugs were available for the treatment of various forms of epilepsy, including carbamazepine, ethosuximide, phenobarbital, phenytoin, primidone (metabolized to phenobarbital) and valproic acid. Over the past decade, many new chemical entities have become available in the United States. Some advantages of the newer antiepileptic drugs are better adverse event and drug interaction profiles, and they do not require serum concentration monitoring.⁴⁻⁶ All of the anticonvulsants are FDA-approved for the treatment of various seizure disorders; however, these agents are primarily utilized in the treatment of partial, or focal, seizures and generalized tonic-clonic seizures.

The 2012 National Institute for Clinical Excellence guideline recommends carbamazepine and lamotrigine as first-line treatment of children, young people and adults with newly diagnosed focal seizures (partial seizures). Levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine or sodium valproate should be offered if first-line therapies prove inadequate, and adjunctive therapy should be considered if a second well-tolerated antiepileptic also proves inadequate. Furthermore, sodium valproate is recommended first-line for the treatment of children, young people, and adults with newly diagnosed generalized tonic-clonic focal seizures. Lamotrigine should be offered if sodium valproate proves inadequate, and carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine should be considered. Adjunctive therapy with clobazam, lamotrigine, levetiracetam, sodium valproate, or topiramate should be offered to all patients if first-line therapies are inadequate.⁷ The roles of ezogabine and perampanel, the two newest anticonvulsants to be approved by the FDA, are not addressed within the most recent guidelines. Two clinically unique seizure disorders are infantile spasms and Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome (LGS). Infantile spasms is an age-specific convulsive disorder of infancy and early childhood that is typically associated with electroencephalographic pattern of hypsarrhythmia, and also developmental regression.⁸ Typically, LGS is an ill-defined syndrome that is associated with severe seizures in childhood. Patients with LGS present in the first seven years of life, with some experiencing seizures prior to the age of one.⁹ Vigabatrin (oral solution) is FDA-approved for the management of infantile spasm. According to the 2012 American Academy of Neurology medical management of infantile spasms guideline, there is insufficient evidence to support the use of agents other than adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and vigabatrin. Furthermore, evidence suggests that ACTH may be preferred over vigabatrin for short-term management.¹⁰ Previous guidelines support these recommendations.¹¹ Clobazam, clonazepam, lamotrigine, rufinamide and topiramate are FDA-approved



Page 1 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



for the management of LGS. Sodium valproate is recognized as first-line, with lamotrigine recommended as adjunctive therapy if needed.⁷

Carbamazepine, divalproex and valproic acid are FDA-approved for the treatment of acute manic and/or mixed episodes associated with bipolar disorders. Lamotrigine is FDA-approved for maintenance therapy of bipolar disorder, specifically to delay the time to occurrence of mood episodes in patients treated for acute mood episodes with standard therapy. Treatment guidelines recommend valproate and carbamazepine as potential beneficial options for the management of adults with a manic or mixed bipolar episode. Lamotrigine, topiramate or gabapentin are unlikely beneficial in this clinical situation and oxcarbazepine may be considered for treatment. Lamotrigine should be considered as a potential first-line option for the treatment of bipolar depression in adults. For patients who do not respond to initial monotherapy, combination therapy with lithium is recommended.¹²⁻¹⁶

Divalproex, topiramate, and valproic acid are FDA-approved for the prophylaxis of migraine headaches, and all should be offered for migraine prevention according to the 2012 guidelines from the American Academy of Neurology/American Headache Society. Furthermore, carbamazepine may be considered for migraine prevention as it is a possibly effective treatment, while lamotrigine is ineffective.¹⁷ Pregabalin is the only anticonvulsant FDA-approved for the management of diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN). According to the American Academy of Neurology, anticonvulsants, antidepressants, opioids and other pharmacologic agents (capsaicin, isosorbide dinitrate spray and lidocaine patch) are potential treatment options for painful diabetic neuropathy. If clinically appropriate, pregabalin should be offered for treatment. Gabapentin and sodium valproate are other anticonvulsants FDA-approved for the management of postherpetic neuralgia (PHN). According to the American Academy of Neurology anticonvulsants FDA-approved for the management of PHN include tricyclic antidepressants, gabapentin, pregabalin, opioids, and topical lidocaine. At this time the use of these therapies for long-term management of fibromyalgia.

The use of anticonvulsants in the management of fibromyalgia is not addressed in the European League Against Rheumatism guidelines.²⁰ Carbamazepine is the only anticonvulsant FDA-approved for the management of trigeminal neuralgia. Carbamazepine should be offered to patients experiencing pain associated with trigeminal neuralgia. Oxcarbazepine and lamotrigine are also potential treatment options to consider.²¹ Included in the review are certain anticonvulsants from the following pharmacologic classes: barbiturates, benzodiazepines, hydantoins, succinimides, and miscellaneous anticonvulsants. Currently there are several generic agents available, and at least one generic agent is available within each subclass of anticonvulsant. Of note, the barbiturate Mebaral[®] (mephobarbital) was discontinued in March 2012.²²

Medications

Generic Name (Trade name)	Medication Class	Generic Availability
Barbiturates		
Phenobarbital	Barbiturates	
Primidone (Mysoline [®] *)	Barbiturates	
Benzodiazepines		
Clobazam (Onfi [®])	Benzodiazepine	-
Clonazepam (Klonopin [®] *)	Benzodiazepine	
Diazepam (Diastat [®] *)	Benzodiazepine	
Hydantoins		
Ethotoin (Peganone [®])	Hydantoins	-
Phenytoin (Phenytek [®] *, Dilantin [®] *)	Hydantoins	
Succinimides		
Ethosuximide (Zarontin [®] *)	Succinimides	

Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review^{1,23-65}



Page 2 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Generic Name (Trade name)	Medication Class	Generic Availability
Methsuximide (Celontin [®])	Succinimides	-
Anticonvulsants, Miscellaneous		
Carbamazepine (Carbatrol [®] *, Epitol [®] *, Equetro [®] , Tegretol [®] *, Tegretol XR [®] *)	Anticonvulsants	2
Tegretol [®] *, Tegretol XR ^{®*)}		v
Divalproex (Depakote [®] *, Depakote ER [®] *)	Anticonvulsants	
Ezogabine (Potiga [®])	Anticonvulsants	-
Felbamate (Felbatol [®] *)	Anticonvulsants	\checkmark
Gabapentin (Neurontin [®] *)	Anticonvulsants	\checkmark
Lacosamide (Vimpat [®])	Anticonvulsants	-
Lamotrigine (Lamictal [®] *, Lamictal CD [®] *, Lamictal ODT [®]	Anticonvulsants	
Lamictal XR [®])		v
Levetiracetam (Keppra [®] *, Keppra XR [®] *)	Anticonvulsants	\checkmark
Oxcarbazepine (Oxtellar XR [®] , Trileptal [®] *)	Anticonvulsants	\checkmark
Perampanel (Fycompa [®])	Anticonvulsants	-
Pregabalin (Lyrica [®])	Anticonvulsants	-
Rufinamide (Banzel [®])	Anticonvulsants	-
Tiagabine (Gabitril [®] *)	Anticonvulsants	\checkmark
Topiramate (Topamax [®] *)	Anticonvulsants	\checkmark
Valproic acid (Depakene [®] *, Stavzor [®])	Anticonvulsants	\checkmark
Vigabatrin (Sabril [®])	Anticonvulsants	-
Zonisamide (Zonegran [®] *)	Anticonvulsants	\checkmark

*Generic available in at least one dosage form or strength.

Indications

Table 2a. Food and Drug Administration-Approved Indications-Barbiturates^{1,48-50,56}

Indication	Phenobarbital	Primidone
Seizure-related Indications		
Anticonvulsant	$\sqrt{(tablet)}$	
Control of grand mal, psychomotor, and focal epileptic seizures, used		N
alone or concomitantly with other anticonvulsants		v
Emergency control of certain acute convulsive episodes	$\sqrt{(injection)}$	
Long term anticonvulsant for the treatment of generalized tonic-clonic	$\sqrt{(injection)}$	
and cortical focal seizures		
Treatment of generalized and partial seizures	√ (elixir)	
Other		
Hypnotic, for short term treatment of insomnia	√ (injection)	
Preanesthetic	√ (injection)	
Sedative		

Table 2b. Food and Drug Administration-Approved Indications-Benzodiazepines^{1,25,28,45}

Indication(s)	Clobazam	Clonazepam	Diazepam
Seizure-related Indications			
Adjunctive treatment of seizures associated with Lennox- Gastaut Syndrome in patients two years of age or older	\checkmark		
Management of selected, refractory, patients with epilepsy, on stable regimens of antiepileptic drugs, who require intermittent use of diazepam to control bouts of increased seizure activity			\checkmark
Treatment of Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome (petit mal variant), akinetic, and myoclonic seizures, alone or as adjunct therapy		\checkmark	



Page 3 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Indication(s)	Clobazam	Clonazepam	Diazepam
Other			
Treatment of panic disorder, with or without agoraphobia		\checkmark	

Table 2c. Food and Drug Administration-Approved Indications-Hydantoins^{1,47,51-54}

Indication(s)	Ethotoin	Phenytoin
Seizure-related Indications		
Control of status epilepticus of the grand mal type		$\sqrt{(injection)}$
Control of generalized tonic-clonic and complex partial	2	(chewable tablet, extended-
seizures	v	release capsule, suspension)
Prevention and treatment of seizures occurring during or		(chewable tablet, extended-
following neurosurgery		release capsule, injection)

Table 2d. Food and Drug Administration-Approved Indications-Succinimides^{1,24,33,34}

Indication(s)	Ethosuximide	Methsuximide
Seizure-related Indications		
Control of absence epilepsy		
Control of absence seizures that are refractory to other drugs		





_

Table 2e. Food and Drug Administration-Approved Indications-Anticonvulsants, Miscellaneous 1,23,26,27,31,32,35-44,46,55,57-65

Table 2e. Food and Drug Admin	15tratio			lication		Jiivuis			.003								
Indication(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Seizure-related Indications																	
Adjunctive therapy for adult patients with refractory complex partial seizures who have inadequately responded to several alternative treatments and for whom the potential benefits outweigh the risk of vision loss																√ (tab)	
Adjunctive therapy in patients with multiple seizure types, that include absence seizures		√ (ER, DR)													V		
Adjunctive therapy in patients with partial onset or primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures														\checkmark			
Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of myoclonic seizures in patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy								√ (inj, tab)									
Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial seizures					\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark				\checkmark
Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial onset seizures			\checkmark							$\sqrt{1}$							
Adjunctive therapy in the treatment of primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures							\checkmark	√ (inj, soln, tab)									
Adjunctive therapy for seizures												\checkmark		\checkmark			





Indication(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
associated with Lennox- Gastaut syndrome							(chew, ODT)										
Generalized tonic-clonic seizures							ODT)										
Mixed seizure patterns Monotherapy and adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial seizures	√																
Monotherapy and adjunctive therapy of complex partial seizures and simple and complex absence seizures		\checkmark													\checkmark		
Monotherapy for pediatric patients (one month to two years of age) with infantile spasms for whom the potential benefits outweigh the potential risk of vision loss																√ (soln)	
Monotherapy (initial) in patients with partial onset or primary generalized tonic- clonic seizures														\checkmark			
Monotherapy in patients with partial seizures who are receiving treatment with carbamazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, primidone, or valproate as the single antiepileptic drugs							\checkmark										
Patients who respond inadequately to alternative				\checkmark													





Indication(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
treatments and whose epilepsy is so severe that a substantial risk of aplastic anemia and/or liver failure is deemed acceptable in light of the benefits conferred by its use																	
Partial seizures with complex symptomatology	\checkmark																
Other		,	[]			1	ı		гг				r	r			
Acute treatment of the manic episodes associated with bipolar disorder		√ (DR)													√ (DR)		
Acute treatment of manic or mixed episodes associated with bipolar disorder	$\sqrt{*}$	√ (ER)															
Fibromyalgia																	
Maintenance treatment of bipolar disorder to delay the time to occurrence of mood episodes in patients treated for acute mood episodes with standard therapy							√ (chew, ODT)										
Neuropathic pain associated with diabetic peripheral neuropathy											\checkmark						
Neuropathic pain associated with spinal cord injury					1												
Postherpetic neuralgia Prophylaxis of migraine headaches		√ (DR,			√						√			\checkmark	√ (DR)		





Indication(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
		ER)															
Trigeminal neuralgia																	

*This is the sole indication of Equetro[®]. No other carbamazepine-containing products have this indication. † With or without secondarily generalized seizures in patients with epilepsy aged 12 years and older. Cap=capsule, Chew=chewable tablet, DR=delayed-release, ER=extended release, Inj= injection, ODT=orally disintegrating tablet, Soln=oral solution, Tab=tablet





Pharmacokinetics

Generic Name	Absorption	Distribution	Metabolism	Elimination
Phenobarbital	Bioavailability:	Vd: nd	Method: liver	Route: renal (Percent not
	nd (food: nd)	Protein	Metabolites: inactive	reported)
	Cmax: nd	binding: nd	metabolites not	fecal (percent not
	Tmax: nd		specified	reported)
				Half-life: 53 to 118 hours
				(adults), 60 to 180 hours
				(pediatrics)
				CI: nd
Primidone	Bioavailability:	Vd: 0.4 to	Method: liver	Route: renal (minimal)
	90 to 100%	1.0 L/kg	Metabolites (active):	Half-life: 3.3 to 7.0 hours
	(food: nd)	Protein	phenobarbital,	(29 to 150 hours for
	Cmax: nd	binding: 20	phenylethyl-	metabolites)
	Tmax: nd	to 30%	malonamide	CI: nd

Table 3a. Pharmacokinetics-Barbiturates^{1,48-50,56}

Cl=clearance, Cmax=maximum concentration, nd=no data, Tmax=time to maximum concentration, Vd=volume of distribution

Table 3b. Pharmacokinetics-Benzodiazepines^{1,25,28,45}

	cokinetics-Benzodia			
Generic Name	Absorption	Distribution	Metabolism	Elimination
Clobazam	Bioavailability:	Vd: 100 L	Method: liver	Route: renal (82%)
	87%	Protein	(extensive)	fecal (11%)
	(food: no effect)	binding: 80 to	Metabolites	Half-life: 36 to 42
	Cmax: nd	90%	(active): N-des-	hours (71 to 82 hours
	Tmax: 0.5 to 4.0		methylclobazam	for metabolites)
	hours		(norclobazam)	CI: nd
Clonazepam	Bioavailability:	Vd: 3.2 L/kg	Method: liver	Route: renal (0.5 to
	90%	Protein	(extensive)	1.0%)
	(food: nd)	binding: 85%	Metabolites: none	Half-life: 30 to 40
	Cmax: nd			hours
	Tmax: 1 to 4 hours			CI: nd
Diazepam	Bioavailability:	Vd: 1 L/kg	Method: liver	Route: renal (75%)
	90% (relative to	Protein	(extensive)	Half-life: 0.83 to 2.25
	injection)	binding: 95 to	Metabolites	days (40 to 194 hours
	(food:)	98%	(active): N-	for metabolites)
	Cmax:		desmethyl-	CI: nd
	Tmax: 1.5 hours		diazepam, N-	
			methyloxazepam	

Cl=clearance, Cmax=maximum concentration, nd=no data, Tmax=time to maximum concentration, Vd=volume of distribution

Table 3c. Pharmacokinetics-Hydantoins^{1,47,51-54}

Generic Name	Absorption	Distribution	Metabolism	Elimination
Ethotoin	Bioavailability:	Vd: nd	Method: liver	Route: renal (percent
	extent unknown	Protein	(extensive)	not reported)
	(food: nd)	binding:	Metabolites	Half-life: 2 to 12 hours
	Cmax: 15 to 50	minimal	(inactive): A 5-	CI: nd
	µg/mL (adult)	(percent not	hydroxy-5-	
	14.4 to 34 µg/mL	reported)	phenylhydantoin	
	(pediatric)		metabolite, N-	
	Tmax: 2 hours		deethyl, P-	
	(oral)		hydroylethotoin	
Phenytoin	Bioavailability: 20	Vd: 0.5 to 1.0	Method: liver	Route: bile (extensive)
	to 90%	L/kg	Metabolites: none	renal (extent unknown)
	(food: increased	Protein		Half-life: 14 hours



Page 9 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Generic Name	Absorption	Distribution	Metabolism	Elimination
	absorption)	binding: 88 to		(chewable tablet)
	Cmax: nd	93%		22 hour (suspension)
	Tmax: 1.5 to 3.0			CI: nd
	hours (oral)			

Cl=clearance, Cmax=maximum concentration, nd=no data, Tmax=time to maximum concentration, Vd=volume of distribution

Table 3d. Pharmacokinetics-Succinimides^{1,24,33,34}

Generic Name	Absorption	Distribution	Metabolism	Elimination
Ethosuximide	Bioavailability: nd	Vd: nd	Method: nd	Route: nd
	(food: nd)	Protein	Metabolites: nd	Half-life: nd
	Cmax: nd	binding: nd		CI: nd
	Tmax: nd	-		
Methsuximide	Bioavailability: nd	Vd: nd	Method: nd	Route: nd
	(food: nd)	Protein	Metabolites	Half-life: 1.4 hours
	Cmax: nd	binding: nd	(active): N-	(25.6 to 38 hours for
	Tmax: nd	_	desmethylsuximide	metabolite)
				CI: nd

CI=clearance, Cmax=maximum concentration, nd=no data, Tmax=time to maximum concentration, Vd=volume of distribution

Table 3e. Pharmacokinetics-Anticonvulsants. Miscellaneous^{1,23,26,27,31,32,35-44,46,55, 57-65}

Generic Name	Absorption	Distribution	Metabolism	Elimination
Carbamazepine	Bioavailability: 70	Vd: 0.8 to 2	Method: liver (98%)	Route: renal (72%)
Carbamazepine	5		. ,	
	to 79% (tablet)	L/kg Protein	Metabolites	fecal (28%) Half-life: 12 to 17 hours
	95.9% (solution)		(active): 9	
	(food: increased	binding:	hydroxymethyl-10-	(6.1 hours for
	bioavailability)	76%	carbamoyl acridan,	metabolites)
	Cmax: nd		carbamazepine-	Cl: 3.85 L/hour
	Tmax: 4 to 5 hours		10,11-epoxide	
	(IR)			
	6 hours (chewable			
	tablet)			
	3 to 12 hours (ER)			
	1.5 hours			
	(suspension)			
Divalproex	Bioavailability:	Vd: 0.14 to	Method: nd	Route: renal (70 to 80%)
·	90% (ER)	0.23 L/kg	Metabolites: nd	bile (7%)
	(food: no	Protein		Half-life: nd
	significant effect)	binding: nd		CI: 0.9 L/hour
	Cmax: nd	5		
	Tmax: 4 to 8 hours			
	(IR)			
	3.3 to 4.8 hours			
	(sprinkle capsule)			
	4 to 17 hours (ER)			
Ezogabine	Bioavailability:	Vd: 2 to 3	Method: liver	Route: renal (85%)
Ezugabilie	60% (food: none)	L/kg	(extensive)	Half-life: 7 to 11 hours
	Cmax: nd	Protein	Metabolites: NAMR	
				CI: 0.4 to 0.6 L/kg/hour
	Tmax: 0.5 to 2.0	binding:	(active)	
	hours	80%		
Felbamate	Bioavailability: nd	Vd: 0.7 to	Method: nd	Route: renal (90%)
	(food: none)	1.0 L/kg	Metabolites: nd	Half-life: 20 to 23 hours
	Cmax: nd	Protein		Cl: 2.75 L/hour
	Tmax: nd	binding: 22		
		to 25%		



Page 10 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Generic Name	Absorption	Distribution	Metabolism	Elimination
Gabapentin	Bioavailability:	Vd: 58 L	Method: not	Route: 76 to 81% (renal)
•	60% (food: 14%	Protein	metabolized	10 to 23% (fecal)*
	increase in AUC	binding:	Metabolites: not	Half-life: 5 to 7 hours
	and Cmax)	<3%	applicable	CI: nd
	Cmax: 8,536			
	ng/mL (600 mg			
	TID)			
	Tmax: 2 hours			
Lacosamide	Bioavailability:	Vd: 0.6 L/kg	Method: nd	Route: renal (95%)
	100%	Protein	Metabolites	fecal (<0.5%)
	(food: none)	binding:	(inactive): O-	Half-life: 13 hours (15 to
	Cmax: nd	<15%	desmethyl-	23 hours for metabolites)
Leuretuinine	Tmax: 1 to 4 hours		lacosamide	CI: nd
Lamotrigine	Bioavailability:	Vd: 0.9 to	Method: liver	Route: renal (94%)
	98% (IR) (food: none)	1.3 L/kg (adults)	(extensive) Metabolites: nd	fecal (2%) Half-life: 12.6 to 58.8
	Cmax: 0.58 to	(aduits) 1.5 L/kg	wetabolites. nu	hours (adults)
	4.63 mg/L (oral)	(pediatrics)		Cl: nd
	Tmax: 1.4 to 4.8	Protein		OI: Hu
	hours (adults; IR)	binding:		
	4 to 11 hours	55%		
	(adults; ER)			
	1.6 to 5.2 hours			
	(pediatrics; IR)			
Levetiracetam	Bioavailability:	Vd: 0.7 L/kg	Method: liver	Route: renal (66%)
	100%	Protein	(insignificant)	Half-life: 6 to 8 hours (8.4
	(food: minor)	binding:	Metabolites	hours for metabolites)
	Cmax: 23.1 µg/L	<10%	(inactive): ucb L057	Cl: 0.96 mL/min/kg
	Tmax: 1 hour (IR)			
	4 hours (ER)			
Oxcarbazepine	Bioavailability:	Vd: 49 L	Method: liver (rapid	Route: renal (95 to 96%)
	percent not	Protein	and extensive) Metabolites: 10-	fecal (<4%) Half-life: 1 to 2.5 hours (8
	reported (rapid) (food: none)	binding: 40 to 60%	monohydroxy-	to 11 hours for
	Cmax: nd	10 00 /8	carbazepine	metabolites)
	Tmax: 4.5 hours		(active), two	Cl: nd
	(tablet)		isomeric 10,11-	
	6 hours		diols (inactive)	
	(suspension)			
Perampanel	Bioavailability:	Vd: nd	Method: oxidation	Route: fecal (48%)
	100% (food:	Protein	and sequential	renal (22%)
	decrease in Cmax	binding: 95	glucuronidation	Half-life:105 hours
	by 28 to 40% and		Metabolites: nd	Cl: 12 mL/min
	approximately 2 to			
	3 hour increase in			
	Tmax)			
	Cmax: nd			
Dreachaller	Tmax: <2.5 hours		Mathead as a second	
Pregabalin	Bioavailability:	Vd: 0.5 L/kg Protein	Method: minor metabolism to an	Route: 90.0 to 99.0%
	≥90% (food: decrease in Cmax	binding:	N-methylated	(renal) <0.1% (fecal)
	by 25 to 30% and	none	derivative and an	Half-life: 5.0 to 6.5 hours
	approximately 3	none	unidentified	Cl: nd
	hour increase in		metabolite	OI. HG
		I		



Page 11 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Generic Name	ADSOLUTION	Distribution	Metabolism	Elimination
1 1	Absorption Tmax)	Distribution	Metabolites: activity	Linnation
	Cmax: nd		unknown	
	Tmax: 1.5 hours		UTIKITOWIT	
Rufinamide	Bioavailability:	Vd: 50 L	Method: liver	Route: renal (85%)
Kullhamue	85%	Protein	(extensive)	Half-life: 6 to 10 hours
	(food: 34%	binding:	Metabolites	Cl: nd
	increase)	34%	(inactive): CGP	Ci. Hu
	Cmax: nd	5470	(inactive). CGF 47292	
	Tmax: 4 to 6 hours		47232	
Tiagabine	Bioavailability:	Vd: nd	Method: liver	Route: renal (25%)
Пауартте	90%	Protein	Metabolites	fecal (63%)
	(food: slows			Half-life: 7 to 9 hours
	absorption rate but	binding: 96%	(inactive): 5-oxo-	Cl: 109 mL/minute
		90%	tigabine	
	not extent) Cmax: nd			
Topiromete	Tmax: 45 minutes	Vd: 0.6 to	Mothody liver /rest	Doutou rozal (700/)
Topiramate	Bioavailability: 80%	0.8 L/lg	Method: liver (not extensive)	Route: renal (70%) Half-life: 21 hours
	(food: none)	Protein	Metabolites:	Cl: 20 to 30 mL/min
	Cmax: 1.7, 3.7,	binding: 9 to	inactive metabolites	CI. 20 to 30 IIIL/IIIII
		41%		
	and 8 µg/mL	4170	not specified	
	following 100, 200, and 400 mg doses			
	Tmax: 1.5 to 4			
	hours			
Valproic acid	Bioavailability:	Vd: 0.14 to	Method: liver	Route: renal (70 to 80%)
	(food:)	0.23 L/kg	(extensive)	bile (7%)
	Cmax: nd	Protein	Metabolites (activity	Half-life: 6 to 17 (hours)
	Tmax: 2.0 to 4.8	binding:	unknown): 2-	Cl: 0.9 L/hour
	hours (DR	90%	propyl-3-keto-	
	capsule)	0070	pentanoic acid, 2-	
	1 to 4 hours (IR		propyl-	
	capsules)		hydroxypentanoic	
	1.2 hours		acids	
	(solution)			
	3.1 hours (rectal			
	syrup)			
Vigabatrin	Bioavailability: 50	Vd: 1.1 L/kg	Method: liver	Route: renal (95%)
J	%	Protein	(minimal)	Half-life: 7.0 to 7.5 hours
	(food: none)	binding: not	Metabolites: none	(adults)
	Cmax: nd	bound		5.7 hours (infants)
	Tmax: 1 hour			Cl: 0.74 mL/min/kg
	(tablet)			
	1.0 to 2.5 hours			
	(solution)			
Zonisamide	Bioavailability: nd	Vd: 0.8 to	Method: nd	Route: renal (62%)
	(food: no	1.6 L/kg	Metabolites (activity	fecal (3%)
	significant effect)	Protein	not reported): 2-	Half-life: 63 hours
		binding: 40	sulfamoylacetyl	(plasma)
	Cmax: 2 to 5	binding. 40	Sunannoylacetyr	(plasifia)
	μg/mL	to 60%	phenol, N-acetyl	105 hours (erythrocytes)

*Animal data.

AUC=area under the curve, Cl=clearance, Cmax=maximum concentration, DR=delayed-release, ER=extended-release, IR=immediate-release, nd=no data, Tmax=time to maximum concentration, Vd=volume of distribution



Page 12 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Clinical Trials

Clinical trials evaluating the anticonvulsants in their respective Food and Drug Administration (FDA)approved indications are outlined in Table 4.⁶⁶⁻¹⁹⁸

Several clinical trials support the safety and efficacy of the anticonvulsant agents in the management of seizure disorders. At this time, there is insufficient evidence to suggest that one agent is more efficacious than another.⁶⁶⁻¹⁵⁷

Vigabatrin is the only anticonvulsant that is FDA-approved for the treatment of infantile spasms. Data from clinical trials support the role of vigabatrin and steroids as first-line drugs for the treatment of infantile spasms. Hancock et al conducted a meta-analysis of 14 randomized controlled trials which included infants and children with infantile spasms. Treatment with vigabatrin was associated with a complete cessation of spasms in 7/20 (35%) patients compared to 2/20 (10%) patients treated with placebo. A >70% reduction in the number of spasms was reported in 40% of patients treated with vigabatrin compared to 15% of patients treated with placebo.⁶⁷ Another meta-analysis by Hancock et al included trials that evaluated the safety and efficacy of felbamate, lamotrigine, rufinamide and topiramate in the treatment of Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome (LGS). While all of these agents demonstrated some efficacy, authors concluded that the optimum treatment of LGS remained uncertain as no trial demonstrated that treatment with any one drug was highly efficacious. Authors concluded that felbamate, lamotrigine, rufinamide, and topiramate may be helpful as add-on therapy.¹⁴⁶

Clobazam was FDA-approved for adjunctive therapy of seizures associated with LGS in 2011. The results of a study by Ng et al demonstrated that the mean percentage decrease in average weekly rate of drop seizures was 41.2% for clobazam 0.25 mg/kg/day (*P*=0.0120), 49.4% for clobazam 0.5 mg/kg/day (*P*=0.0015) and 68.3% for clobazam 1.0 mg/kg/day (*P*<0.0001) compared to 12.1% for placebo.¹²⁷ In another study of patients two to 26 years of age with LGS, the number of weekly drop seizures was reduced from 141 to 91 with low-dose clobazam (0.25 mg/kg/day) and from 207 to 32 with high-dose clobazam (1.0 mg/kg/day). The percent change from baseline was significant in both the low-dose (12%; *P*=0.0162) and high-dose treatment groups (85%; *P*<0.0001). Moreover, the reduction in drop seizure rates was significantly greater in the high-dose group compared to the low-dose group (*P*=0.0001). Significantly more patients in the high-dose group compared to the low-dose group had a reduction in weekly drop seizure rates of ≥25% (89 vs 56%; *P*=0.0025), ≥50% (83 vs 38%; *P*=0.0001), and ≥75% (67 vs 25%; *P*=0.0006).¹²⁸ In an open-label, extension study of patients enrolled in either of the above studies, the median percent reduction from baseline in weekly drop seizures was 71.1% at three months and 91.6% at 24 months of continued treatment. The median percent decreases in total seizures in these patients were 64.8% and 81.5% at three and 24 months, respectively.¹²⁹

Another recently approved agent, ezogabine, has demonstrated improvements in seizure frequency in patients with partial-onset seizures. In a study by Porter et al, treatment with ezogabine 600, 900 and 1,200 mg reduced the total monthly seizure frequency from baseline by 23, 29 and 35% compared to 13% with placebo (P<0.001 for overall difference across all treatment arms).⁷² In a second study of patients with drug resistant partial epilepsy, ezogabine 1,200 mg daily (divided in three daily doses) reduced the total monthly seizure frequency from baseline by 44.3% compared to 17.5% with placebo (P<0.001).⁷³

Perampanel has been evaluated as adjunctive therapy in patients with partial onset seizures. In study 304, treatment with perampanel 8 mg or 12 mg resulted in a statistically significant reduction in seizure frequency when compared to placebo (P=0.0261 and P=0.0158 for 8 mg and 12 mg, respectively); however, there was no significant difference in the proportion of patients who achieved a seizure reduction of >50% from baseline compared to the placebo group.⁹⁰ In study 305, there was a similar reduction in seizure frequency compared to study 304 (P<0.001 and P=0.011 for 8 mg and 12 mg, respectively). In addition, a greater proportion of patients treated with perampanel 8 mg or 12 mg had a reduction in seizure frequency of >50% from baseline (P=0.002 and P<0.001 for 8 mg and 12 mg, respectively).⁹¹ In study 306, patients treated with perampanel 4 mg or 8 mg once daily experienced a significant reduction in seizure frequency compared to placebo (P=0.003 and P<0.001 for 4 mg and 8 mg,



Page 13 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



respectively). Moreover, a greater proportion of patients treated with perampanel 4 mg or 8 mg achieved a reduction in seizure frequency of >50% from baseline compared to placebo (P=0.013 and P<0.001 for 4 and 8 mg, respectively). Treatment with perampanel 2 mg did not result in a significant decrease in either endpoint compared to placebo. (P=0.420 and P not reported, respectively).⁹² In an extension study, patients who completed the double-blinded phases of studies 304, 305 and 306 could receive perampanel titrated up to 12 mg daily. Of the patients who had six months of data, 8.9% were seizure-free for the entire six months and 7.1% of patients with 12 months of data, remained seizure-free for the entire year.⁹³

A meta-analysis of 23 clinical trials (n=2,927) demonstrated that anticonvulsants were effective in reducing the frequency of migraine attacks by approximately one to two attacks per month (weighted mean difference [WMD], -1.31; 95% confidence interval [CI], -1.99 to -0.63; *P* value not reported). In addition, patients receiving anticonvulsants were also more than twice as likely to reduce the number of their migraine attacks by ≥50% compared to placebo (relative risk [RR], 2.25; 95% CI, 1.79 to 2.84; number needed to treat [NNT], 3.9; 95% CI, 3.4 to 4.7; *P* value not reported). The majority of the trials involved topiramate or valproic acid.¹⁷³

Clinical trials and meta-analyses demonstrated that carbamazepine, gabapentin, and pregabalin were effective in the management of chronic neuropathic pain.^{165-168,171,172,176-198} In a meta-analysis of three head-to-head trials (n=120), there was no difference between gabapentin and tricyclic antidepressants for achieving pain relief for diabetic peripheral neuropathy and postherpetic neuralgia. Indirect analyses reported that gabapentin was worse than tricyclic antidepressants for achieving pain relief.¹⁸⁰ In a meta-analysis of five clinical trials, gabapentin and pregabalin reduced pain and improved sleep in patients with fibromyalgia. The pooled number-needed-to-treat to achieve \geq 30% reduction in pain was 8.5. Anxiety, depressed mood and fatigue were not improved with gabapentin or pregabalin treatment.¹⁷¹

Macritchie et al conducted a meta-analysis of ten clinical trials (n=932) comparing valproic acid to placebo, carbamazepine, haloperidol, lithium and olanzapine for the treatment of acute manic episodes in patients with bipolar disorders. Valproic acid was significantly more effective than placebo (relative risk reduction, [RRR] 38%; RR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.51 to 0.77) in the treatment of mania and comparable to carbamazepine, haloperidol, and lithium (RRR, 34%; RR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.38 to 1.16). Valproic acid was not as effective as olanzapine (failure to achieve clinical response; relative risk increase, 25%; RR, 1.25; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.54; average of 2.8 point less change on the Mania Rating Scale; 95% CI, 0.83 to 4.79), but was associated with less sedation and weight gain.¹⁶²

The antiepileptic drugs are available in many dosage forms, including immediate release, delayed-release, and extended-release capsules or tablets; sprinkle capsules; chewable tablets; orally disintegrating tablets; solutions or suspensions; and injections. There are limited studies comparing the efficacy and safety of one dosage form to another.^{71,80,84}



Page 14 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Table 4. Clinical Trials

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Treatment of Generalize		r	1	
Posner et al ⁶⁶ Ethosuximide	MA (5 RCTs) Children and	N=total not reported	Primary: Proportion of patients seizure	Primary: Five small trials were found of which four were of poor methodological quality.
vs	adolescents with absence seizures	Duration not reported	free, proportion with ≥50% reduction in	One short trial (n=29) compared lamotrigine with placebo using a response conditional design. Individual taking lamotrigine were significantly more likely to be seizure free than participants taking placebo.
lamotrigine			seizure frequency,	Another trial compared lamotrigine with sodium valproate; however, the study
vs			normalization of EEG and/or	lacked power to detect differences in efficacy.
sodium valproate			negative hyper- ventilation test,	Three studies compared ethosuximide, but because of diverse study designs and populations studied, the results were not pooled in a MA. None of these studies found a difference between valproate and ethosuximide with respect to seizure
vs placebo			safety Secondary:	control, but CI were wide and the existence of important differences could not be excluded.
Trials compared study			Not reported	Secondary:
drug as monotherapy or add-on therapy.				Not reported
Hancock et al ⁶⁷	MA (14 RCTs)	N=681	Primary: Cessation of	Primary: Complete cessation of spasms was reported in 7/20 (35%) patients treated with
Vigabatrin vs placebo (1 trial)	Infants and children (mean age 15 to 41	Duration varied	spasms, reduction in number of	vigabatrin compared to 2/20 (10%) patients treated with placebo. A >70% reduction in the number of spasms was reported in 40% of patients treated with vigabatrin compared to 15% of patients treated with placebo. Of the seven patients
Vigabatrin low dose vs vigabatrin high dose (1 trial)	weeks) with infantile spasms		spasms, effects on relapse rates, effects on	who responded to vigabatrin, four patients relapsed. Both patients who were successfully treated with placebo relapsed. Overall, only three patients treated with vigabatrin and no patient treated with placebo remained spasm free within the four
Vigabatrin vs hormonal treatment (ACTH, tetracosactide [synthetic ACTH*] or prednisolone) (3 trials)			resolution of EEG, effect on subsequent epilepsy rates, adverse events and death	week study period. Resolution of EEG was noted in 5/7 patients who had responded to vigabatrin, and 1/2 patients who had responded to placebo. Other primary end points were not reported in this study. No adverse events severe enough to warrant stopping treatment and no deaths were reported in this study (<i>P</i> values were not reported).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Vigabatrin vs hydrocortisone (1 trial) Valproate vs placebo (1 trial) MA also evaluated various corticosteroid regimens (4 trials), nitrazepam* vs ACTH (1 trial), sulthiame* vs placebo (1 trial) and methysergide vs α- methylparatyrosine* (1 trial). Only the results for studies evaluating the anticonvulsants were included in this summary.			Secondary: Not reported	In a study comparing low vs high doses of vigabatrin, 8/75 patients receiving low- dose vigabatrin were spasm free and had resolution of their EEG as compared to 24/67 patients treated with high-dose vigabatrin. A large number of patients were lost to follow-up (15 in the low-dose group and 22 in the high-dose group; <i>P</i> values were not reported). Combining results from three studies, 45/81 patients randomized to vigabatrin had cessation of their spasms compared to 57/77 patients randomized to hormonal treatment. In one study, the median time to achieve cessation of spasms was 11.5 days for vigabatrin and three days for hormonal treatment. Another study reported a range of one to 14 days for vigabatrin and two to 12 days for ACTH for complete cessation of spasms. Overall 19/52 patients receiving vigabatrin remained spasm free compared to 22/55 patients receiving hormonal treatment. Resolution of EEG occurred in 30/45 patients responding to vigabatrin and 40/49 patients responding to ACTH. For the subgroup of infants with no identified underlying etiology for infantile spasms, mean composite scores for psychomotor development were higher in infants receiving hormone treatment than in those receiving vigabatrin (<i>P</i> =0.025). Seizures at follow-up were reported in 27/81 patients receiving vigabatrin (<i>P</i> =0.025). Seizures at follow-up were not reported. When vigabatrin was compared to hydrocortisone in 22 infants with infantile spasms due to tuberous sclerosis, 11/11 patients treated with vigabatrin were spasm free as compared to 5/11 patients treated with hydrocortisone. The average time to cessation of spasms was 4/13 days in the vigabatrin and hydrocortisone arms, respectively; <i>P</i> values were not reported). In a small crossover study comparing valproate to placebo (n=17), patients receiving valproate had a lower mean spasm index compared to placebo when valproate was administered first (<i>P</i> <0.04). There was no significant difference between valproate and placebo during the second levels of treatment. No other o





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Secondary: Not reported
Treatment of Partial Sei	zures			
Koch et al ⁶⁸	MA (3 RCT)	N=723	Primary:	Primary:
			Time to	Only one trial used adequate outcome measures of efficacy; therefore, the results
Carbamazepine	Adults with	Duration not	treatment	pertaining to efficacy are based on a single trial, whereas the results pertaining to
monotherapy	partial-onset	reported	withdrawal and	adverse events are based on all three trials.
VS	seizures		safety	There was no overall difference in time to treatment withdrawal between
oxcarbazepine monotherapy			Secondary: Not reported	oxcarbazepine and carbamazepine (HR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.78 to 1.39). Further analyses showed no significant difference in treatment withdrawal for unacceptable adverse events between oxcarbazepine and carbamazepine (HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.59 to 1.24). There was no significant difference in treatment withdrawal for inadequate seizure control for oxcarbazepine vs carbamazepine (HR, 1.33; 95% CI, 0.82 to 2.15; <i>P</i> values were not reported).
				Oxcarbazepine ad carbamazepine appeared to be similarly effective and well tolerated although the CI around estimates were wide and did not rule out the possibility of important differences. Significantly more patients on oxcarbazepine than carbamazepine developed nausea and/or vomiting (HR, 1.33; 95% CI, 0.82 to 2.15; <i>P</i> value not reported).
				Secondary: Not reported
Mattson et al ⁶⁹	DB, MC, RCT	N=480	Primary:	Primary:
(abstract)			Total number of	For the control of secondarily generalized tonic-clonic seizures, carbamazepine
Carbamazepine, dosing	Adults with complex partial	1 to 5 years	seizures, number of	and valproate were comparably effective (P values not reported).
and frequency not	seizures and		seizures per	For complex partial seizures carbamazepine was favored over valproate with
specified	secondarily		month, time to	regards to the total number of seizures (2.7 vs 7.6; P =0.05), the number of
	generalized		first seizure,	seizures per month (0.9 vs 2.2; P=0.01), the time to first seizure (P<0.02), and the
VS	tonic-clonic		seizure rating	seizure-rating score (P=0.04).
valproate (divalproex	seizures		score (not specified) and	Carbamazepine was also "superior" according to a composite score that combined
sodium), dosing and			safety	scores for the control of seizures and for adverse effects (<i>P</i> <0.001). Valproate was





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
frequency not specified		N 622	Secondary: Not reported	associated more frequently than carbamazepine with weight gain >5.5 kg (20 vs 8%; <i>P</i> <0.001), with hair loss or change in texture (12 vs 6%; <i>P</i> =0.02), and with tremor (45 vs 22%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Rash was more often associated with carbamazepine (11 vs 1%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary: Not reported
Mattson et al ¹⁰ (abstract) Carbamazepine, dosing and frequency not specified vs phenobarbital, dosing and frequency not specified vs phenytoin, dosing and frequency not specified vs primidone, dosing and frequency not specified	DB, MC, RCT Adults with new onset partial and secondarily generalized tonic-clonic seizures	N=622 2 years	Primary: Overall treatment success (not defined), control of partial or tonic-clonic seizures and safety Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: Overall treatment success was highest with carbamazepine or phenytoin, intermediate with phenobarbital, and lowest with primidone (<i>P</i><0.002). Other <i>P</i> values were not reported. Differences in failure rates of the drugs were explained primarily by the fact that primidone caused more intolerable acute toxic effects, such as dizziness, sedation, nausea and vomiting. In addition, decreased libido and impotence were more common in patients given primidone. Phenytoin caused more dysmorphic effects and hypersensitivity; <i>P</i> values were not reported. Control of tonic-clonic seizures did not differ significantly with the various drugs. Carbamazepine provided complete control of partial seizures more often than primidone or phenobarbital (<i>P</i><0.03; other <i>P</i> values were not reported). Secondary: Not reported
Ficker et al ⁷¹ Carbamazepine IR (mean dose 759 mg at baseline) as monotherapy or with 1	OL, PRO Adults and adolescents (>12 years of age) with partial	N=466 3 months	Primary: Safety and change in seizure frequency	Primary: In adults the switch from carbamazepine IR to ER significantly improved nervous system adverse events (<i>P</i> <0.0001). The total score for adverse events also improved from baseline to end point (37.2 vs 31.7; <i>P</i> <0.0001), with the number of adults with toxic scores decreasing from 101 (24.1%) at baseline to 54 (12.9%) at end point (<i>P</i> <0.0001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
additional AED switched to carbamazepine ER (mean dose 781 mg at end point) (Carbatrol [®])	epilepsy with or without secondary generalization		Secondary: Not reported	In adolescents, significant improvements in sedation and confusion were noted after the switch from carbamazepine IR to ER (P <0.01). The total adverse event score also improved from baseline to end point (26.7 vs 22.6; P <0.01). Switching from carbamazepine IR to ER resulted in a reduction in mean monthly seizure count in observed cases at month three (-0.36; P =0.015; n=387) and at end point (-0.34; P =0.017; n=447). Secondary: Not reported
Porter et al ⁷² Ezogabine 600, 900 or 1,200 mg/day, administered in 3 equal doses/day vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients 16 to 70 years of age who had inadequately controlled partial- onset seizures, ≥4 partial-onset seizures/month during an 8 week baseline phase with no 30 day seizure free period, while maintained on stable doses of 1 or 2 anticonvulsants (valproate, carbamazepine, phenytoin, topiramate, lamotrigine,	N=399 16 weeks of forced titration, followed by 8 weeks of main- tenance therapy)	Primary: Percentage change from baseline in monthly seizure frequency Secondary: Proportion of patients experiencing ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency (responder rate), emergence of new seizure types, physician assessment of global clinical improvement, safety and tolerability	 Primary: The median percent change in monthly total seizure frequency from baseline was - 23, -29 and -35% with ezogabine 600, 900 and 1,200 mg/day compared to -13% with placebo (<i>P</i><0.001 for overall difference across all treatment arms). Secondary: Responder rates with ezogabine were 23, 32 and 33% for 600 (<i>P</i> value not reported), 900 (<i>P</i>=0.021) and 1,200 mg/day (<i>P</i>=0.016) compared to 16% with placebo. Treatment with ezogabine was not associated with newly occurring seizure type(s) compared to treatment with placebo. At the end of the trial, no change in clinical global improvement score was observed with placebo; however, there was a progressive improvement observed with all doses of ezogabine, with significant differences vs placebo with 600 (<i>P</i>=0.015), 900 (<i>P</i>=0.004) and 1,200 mg/day (<i>P</i>=0.005), respectively. The most common treatment-emergent adverse events were somnolence, dizziness, confusion, speech disorder, vertigo, tremor, amnesia, abnormal thinking, abnormal gait, paresthesia and diplopia.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	gabapentin, oxcarbazepine, benzodiazepines or barbiturates)			
French et al ⁷³ Ezogabine 1,200 mg/day, administered in 3 equal doses/day vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients 18 to 75 years of age with drug resistant partial epilepsy characterized by simple or complex partial- onset seizures, a 28 day partial seizure frequency of ≥4 seizures over 8 weeks and currently receiving a stable dose of 1 to 3 background anticonvulsants with or without vagus nerve stimulator	N=306 18 weeks of forced titration, followed by 12 weeks of main- tenance therapy)	Primary: Percentage change from baseline in monthly seizure frequency, proportion of patients experiencing ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency (responder rate) Secondary: Distribution of patients across seizure frequency reduction categories, proportion of seizure free patients, percent of treatment days without seizures, CGI-I, PGI-I, safety	 Primary: The median change in monthly total seizure frequency from baseline was -44.3% with ezogabine compared to -17.5% with placebo (<i>P</i><0.001). In the 256 patients entering the 12 week maintenance therapy phase, responder rates were 55.5 and 22.6% with ezogabine and placebo (<i>P</i><0.001). Secondary: Distribution across seizure frequency reduction categories significantly favored ezogabine over placebo (<i>P</i><0.001). A larger proportion of ezogabine-treated patients were in the 50 to <75% or 75 to 100% seizure free reduction categories, while a larger proportion of placebo-treated patients were in the no seizure reduction, <25% or 25 to <50% reduction categories. For those patients who completed the trial, more ezogabine-treated patients were seizure free during the entire maintenance phase (5.2 vs 0.8%; <i>P</i>=0.087). Median percentage of seizure free days was significantly greater with ezogabine compared to placebo (<i>P</i><0.001). Mean scores for CGI-I were better with ezogabine (2.7 vs 3.2; <i>P</i>=0.002), while both treatments achieved a mean score of 2.9 for PGI-I scores. The proportion of patients discontinuing treatment due to a treatment-emergent adverse event was 26.8 vs 8.6% (<i>P</i> value not reported). The most commonly reported adverse events were dizziness, somnolence, fatigue, confusion, dysarthria, urinary tract infection, ataxia and blurred vision.
Brodie et al ⁷⁴	DB, MC, PC, PG,	N=538	Primary:	Primary:
Ezogabine 600 or 900	RCT	16 weeks	Percentage change from	The median percent change in monthly total seizure frequency from baseline was - 27.9 and -39.9% with ezogabine 600 (<i>P</i> =0.007) and 900 mg/day (<i>P</i> <0.001)





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
mg/day, administered in 3 equal doses/day vs placebo	Patients 18 to 75 years of age diagnosed with localization- related epilepsy, which was refractory to stable doses of 1 to 3 anticonvulsants, experiencing ≥4 qualifying seizures/28 days without a seizure free period >21 days during an 8 week baseline phase	(4 weeks of forced titration, followed by 12 weeks of main- tenance therapy)	baseline in monthly seizure frequency, proportion of patients experiencing ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency (responder rate) Secondary: Safety	compared to placebo (-15.9%). Responder rates were significantly greater with ezogabine (600 mg/day, 38.6%; <i>P</i> <0.001, 900 mg/day, 47.0%; <i>P</i> <0.001) compared to placebo (18.9%). Secondary: The most commonly reported adverse events (>10%) were dizziness, somnolence, headache and fatigue.
Marson et al ⁷⁵ (abstract) Gabapentin, in addition to current AED therapy vs placebo, in addition to current AED therapy	MA (5 RCTs) Patients with drug-resistant partial epilepsy	N=997 Duration not reported	Primary: Proportion with ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency, treatment withdrawal for any reason and safety Secondary: Not reported	Primary: The overall OR for \geq 50% reduction in seizure frequency with gabapentin compared to placebo was 1.93 (95% CI, 1.37 to 2.71; <i>P</i> value not reported), indicating that gabapentin was significantly more effective than placebo in reducing seizure frequency. Dose regression analysis showed increasing efficacy with increasing dose, with 28.5% of patients responding to 1,800 mg of gabapentin compared to placebo (NNT, 6.7; 95% CI, 3.0 to 10.5; <i>P</i> value not reported). The overall OR for treatment withdrawal for any reason for gabapentin compared to placebo was 1.05 (95% CI, 0.68 to 1.61; <i>P</i> value not reported). Gabapentin was associated with significantly more dizziness, fatigue, and somnolence than placebo (<i>P</i> values not reported). Secondary: Not reported





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Chung et al ⁷⁶ Lacosamide 400 mg/day in 2 divided doses plus 1 to 3 marketed concomitant AEDs vs lacosamide 600 mg/day in 2 divided doses plus 1 to 3 marketed concomitant AEDs vs placebo in 2 divided doses plus 1 to 3 marketed concomitant AEDs	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients 18 to 65 years of age with simple or complex partial- onset seizures, with or without secondary generalization; history of partial- onset seizures for at least the last 2 years despite prior therapy with \geq 2 AEDs; during the 8-week baseline period, patients must have had \geq 4 partial-onset seizures per 28 days on average, with no seizure- free period \geq 21 days; in the 4 weeks before enrollment, patients must have been on a stable dosage regimen of 1 or 2 AEDs, with or without VNS	N=405 26 weeks (8-week baseline monitoring plus 6-week dose titration period plus 12-week main- tenance period) (Patients who completed the main- tenance period had the option to enter a long-term OL extension trial of lacos- amide.)	Primary: Change in seizure frequency (analyzed by reduction in seizure frequency per 28 days from baseline to maintenance) and responder rate (≥50% reduction in seizure frequency from baseline to maintenance) Secondary: Proportion of patients achieving seizure-free status throughout the study for patients completing the maintenance period and proportion of seizure-free days during the maintenance	 Primary: An ANCOVA analysis revealed a statistically significant median percent reduction in seizure frequency in both the lacosamide 400 mg/day (37%; <i>P</i>=0.008) and 600 mg/day (38%; <i>P</i>=0.006) groups compared to the placebo group (21%). Statistically significant differences in 50% responder rates vs placebo (18%) were seen in the lacosamide 400 mg/day (38%; <i>P</i><0.001) and 600 mg/day (41%; <i>P</i><0.001) groups. Secondary: For patients who completed the maintenance phase, nine patients were seizure free throughout the 12-week period: 4/160 (2.5%) in the lacosamide 400 mg/day group and 5/62 (8.1%) in the lacosamide 600 mg/day group; no placebo group patients were seizure free during this period. Both the 400 and 600 mg/day lacosamide groups had reported significant and clinically relevant increases in the percentage of seizure-free days during the maintenance phase compared to placebo, but details were not described at length.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			period	
Lacosamide 200 mg/day in 2 divided doses plus 1 to 3 marketed concomitant AEDs VS lacosamide 400 mg/day in 2 divided doses plus 1 to 3 marketed concomitant AEDs VS vs placebo in 2 divided doses plus 1 to 3 marketed concomitant AEDs VS s d vs fr fr d vs fr d vs fr d vs fr d vs fr d vs fr d vs fr d vs fr d vs fr d vs fr d vs fr d vs fr fr d vs fr d vs fr fr d vs fr fr d vs fr d vs fr fr fr fr fr fr d vs fr fr fr fr fr fr fr fr fr fr fr fr fr	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients18 to 65 years of age with simple or complex partial- onset seizures, with or without secondary generalization; history of partial- onset seizures for at least the last 2 years despite prior therapy with ≥2 AEDs; during the 8-week baseline period, patients must have had ≥4 partial-onset seizures per 28 days on average, with no seizure- free period ≥21 days; in the 4 weeks before enrollment, patients must have been on a stable dosage regimen of 1 or 2 AEDs, with or	N=485 24 weeks (8-week baseline monitoring plus 4-week titration period plus 12-week maint- enance period) (Patients who completed the main- tenance period had the option to enter a long-term OL, ES of lacos- amide.)	Primary: Change in seizure frequency (analyzed by reduction in seizure frequency per 28 days from baseline to maintenance) and responder rate (≥50% reduction in seizure frequency from baseline to maintenance) Secondary: Percent change in seizure frequency per 28 days, number and proportion of patients achieving seizure-free status throughout the study for patients completing the	Primary: The ANCOVA analysis showed statistically significant reductions in seizure frequency over placebo in the lacosamide 200 mg/day (14.4%; 95% Cl, 2.2 to 25.1; P =0.02) and lacosamide 400 mg/day (15.0%; 95% Cl, 1.4 to 26.8; P =0.03) treatment groups. PP analysis showed a greater median percent reduction in seizure frequency per 28 days from baseline to the maintenance period for lacosamide 200 mg/day (35.3%; P =0.04), and lacosamide 400 mg/day (44.9%; P =0.01) compared to placebo (25.4%). The 50% responder rate for lacosamide 400 mg/day (40.5%) was statistically significant (P =0.01) over placebo (25.8%); the rate for lacosamide 200 mg/day (35.0%) was numerically higher than placebo, but not statistically significant (P =0.07). In the PP population, compared to placebo (27.5%), the 50% responder rates were 35.0% for lacosamide 200 mg/day (P =0.19) and 46.3% for lacosamide 400 mg/day (P <0.01). Secondary: In completers of the maintenance period, 5 (36.7%) of 137 patients in the lacosamide 200 mg/day group and 3 (2.4%) of 123 patients in the lacosamide 400 mg/day group were seizure free throughout the 12 weeks, compared to 3 (2.1%) of 143 patients in the placebo group. A 5% increase in the percentage of seizure-free days during the maintenance period over placebo was observed for lacosamide 400 mg/day (95% Cl 1.5 to 8.5; P=0.01).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	without VNS		maintenance	
			period and	
			proportion of seizure-free	
			days during the	
			maintenance	
			period	
Ben-Menachem et al ⁷⁸	DB, MC, PC, PG,	N=418	Primary:	Primary:
	RCT		Change in	The ANCOVA analysis showed statistically significant reductions in seizure
Lacosamide 200		26 weeks	seizure	frequency over placebo in the lacosamide 400 mg/day (28.4%; P=0.0023) and
mg/day in 2 divided	Patients 18 to 65	(8-week	frequency	lacosamide 600 mg/day (21.3%; P=0.0084) treatment groups; the reduction in the
doses plus 1 or 2	years of age with	baseline	(analyzed by	lacosamide 200 mg/day group was 14.6% and not significant (<i>P</i> =0.1010).
marketed concomitant	simple or	monitoring	reduction in	
AEDs	complex partial-	plus 6-week	seizure	PP analysis showed a greater treatment difference between placebo and all
	onset seizures,	dose	frequency per	lacosamide treatment groups with reductions in seizure frequency over placebo for
VS	with or without	titration	28 days from baseline to	lacosamide 200 mg/day (21.5%; <i>P</i> =0.0112), 400 mg/day (39.3%; <i>P</i> <0.0001) and
laggamida 400 mg/day	secondary generalization;	period plus 12-week	maintenance)	600 mg/day (31.6%; <i>P</i> =0.0002).
lacosamide 400 mg/day in 2 divided doses plus	history of partial-	main-	and responder	From the logistic regression analysis, the proportion of patients with at least a 50%
1 or 2 marketed	onset seizures ≥2	tenance	rate (≥50%	reduction of seizure frequency during maintenance (and statistically significant
concomitant AEDs	years despite	period)	reduction in	when compared to placebo at 21.9%) was 41.1% for lacosamide 400 mg/day
	prior therapy with	penedy	seizure	(P=0.0038) and 38.1% for lacosamide 600 mg/day $(P=0.0141)$; the 50% responder
vs	≥2 AEDs; during	(Patients	frequency from	rate in the lacosamide 200 mg/day group was 32.7% and not significant
	the 8-week	` who	baseline to	(<i>P</i> =0.0899).
lacosamide 600 mg/day	baseline period,	completed	maintenance)	
in 2 divided doses plus	patients must	the main-		PP analysis showed a greater treatment difference between placebo and all
1 or 2 marketed	have had ≥4	tenance	Secondary:	lacosamide treatment groups with respect to the 50% responder rate: 21.2% for
concomitant AEDs	partial-onset	period had	Percent change	placebo, 38.1% for lacosamide 200 mg/day (<i>P</i> =0.0214), 49.4% for lacosamide 400
	seizures per 28	the option to	in seizure	mg/day (<i>P</i> =0.0002) and 49.2% for lacosamide 600 mg/day(<i>P</i> =0.0004).
VS	days on average,	enter a	frequency,	O
pleashe in 2 divided	with no seizure-	long-term OL	achievement of	Secondary:
placebo in 2 divided doses plus 1 or 2	free period ≥21 days; in the 4	extension	seizure-free status,	Some patients experienced an increase in seizure frequency during the trial; however, lacosamide did not appear to increase seizure frequency defined as
marketed concomitant	weeks before	trial of	proportion of	\geq 25% overall as compared to placebo (20% for placebo, 15% for lacosamide 200
AEDs	enrollment,	lacos-	seizure-free	mg/day, 21% for 400 mg/day , 20% for 600 mg/day).
1203	on onnon,	10003	3012010 1100	ingraay, 2176 for 400 ingraay, 2076 for 600 ingraay).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	patients must have been on a stable dosage regimen of 1 or 2 AEDs, with or without VNS	amide).	days and CGIC score	At the end of the maintenance phase, the median change from baseline in the percentage of seizure-free days was 3% for the placebo group, 6% for the lacosamide 200 mg/day group, 12% for the lacosamide 400 mg/day group and 12% for the lacosamide 600 mg/day group. The CGIC analysis showed an improvement (by ratings of "very much improved" or "much improved") from baseline to maintenance in a greater percentage of patients in the treatment groups compared to the placebo group: lacosamide 200 mg/day (35%), 400 mg/day (40%) and 600 mg/day (38%) vs placebo (25%).
Ramaratnam et al ⁷⁹ Lamotrigine, in addition to current AED therapy vs placebo, in addition to current AED therapy	MA (11 RCTs; 8 of which were XO) Patients of any age with drug- resistant partial epilepsy (n=199 children and n=1,044 adults)	N=1,243 Duration not reported	Primary: Proportion with ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency, treatment withdrawal for any reason, safety and effects on cognition Secondary: Not reported	Primary: The overall OR for ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency with lamotrigine compared to placebo was 2.71 (95% Cl, 1.87 to 3.91; <i>P</i> value not reported), indicating that lamotrigine was significantly more effective than placebo in reducing seizure frequency. The overall OR for treatment withdrawal for any reason for lamotrigine compared to placebo was 1.12 (95% Cl, 0.78 to 1.61; <i>P</i> value not reported). Lamotrigine was associated with significantly more ataxia, diplopia, dizziness, and nausea than placebo (<i>P</i> values not reported). The limited data available precludes any conclusions about effects on cognition. Secondary: Not reported
Naritoku et al ⁸⁰ Lamotrigine XR QD, dosing not specified, in addition to current AED therapy vs	DB, PG, RCT Patients >12 years of age with partial epilepsy and taking 1 to 2 baseline AEDs	N=239 Treatment duration 19 weeks	Primary: Change in weekly partial seizure frequency Secondary: Proportion of patients with	Primary: Lamotrigine XR was more effective than placebo with respect to median percent reduction from baseline in weekly partial seizure frequency (46.6 vs 24.5% for the entire 19-week treatment phase; 29.8 vs 15.6% for the seven-week escalation phase; and 58.4 vs 26.8% for the 12-week treatment phase; all P <0.05). Secondary: The proportion of patients with ≥50% reduction in partial seizure frequency (44.0 vs 20.8%; P =0.0002) and time to ≥50% reduction in partial seizure frequency





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo, in addition to current AED therapy			\geq 50% reduction in partial seizure frequency, time to \geq 50% reduction in partial seizure frequency and safety	 (<i>P</i><0.0001) also favored lamotrigine XR over placebo. A similar pattern of results was observed for secondarily generalized seizures. The most common adverse events were headache (16 vs18%) and dizziness (19 vs 5%) (<i>P</i> values were not reported).
Biton et al ⁸¹ Lamotrigine XR 200 mg, 300 mg or 500 mg daily (dose based on coadministration with other AEDs) vs placebo	DB, PC, PG, RCT Patients ≥13 years of age with a confident diagnosis of epilepsy with primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures for >24 weeks before baseline phase, historical or PRO electro- encephalo- graphic evidence of either spike- and-wave discharges consistent with primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures or at least 2 EEGs	N=153 19 weeks All patients completing the mainten- ance phase had the option of entering a 52 week OL continua- tion phase during which they received lamotrigine XR	Primary: Percent change from baseline in weekly primary generalized tonic-clonic seizure frequency during DB treatment (escalation and maintenance) Secondary: Percent change from baseline in weekly primary generalized tonic-clonic seizure frequency during escalation phase only and maintenance phase only, percent of	 Primary: Median percent reduction from baseline in weekly frequency of primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures during DB treatment was 75.4% in the lamotrigine XR group compared to 32.1% in the placebo group (median difference 31.6%; <i>P</i><0.0001). Secondary: A significant reduction from baseline in weekly frequency of primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures during the escalation phase was observed (25.7% difference between groups; <i>P</i>=0.0016). A significant reduction from baseline in weekly frequency of primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures during the maintenance phase was observed (35.8% difference between groups; <i>P</i>=0.0016). Lamotrigine XR reduced the median frequency of primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures during DB treatment regardless of concomitant AED. A significantly higher proportion of patients in the lamotrigine XR group had a ≥50% reduction in primary generalized tonic-clonic seizure frequency during DB treatment (69.6 and 31.9% respectively; <i>P</i><0.0001). A significantly higher proportion of patients in the lamotrigine XR group had a ≥50% reduction in primary generalized tonic-clonic seizure frequency during DB treatment (69.5.1 and 31.9% respectively, <i>P</i><0.0001). A significantly higher proportion of patients in the lamotrigine XR group had a





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	with no indication		patients with	≥50% reduction in primary generalized tonic-clonic seizure frequency during the
	of focal		>50% reduction	maintenance phase (75.0% and 41.4% respectively; <i>P</i> <0.0001).
	abnormalities,		and 100%	The time (weeks) to >500/ reduction in primery generalized tonic claric science
	documented		reduction in	The time (weeks) to \geq 50% reduction in primary generalized tonic-clonic seizure
	history of primary		primary	frequency during DB treatment was significantly shorter in the lamotrigine XR group compared to the placebo group (<i>P</i> <0.0001), beginning on day eight of the
	generalized tonic-clonic		generalized tonic-clonic	escalation phase.
	seizures with or		seizure	escalation phase.
	without other		frequency	The percent of patients with 100% reduction in primary generalized tonic-clonic
	generalized		during	seizure frequency was 20.3% for lamotrigine XR and 9.7% for placebo (<i>P</i> =0.0989)
	seizure types		escalation and	during the escalation plus maintenance phase, 21.7 and 12.5% respectively
	with no focal		maintenance	(P=0.1805) during the escalation phase only and 45.6 and 14.3% respectively
	onset and at		phases	(P<0.0001) during the maintenance phase only.
	least one primary		combined, the	
	generalized		escalation	Significantly more patients in the lamotrigine XR group showed improvement in
	tonic-clonic		phase alone and	investigator-rated clinical status during DB treatment compared to placebo (84 and
	seizure during		the maintenance	51% respectively; <i>P</i> =0.0002).
	the 8 consecutive		phase alone,	
	weeks prior to		time to <u>></u> 50%	Significant differences in responses in favor of lamotrigine XR were observed in
	the baseline		reduction in	seizure frequency (87 and 69% respectively; <i>P</i> =0.0420), seizure duration (82 and
	phase, and at		primary	54% respectively; <i>P</i> =0.0005) seizure intensity (85 and 58% respectively;
	least 3 primary		generalized	<i>P</i> =0.0012), and adverse experiences (41 and 23% respectively; <i>P</i> =0.0197).
	generalized		tonic-clonic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	tonic-clonic		seizure	No significant differences between lamotrigine XR and placebo were observed for
	seizures during		frequency	social, intellectual or motor functioning.
	the 8-week		during DB	No aignificant difference in potient reported improvement in aligical status was
	baseline phase;		treatment,	No significant difference in patient-reported improvement in clinical status was observed (87 and 74% respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported).
	patients had to be receiving a		percentage of patients with	observed (or and r4% respectively, r value not reported).
	stable regimen of		improvement in	The proportion of patients with at least one adverse event during the study was
	one or two AEDs		investigator- and	54% in the lamotrigine XR group and 57% in the placebo group.
	for at least 4		patient-rated	
	weeks before the		status, safety	Non-serious rash was reported in two patients in the lamotrigine XR group and
	beginning of the			four patients in the placebo group. No serious rashes were reported in either
	baseline phase			group. Adverse events of seizures were reported in one patient in the placebo





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Rosenow et al ⁸² Lamotrigine 200 mg/day vs levetiracetam 2,000 mg/day In patients <50 kg, target daily doses were reduced to 1,500 mg of levetiracetam and 150 mg of lamotrigine. After reaching the target dose, 2 dose adjustments by 500 mg (levetiracetam) or 50 mg (lamotrigine) were allowed depending on	DB, MC, PG, RCT Patients newly diagnosed with focal, generalized or unclassified epilepsy (2 or more unprovoked seizures or first seizure with high risk for recurrence) Patients already receiving 1 AED at enrolment were included and the AED was tapered over 3	N=409 26 weeks	Primary: Proportion of patients seizure- free at six weeks Secondary: Proportion of patients seizure- free at during the 16-week maintenance period, seizure- free time, QOLIE and safety	group (convulsion) and two patients in the lamotrigine XR group (absence seizure in one patient and simple partial seizures on days one and seven of treatment in one patient with no history or electrographic evidence of partial seizures). Adverse events led to premature withdrawal in one patient in the lamotrigine XR group and two patients in the placebo group. Two adverse events that led to premature withdrawal (non-serious rash in lamotrigine XR and placebo groups) were considered to be caused by study medication. The only serious adverse event was confusional state in the lamotrigine XR group. This was not thought to be caused by study medication and lamotrigine XR was not discontinued. Primary: In the ITT population, the proportion of patients who were seizure-free at six weeks was not significantly different between the lamotrigine and levetiracetam treatment groups (64.0 vs 67.5%, respectively; $P=0.47$). Similar results were reported in the PP population (79.8 vs 83.6%; $P=0.51$). Secondary: During the 16-week maintenance period, there was no statistically significant difference between the lamotrigine and levetiracetam treatment groups with regard to the proportion of seizure-free patients (55.7 vs 51.9%, respectively; $P=0.49$). Over the complete 26-week study 47.8% of patients treated with lamotrigine remained seizure-free compared to 45.2% of patients treated with levetiracetam ($P=0.62$). There was no statistically significant difference between the treatment groups with regard to the median time to first seizure (HR, 0.86; 95% Cl, 0.61 to 1.22; $P=0.40$). The change from baseline in QOLIE scores between the treatment groups was not statistically significant ($P=0.69$).
seizure control and tolerability.	weeks.			Adverse events were reported in a similar number of patients treated with lamotrigine or levetiracetam (70.6 vs 74.5%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.38). Tiredness and





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				aggression occurred significantly more frequently with levetiracetam (32.8 and 8.8%, respectively) compared to lamotrigine (16.4 and 2.5%, respectively; P <0.001 for both). There were 17 serious adverse events in the lamotrigine group compared to 24 serious adverse events in the levetiracetam group; however, the difference was not statistically significant (P =0.40).
Chaisewikul et al ⁸³ (abstract) Levetiracetam, in addition to current AED therapy vs placebo, in addition to current AED therapy	MA of 4 PC, RCT (Cochrane Review 2001) Patients with drug-resistant localization related (partial) epilepsy	N=1,023 16 to 24 weeks	Primary: Proportion with ≥50% reduction in total seizure frequency, treatment withdrawal for any reason, safety and effects on cognition Secondary: Not reported	Primary: The overall OR for ≥50% reduction in total seizure frequency with levetiracetam compared to placebo was 3.81 (95% CI, 2.78 to 5.22; <i>P</i> value not reported). Higher levetiracetam doses were associated with greater reductions in seizure frequency (~15% of patients taking 1,000 mg/day and 20 to 30% of patients taking 3,000 mg/day had a ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency). Patients were not significantly more likely to have levetiracetam withdrawn compared to placebo (OR, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.80; <i>P</i> value not reported). Levetiracetam was associated with significantly more dizziness and infection, whereas placebo was associated with significantly more accidental injury (<i>P</i> values not reported). Cognitive effect outcomes suggest that levetiracetam had a positive effect on cognition (additional information not reported). Secondary: Not reported
Peltola et al ⁸⁴ Levetiracetam XR 1,000 mg QD, in addition to current AED therapy vs placebo, in addition to current AED therapy	DB, MC, PC, PG, Patients 12 to 10 years of age with partial-onset seizures refractory to 1 to 3 AEDs	N=158 Treatment duration 12 weeks	Primary: Frequency of partial-onset seizures per week Secondary: Proportion of responders (≥50% reduction in partial-onset	Primary: The reduction in median partial-onset seizures per week was 46.1% on levetiracetam XR and 33.4% on placebo. The estimated reduction with levetiracetam XR over placebo was 14.4% (<i>P</i> =0.038). Secondary: Thirty-four (43%) levetiracetam XR and 23 (29%) placebo patients experienced ≥50% reduction in partial-onset seizures per week. Eight (10.1%) patients receiving levetiracetam XR and one (1.3%) patient receiving placebo were free of partial-onset seizures during the 12-week treatment period.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			seizures per week), proportion of patients who were seizure- free and safety	Forty-one (53%) levetiracetam XR and 43 (54%) placebo patients reported ≥1 adverse event. Adverse events reported with an incidence >5% and seen more often with levetiracetam XR than with placebo were dizziness, influenza, irritability, nasopharyngitis, nausea and somnolence.
Otoul et al ⁸⁵ Levetiracetam, in addition to current AED therapy vs gabapentin, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine, tiagabine, topiramate or zonisamide, in addition to current AED therapy	MA of PC, RCT (studies identified in the Cochrane Library 2002, number of trials not reported) Patients with refractory partial epilepsy	N=not reported Duration not reported	Primary: Responder rate (efficacy measure) and withdrawal rate (mainly tolerability measure) Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: A fixed-effects model was used to estimate responder and withdrawal rate of levetiracetam and other new AEDs vs placebo. Because no head-to-head clinical trials comparing these new AEDs were found, adjusted indirect comparisons were made between levetiracetam and other AEDs using the MA results. At doses tested, levetiracetam was more effective in terms of responder rate than gabapentin (OR, 2.64; 95% CI, 1.51 to 4.63) and lamotrigine (OR, 1.86; 95% CI, 1.04 to 3.34) and equally well tolerated; <i>P</i> values were not reported. Levetiracetam had a significantly lower withdrawal rate than topiramate (OR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.29 to 0.93) and oxcarbazepine (OR, 0.55; 95% CI, 0.33 to 0.92), with comparable efficacy; <i>P</i> values were not reported. Although levetiracetam did not differ significantly from tiagabine and zonisamide, numerical trends favoring levetiracetam were obtained in response rate and in withdrawal rate. Indirect comparisons based on MAs suggest that add-on therapy with levetiracetam has a favorable responder and/or withdrawal rate relative to several AEDs in patients with partial epilepsy with doses used in clinical trials. These MAs give only short-term efficacy and safety data. Secondary: Not reported
Cumbo et al ⁸⁶ Levetiracetam 500 mg/day	Case control, PG, PRO, RETRO	N=95 12 months	Primary: Efficacy (percentage of patients who	Primary: At 12 months, 71% (27/38) of levetiracetam-treated patients were responders, 11 of whom (29%) had become seizure-free, 7/11 were seizure-free from the start of therapy and the other four became seizure free after two months. Forty two





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
vs lamotrigine 25 mg/day vs phenobarbital 50 mg/day All patients were AED- naïve and had concomitant cholinesterase inhibitor therapy for Alzheimer's disease.	Patients 60 to 90 years of age meeting the diagnostic criteria for probably Alzheimer's disease with mild to moderate disease, educational level ≥5 years, a diagnosis of partial epilepsy and a caregiver who can ensure compliance to treatment		became seizure free or experienced a >50% decrease in seizure frequency over 12 months) Secondary: Change from baseline in MMSE score, ADAS-Cog score and Cornell scale score	 percent (16/38) had a >50% reduction in seizure frequency, and 16% (6/38) had no significant change from baseline. Five (13%) patients had no change in seizure frequency. Fifty nine percent (17/29) of lamotrigine-treated patients were responders. Twenty four percent (7/29) became seizure-free, and there was a 50 to 99% decrease in seizure frequency was observed in 34% (10/29) patients. Three of the seven patients were seizure-free from the start of therapy, and four became seizure-free two months later. The majority of phenobarbital-treated patients responded (64% [18/28]), with eight (29%) patients being seizure-free from the start of therapy. Thirty six percent (10/28) of patients had a 50 to 99% decrease in seizure frequency, and 11% (3/28) had a <50% reduction and six (21%) patients did not respond. There was no significant difference in responder rate between the levetiracetam (71%), lamotrigine (59%) and phenobarbital (64%) (<i>P</i>=0.34). No patient experienced an increase in seizures. Secondary: Levetiracetam-treated patients had an improvement by a mean of +0.23 points compared to baseline, with a similar improvement observed in ADAS-Cog scores
Schiemann-Delgado et al ⁸⁷ Levetiracetam 20 to 100 mg/kg/day Levetiracetam was administered as adjunctive therapy.	ES, MC, OL Children 4 to 16 years of age with partial-onset epilepsy, receiving a stable regimen of 1 or 2 AEDs	N=103 48 weeks	Primary: Cognitive and behavioral measures Secondary: Seizure control, safety	 (-0.23). Phenobarbital-treated patients showed a significant worsening cognitive performance. Patients treated with lamotrigine showed a slight decline in MMSE and ADAS-Cog scores. Primary: The increased mean change from baseline in Leiter-R AM Memory Screen composite score (week 24: 4.8 points; 95% Cl, 2.1 to 4.5; week 48: 4.5 points; 95% Cl, 1.1 to 7.9) indicated stability in cognitive functioning during long term administration, as these changes were similar to the changes observed at the end of the evaluation in the prior short term trial (levetiracetam, 5.2; placebo, 5.4). Of the other mean composite scores, Attention Score, Associated Memory score, Memory Span score, and Memory process score also increased from baseline to week 24 and 48.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Child Behavior Checklist Syndrome scores improved from baseline at week 24 and 48 (change, -9.3±22.2 and -10.4±23.4). Secondary: Treatment provided in good and sustained seizure control (median percentage reduction from baseline in partial-onset seizure frequency per week during maintenance treatment, 86.4%). In addition, 24.7% of patients had continuous seizure freedom from all seizure types for ≥40 weeks.
				Treatment was well tolerated; the most frequently reported CNS-related treatment- emergent adverse events included headache (24.3%), aggression (7.8%), and irritability (7.8%). Overall, 4.9% of patients discontinued because of treatment- emergent adverse events.
Castillo et al ⁸⁸	MA (2 RCTs)	N=961	Primary:	Primary:
Oxcarbazepine, in addition to current AED therapy	Patients of any age with drug- resistant partial epilepsy (n=267	Treatment duration 16- 26 weeks	Proportion with ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency, treatment	The overall OR for ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency with oxcarbazepine compared to placebo was 2.96 (95% CI, 2.20 to 4.00; <i>P</i> value not reported), indicating that oxcarbazepine was significantly more effective than placebo in reducing seizure frequency.
VS	children ages 4 to 17 years and		withdrawal for any reason and	The overall OR for treatment withdrawal for any reason for oxcarbazepine compared to placebo was 2.17 (95% CI, 1.59 to 2.97; <i>P</i> value not reported).
placebo, in addition to current AED therapy	n=694 adults ages 15 to 65 years)		safety Secondary: Not reported	Oxcarbazepine was associated with significantly more ataxia, diplopia, dizziness, fatigue, nausea, and somnolence than placebo (<i>P</i> values not reported).
				Secondary: Not reported
Costa et al ⁸⁹	MA (71 RCTs)	N=14,272	Primary: Responder rate	Primary: AEDs vs placebo:
Oxcarbazepine, lamotrigine, topiramate, gabapentin, pregabalin, levetiracetam,	Patients >2 years of age with drug- refractory partial epilepsy	<u>></u> 8 weeks	(≥50% reduction in seizure frequency) in the treatment	Responder rates for each AED was significantly higher compared to placebo with ORs between 2.08 (gabapentin) and 4.31 (topiramate). Significant heterogeneity was found only for oxcarbazepine and pregabalin.
tiagabine, zonisamide, eslicarbazepine* or			period compared to	Significant differences were found in the dose-subgroup analysis for oxcarbazepine and eslicarbazepine (<i>P</i> =0.02 and <i>P</i> =0.03 respectively) suggesting





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
lacosamide vs matched placebo or other AED control			baseline, withdrawal rate Secondary: Proportion of patients seizure- free during treatment period, withdrawal rate due to adverse events, proportion of patients experiencing ataxia, dizziness, fatigue, headache, nausea, or somnolence	 a dose-response relationship for those AEDs. However, the data in the trials did not allow for a dose-response regression analysis. Withdrawal rate from any cause was higher with oxcarbazepine, topiramate, pregabalin, zonisamide, tiagabine and lacosamide in comparison with placebo but not with lamotrigine, gabapentin, levetiracetam and eslicarbazepine. Significant heterogeneity was observed only with eslicarbazepine. Significant differences were found in the dose-subgroup analysis for oxcarbazepine, gabapentin and zonisamide (<i>P</i><0.01, <i>P</i>=0.04 and <i>P</i><0.01 respectively). <i>Each AED and other AEDs:</i> Significant differences were found in the analysis of the responder rate based on relative measurements of treatment effects favoring topiramate in comparison to all other AEDs. Gabapentin and lacosamide were less efficacious compared other AEDs. A trend was found for eslicarbazepine. For eslicarbazepine, significant differences were found in the dose-subgroup analysis (<i>P</i>=0.03). Significant differences were observed in the analysis of responder rate based on absolute estimates (NNT) adjusted for baseline risk. Topiramate and levetiracetam were more efficacious and gabapentin and tiagabine were less efficacious. This demonstrates the importance of considering baseline risk in the analysis. In particular, the OR for lacosamide was significantly difference from other AEDs but not the NNT, because responder rates in the placebo arm were higher in the lacosamide trials. Similar results were seen in the eslicarbazepine trials. Oxcarbazepine and topiramate were associated with more withdrawals and gabapentin and levetiracetam with fewer withdrawals. Secondary: <i>AEDs vs placebo</i> Significant differences on the percent of patients seizure-free were not found for topiramate, levetiracetam and eslicarbazepine, without evidence of heterogeneity. Data for this outcome was only available in 32 of the 6





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Withdrawal rate due to adverse events was higher for lamotrigine, topiramate, gabapentin, pregabalin, zonisamide, eslicarbazepine and lacosamide but not for tiagabine and levetiracetam. Data for this outcome was only available in 15 of 63 studies. Significant heterogeneity was found for lamotrigine, tiagabine and eslicarbazepine.
				The incidence of the six pre-specified adverse events were higher among all AED's compared to placebo in general.
				Each AED and other AEDs No significant differences were observed between AEDs in the proportion of patients that were seizure-free. The data for this comparison is sparse.
				Withdrawal rate due to adverse events was significantly less with levetiracetam compared to all other AEDs. There were no significant differences observed between other AEDs.
				Comparisons between the AEDs for the six pre-specified events showed few differences. There were no significant differences for ataxia, headache was more frequent with lacosamide, dizziness was more frequent with pregabalin, fatigue was more frequent with topiramate and less frequent with lamotrigine, nausea was more frequent with oxcarbazepine and less frequent with gabapentin and levetiracetam, and somnolence was more frequent with oxcarbazepine and less frequent with tiggabine.
				Combined evidence from indirect and direct comparisons: Combined results for indirect and direct comparisons showed no difference in responder rate withdrawal rate or seizure-free rate between lamotrigine and gabapentin.
				Combined analyses favored topiramate for responder rate and seizure free rate compared to lamotrigine and favored lamotrigine for withdrawal rate.
				Combined analyses favored pregabalin for responder rate compared to





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
French et al ⁹⁰ Study 304 Perampanel 8 mg QD vs perampanel 12 mg QD vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients ≥12 years of age with partial-onset seizures with or without secondary generalization who had failed ≥2 AEDs and were receiving 1 to 3 AEDs at baseline	N=388 19 weeks (6-week titration phase followed by 13-week mainten- ance phase)	Primary: Percent change in seizure frequency, responder rate defined (percentage of patients with ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency from baseline Secondary: Percent change in frequency of complex partial seizures plus secondary generalized seizures and safety	lamotrigine. There were no differences observed in seizure-free rate or withdrawal rate. Combined analyses showed no difference between lamotrigine and levetiracetam in responder rate, seizure-free rate or withdrawal rate. Primary: The median percent change in seizure frequency over the double-blind phase was -26.3 and -34.5% in the perampanel 8 mg (<i>P</i> =0.0261) and 12 mg (<i>P</i> =0.0158) treatment groups compared to -21.0% in the placebo group. The median differences compared to placebo were -13.5% (95% Cl, -26.3 to -1.9) and -14.2% (95% Cl, -25.0 to -2.7) for the perampanel 8 mg and 12 mg treatment groups, respectively. The 50% responder rates were 37.6 (95% Cl, 29.4 to 45.8; <i>P</i> =0.0760), 36.1 (95% Cl, 27.9 to 44.3; <i>P</i> =0.0914) and 26.4% (95% Cl, 18.6 to 34.3) for the perampanel 8 mg, 12 mg and placebo treatment groups, respectively. The NNT were nine and 10 patients for a response, and the absolute risks were 11.2 (95% Cl, -0.2 to 22.5) and 9.7% (95% Cl, -1.7 to 21.0) for the perampanel 8 mg and 12 mg treatment groups, respectively. Secondary: The percent change in complex partial plus secondary generalized seizures were -33.0 and -33.1% for the perampanel 8 mg (<i>P</i> =0.0020) and 12 mg (<i>P</i> =0.0081) groups compared to -17.9% in the placebo group. Treatment-emergent adverse events occurred in 88.0, 91.8 and 82.6% of patients treated with perampanel 8 mg, 12 mg or placebo, respectively. The most commonly reported adverse events occurring more frequently with perampanel treatment compared to placebo group with perampanel 1 mg (3.6%) and 8 mg (22.6%) groups compared to the placebo group (5.0%). More patients discontinued





Study and Drug Regimen Study Design and Demographics Sample Size and Study Duration End Points	
French et al ⁹¹ DB, MC, PC, N=386 Primary: Study 305 RCT 19 weeks Primary: Perampanel 8 mg QD Patients ≥12 (6-week) Primary: Responder rate (patients with ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency from seizure frequency f	for the d phase was 12 mg and e in seizure -13.7 (95% vely. .7% d placebo, zure roups, orted). f the eatment- banel 8 mg, events that ebo were berienced a her in the





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Krauss et al ⁹² Study 306 Perampanel 2 mg QD vs perampanel 4 mg QD vs perampanel 8 mg QD vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients ≥12 years of age with simple or complex partial- onset seizures, with or without secondary generalization, with uncontrolled partial-onset seizures despite treatment with 2 different AEDs in the previous 2 years and current regimen of one to three AEDs	N=706 19 weeks (6-week titration phase followed by 13-week mainten- ance phase)	Primary: Percent change in seizure frequency, responder rate (percentage of patients with ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency from baseline) Secondary: Percent change in frequency of complex partial seizures plus secondarily generalized seizures, dose- response analysis of the percent change in seizure frequency	 Primary: The percent change in seizure frequency was -13.6 (<i>P</i>=0.420), -23.3 (<i>P</i>=0.003) and -30.8% (<i>P</i><0.001) for the perampanel 2 mg, 4 mg and 8 mg groups, respectively, compared to -10.7% in the placebo group. The responder rates were 20.6 (<i>P</i> value not significant), 28.5 (<i>P</i>=0.013) and 34.9% (<i>P</i><0.001) for patients treated with perampanel 2 mg, 4 mg and 8 mg, respectively, compared to 17.9% in the placebo group. Secondary: The percent change in frequency of complex partial seizures plus secondarily generalized seizures was -20.5 (<i>P</i> value not reported), -31.2 (<i>P</i>=0.007) and -38.7% (<i>P</i><0.001) for the perampanel 2 mg, 4 mg and 8 mg groups, respectively, compared to -17.6% in the placebo group. Of patients who completed the maintenance period, the proportion of patients who were seizure-free during the maintenance period was 1.9, 4.4 and 4.8% for the perampanel 2 mg, 4 mg and 8 mg groups, compared to 1.2% for the placebo group (<i>P</i> values not reported). Treatment-related adverse events were reported in 37.2, 44.8, 56.8 and 31.9% of the perampanel 2 mg, 4 mg, 8 mg and placebo groups, respectively. Serious treatment-related adverse events occurred in 3.3, 3.5, 3.6 and 4.9% of the perampanel 2 mg, 4 mg, 8 mg and placebo groups, respectively.
Krauss et al ⁹³ Extension study 307 Perampanel titrated to a maximum of 12 mg QD in 2 mg increments every 2 weeks	ES Patients who completed the double-blind phase of studies 304, 305 and 306	N=1,218 Up to 276 weeks	Primary: Change in seizure frequency, responder rate (percentage of patients with >50% reduction from baseline in	dizziness and somnolence.Primary: The percent change in seizure frequency was measured for each 13-week interval. In patients that had at least one year of treatment with perampanel (n=588), the median percent change in seizure frequency observed in the last 13-week interval was -47.2%. In patients with at least two years of treatment with perampanel (n=19), the median percent change in seizure frequency observed in the last 13- week interval was -56.0%.The overall median percent changes in seizure frequency for weeks one to 13





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			seizure frequency) and safety Secondary: None reported	 (n=1,207), weeks 40 to 52 (n=731) and weeks 92 to 104 (n=59) were -29.1, -46.5% and -58.1%, respectively. In patients that had received at least one year of treatment with perampanel, the responder rate at the end of one year was 47.6%. In patients that had received at least two years of treatment with perampanel, the responder rate at the end of one year was 47.6%. In patients that had received at least two years of treatment with perampanel, the responder rate at the end of two years was 63.2%. The responder rates for weeks one to 13, weeks 40 to 52 and weeks 92 to 104 were 31.1, 46.9 and 62.7%, respectively. Of the patients that had six months of data, 16.4% were seizure-free for the last three months and 8.9% were seizure-free for all six months. Of the patients that had nine and 12 months of data, 7.6 and 7.1% were seizure-free for all nine and 12 month period, respectively. The most commonly reported treatment-emergent adverse events included dizziness, somnolence, headache and fatigue. The proportion of patients with treatment-emergent adverse events leading to withdrawal, dose reduction or dose interruption occurred in 13.2, 36.1 and 3.3% patients, respectively. Three deaths occurred during the study, none of which were determined to be related to study treatment.
French et al ⁹⁴ Pregabalin 50, 150, 300 or 600 mg/day BID; in addition to current AED therapy vs placebo, in addition to current AED therapy	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients with refractory partial seizures while on 1 to 3 AEDs; median baseline seizure rate was 10/month	N=453 12 weeks	Primary: Seizure frequency Secondary: Responder rates (defined as ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency) and adverse events	Not reported Primary: Seizure frequency reductions from baseline were dose-related and as follows: 7% with placebo, 12% with 50 mg/day, 34% with 150 mg/day, 44% with 300 mg/day and 54% with 600 mg/day (<i>P</i> ≤0.0001 for all pregabalin doses compared to placebo). Secondary: Responder rates were dose-related and as follows: 14% with placebo, 15% with 50 mg/day, 31% with 150 mg/day, 40% with 300 mg/day and 51% with 600 mg/day (<i>P</i> ≤0.006 for all pregabalin doses compared to placebo).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Arroyo et al ⁹⁵ Pregabalin 150 or 600 mg/day TID, in addition to current AED therapy vs placebo, in addition to current AED therapy	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients with refractory partial seizures (defined as failed at least one AED at maximally tolerated doses) and currently receiving 1 to 3 AEDs	N=287 12 weeks	Primary: Seizure frequency Secondary: Responder rate, percentage of patients free of seizures during the last 28 days and median percentage change in seizure frequency	Discontinuation rates due to adverse events were 5% with placebo, 7% with 50 mg/day, 1% with 150 mg/day, 14% with 300 mg/day and 24% with 600 mg/day. Incidences of CNS adverse events were dose-related. Most common adverse events were dizziness (9 to 43% vs 9% with placebo) and somnolence (10 to 28% vs 11% with placebo). Primary: Seizure reduction from baseline was greater with both doses of pregabalin compared to placebo (<i>P</i> =0.0007 with 150 mg/day and <i>P</i> ≤0.0001 with 600 mg/day). Seizure frequency was reduced by 20.6% with pregabalin 150 mg/day (-12.4; 95% CI, -20.5 to -4.3) and 47.8% with 600 mg/day (-32.3; 95% CI, -40.6 to -24.0) and increased by 1.8% with placebo. Seizure frequency was significantly improved with pregabalin 600 mg/day compared to 150 mg/day (<i>P</i> ≤0.0001). Secondary: Responder rate was significantly greater in the pregabalin 600 mg/day group (<i>P</i> ≤0.001), but not in the 150 mg/day group (<i>P</i> =0.087) compared to placebo. Median percentage of seizure frequency was reduced by 16.5% in the pregabalin 150 mg/day group and 42.6% in the 600 mg/day group, but increased by 1.3% in the placebo group. Percentage of patients free of seizures during the last 28 days of the study was higher with pregabalin 600 mg/day (7 vs 1% with placebo; <i>P</i> =0.002) than 150 mg/day (7 vs 1% with placebo; <i>P</i> =0.065). Dizziness (6.0 to 29.0% vs 7.3%) and somnolence (19.0 to 26.0% vs 8.0%) were reported with higher frequency in the pregabalin groups vs the placebo group.
Beydoun et al ⁹⁶ Pregabalin 600 mg/day BID or TID, in addition	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients with	N=312 12 weeks	Primary: Seizure frequency	Primary: Both regimens of pregabalin were more efficacious in reducing the frequency of partial-onset seizures compared to placebo ($P \le 0.0001$).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
to current AED therapy vs placebo, in addition to current AED therapy	medically refractory partial epilepsy, who have failed ≥2 AEDs at maximally tolerated doses		Secondary: Responder rate, adverse events	The percentages of reduction in seizure frequency from baseline were as follows: 53.0% reduction for TID dosing, 44.3% reduction for BID dosing and 1.2% increase for placebo (RR, -7.7; 95% CI, -17.4 to 1.9 for the two pregabalin groups). Secondary: Responder rate was significantly higher in the pregabalin groups compared to placebo (49% for TID vs 43% for BID vs 9% for placebo; $P \le 0.001$ for both compared to placebo), but not significantly different from one another (no <i>P</i> value reported). Commonly reported adverse events include: dizziness (38 to 42% with pregabalin vs 12% with placebo), somnolence (23 to 30% vs 12%), ataxia (17 to 27% vs 6%), weight gain (15 to 20% vs 2%), amblyopia (10 to 17% vs 4%), asthenia (12 to 14% vs 5%) diplopia (10 to 14% vs 4%) and abnormal thinking (9 to 11% vs 1%)
Elger et al ⁹⁷ Pregabalin fixed-dose of 600 mg/day BID, in addition to current AED therapy vs pregabalin flexible-dose regimen of 150 and 300 mg/day for 2 weeks each, followed by 450 and 600 mg/day for 4 weeks each BID, in addition to current AED therapy vs	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients receiving ≥1 AED and experiencing ≥4 partial seizures during 6-week baseline period and no 4- week seizure- free interval	N=341 12 weeks	Primary: Partial seizure frequency Secondary: Responder rate, percentage of patients free of seizures during the last 28 days, adverse events	 vs 5%), diplopia (10 to 14% vs 4%) and abnormal thinking (9 to 11% vs 1%). Primary: Pregabalin fixed-dose (49.3%; <i>P</i>=0.0001) and flexible-dose (35.4%; <i>P</i>=0.0091) regimen resulted in greater percentage reduction in partial seizure frequency from baseline compared to placebo (10.6%). Pregabalin fixed-dose was more effective than pregabalin flexible-dose in reducing the frequency of partial seizures (<i>P</i>=0.0337). Secondary: Responder rate was higher in the pregabalin fixed-dose group than in the pregabalin flexible-dose group (45.3 vs 31.3%; <i>P</i>=0.016). No difference was observed between pregabalin treatment groups in percentages of patients free of seizures during the last 28 days (12.4% of fixed-dose group vs 12.2% of flexible-dose group vs 8.2% of placebo group). Five most frequently reported treatment-related adverse events were dizziness, ataxia, weight gain, asthenia and somnolence.
placebo, in addition to				





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
current AED therapy				
Lozsadi et al ⁹⁸	MA (4 RCTs)	N=1,397	Primary: Proportion with	Primary: The overall OR for ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency with pregabalin compared
Pregabalin 50 to 600	Patients 12 to 82	Treatment	≥50% reduction	to placebo was 3.56 (95% CI, 2.60 to 4.87; P value not reported), indicating that
mg/day, in addition to current AED therapy	years of age with drug-resistant partial epilepsy	duration 12 weeks	in seizure frequency	pregabalin was significantly more effective than placebo in reducing seizure frequency. A dose response analysis suggested increasing effect with increasing dose.
VS			Secondary:	
placebo, in addition to current AED therapy			Proportion of patients with a complete cessation of	Secondary: Pregabalin was not significantly associated with seizure freedom (RR, 2.73; 95% CI, 0.72 to 10.33; <i>P</i> value not reported).
			seizures, treatment withdrawal for any reason or	Patients were significantly more likely to have pregabalin withdrawn for any reason (RR, 1.43; 95% CI, 1.11 to 1.85; <i>P</i> value not reported) or due to adverse effects (RR, 2.47; 95% CI, 1.80 to 4.17; <i>P</i> value not reported) than placebo.
			due to adverse effects and safety	Pregabalin was associated with significantly more ataxia, dizziness, somnolence and weight gain than placebo (<i>P</i> values not reported).
Baulac et al ⁹⁹	DB, MC, PC, PG,	N=434	Primary:	Primary:
Pregabalin 300 mg/day	RCT	17 weeks	Change in seizure	Pregabalin did not achieve statistically significant superiority against placebo during phase I or against lamotrigine in phase I and II.
	Patients <a>18		frequency	
VS	years of age and		(assessed by	During phase I, response ratios and corresponding percent changes from baseline
	<u>></u> 40 kg with a		response ratios	for pregabalin showed a non-significant trend toward greater reduction in seizures
lamotrigine 300 mg/day	diagnosis of		in the pregabalin	compared to placebo in the ITT population (<i>P</i> =0.052).
	epilepsy with		and placebo	Over the full DD period, treatment differences fevered prescholin ve pleashe
VS	partial seizures refractory to		groups during phase I, change	Over the full DB period, treatment differences favored pregabalin vs placebo $(P=0.0008)$ and vs lamotrigine $(P=0.0825)$.
placebo	treatment (i.e.		in seizure	(r=0.0000) and vs idmotrigine $(r=0.0025)$.
μασουσ	failed treatment		frequency in the	Lamotrigine did not achieve a significantly better response than placebo during
Patients in the	with at least 3		pregabalin and	phase I (P =0.12).
pregabalin group who	AEDs from at		lamotrigine	
had seizures during	least 2 different		groups in phase	Pregabalin showed clinically relevant improvements vs placebo regarding
phase I (titration) had	AED classes		I and II	response ratio and percent change from baseline during phase II and during fixed-





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
their dose titrated over 1 week to 600 mg/day and patients in the lamotrigine group having seizures in phase I had their dose increased to 400 mg/day without titration. Patients were allowed to take one to 3 AEDs concurrently, one which must be an enzyme inducer.	each at or above the lowest recommended dose or the lowest adequate plasma concentration for a minimum of 3 months), and having at least 4 partial seizures during the 6- week baseline period and no 28- day period free of partial seizures		combined Secondary: 28-day seizure rates, proportion of responders (≥50% reduction in 28-day seizure rates), patients seizure- free for specified intervals, mean number of seizure-free days per 28-day period; response ratios were calculated by dividing the difference between 28-day seizure rates during DB treatment and baseline period by the sum of the baseline and treatment seizure rates, safety	doses phases I and II combined (P<0.001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				profiles of the study medications. Dizziness and headache were among the most common adverse events reported with pregabalin and lamotrigine.
				The incidence of serious adverse effects ranged from 3 to 5% per treatment group. Sixteen patients experienced serious adverse events: four in the placebo group, five in the pregabalin group and seven in the lamotrigine group.
				Investigators considered four serious adverse events to be related to a study drug: peripheral edema and ataxia/encephalopathy reported by pregabalin patients and two cases of grand mal seizures reported by lamotrigine patients.
				There was one death during the study: a possible suicide in the pregabalin group. This was not considered to be related to study treatment.
				In the pregabalin group, the most frequent adverse event-attributed withdrawals were due to dizziness, asthenia and abnormal thinking. In the lamotrigine group, the most frequent adverse event-attributed withdrawals were due to dizziness, asthenia and headache.
				The frequency of spontaneously reported weight gain was higher in pregabalin patients (9%) compared to lamotrigine (2%) and placebo (1%). The percentage of patients with clinically significant weight gain (\geq 7% per the Food and Drug Administration) was higher for pregabalin (23%) than placebo (3%) or lamotrigine (1%). Three pregabalin patients withdrew due to weight gain.
Delahoy et al ¹⁰⁰	MA (8 RCTs)	N=1,911	Primary: Responder rate	Primary: Analysis using LOCF in ITT population:
Pregabalin low-dose (150 mg/day), mid-dose (300 mg/day) or high-	Patients with partial epilepsy refractory to up to	12 weeks	(<u>></u> 50% reduction from baseline in the number of	Each dose of pregabalin was significantly different from placebo in responder rate (<i>P</i> value not reported).
dose (600 mg/day	3 established AEDs		seizures), change from	Patients who received adjunctive high-dose pregabalin were at least four times more likely to attain \geq 50% reduction in baseline seizures compared to patients
vs			baseline in seizure-free	receiving placebo (RR, 4.63; 95% Cl, 3.72 to 5.58).
gabapentin low-dose (900 mg/day), mid-dose			days over the past 28 days	Each dose of gabapentin was significantly different from placebo in responder rate (<i>P</i> value not reported) with the magnitude of difference increasing with dose.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
(1,200 mg/day) or high- dose (1,800 mg/day) The analysis also estimated the efficacy of gabapentin at 2,400 mg/day by extrapolating the dose response equations.			Secondary: Not reported	The risk for patients attaining a ≥50% reduction in seizures with gabapentin 2,400 mg was 2.82 times that of placebo, though a greater gradient for the dose-response curve was observed with pregabalin. Overlapping 95% CI's were observed between pregabalin 300 mg and gabapentin 1,200 mg dose levels and between pregabalin 600 mg and gabapentin 1,800 mg dose level, statistical significance in favor of pregabalin at these levels for responder rate was indicated. <i>Analysis of completers:</i> Each dose of pregabalin and gabapentin was significantly different from placebo in responder rate with magnitude of effects increasing with dose. The magnitude of effect in favor of pregabalin over gabapentin at all doses in the LOCF analysis of responder rate is only retained for the high-dose comparison (pregabalin 600 mg/day and gabapentin 1,800 mg/day) in the completer analysis. <i>Analysis of responders:</i> Each dose of gabapentin was significantly different compared to placebo in responder rate. When the responder data are subject to indirect comparison using placebo as the common comparator, there were no significant differences between the pregabalin and gabapentin and any dose. <i>Change from baseline in seizure-free days:</i> Pregabalin and gabapentin were associated with a change from baseline in seizure-free days relative to placebo at all dose levels. On average, patients receiving pregabalin experienced at least a two day increase in seizure-free days compared to placebo. The dose-response curve is steeper for pregabalin with respect to mean difference in seizure-free days.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Kwan et al ¹⁰¹ (abstract) Pregabalin 75 mg BID vs lamotrigine 50 mg BID	DB, MC, NI, PC, RCT Adults with newly diagnosed partial seizures	N=660 52 weeks	Primary: Proportion of patients who remained seizure free for six or more continuous months Secondary: Safety	Secondary: Not reported Primary: Fewer patients receiving pregabalin compared to patients receiving lamotrigine became seizure free for six or more continuous months (162 [52%] vs 209 [68%]; difference, -0.16, 95% CI, -0.24 to -0.09). Secondary: The overall incidence of adverse events was similar between the two treatments and consistent with that in previous trials; dizziness (55 [17%] vs 45 [14%] patients), somnolence (29 [9%] vs 14 [4%]), fatigue (27 [8%] vs 19 [6%]), and weight increase (21 [6%] vs 7 [2%]) were numerically more common with pregabalin compared to lamotrigine.
Uthman et al ¹⁰² Pregabalin 75 to 600 mg/day BID or TID, in addition to current AED therapy	Analysis of 6 ES, OL Patients with partial onset epilepsy refractory to multiple antiepileptic agents	N=2,061 3.5 to 8 years	Primary: Seizure control and safety Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Overall, 43% had a ≥50% reduction in the 28 day seizure frequency from baseline during their last three months of pregabalin treatment. The percentage of patients who were 50% responders in the first three and last three months of treatment, irrespective of the duration between these periods, was 24%. Overall, 27.3% of patients became seizure-free for any three months and 6.2% for any year. In total, 1,891 (91.7%) patients experienced at least one adverse event and 262 patients (12.7%) discontinued treatment due to an adverse event. Most were mild or moderate in intensity; only 386 (18.7%) patients experienced adverse events that were rated as severe in intensity. The most common adverse events generally affected the CNS. Secondary: Not reported
Pereira et al ¹⁰³ Tiagabine, in addition to current AED therapy	MA of 5 PC, RCT (3 PG, 2 XO) (literature search included Medline	N=781 Minimum treatment	Primary: Proportion with ≥50% reduction in seizure	Primary: The overall OR for \geq 50% reduction in seizure frequency with tiagabine compared to placebo was 3.16 (95% CI, 1.97 to 5.07; <i>P</i> value not reported), indicating that tiagabine was significantly more effective than placebo in reducing seizure





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	to January 2008)	duration 8	frequency,	frequency.
vs placebo, in addition to current AED therapy	Patients 12 to 71 years of age with drug-resistant localization related (partial) epilepsy	weeks	treatment withdrawal for any reason, safety and effects on cognition	The overall RR for treatment withdrawal for any reason for tiagabine compared to placebo was 1.81 (95% CI, 1.25 to 2.62; <i>P</i> value not reported). Tiagabine was associated with significantly more dizziness, fatigue, nervousness and tremor than placebo (<i>P</i> values not reported).
			Secondary: Not reported	The limited data suggested that tiagabine had no significant effects on cognition (<i>P</i> values not reported). Secondary: Not reported
Jette et al ¹⁰⁴ (abstract)	MA of 10 RCT	N=1,312	Primary:	Primary:
Topiramate, in addition to current AED therapy vs	(Cochrane Review 2008) Patients with drug-resistant	Treatment duration 11 to 19 weeks	Proportion with ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency in the treatment period	The overall RR for \geq 50% reduction in seizure frequency for topiramate was 2.85 compared to placebo (95% Cl, 2.27 to 3.59; <i>P</i> value not reported). Dose regression analysis showed increasing effect with increasing dose, but found no advantage for doses over 300 or 400 mg per day.
placebo, in addition to current AED therapy	partial epilepsy		compared to baseline, proportion of	The RR for treatment withdrawal was 2.26 for topiramate compared to placebo (95% Cl, 1.55 to 3.31; <i>P</i> value not reported).
Current AED therapy			participants having treatment withdrawn and adverse effects	Topiramate was associated with significantly higher risks of ataxia, dizziness, fatigue, nausea, somnolence and "thinking abnormality"; <i>P</i> values were not reported. Secondary: Not reported
			Secondary: Not reported	
Zhang et al ¹⁰⁵	DB, PC, RCT	N=86	Primary:	Primary:
(abstract) Topiramate 200	Patients with refractory partial	20 weeks	Seizure frequency	Overall, 47.8 and 7.5% of patients receiving topiramate and placebo reached ≥50% reduction in complex partial seizures.
mg/day, target dose	epilepsy; at least		Secondary:	Secondary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Concomitant AEDs continued at original dosages.	four seizures per four weeks during an eight week baseline period, despite medication with up to three standard AED		Safety	With topiramate, the most common adverse events were dizziness, somnolence, fatigue, headache, and difficulty with memory. Most events were transient and mild or moderate in severity.
Puri et al ¹⁰⁶ Topiramate, adjunctive therapy	Pooled analysis of 2 trials Infants <2 years of age with refractory partial- onset seizures	N=284 Up to 1 year	Primary: Safety Secondary: Seizure frequency	 Primary: The most common treatment-emergent adverse events (≥30%) were fever (52%), respiratory tract infections (51%), anorexia (35%), and acidosis (31%). Most events were mild to moderate in severity. Treatment-emergent adverse events leading to discontinuation were reported in 17 (6%) infants and the most common event was "convulsions aggravated" in six infants. Overall, eight deaths were reported. Changes from pretreatment baseline to endpoint Z scores for growth parameters were as follows: -1.82±1.19 (body weight), -0.45±1.60 (body length), and -0.36±1.02 (head circumference). Secondary: In both trials, the median monthly seizure rates for both partial-onset seizures and "all seizure types" decreased substantially from pretreatment baseline to OL extension endpoint, although this analysis was not powered to demonstrate significant differences. More than 50% of infants were free of partial-onset seizures from the eighth month onward until the OL extension endpoint.
Hemming et al ¹⁰⁷ Vigabatrin 1,000 to 6,000 mg/day, in addition to current AED therapy vs	MA (11 PG or XO RCTs) Patients 10 to 65 years of age with drug-resistant partial epilepsy (simple partial,	N=747 Duration varied	Primary: Proportion with ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency in the treatment period compared to baseline,	 Primary: Patients treated with vigabatrin were significantly more likely to obtain a ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency compared to those treated with placebo (RR, 2.58; 95% CI, 1.87 to 3.57; <i>P</i> value not reported). Those treated with vigabatrin were also significantly more likely to have treatment withdrawn (RR, 2.49; 95% CI, 1.05 to 5.88; <i>P</i> value not reported).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo, in addition to current AED therapy	complex partial or secondary generalized tonic-clonic seizures)		proportion of participants having treatment withdrawn and adverse effects Secondary: Not reported	Patients treated with vigabatrin were more likely to experience a number of adverse events, significantly so for fatigue or drowsiness (<i>P</i> values not reported). The authors noted that there was some evidence of small study effect bias, with smaller studies tending to report greater estimates of RR than larger studies. Secondary: Not reported
Lu et al ¹⁰⁸ (abstract) Zonisamide 300 or 400 mg/day vs placebo Treatments were added on to existing AED therapies.	DB, PC, RCT Adults with refractory partial- onset epilepsy	N=104 16 weeks	Primary: Seizure frequency Secondary: Safety	 Primary: Zonisamide resulted in significantly greater efficacy compared to placebo (responder rate, 55.8 vs 36.0%; P<0.05), including 55.2% (16/29) with zonisamide 300 mg/day and 56.5% (13/23) with zonisamide 400 mg/day. There was no difference between zonisamide 300 and 400 mg/day (P>0.05). Similar efficacy of zonisamide was found in the control of complex partial seizures, simple partial seizures, and secondary generalized seizures. Secondary: There was no difference in the incidence of adverse effects between the two treatments. Reported adverse effects with zonisamide were related to the digestive system (32.5%), weight changes (30.2%), the hematological system (15.1%), neurological/psychiatric effects (10.3%), the urinary system (7.9%), and the cardiovascular system (4.0%). Only digestive system adverse effects constituted a significantly higher proportion of adverse effects with zonisamide compared to placebo (32.5 vs 30.2%; P<0.05).
Chadwick et al ¹⁰⁹ Zonisamide 100 to 500 mg/day plus conventional AED treatment vs	MA (4 RCTs) Patients 12 to 77 years of age with drug-resistant partial epilepsy (simple partial, complex partial or secondary	N=850 12 or 24 weeks	Primary: Proportion with ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency in the treatment period compared to baseline, proportion of	Primary: The overall RR for ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency for zonisamide 300 to 500 mg/day was 2.44 compared to placebo (95% CI, 1.81 to 3.30). The RR for zonisamide 100 to 500 mg/day was 2.35 (95% CI, 1.74 to 3.17). Two trials provided evidence of a dose-response relationship for this outcome; <i>P</i> values were not reported. The RR for treatment withdrawal was 1.64 for zonisamide 300 to 500 mg/day compared to placebo (95% CI, 1.20 to 2.26), and 1.47 for zonisamide 100 to 500





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo plus conventional AED treatment	generalized tonic-clonic seizures)		participants having treatment withdrawn and adverse effects Secondary: Not reported	mg/day compared to placebo (95% CI, 1.07 to 2.02; <i>P</i> values were not reported). Zonisamide was associated with significantly higher risks of agitation, anorexia, ataxia, dizziness and somnolence than placebo. <i>P</i> values were not reported. Secondary: Not reported
Baulac et al ¹¹⁰ Zonisamide 200 to 500 mg/day vs carbamazepine ER 400 to 1,200 mg/day	DB, MC, RCT Patients 18 to 75 years of age, who were newly diagnosed with epilepsy (≥2 partial seizures with or without secondary generalization or generalized tonic-clonic seizures without clear focal origin) in the previous 12 months and were treatment naïve or received 1 AED for <2 weeks	N=583 Up to 110 weeks (4- week titration, 26-, 52- or 78- week flexible dosing and 26-week mainten- ance period)	Primary: Proportion of patients remaining seizure-free during the 26- week maintenance period Secondary: Proportion of patients remaining seizure-free for ≥52 weeks, time to start of a 26- week and 52- week seizure- free period, and time to withdrawal because of absence of efficacy or adverse event	 Primary: In the PP population, 79.4% of patients treated with zonisamide were seizure-free for 26 weeks during the maintenance period compared to 83.7% of patients treated with carbamazepine. The absolute treatment difference, adjusted for country group, was -4.5% (95% CI, -12.2 to 3.1). The lower limit of the CI for the absolute difference (-12.2%) narrowly exceeded the -12% prespecified noninferiority margin. The relative treatment difference was -5.4% (95% CI, -14.7 to 3.7). The lower limit of the 95% CI was above the relative -20% margin for demonstrating noninferiority. Secondary: The proportion of patients in the PP population remaining seizure-free for 52 weeks was 67.6% of zonisamide-treated patients compared to 74.7% of carbamazepine-treated patients. The absolute treatment difference, adjusted for country, was -7.9% (95% CI, -17.2 to 1.5). In the ITT population, 55.9% of patients did not have a seizure for 52 weeks in the zonisamide group compared to 62.3% in the carbamazepine group. The absolute treatment difference between groups was -7.7% (95% CI, 16.1 to 0.7). For the PP population, the median time to become seizure-free for 26 weeks was 204 days in both treatment groups (HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.14). The median time to become seizure-free for 52 weeks was 381 days for both treatment groups (HR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.70 to 1.11). Similar results were reported in the ITT population. Withdrawal rates due to lack of efficacy or adverse events were low in both groups and did not differ significantly between treatments.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Treatment of Generaliz			1	
Gamble et al ¹¹¹ Carbamazepine monotherapy vs lamotrigine monotherapy	MA (5 RCTs) Children or adults with generalized- onset tonic-clonic or partial-onset seizures	N=1,384 Duration not reported	Primary: Time to withdrawal of treatment, seizure freedom at six months and time to first seizure Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Time to treatment withdrawal was significantly improved with lamotrigine compared to carbamazepine (HR, 0.55; 95% CI, 0.35 to 0.84). Seizure freedom at six months (HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.81 to 1.04) and time to first seizure (HR, 1.14; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.43) favored carbamazepine although the results were not statistically significant. (HR >1 indicated an event was more likely on lamotrigine than carbamazepine; <i>P</i> values were not reported). Secondary: Not reported
Tudur Smith et al ¹¹² (abstract) Carbamazepine monotherapy vs phenobarbital monotherapy	MA (4 RCTs) Children or adults with generalized- onset tonic-clonic or partial-onset seizures	N=684 Duration not reported	Primary: Time to withdrawal of treatment, time to 12-month remission, time to first seizure Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: Time to withdrawal was significantly improved with carbamazepine over phenobarbital (HR, 1.63; 95% CI, 1.23 to 2.15), which indicates that carbamazepine was better tolerated than phenobarbital. There was no significant difference between treatment groups for the time to 12-month remission and time to first seizure (HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.65 to 1.17 and HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.68 to 1.05, respectively). HR >1 indicated an event was more likely on phenobarbital than carbamazepine; <i>P</i> values were not reported. Further analysis of each type of seizure indicated that phenobarbital provided statistical benefit over carbamazepine for time to first partial-onset seizure, whereas carbamazepine demonstrated benefit over phenobarbital in patients for time to first generalized-onset tonic-clonic seizures. Secondary: Not reported
Tudur Smith et al ¹¹³ (abstract) Carbamazepine monotherapy vs	MA (3 RCTs) Children or adults with partial-onset seizures or generalized- onset tonic-clonic	N=551 Duration not reported	Primary: Time to withdrawal of treatment, time to 12-month and six-month remission, time	Primary: There was no overall difference between carbamazepine and phenytoin with regards to time to withdrawal of allocated treatment (HR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.28), time to 12-month remission (HR, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.78 to 1.29), time to six- month remission (HR, 1.10; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.39) and time to first seizure (HR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.12). HR >1 indicated an event was more likely on phenytoin than carbamazepine. <i>P</i> values were not reported.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
phenytoin monotherapy	seizures		to first seizure Secondary: Not reported	Secondary: Not reported
Marson et al ¹¹⁴ (abstract) Carbamazepine monotherapy vs valproate monotherapy	MA of 5 RCT (included literature search of Medline through 2000) Patients with partial-onset seizures or generalized- onset tonic-clonic seizures	N=1,265 Duration not reported	Primary: Time to withdrawal of treatment, time to 12-month remission, time to first seizure Secondary: Not reported	Primary: There was no overall difference between carbamazepine and valproate with regards to time to withdrawal of allocated treatment (HR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.79 to 1.18), time to 12-month remission (HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.02), and time to first seizure (HR, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.96 to 1.25). HR >1 indicated an event was more likely on valproate. <i>P</i> values were not reported. A test for an interaction between treatment and seizure type was significant for time to first seizure, but not the other outcomes. There was some evidence to support the preference of carbamazepine for partial- onset seizures, but no evidence to support the preference of valproate for generalized-onset seizures. CIs were too wide to infer equivalence. The age distribution of adults classified as having generalized seizures indicated that significant numbers of patients may have had their seizures misclassified. Secondary: Not reported
Marson et al ¹¹⁵ (abstract) Carbamazepine vs gabapentin vs lamotrigine vs oxcarbazepine vs topiramate (Arm A, n=1,721) Valproate vs lamotrigine vs topiramate (Arm B, n=716)	MC, PG, RCT Patients >5 years of age with partial or generalized seizures	N=2,437 24 months	Primary: Time to treatment failure (withdrawal of the study drug for reasons of unacceptable adverse events or inadequate seizure control or both) and time to 12- month remission of seizures	 Primary: Arm A recruited 88% of patients with symptomatic or cryptogenic partial epilepsy and 10% with unclassified epilepsy. Arm B recruited 63% of patients with idiopathic generalized epilepsy and 25% with unclassified epilepsy. For Arm A, lamotrigine had the lowest incidence of treatment failure and was statistically better than carbamazepine, gabapentin, and topiramate (but not oxcarbazepine). At one and two years after randomization, 12 and 8% fewer patients experienced treatment failure on lamotrigine than carbamazepine. The "superiority" of lamotrigine over carbamazepine was due to its better tolerability but there was satisfactory evidence indicating that lamotrigine was not clinically "inferior" to carbamazepine for measures of its efficacy (treatment failure due to inadequate seizure control and time to achieving a 12-month remission; <i>P</i> values were not reported.)





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
When clinicians felt carbamazepine was the optimal standard drug, patients were allocated to Arm A, and when valproate was the optimal drug, patients were allocated to Arm B.			Secondary: Not reported	For time to treatment failure, valproate was preferred to both lamotrigine and topiramate. Valproate was the drug least likely to be associated with treatment failure for inadequate seizure control and was the preferred drug for time to achieving a 12-month remission; <i>P</i> values were not reported.) Secondary: Not reported
Cereghino et al ¹¹⁶ Diazepam 5 to 20 mg rectally vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Outpatients or institutionalized patients ≥2 years of age with a history of acute repetitive seizures (primary generalized, complex partial with or without becoming secondarily generalized, or simple partial with a motor component) with at least two seizure episodes within the previous year and at least one seizure in	N=158 Duration not reported	Primary: Seizure count following drug administration Secondary: Time to next seizure, time elapsed between administration plus 15 minutes to the occurrence of the next seizure within the 12- hour observation period, caregiver and investigator global assessments and safety	 Primary: Patients receiving treatment with diazepam experienced fewer post-treatment seizures compared to patients receiving placebo (0 vs 2; <i>P</i>=0.029). Secondary: The time to next seizure was significantly prolonged with diazepam administration compared to placebo (<i>P</i>=0.007). More patients who received diazepam were seizure-free in the 12-hour post-treatment observation period compared to placebo (55 vs 34%; <i>P</i>=0.031). The mean caregiver global assessment score was higher in the diazepam treatment group compared to the placebo group (6.73 vs 5.60; <i>P</i>=0.018). Similarly, the mean investigator global assessment score was higher with diazepam compared to the placebo-treated group (7.55 vs 5.57; <i>P</i>=0.001). There was a trend toward a higher incidence of adverse events in the diazepam group compared to the placebo group (46 vs 28%); however, the difference was not statistically significant. The most frequently reported adverse events were somnolence, headache and diarrhea. There were no episodes of respiratory depression reported. No changes in laboratory parameters were observed.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	previous six months			
Lippa et al ¹¹⁷	OL, PRO	N=24	Primary: Efficacy for	Primary: Eleven of the 16 (68.8%) patients who completed the trial were seizure-free for the
Levetiracetam 250 to 1,500 mg BID	Patients ≥50 years of age with Alzheimer's disease, mixed dementia or mild cognitive impairment; seizures of partial onset with or without secondary generalization, stable general medical condition and seizure frequency of ≤4	12 weeks	seizure control and impact on cognition Secondary: Safety and impact on behavioral measures	duration of the study. Five patients reported \geq 1 seizures during the three month trial period (mean number of seizures, 0.5; median, 0.0; maximum, 3). Four of these patients were on a dose of 750 mg BID; the fifth was on 1,000 mg BID. MMSE scores improved an average of 2.2 points (SD, 3.0; <i>P</i> =0.1) from baseline at 12 weeks, representing a substantial improvement. Improvements were noted specifically for the delayed recall portion of the MMSE, with an average improvement of 0.6 (SD, 0.7; <i>P</i> =0.01) on the three word recall. The ADAS-Cog scores improved by an average of 4.3 points (SD, 6.4; <i>P</i> =0.02) from baseline at 12 weeks. Secondary: The most commonly reported adverse event was fatigue (20.8%). A total of 4/5 patients experiencing fatigue discontinued treatment within the first week due to this adverse event.
	per month			Little change was seen in caregiver reported behavior and function. No substantial changes were seen for the activities of daily living scale (mean change, 1.5 out of possible 100 points; P =0.8), Tariot's Behavior Ratings scale (mean change, -2.7 out of 52; P =0.8) or the CMAI (mean change, -1.2 out of 203; P =0.9). There was also no trend for incident behavioral disturbances, such as irritability or aggression, as reported on these scales.
Sake et al ¹¹⁸ (abstract) Lacosamide	Post hoc exploratory analyses were performed on pooled data in which patients were grouped	N=1,308 16 to 18 weeks	Primary: Change in seizure frequency per 28 days, proportion of patients	Primary: The majority of patients (82%) were utilizing at least one 'traditional' sodium channel-blocking concomitant AED. In this subgroup of patients, adjunctive lacosamide showed significant reductions in seizure frequency (P <0.01 for all dosages) and significantly greater 50% and 75% responder rates (P <0.01 for 400 mg/day; P <0.01 [50% responder rate] and P <0.05 [75% responder rate] for 600 mg/day) compared to placebo.
	based upon inclusion or non-		experiencing ≥50% reduction	Secondary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	inclusion of at least one 'traditional' sodium channel- blocking AED (carbamazepine, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine, and phenytoin derivatives) as part of their concomitant AED regimen; adults with partial-onset seizures with or without secondary generalization		in seizure frequency, proportion of patients experiencing ≥75% reduction in seizure frequency Secondary: Safety	Treatment-emergent adverse events and discontinuations due to such events in this subgroup were dose related and similar to the general population. In the remaining subgroup of patients, i.e. those not taking 'traditional' sodium channel- blocking AEDs as part of their concomitant AED regimen (n=231; 18%), a pronounced, dose-related seizure reduction was observed with lacosamide (<i>P</i> <0.01, 400 and 600 mg/day for median percent seizure reduction and 50% or 75% responder rates). Also in this group of patients, incidences of treatment- emergent adverse events were low, and discontinuations due to such events did not appear to increase with dose. Analyses of ECG, laboratory and vital sign assessments did not identify abnormalities in either subgroup that were outside of the known safety profile of lacosamide observed in the pooled phase II/III population.
Dasheiff et al ¹¹⁹ Clorazepate 15 to 120 mg daily (frequency not specified) vs methsuximide 600 to 2,700 mg daily (frequency not specified) vs valproate 500 to 4,000 mg daily (frequency not	OL, PRO Patients with complex partial epilepsy with or without secondary generalization, with or without simple partial seizures ("auras"), and who had failed phenytoin, carbamazepine and phenobarbital	N=66 3 years	Primary: Change in seizure frequency, number of patients who were seizure- free and safety Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: The seizure frequency was determined to be decreased in six eight and fifteen patients treated with clorazepate, methsuximide and valproate, respectively. None of the anticonvulsant treatments were shown to reduce seizure frequency during treatment compared to baseline values (<i>P</i>>0.05 for all). Seven patients tolerated the medications and became seizure-free for up to six months with treatment (three patients each in the clorazepate and valproate groups and one patient receiving methsuximide). Only the patient receiving clorazepate was seizure-free at one year. The most frequently reported adverse events were gastrointestinal in nature, followed by mental status changes and problems with coordination. Valproate produced various adverse events including nausea, dysphagia, weight gain, or weight loss but significant elevation of liver function tests occurred only once and was reversible.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
specified) Patients usually remained on at least one of the first-line <u>AEDs</u> . Muller et al ¹²⁰ Oxcarbazepine monotherapy vs phenytoin monotherapy	MA (2 RCTs) Adults and children with partial-onset seizures or generalized- onset tonic-clonic seizures	N=480 Duration not reported	Primary: Time to withdrawal of treatment; time to achieve six-, 12- and 24- month remission and time to first seizure Secondary: Not reported	Secondary: Not reported Primary: The overall results indicate that oxcarbazepine is significantly better than phenytoin for time to treatment withdrawal (HR, 1.64; 95% CI, 1.09 to 2.47). There was no overall difference between oxcarbazepine and phenytoin in time to six- month remission (HR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.22), time to 12-month remission (HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.62 to 1.37), and time to first seizure (HR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.39). HR >1 indicated an event was more likely on phenytoin than oxcarbazepine. <i>P</i> values were not reported. Results stratified by seizure type indicate no significant advantage for either drug for patients with generalized-onset seizures, but a potentially important advantage in time to withdrawal for oxcarbazepine for patients with partial-onset seizures (HR, 1.92; 95% CI, 1.17 to 3.16; <i>P</i> value not reported). The authors noted that the age distribution of adults classified as having generalized epilepsy suggested a significant number of patients may have had their epilepsy misclassified. Secondary:
Taylor et al ¹²¹ Phenobarbital monotherapy vs phenytoin monotherapy	MA (4 RCTs) Adults and children with partial-onset seizures or generalized- onset tonic-clonic seizures	N=599 (represents 65% of potential data) Duration not reported	Primary: Time to withdrawal of treatment, time to 12-month remission and time to first seizure	Not reported Primary: The results indicated a statistically significant clinical advantage for phenytoin compared to phenobarbital with regards to time to treatment withdrawal (HR, 1.62; 95% CI, 1.22 to 2.14) and a nonsignificant advantage in terms of 12-month remission (HR, 93; 95% CI, 0.70 to 1.23). Results for time to first seizure suggest a nonsignificant clinical advantage for phenobarbital compared to phenytoin (HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.68 to 1.05). HR >1 indicated an event was more likely on phenobarbital than phenytoin; <i>P</i> values were not reported.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			Secondary: Not reported	The authors noted that since there were no significant differences for seizure outcomes, the higher withdrawal rate with phenobarbital may be due to adverse effects. Secondary: Not reported
Tudur Smith et al ¹²²	MA (5 RCTs)	N=669 (represents	Primary: Time to	Primary: The overall results suggest no overall difference between phenytoin and valproate
Phenytoin monotherapy	Adults and children with	60% of potential	withdrawal of treatment, time	with regards to time to treatment withdrawal (HR, 1.10; 95% CI, 0.79 to 1.54), time to 12-month remission (HR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.78 to 1.38), time to six-month
VS	partial-onset seizures or	data)	to achieve 12- month remission	remission (HR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.71 to 1.11) and time to first seizure (HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.14). (HR >1 indicated an event was more likely on phenytoin than
valproate monotherapy	generalized- onset tonic-clonic seizures	Duration not reported	and time to first seizure Secondary: Not reported	valproate; <i>P</i> values were not reported.) No statistical interaction between treatment and seizure type was found. Secondary: Not reported
Novotny et al ¹²³	DB, PC, PG, RCT	N=149	Primary: Median	Primary: There was no difference (<i>P</i> =0.97) in median percentage reduction from baseline in
Topiramate 5, 15 or 25 mg/kg/day BID, in addition to current AED therapy	Infants 1 to 24 months with a diagnosis of partial onset	20 days	percentage reductions in daily partial onset seizures	daily partial onset seizure rate between topiramate 25 mg/kg/day and placebo (20.4 vs 13.1%). Lower doses of topiramate were not significantly different from placebo. Secondary:
vs placebo, in addition to	seizures with or without secondary		Secondary: Percentage of treatment	The percentages of treatment responders in the topiramate groups (5 mg/kg/day, 27%; 15 mg/kg/day, 38%; 25 mg/kg/day, 44%) were not different from placebo (36%; <i>P</i> >0.4 for all).
current AED therapy	generalization, ≥41 weeks		responders (defined as	The median percentage reduction in seizure rate for all seizure types based on
	gestational age, weighing \geq 3.2 kg and <15.5 kg, length \geq 49 cm		≥50% reduction in seizure rate for partial onset seizure and all	vEEG data, or for partial onset seizure or all seizure types based on infant take- home records, was also not different between any of the topiramate groups and placebo (<i>P</i> >0.2 for all).
	are receiving		seizure types as	The incidence of treatment emergent adverse events was higher in the combined





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	regular enteral feeding		recorded on a 48 hour vEEG), percentage reduction in seizure rates for all seizure types as recorded on 48 hour vEEG and for partial onset seizure and all seizure types as recorded on infant take home records and	topiramate groups (81%) compared to placebo (51%).
Ramsay et al ¹²⁴	DB, NI, RCT	N=261	safety Primary:	Primary:
Topiramate 100 mg/day, target dose	Patients 12 to 65 years of age, ≥50 kg, and 1 to 20 unprovoked,	28 days	Time to first complex partial or generalized tonic-clonic seizure	At trial end, the estimated seizure-free rate was 81.1 vs 90.3% with topiramate and phenytoin. NI of topiramate to phenytoin could not be established (HR, 2.0; 95% CI, 0.98 to 4.12; P =0.366), the phenytoin could not be shown to be superior to topiramate.
phenytoin 300 mg/day, target dose	complex partial or primary/ secondarily generalized tonic-clonic seizures within the past three		Secondary: Time to first complex partial and time to first generalized tonic-clonic	Secondary: Results on covariates were obtained when generalized tonic-clonic seizures and complex partial seizures were analyzed separately (data not reported). A higher proportion discontinued treatment with phenytoin compared to topiramate for all reasons (21.1 vs 12.8%), and due to adverse events (13.4 vs 6.8%). The most common treatment-related adverse events with both treatments were
Ben-Menachem et al ¹²⁵	months	N=1,335	seizure, safety	dizziness, paresthesia and somnolence.
Topiramate 25 or 50 mg/day vs 200 or 500 mg/day as	MA of 3 DB, RCT (literature search included Medline to January 2008)	Median duration 181days to	Primary: Six- or 12-month seizure freedom, time to first seizure,	Primary: In a comparison of topiramate 50 and 500 mg/day, the higher dose was associated with significantly greater freedom from partial seizures at six months compared to the lower dose (54 vs 39%, respectively; P =0.02). In a comparison of topiramate 50 and 400 mg/day, the time to first seizure was significantly longer with the higher





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
monotherapy Topiramate 50 mg/day vs 400 mg/day as monotherapy Topiramate 100 mg/day or 200 mg/day vs carbamazepine 600 mg/day (patients with partial seizures) or valproate 1,250 mg/day (patients with generalized epilepsy).	Adults and children with new or recently diagnoses partial or generalized epilepsy	9 months	time to study exit and safety Secondary: Not reported	dose compared to the lower dose (P <0.001), and the probability of 12-month seizure freedom was significantly higher (76 vs 59%, respectively; P =0.001). In a comparative study of three AEDs, there was no significant difference in rates of six month seizure freedom with topiramate (44 to 49%), carbamazepine (44%) and valproate (44%). Time to first seizure and time to study exit were also not significantly different between treatment arms (P values not reported). Adverse events in the three studies were similar between topiramate dose groups, although the incidence generally increased with increasing doses, occurred earlier in treatment, and decreased with prolonged therapy. In a pooled analysis of the three trials, the most commonly occurring adverse events during dose titration were paresthesia (25%), fatigue (16%), dizziness (13%), somnolence (13%) and nausea (10%); the most frequent adverse events during maintenance therapy were headache (20%), decreased appetite (11%) and weight loss (11%).
				Secondary: Not reported
Dupont et al ¹²⁶ Zonisamide 200 to 500 mg/day BID, in addition to current AED therapy Patients entered 2 fixed-dose periods where doses could not be up or down titrated (Period 1: weeks 10 to 13 and Period 2: weeks 16 to 19).	OL Patients 18 to 75 years of age with partial onset seizures (simple or complex) with or without secondary generalization	N=274 19 weeks	Primary: Change in monthly seizure frequency from baseline to fixed-dose period 2 Secondary: Change in monthly seizure frequency from baseline to fixed-dose period 1; responder rate (patients	 Primary: Patients had a median reduction in seizure frequency from baseline to fixed-dose period 2 of 33.3% (95% Cl, 23.1 to 42.9). Secondary: There was a similar reduction in seizure frequency from baseline to fixed-dose period 1 of 32.1% (95% Cl, 20.0 to 46.2). From baseline to fixed-dose period 2, over 40% of patients achieved a ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency and ≥15.0% of patients achieved seizure freedom. Data regarding fixed-dose period 1 is not reported. A total of 124 patients (74.3%) demonstrated an improvement in their illness from baseline to the end of week 19. There was a trend towards an improvement in quality of life scores on the QOLIE-31 scale between baseline and the end of week 19 (mean improvement, 1.95 points; 95% Cl, -0.09 to 3.99). Statistically significant improvements in seizure severity scores, as measured by the LSSS, were





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			achieving ≥50%, ≥75% or 100% reduction in seizure frequency from baseline to both fixed-dose periods); change in CGI, QOLIE-31 and LSSS and	observed between baseline and the end of week 19, with a mean change of -2.40 (95% Cl, -3.24 to -1.57). In 209 patients, 74.4% reported adverse events, most commonly fatigue (16.7%), somnolence (15.3%) and headache (8.9%).
Treatment of Other Seiz	ures		safety	
Ng et al ¹²⁷ Clobazam low-dosage (target 0.25 mg/kg/day) vs clobazam medium- dosage (target 0.5 mg/kg/day) vs clobazam high-dosage (target 1 mg/kg/day) vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients 2 to 60 years of age weighing ≥12.5 kg with an onset of LGS before age 11	N=238 15 weeks	Primary: Percentage decrease in mean weekly drop seizure rates Secondary: Percentage decreases in average weekly rate of nondrop seizures and total (drop and nondrop) seizures; responder rates; and physicians' and caregivers' global	Primary: The mean percentage decrease in average weekly rate of drop seizures was 12.1% for placebo compared to 41.2% for the 0.25 mg/kg/day (P =0.0120), 49.4% for clobazam 0.5 mg/kg/day (P =0.0015), and 68.3% for clobazam 1.0 mg/kg/day (P <0.0001). Secondary: The mean percentage decrease in average weekly rate of total seizures was 9.3% for placebo compared to 34.8% for clobazam 0.25 mg/kg/day (P =0.0414), 45.3% for clobazam 0.5 mg/kg/day (P =0.0044), and 65.3% for clobazam 1.0 mg/kg/day (P =0.0001). There was no significant difference in the average weekly rates of nondrop seizures. The percentage of patients with ≥50% decreases in average weekly rate of drop seizures was 31.6% for placebo compared to 43.4% for clobazam 0.25 mg/kg/day, 58.6% for clobazam 0.5 mg/kg/day, and 77.6% for clobazam 1.0 mg/kg/day. The likelihood of achieving ≥50% response was greater for the medium-dosage (OR, 2.8; 95% Cl, 1.2 to 6.5; P =0.0159) and high-dosage (OR, 7.5; 95% Cl, 3.0 to 18.5; P=0.0001) clobazam groups compared to the placebo group.
			evaluations of the patients'	The percentages of patients who were at least minimally improved ranged from 71.2 to 80.7% (physicians' assessments) and 79.2 to 81.6% (caregivers'





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Conry et al ¹²⁸ Clobazam low-dosage (target 0.25 mg/kg/day) vs clobazam high-dosage (target 1.0 mg/kg/day)	RCT, DB, MC Patients 2 to 26 years of age with LGS	N=68 7 weeks	overall changes in symptoms over time Primary: Percent reduction in drop seizure rates (average per week) Secondary: Responder rates, percent reduction in weekly nondrop seizures, physicians' and caregivers' global evaluations	assessments) for clobazam vs 47.3% (physicians' assessments) and 45.5% (caregivers' assessments) for placebo. The percentages of patients who were much improved or very much improved ranged from 46.2 to 64.9% (physicians' assessments) and 41.5 to 59.2% (caregivers' assessments) for clobazam vs 23.6% (physicians' assessments) and 25.5% (caregivers' assessments) for placebo. The percentages of patients with at least one adverse event were 67.8% for placebo, 72.4% for the low-dosage group, 88.7% for the medium-dosage group, and 76.3% for the high-dosage group. Adverse events with ≥10% difference between placebo and any clobazam group were somnolence, pyrexia, lethargy, drooling, and constipation. Primary: The mean drop seizure rate was reduced in the low-dose from 141 to 91 drop seizures per week and in the high-dose group from 207 to 32 drop seizures per week. The percent change from baseline was significant in the low-dose group (12%; <i>P</i> =0.0162) and the high-dose group (85%; <i>P</i> <0.0001). The reduction in drop seizure rates was significantly greater in the high-dose group compared to the low-dose group had a reduction in weekly drop seizure rates of ≥25% (89 vs 56%; <i>P</i> =0.0025), ≥50% (83 vs 38%; <i>P</i> =0.0001), and ≥75% (67 vs 25%; <i>P</i> =0.0001). The reduction in nondrop seizures was significantly greater in the high-dose group, the percent change from baseline in nondrop seizures was significant (9%; <i>P</i> =0.1466). In the high-dose group, the percent change from baseline in nondrop seizures was significantly greater in the high-dose group, the percent change from baseline in nondrop seizures was significantly greater in the high-dose group. The reduction in nondrop seizures was significant (59%; <i>P</i> <0.0001). The reduction in nondrop seizures was significant (59%; <i>P</i> <0.0001). The reduction in nondrop seizures was significant (59%; <i>P</i> <0.0001). The reduction in nondrop seizure sates significantly greater in the high-dose group compared to the low-dose group (<i>P</i> =0.0222). In the parent/caregiver global evaluations,
				more likely to show significant improvements in overall symptoms compared to the low-dose group. A total of 94% of patients in the high-dose group compared to





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				55% of patients in the low-dose group were much improved or very much improved at week three compared to baseline. At week seven, the percentage of patients considered to be much improved or very much improved increased in the high-dose group (93%), but decreased in the low-dose group (43%). The high-dose group showed significantly lower scores than the low-dose group at three weeks (1.7 vs 2.4; P =0.0024) and at seven weeks (1.6 vs 2.6; P =0.0002). In the investigator global evaluations, patients in the high-dose group were more likely to show significant improvements in overall symptoms compared to the low-dose group. At week three, 94% of patients in the high-dose group compared to 45% of patients in the low-dose group were much improved or very much improved. At week seven, 90% of patients in the high dose group and 41% of patients in the low-dose group were much improved or very much improved. The high-dose group showed significantly lower scores than the low-dose group at three weeks (1.8 vs 2.7; P =0.0001) and at seven weeks (1.8 vs 2.8; P <0.0001). The most common adverse events with clobazam were somnolence, lethargy, sedation, salivary hypersecretion, constipation, aggression, hypomania, and insomnia. The incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events, regardless of relation to therapy, was similar between the low-dose group (84%) and the high-dose group (86%). The low dose group and high dose group were also similar in incidence of mild (47 vs 44%), moderate (34 vs 36%), and severe (3 vs 6%) adverse events.
Ng et al ¹²⁹ Clobazam 0.5 mg/kg/day and adjusted per clinical need (maximum 40 mg/day)	MC, OL, ES Patients 2 to 60 years of age with LGS who were previously enrolled in either Ng et al or Conry et al	N=267 Up to 60 months	Primary: Percent reduction in drop seizure rates (average per week) and percent reduction in weekly rate of total seizures Secondary:	 Primary: The median percentage decreases from baseline in average weekly rate of drop seizures for total patients, regardless of duration of clobazam treatment, were 71.1% at three months and 91.6% at 24 months. The median percentage decreases in total seizures in these patients were 64.8% at three months and 81.5% at 24 months. The proportion of patients with a ≥25%, ≥50%, ≥75% or 100% decrease in average weekly seizure rate from baseline increased from over 24 months for both drop and total seizures. The proportion of patients with a ≥50% reduction drop seizures was 61.5% at three months (n=252) and 79.5% at 24 months (n=88). The





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			Time to discontinuation of treatment, proportion of treatment responders, physicians' and caregivers' global evaluations of the patients' overall changes in symptoms and safety	 proportion of patients with a ≥50% reduction in total seizures was 61.5% at three months and 70.3% at 24 months (n=91). Secondary: The time to discontinuation of clobazam ranged from 17 to 1317 days, with 75% of patients discontinuing treatment by around 38 months. Most patients were considered by the physician to be "very much improved" or "much improved" at all time points (range, 66.3 to 82.3%). Similarly, the majority of patients were "very much improved" or "much improved" at all time points (range, 61.5 to 80.5%). Overall, 219 (82.0%) patients reported at least one treatment-emergent adverse event during the study, with 140 (52.4%) patients reporting more than one treatment-related adverse event. The most common treatment-emergent adverse events (≥10% of patients) were upper respiratory tract infection (18.4%), fall (14.2%), pneumonia (13.9%), somnolence (12.7%), otitis media (12.0%), pyrexia (10.5%) and constipation (10.1%). Upper respiratory tract infections and pneumonia events occurred mostly in pediatric patients. One hundred and sixty patients (59.9%) reported mild or moderate adverse events, while severe adverse events occurred in 59 patients (22.1%). Severe treatment-emergent adverse events occurred in 59 patients were pneumonia and convulsion (4.1% each), status epilepticus and pneumonia aspiration (1.5% each), and lobar pneumonia, sepsis, septic shock, urinary tract infection, dehydration, sedation, somnolence and aggression (1.1% each).
Lee et al ¹³⁰ Clobazam 5 to 10 mg/day titrated to clinical response (ranged from 0.16 to 1.60 mg/kg/day) The selection of	RETRO Patients with LGS (mean age, 91 months)	N=46 35 months (mean)	Primary: Proportion of patients who remained seizure-free, proportion of treatment responders (≥50% reduction	Primary: The proportions of patients who became seizure-free following treatment with clobazam were 32.6, 16.6, 14.1 and 16.1% after one, three, six and 12 months, respectively (P values not reported). Five patients (10.8%) remained seizure-free for more than 12 months following initiation of clobazam. The proportions of responders to clobazam treatment were 21.7% at one month, 11.9% at three months, 11.4% at six months and 3.2% at 12 months (P values not reported).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
concomitant AEDs was dependent on the expertise of a physician.			from baseline in seizure frequency), proportion of patients who were non- responders (≤50% reduction from baseline in seizure frequency) and proportion of patients who developed tolerance (increase in seizure frequency to a level of ≥50% pre-clobazam after an initial response for a minimum of one month) and safety Secondary: Not reported	The non-responder rate remained fairly consistent, ranging from 12.9 to 17.9% over 12 months of treatment (P values not reported). Of the 25 patients who achieved a ≥50% reduction in seizures after one month of clobazam treatment and 12 developed tolerance (48%). The mean time to tolerance development was 4.6 months. Seven patients reported adverse events (15.2%), including six patients with excessive sleeping or drowsiness and one who developed behavioral changes. Most adverse events were transient and mild. One patient who had behavioral changes had discontinued the medication and recovered following discontinuation of clobazam. During the study period 10 patients discontinued the drug (loss of efficacy in five patients, epilepsy surgery in one patient and death in one patient). Secondary: Not reported
Bensch et al ¹³¹ Clonazepam up to 0.25 mg/kg divided BID or TID vs	DB, MC, PRO, XO Children of all ages with all types of seizures who had tried all	N=20 2 months	Primary: Improvements in seizure frequency, patient preference, percentage	Primary: Clonazepam was determined to be significantly more effective than placebo in reducing seizure frequency in 14 patients compared to four patients who experienced greater seizure improvements with placebo (<i>P</i> <0.05). In the remaining two cases there was no difference in seizure frequency between clonazepam and placebo.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo The maximum dose was 10 mg daily. Clonazepam was administered in addition to the patient's background anticonvulsant therapy that remained unchanged through the evaluation period.	available AEDs and continued to experience at least one fit per week		reduction in seizure frequency and adverse events Secondary: Not reported	 There was no difference in patient/caregiver treatment preference between clonazepam and placebo with 12 cases preferring clonazepam over placebo, while eight patients preferred placebo over clonazepam (<i>P</i> value not significant). Compared to baseline, significantly more patients experienced a decrease in seizure frequency when treated with clonazepam compared to placebo (9 vs 3 and 7 vs 4 in both XO periods, respectively; <i>P</i><0.05 for both). Five patients were seizure-free following clonazepam treatment, while five others experienced at least a 75% reduction in seizure frequency and three had reductions of more than 50%. Two patients were seizure-free when receiving placebo, while one patient had a reduction of more than 75% and two had a reduction of more than 50%. Adverse events were reported during the clonazepam period by 18 of 20 parents of patients completing the trial. Only sleep disorder was reported during the placebo period. The most common adverse events were tiredness, vertigo and psychiatric disturbances, mainly aggressiveness. Five patients withdrew from the study due to adverse events. Secondary: Not reported
Mikkelsen et al ¹³² Clonazepam up to 6 mg daily based on age (frequency not reported) vs placebo Patients less than six years of age received a	SB, XO Patients who experienced at least six seizures every four weeks in spite of adequate traditional treatment with AEDs	N=20 8 weeks	Primary: Change in seizure frequency, proportion of seizure-free patients and adverse events Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: In patients with simple absence seizures (n=10), clonazepam was significantly more effective at reducing seizure frequency compared to placebo (<i>P</i><0.05). Clonazepam was more effective in seven cases, while clonazepam and placebo were equally effective in three cases. During clonazepam treatment, eight patients became seizure-free and one had more than a 75% reduction in the daily number of seizures. The maximal efficacy of treatment was obtained within the first two weeks. No patients developed grand mal seizures during the trial. Nine of ten patients with absence seizures experienced adverse events during treatment with clonazepam, mostly varying degrees of sedation. In four patients,





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
0.25% clonazepam solution or placebo.				 the adverse events of clonazepam subsided within one week. Five patients had lasting side-effects. Of patients with myoclonic atonic epilepsy (n=10), clonazepam was more effective than placebo in seven cases, and treatments were equal in three cases (<i>P</i><0.05). Seven patients became free or nearly free from seizures while receiving clonazepam. The maximum efficacy of clonazepam was obtained within the first three weeks. One patient with concomitant grand mal epilepsy had no change in seizure frequency with clonazepam. Five patients reported no side-effects with clonazepam, while two had transient and three had lasting adverse events. Most consisted of varying degrees of sedation. Secondary:
Mikkelsen et al ¹³³ Clonazepam 6 mg divided TID vs carbamazepine 900 mg divided TID In patients <18 years of age and with a body weight of less <60 kg, carbamazepine was administered at a dose corresponding to 15 mg/kg.	DB, RCT Previously untreated patients with recently diagnosed psychomotor epilepsy	N=36 6 months	Primary: Changes in seizure frequency, proportion of seizure-free patients at six months, adverse events and serum levels Secondary: Not reported	Not reportedPrimary:Both clonazepam and carbamazepine were associated with significant reductions from baseline in seizure activity (P<0.01); however, no difference were reported between the two treatments (P>0.10). For patients receiving treatment for at least one month, the number monthly seizures was 0.2 for carbamazepine and zero for clonazepam (difference, 0.2; 95% Cl, -0.3 to 0.4).The proportion of seizure-free patients during the six months of treatment was 49% of those treated with carbamazepine and 46% on clonazepam (P value not reported).Only one patient did not experience adverse events during treatment. Overall, adverse events were brief and no differences were observed between the two groups with regard to sedation, headache, dizziness, impaired memory, marital relations, irritability or complaints (P>0.05).Carbamazepine plasma levels were within the range of 16 to 40 µmoles/L. The plasma clonazepam levels were higher and had greater variations between





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Vasella et al ¹³⁴ Clonazepam 0.1 mg/kg divided TID or QID and titrated weekly until seizures were controlled on until a dose of 0.3 mg/kg was reached	PRO Infants and children with infantile spasms or LGS	N=37 Up to 16 months	Primary: Response to treatment and adverse events Secondary: Not reported	 patients (20 to 685 nmoles/L). Secondary: Not reported Primary: Seizures were considerably improved or completely controlled in eight patients treated with clonazepam (five patients with infantile spasms and three with LGS). Spasms ceased within one to two weeks in three patients by the third week of treatment in one patient. After six months of treatment, six patients remained seizure-free and two patients had significantly fewer seizures. Improvement in the EEG was observed in four of these patients, while four patients had transient or no improvements in EEG. Temporary remission of seizures occurred in six patients (three with infantile spasms and three with LGS) treated with clonazepam. Seizures disappeared within two to four weeks in five patients but reoccurred within three weeks to seven months. In the other patient the number of seizures was reduced for one year. Seven patients received ACTH in addition to clonazepam and achieved lasting improvements. Five patients received ACTH because seizures. Five patients receiving ACTH in addition to clonazepam remained seizure-free for one to 17 months following therapy. Six of the seven patients who received ACTH had marked improvements in their EEGs. Five patients received ACTH one to four weeks after clonazepam was started and achieved a temporary response to treatment. In four patients, seizures disappeared initially but recurred in less than eight months despite continued clonazepam therapy. Improvement in the EEG was less marked than in the group
				with lasting improvement after ACTH. Eight patients experienced minimal or no change in seizure activity, despite clonazepam treatment.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				One or more adverse events were reported in 19 patients treated with clonazepam, with the most common being mucous obstruction of nasopharynx, increased salivation and difficulty swallowing (eight patients). Other adverse events included drowsiness (five patients), constipation (three patients), ataxia (three patients), muscular weakness and hypotonia (two patients) and hyperexcitability (one patient). Secondary: Not reported
livanainen et al ¹³⁵ Clonazepam 1 mg daily plus valproate sodium 300 mg daily both divided BID Clonazepam was titrated to a maximum of 6 to 10 mg daily and valproate was titrate to a maximum dose of 1,500 to 1,800 mg daily.	OL, PRO Patients with 18 years of age or older with progressive myoclonic epilepsy who did not benefit from treatment with combinations of phenytoin, carbamazepine, phenobarbital, primidone and diazepam	N=26 Up to 72 months	Primary: Change from baseline scores for grand mal seizures, myoclonus, locomotion, general performance, speech, alertness and adverse events Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: After four months of treatment with clonazepam and valproate sodium, mean clinical variable scores were significantly improved for myoclonus (<i>P</i><0.001), general performance (<i>P</i><0.001), locomotor ability (<i>P</i><0.01) and speech (<i>P</i><0.05). Scores for alertness and grand mal seizures improved; however, the difference was not statistically significant (<i>P=NS</i>). The most dramatic improvement occurred in locomotor ability. Five patients "learned" to walk again during the new therapy after being bedridden for three to five years. At the 72 month evaluation (n=19), median clinical scores remained significantly improved compared to baseline values for myoclonus (<i>P</i><0.01), locomotion (<i>P</i><0.05), and general performance (<i>P</i><0.05). Although improved compared to baseline values and speech were not significantly different after 72 months (<i>P</i> value not significant). Fourteen patients reported mild fatigue and slight vertigo following the initiation of clonazepam. All adverse events were temporary and there were no abnormalities in the results of blood and urine tests during the study that were attributed to the medication. Secondary: Not reported
Nanda et al ¹³⁶	2 OL, PRO	N=30 and N=36	Primary:	Primary:
Clonazepam up to 3	Patients aged 11	08=11	Improvements in seizure	In the initial DB study, 12 of 15 patients with frequent myoclonic jerks (12 of whom also had tonic-clonic seizures), experienced a reduction in seizure frequency and





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
mg daily divided BID	to 40 with epilepsy were included in a one year OL, ES following nine weeks of DB treatment with clonazepam or placebo In the second OL study patients were aged 11 to 44 with a diagnosis epilepsy who were taking a combination of phenytoin, phenol-barbitone and primidone	12 and 16 months	frequency and adverse events Secondary: Not reported	 myoclonic jerks by 100%. Three patients had reductions of 80%. Tonic-clonic seizures were ceased in eight patients and four other patients experienced a reduction of seizures of 50%. The effectiveness of clonazepam therapy in the patients who improved was maintained for the following year. In the present OL study, the clonazepam dose was increased to maintain effectiveness in four patients. Four patients were able to reduce the doses of their other anticonvulsants or stop therapy altogether while taking clonazepam. In the DB trial four patients had atypical absences with tonic-clonic seizures, of which, clonazepam reduced seizure frequency by 100% in three of these patients. In the other patient, clonazepam had no effect on seizure frequency. Two of the three patients with absence seizures were still benefiting from clonazepam throughout the one-year OL study. Eleven patients in the DB trial experienced focal attacks and tonic-clonic seizures. Only four patients experienced a 50% reduction in tonic-clonic seizures during DB treatment with clonazepam, and only two patients continued to experience a 50% improvement one year later. In the second (16 month) OL study, seven patients with myoclonic epilepsy and tonic-clonic seizures of seizures and the seventh patient experienced a reduction in seizure of 80%. In patients with only tonic-clonic seizures, clonazepam was less effective, as only two of six patients experienced an improvement of 50%, while one patient had improvements of less than 50% and one other patient experienced worsening of seizures patients with frontotemporal epilepsy received clonazepam. Sixteen patients with frontotemporal epilepsy received clonazepam although only nine patients with the first week of clonazepam freatment, but generally improved after the first week. After week one, only six patients (all in the OL trial) continued to experience drowsiness. These patients





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				were also ataxic, with hypotonicity of trunk and lower limb muscles. One patient in the OL trial became depressed while on clonazepam. A change of personality, with irritability and violent behavior was reported in one patient. After one year, no patients on treatment (45 patients) complained of any adverse events. Secondary: Not reported
Pavlidou et al ¹³⁷ Intermittent rectal diazepam 0.33 mg/kg every eight hours (first day) followed by every 12 hours on the next day (maximum 7.5 mg/dose) vs no treatment	PRO, RCT Children aged 6 months to 3 years who experienced a first febrile seizure	N=139 3 years	Primary: Recurrence rates Secondary: Not reported	Primary: The 36-month seizure recurrence rates were significantly higher in high-risk patients who received no treatment compared to patients who received diazepam (83 vs 38%; P =0.005). No significant difference in seizure recurrence rate was reported between diazepam and no treatment for children considered intermediate risk (55 vs 35%; P =0.341) or low risk (46 vs 33%; P =0.412). Secondary: Not reported
Dreifuss et al ¹³⁸ NINDS Diazepam 0.2 to 0.5 mg/kg rectally vs placebo Children received one dose at the onset of acute repetitive seizures and a second dose four hours later.	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patient 2 to 60 years of age who weighted ≤100 kg with at least four episodes of acute repetitive seizures during the preceding year and at least one in the preceding three months; despite	N=125 Duration not reported	Primary: Seizure frequency and global assessment of treatment outcome by the caregiver Secondary: Time to first recurrence of seizures after the initial treatment and	 Primary: Diazepam was significantly more effective compared to placebo both for reducing seizure frequency and for improving the care giver's global assessment of the treatment outcome (<i>P</i><0.001 for both). The frequency of seizures was significantly lower in children receiving diazepam compared to placebo (<i>P</i><0.001) and for adults receiving diazepam compared to placebo (<i>P</i>=0.02). The caregiver's global assessment of treatment outcome was significantly improved for children receiving diazepam compared to placebo (<i>P</i><0.001). No significant difference was reported for global assessment among adults treated with diazepam or placebo (<i>P</i>=0.09).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Adults received three doses, one dose at onset, and two more doses four and 12 hours after onset. Kriel et al ¹³⁹ Diazepam 2.5 to 20 mg rectally (Study 1) or diazepam 5 to 20 mg rectally (Study 2) vs placebo In Study 1, children received a second dose four hours after the initial treatment.	a stable AED regimen 2 DB, PC, PRO, RCT Children 2 to 17 years of age previously enrolled in either the NINDS (Study 1) or Athena Neuroscience study (Study 2) with multiple seizures (complex partial or generalized type [tonic, clonic, tonic- clonic, atypical absence, or myoclonic])	N=185 Duration not reported	Safety Primary: Seizure frequency, time to next seizure, and caregiver's global evaluation of outcome and safety Secondary: Not reported	The time to the first seizure recurrence was significantly prolonged in the diazepam group compared to placebo (P <0.001). There were no reports of respiratory difficulty in patients receiving diazepam. Thirty-five patients reported at least one adverse effect, but the difference between the diazepam and placebo groups was not significant (46.7 vs 30.4%, respectively; P =0.13). Primary: There was a significant reduction in seizure frequency among children administered diazepam compared to placebo (0.00 vs 0.25; P =0.001). In addition, significantly more diazepam-treated children remained seizure-free during the 12-hour observation period compared to placebo (59 vs 31%; P =0.001). The time to the next seizure was significantly longer in diazepam-treated children compared to children who received placebo (P =0.0002). Compared to placebo, children receiving diazepam had greater improvements in the caretaker's global evaluation in Study 1 (P <0.001), but not in Study 2 (P =0.053). Somnolence was the only adverse event that occurred significantly more frequently in the diazepam group (P =0.0095). The most frequently reported adverse events were somnolence, headache, diarrhea, ataxia, incoordination, skin reactions and rectal pain. There were no reports of respiratory depression in either treatment group. Secondary: Not reported
Cereghino et al ¹⁴⁰ Diazepam 2.5 to 20 mg rectally (Study 1)	despite a stable AED regimen 2 DB, PC, PRO, RCT Patients 18 years of age or older	N=96 Duration not reported	Primary: Seizure frequency, time to next seizure, and caregiver's	Primary: The median number of seizures per hour was significantly lower with diazepam administration compared to placebo (0 vs 0.13; <i>P</i> =0.001). In addition, a higher proportion of patients in the diazepam group were seizure-free 12 hours following administration compared to the placebo group (71 vs 28%; <i>P</i> <0.001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
or diazepam 5 to 20 mg rectally (Study 2) vs placebo In Study 1, adults received three doses: at onset, four hours later and 12 hours following initial treatment.	previously enrolled in either the NINDS (Study 1) or Athena Neuroscience study (Study 2) with multiple seizures (complex partial or generalized type [tonic, clonic, tonic- clonic, atypical absence, or myoclonic]) despite a stable AED regimen		global evaluation of outcome and safety Secondary: Not reported	Following rectal administration of diazepam, the time to next seizure was significantly prolonged compared to patients receiving placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001). Global assessment as provided by the patient's caregiver was significantly improved in Study 1 (<i>P</i> =0.02), but not in Study 2 (<i>P</i> =0.17). The proportion of patients experience at least one adverse event was 32% of the diazepam group and 23% of the placebo group. The most frequently adverse events were somnolence (13%) and dizziness (6%). The median respiratory rates did not differ between the two treatment groups. Secondary: Not reported
Mitchell et al ¹⁴¹ Diazepam 0.2 to 0.5 mg/kg rectally once Patients previously enrolled in the NINDS study were allowed two doses four hours apart. The remaining patients were administered once dose no more frequent than every five days and no more than five times per month.	OL, PRO Patients ≥2 years of age with seizure clusters or prolonged seizures who were enrolled in one of two previous double- blind, PC trials or a single-dose safety trial	N=149 24 months	Primary: Seizure frequency and adverse events and respiratory rates following administration, caregiver and physician global ratings at 24 months, hospitalize- tions, emergency room visits and paramedic calls for treatment	 Primary: In the 12 hours following diazepam administration, the median seizure frequency was zero for all 149 patients. Seventy seven percent of diazepam administrations prevented seizures in the 12 hours after treatment. In patients receiving at least two doses of diazepam (n=125), the median number of seizures was zero for both first and last administrations, with 63% of subjects having no subsequent seizures after the first administration, and 69% having none after the last administration. (<i>P</i> value not reported). There was no difference in the number of seizures that occurred in the 12 hour post-administration period among high utilizers of diazepam (two to seven administrations) and the high utilizers (eight to 78 administrations). After first administration of diazepam, three of 149 subjects received additional medical treatment, and six were treated in emergency room. After the second administration (n=125), one patient received medical treatment at home, and four





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			Secondary: Not reported	were treated in the emergency room. Following a third administration (n=110) two patients received medical treatment in the home and six were treated in the emergency room. Somnolence was the most frequently reported adverse event, occurring in 17% of
				subjects. Somnolence due to diazepam was difficult to differentiate from that due to postictal sleep, but was considered to be related to medication in 9% of reports. Hypoventilation was transient in two subjects, neither of which required treatment. No serious adverse events, as defined by the Food and Drug Administration, were attributed to diazepam treatment
				Caregivers and investigators rated diazepam treatment positively at both 12 and 24 months.
				Secondary: Not reported
Prasad et al ¹⁴² (abstract)	MA (11 RCTs) Patients with	N=2,017 Duration not	Primary: Risk of noncessation of	Primary: Diazepam was better than placebo in reducing the risk of noncessation of seizures (RR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.92), requirement for ventilatory support (RR, 0.39;
Diazepam vs placebo Lorazepam vs placebo	status epilepticus	reported	seizures, requirement for ventilator	95% CI, 0.16 to 0.94) or continuation of status epilepticus requiring use of a different drug or general anesthesia (RR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.92; <i>P</i> values were not reported.)
Lorazepam vs diazepam Lorazepam vs			support and continuation of status epilepticus	Lorazepam was better than placebo for risk of noncessation of seizures (RR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.38 to 0.71) and risk for continuation of status epilepticus requiring a different drug or general anesthesia (RR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.38 to 0.71; <i>P</i> values were not reported.)
phenytoin Diazepam 30 vs 20 mg intrarectal gel			Secondary: Not reported	Lorazepam was better than diazepam for reducing risk of noncessation of seizures (RR, 0.64; 95% CI, 0.45 to 0.90) and had a lower risk for continuation of status epilepticus requiring a different drug or general anesthesia (RR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.45 to 0.88; <i>P</i> values were not reported.)
				Lorazepam was better than phenytoin for risk of noncessation of seizures (RR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.45 to 0.86; <i>P</i> values were not reported.)





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Diazepam (30 mg intrarectal gel) was better than a lower dose (20 mg intrarectal gel) in premonitory status epilepticus for the risk of seizure continuation (RR, 0.39; 95% CI, 0.18 to 0.86; <i>P</i> values were not reported.) Secondary:
				Not reported
Treiman et a ¹⁴³	DB, MC, RCT	N=518	Primary:	Primary:
Diazepam 0.15 mg/kg followed by phenytoin 18 mg/kg vs	Adults with overt or subtle generalized convulsive status epilepticus	5 years	Success (when all motor and electrical seizure activity stopped within 20 minutes of	For treatment success in overt status epilepticus, a significant difference in success rates was reported: lorazepam, 64.9%; phenobarbital, 58.2%; diazepam/phenytoin, 55.8%; and phenytoin, 43.6% (P <0.02). For subtle status epilepticus, there were no significant differences between the treatment groups (P <0.18).
V3	opilopilous		start of drug	Lorazepam showed significantly higher treatment success compared to phenytoin
lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg			infusion and no recurrence of	in pair wise comparison of overt status epilepticus (P <0.002).
VS			seizure activity within the next	There were no significant differences among any of the treatment groups with respect to adverse effects or 30 day outcomes.
phenobarbital 15 mg/kg			40 minutes) and	
			adverse events	Secondary:
VS				Not reported
			Secondary:	
phenytoin 18 mg/kg			Not reported	
Glauser et al ¹⁴⁴	DB, RCT	N=453	Primary:	Primary:
		10 00	Freedom from	Forty seven percent (n=209) children were free from treatment failure.
Ethosuximide 60 mg/kg	Children 2.5 to	16 or 20	treatment failure	Ethosuximide- and valproic acid-treated patients had higher freedom from failure
(highest allowable daily	13 years of age	weeks	Cocordon	rates (53 and 58%, respectively) than those given lamotrigine (29%; OR with
dose), frequency not	who had childhood		Secondary: Evidence of	ethosuximide vs lamotrigine, 2.66; 95% CI, 1.65 to 4.28; OR with valproic acid vs lamotrigine, 3.34; 95% CI, 2.06 to 5.42; <i>P</i> <0.001 for both comparisons).
specified	absence epilepsy		attentional	1 another both comparisons).
VS	of new onset;		dysfunction	The two most common reasons for treatment failure were lack of seizure control
	with bilateral			(24%) and intolerable adverse events (22%). The majority of children who had
valproic acid 60 mg/kg	synchronous,			ongoing seizures were in the lamotrigine cohort. There were no significant
(highest allowable daily	symmetric spike			differences among the treatment groups in the frequency of treatment failures due





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
dose), frequency not specified vs lamotrigine 600 to 2,000 mg/day, frequency not specified	waves on a normal background with ≥1 electrographically recorded seizure lasting ≥3 second on a 1 hour, awake video EEG; weight of ≥10 kg; BMI <99 th percentile and had a normal CBC, ALT, AST and bilirubin			to either intolerable adverse events or withdrawal from the study. In eight patients, treatment was discontinued owing to generalized tonic-clonic seizures: three subjects in the ethosuximide group, four in the valproic acid group and one in the lamotrigine group. Secondary: Attentional dysfunction was more common with valproic acid than with ethosuximide (49 vs 33%; OR, 1.95; 95% CI, 1.12 to 3.41; <i>P</i> =0.03).
Biton et al ¹⁴⁵ (abstract) Ethotoin, in addition to current AED therapy	RETRO Patients 17 to 51 years of age with intractable seizures (not specified) who had been treated with ethotoin as adjunctive therapy	N=46 Mean follow-up 10.6 months	Primary: Proportion with ≥50% reduction in overall seizure frequency Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: Overall, ~51% of patients had a reduction of ≥50% in overall seizure frequency one month after initiation of ethotoin. This was reduced to ~25% for the last three months of follow-up. Tonic seizure frequency was reduced most dramatically, by >50%, in 60% of patients at one month and in 35% of patients for the last three months of follow-up. Secondary: Not reported
Hancock et al ¹⁴⁶ Felbamate vs placebo (1 trial, n=73) Lamotrigine vs placebo (2 trials, n=195) Rufinamide vs placebo	MA (7 RCTs) Patients (mean age of 10 to 13 years) with LGS	N=694 Duration varied	Primary: Compare the effects of single agents, either as first- or second- line adjunctive therapy, on cessation of all and specific	 Primary: A MA of the seven RCTs was not performed because each trial looked at different populations, therapies and outcomes. Results from the individual studies are summarized below. Note: patients had various seizure types. In one study, patients receiving felbamate experienced an overall decrease in all seizure types by 19% compared to an overall increase of 4% on placebo (<i>P</i>=0.002). Five of 28 patients receiving felbamate compared to 0/22 patients receiving placebo had total cessation of atonic seizures (RR, 5.7; 95% CI, 0.5 to





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
(1 trial, n=138) Topiramate vs placebo (1 trial, n=98) The MA also included 1 trial each for cinromide* and thyrotropin releasing hormone The results of these trials were not included in the summary.			types of seizures; safety and deaths Secondary: Not reported	149.8; <i>P</i> value not reported), for an overall reduction of 44 and 9%, respectively ($P=0.02$). Seven of 16 patients receiving felbamate compared to 1/13 patients receiving placebo had total cessation of tonic-clonic seizures (RR, 5.7; 95% CI, 0.8 to 40.5; <i>P</i> value not reported). One patient in the felbamate arm stopped because of somnolence and ataxia, and one in the placebo arm because of pancreatitis. There were no deaths reported. Two studies compared lamotrigine to placebo. One trial reported that 7/13 children showed improvement in the lamotrigine phase compared to the placebo phase, with one child showing a 100% reduction in seizures. Additional results from this study were not reported. Another study reported an overall decrease of 32% in seizures with lamotrigine compared to placebo also experienced reductions in the following seizure types: 34 vs 9% in drop attacks and 13 vs 38% in absence seizures. Lamotrigine also decreased tonic-clonic seizures by 36% compared to a 10% increase for placebo. Three participants on lamotrigine had treatment withdrawn (one due to deterioration in seizure control and one due to rash). There were no deaths reported; <i>P</i> values were not reported. One study reported a 33% reduction in all seizures types in patients receiving rufinamide compared to a 12% increase for placebo. Patients receiving rufinamide compared to a 12% increase for placebo. Patients receiving rufinamide compared to a 21% in tonic-clonic seizures, 43 vs 1% in atonic-clonic seizures, 40 vs 18% in tonic-clonic seizures, 43 vs 1% in atonic-clonic seizures by 36% in absence seizures and 70 vs 11% in partial-onset seizures. Rufinamide also decrease atonic seizures by 45% compared to a 21% increase for placebo; <i>P</i> values were not reported.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Fattore et al ¹⁴⁷ Levetiracetam, up to 30 mg/kg/day vs placebo	Demographics DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients 4 to 16 years of age with newly diagnosed childhood or juvenile absence epilepsy		Primary: Responder rate (freedom from clinical seizures on days 13 and 14 from EEG seizures during a standard EEG recording with hyper-ventilation and intermittent photic stimulation on day 14) Secondary: Patients free from clinical and	placebo (P=0.041). No participant was reported as having had treatment stopped due to adverse effects and no deaths were reported. Secondary: Not reported Primary: Nine of 38 and one of 21 patients receiving levetiracetam and placebo were responders (23.7 vs 4.8%; P=0.08). Seven of 38 patients Secondary: Differences between the two treatments were not observed for any of the secondary outcomes evaluated. Of the 38 patients receiving levetiracetam, 12 continued on therapy and were seizure free for at least 267 days at the last follow-up. No serious adverse events were reported, and treatment was generally well tolerated. Seven patients receiving levetiracetam and three receiving placebo reported adverse events. Treatment-emergent adverse events were somnolence, irritability, dysphoria, dizziness, and drowsiness.
			EEG seizures on days 11 to 14, four to seven, and one to 14; patients with at least a 50% reduction in total duration of EEG seizures during the 24 hour EEG on day 14;	





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Lo et al ¹⁴⁸	MA (10 RCTs)	N=Not	percentage change in number of EEG discharges during 24 hour EEG on day 14 vs baseline; percentage change in duration of EEG discharges during 24 hour EEG on day 14 vs baseline, safety Primary: Creater then	Primary:
Levetiracetam vs	Adult patients with epilepsy	reported Duration varied	Greater than 50% reduction in seizure frequency	Adjunctive levetiracetam was more effective compared to placebo in achieving ≥50% reduction of seizure frequency, when added to baseline antiepileptic regimen (pooled RR, 2.15; 95% CI, 1.65 to 2.82; <i>P</i> <0.01). Secondary:
placebo Levetiracetam was evaluated as monotherapy and as adjunctive therapy. Eight trials investigated adjunctive levetiracetam for refractory seizures, one as monotherapy for newly diagnosed seizures, and one as monotherapy for			Secondary: Safety	Treatment-emergent adverse events include somnolence, irritability, headaches, dizziness, respiratory tract infections, and nausea. Incidences of these events are not significantly more frequent compared to those seen in patients with baseline regimen of several AEDs. Likelihood of serious adverse events necessitating withdrawal from trial was not significantly different between levetiracetam and control (pooled RR, 1.37; 95% Cl, 0.88 to 2.13; <i>P</i> =0.17). Subgroup analyses suggested similar effects across different dosages.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
prophylaxis.				
Tennison et al ¹⁴⁹ Methsuximide, in addition to current AED therapy	RETRO Children 0.8 to 21 years of age with intractable epilepsy despite maximally tolerated doses of multiple AEDs; seizure types included absence, myoclonic, tonic, complex partial and secondarily generalized	N=25 Duration not reported	Primary: Reduction in seizure frequency, safety Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: In 15/25 children, the addition of methsuximide resulted in a ≥50 reduction in seizure frequency. Only 1/15 responders experienced an eventual increase in seizures leading to the discontinuation of methsuximide. Neither increased seizures nor complete control was observed in any patient; <i>P</i> values were not reported. Methsuximide was well tolerated with no serious or irreversible adverse effects reported. Secondary: Not reported
Painter et al ¹⁵⁰ Phenobarbital 25 µg/mL, frequency not specified vs phenytoin 3 µg/mL, frequency not specified The alternate drug was added if initial treatment failed.	RCT, SB Neonates with seizures	N=59 5 years	Primary: Complete seizure control determined by EEG Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Phenobarbital controlled seizures completely in 43% of patients, while phenytoin controlled seizures in 45% of patients (<i>P</i> =1.00). Secondary: Not reported
Bondarenko et al ¹⁵¹ Pregabalin 300 or 600 mg/day, in addition to	RETRO Patients with symptomatic	N=100 6 months	Primary: Frequency of seizures	Primary: At the end of the first month, among patients receiving combination therapy with pregabalin 300 mg/day, the total number of seizures decreased by 39% as compared to the period of carbamazepine monotherapy (<i>P</i> <0.001). At three





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
current AED therapy (carbamazepine) vs pregabalin 300 or 600 mg/day, in addition to current AED therapy (valproate)	focal epilepsy with frequent polymorphous seizures		Secondary: Safety	months, the total number of seizures in this group decreased by 45% (P <0.001), with a 48% reduction after six months of combination therapy, as compared to baseline (P <0.001). Among the patients receiving combination therapy with pregabalin 600 mg/day, reductions in seizures were somewhat greater than in the preceding group: by 56, 59 and 61%, respectively (P <0.001). Smaller reductions in seizures were seen in the group of patients receiving valproic acid derivatives with pregabalin 300 mg/day: by 32, 34 and 37%, respectively (P <0.01). The combination of valproates with pregabalin 600 mg/day was more effective, with reduction by 51, 53 and 56%, respectively (P <0.005). Secondary: Overall, patients showed good tolerance to pregabalin. Adverse events included transient drowsiness and vertigo, which was seen during the pregabalin titration period in eight patients.
Glauser et al ¹⁵² Rufinamide titrated (over 14 days) up to a maximum of 45 mg/kg/day (3,200 mg in adults ≥70 kg) BID vs placebo	DB, MC, PG, PC, RCT Patients 4 to 30 years of age with LGS, weighing ≥18 kg, with a history of multiple seizure types, a minimum of 90 seizures in the month before enrollment, an EEG within 6 months of study entry showing a pattern of slow spike-and-wave complexes, on a fixed dose of 1 to	N=138 84 days (14- day titration phase plus 70-day mainten- ance period)	Primary: Percent change in total seizure frequency, tonic- atonic seizure frequency and seizure severity (based on the global evaluation of the patient's condition) Secondary: Treatment response (percentage of patients with ≥50% reduction in seizure	Primary: The rufinamide group experienced a significantly greater median percentage reduction in total seizure frequency compared to patients receiving placebo (32.7 vs 11.7%; <i>P</i> =0.0015). While patients in the rufinamide group experienced a 42.5% median decrease in the frequency of tonic-atonic seizures, patients receiving placebo experienced an increase of 1.4% (<i>P</i> <0.0001). The percentage of rufinamide patients that experienced ≥50% reduction in tonic- atonic seizure frequency was greater than that in the placebo group (42.5 vs 16.7%; <i>P</i> =0.002). A significantly greater percentage of rufinamide -treated patients reported an improvement in seizure severity compared to placebo-treated patients (53.4 vs 30.6%; <i>P</i> =0.0041). Secondary: The percentage of rufinamide patients that experienced ≥50% reduction in total seizure frequency was greater than that in the placebo group (31.1 vs 10.9%;





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	3 concomitant AEDs		frequency), percent change in seizure frequency (for each seizure type other than tonic-atonic seizures), parental global evaluation and adverse events	 <i>P</i>=0.0045). Rufinamide adjunctive treatment reduced the frequency of absence and atypical absence seizures (50.6 vs 29.8%; <i>P</i>=0.022), myoclonic seizures (30 vs 13%; <i>P</i>=0.57) and tonic-clonic seizures (45.6 vs 18%; <i>P</i>=0.33) compared to placebo. There was no significant difference between the two treatment groups in the mean composite score of the parent/guardian global evaluation of the patient's condition at the end of the DB phase (<i>P</i> value not reported). All individual items were similar between treatment groups (<i>P</i>>0.2) except for seizure severity, which improved more with rufinamide (<i>P</i>=0.0041). There were no significant differences between the treatment groups in the incidence of adverse events, except for somnolence and vomiting which were more common in the rufinamide group (<i>P</i> value not reported).
Kluger et al ¹⁵³ Rufinamide 25 to 60 mg/kg/day Patients were receiving a fixed-dose regimen of 1 to 3 concomitant AEDs.	ES, OL Patients 4 to 37 years of age with inadequately controlled LGS who had previously completed a 12 week, DB trial	N=124 Duration not specified (trial was open ended; trial was terminated at 44 months)	Primary: Seizure frequency, tonic- atonic seizure frequency Secondary: Safety	Primary: A reduction in median total seizure frequency compared to baseline was observed at every time point in all patients. During the first nine months, a progressive decrease in seizure frequency was observed, which continued at similar levels for the rest of the treatment period. A continued reduction in total seizure frequency was observed in the 63 patients who received rufinamide during the DB study. Patients treated with placebo during the DB study (n=59), achieved a 1.5% decrease in total seizure frequency during the DB study, but after two weeks of rufinamide treatment, the same patients achieved a 22% median reduction in total seizures compared to baseline. Similar to total seizure frequency, the frequency of tonic-atonic seizures also decreased at every time point for each cohort during the ES. There was a progressive decrease in the frequency of tonic-atonic seizures over the first nine months, with reductions continuing for all cohorts during the rest of the study. Within the final six months of treatment, 56 patients reached ≥50% reduction in tonic-atonic seizures, 42 patients reached ≥75% reduction in tonic-atonic seizures, and 11 patients became seizure free. Secondary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Kegimen Kim et al ¹⁵⁴ Rufinamide 20 to 40 mg/kg/day The target dose was modified according to the patient's tolerability and the treatment efficacy.	Demographics OL Patients <20 years of age with LGS experienced ≥4 convulsive seizures and several other types of seizures in the previous month		Primary: Reduction in seizure- frequency following 12 weeks of treatment, safety and tolerability Secondary: Not reported	Overall, 91.1% of patients reported an adverse vent during the study, with 70.2% being considered to be drug-related. Events reported in the ES were similar to those observed in the DB study. Rash was reported in four patients. No clinically significant changes in laboratory values, vital signs, or ECG were observed. There were more serious adverse events reported in the ES compared to the DB study.Primary: Treatment with rufinamide reduced the overall seizure frequency by 31.7%. Overall, 7.8% of patients treated with adjunctive rufinamide remained seizure-free (n=10) while 18.0% of patients (n=23) experienced a reduction in seizures by >75%. Adjunctive rufinamide treatment reduced seizures by 50 to 75% in 10.2% of patients (n=13), and by <50% in 8.6% of patients (n=11). Of note, 39.1% (n=50) of patients experienced no change in seizure frequency and 16.4% (n=21) reported >25% increase in seizure frequency. Patients with a ≥50% reduction in seizure frequency were defined as responders.A treatment response to adjunctive rufinamide occurred in 39.4% of patients with myoclonic seizures and 20.0% of patients with spasms. Among ten patients who became seizure-free after adjunctive rufinamide treatment, six (60.0%) had convulsive seizures, three had drop attacks, and only one had epileptic spasms as the primary seizure type.
				The causes of premature discontinuation of rufinamide included inadequate seizure control in 11 patients (8.6%), adverse effects in 4 patients (3.1%), and loss to follow-up of 1 patient (0.8%). Adverse events were reported in 32.8% patients (n=42). The most commonly reported adverse events were fatigue (n=15), poor appetite (n=9), as well as somnolence, rash, hyperactivity, poor quality of sleep, and vomiting. Adverse events that lead to premature discontinuation of rufinamide were fatigue, vomiting, menorrhagia, and eye blinking, (one patient each). All of these symptoms resolved spontaneously after discontinuing treatment. Secondary: Not reported





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Pulman et al ¹⁵⁵ Tiagabine plus conventional AED treatment vs placebo or a different add-on AED plus conventional AED treatment	SR (5 RCTs) Patients with drug resistant localization related seizures	N=not reported Duration varied	Primary: 50% or greater reduction in seizure frequency, treatment withdrawal, cognitive effects, quality of life Secondary: Safety	 Primary: <i>Tiagabine vs placebo</i> 50% or greater reduction in seizure frequency (PG trials): The overall RR for a response to tiagabine is 3.16 (95% Cl, 1.97 to 5.07), indicating that patients are significantly more likely to respond to tiagabine compared to placebo. The RR for the worst case and best case scenario are 2.70 (95% Cl, 1.75 to 4.19) and 3.32 (95% Cl, 2.08 to 5.32), respectively. 50% or greater reduction in seizure frequency (XO trials): From two trials, of the 46 people randomized in one trial, eleven (24%) had a 50% reduction in seizure frequency in the tiagabine compared to the placebo phase. Of the 44 patients randomized in the other trial, twelve (27%) had a 50% reduction in seizure frequency in the tiagabine compared to the placebo phase. Poling these data, weighted according to the inverse variance gives an estimate of the proportion of responders of 0.25 (95% Cl, 0.16 to 0.34). Treatment withdrawal: Treatment withdrawal: Treatment withdrawal data were only available for the PG trials. The overall RR for discontinuation for any reason is 1.81 (95% Cl, 1.25 to 2.62) indicating that people are significantly more likely to withdraw from tiagabine compared to placebo. Cognitive effects: There is insufficient evidence to conclude that tiagabine has an effect on cognition. Quality of life: From two trials, neither found a significant difference between tiagabine and placebo; therefore, there is insufficient evidence to conclude that tiagabine has an effect on quality of life. <i>Tiagabine vs topiramate</i> 50% or greater reduction in frequency: Within this trial, there was no significant differences between the two add-on therapies (RR, 0.54; 95% Cl, 0.19 to 1.58). Treatment withdrawal:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				No significant differences were found between the two treatments from withdrawal from the trial (RR, 1.43; 95% CI, 0.74 to 2.74). Cognitive effects: Authors did not compare the two add-on treatments for this outcome. Quality of life: Authors did not compare the two add-on treatments for this outcome. Secondary: <i>Tiagabine vs placebo</i> Analysis of PG trials demonstrated the following adverse events are significantly associated with tiagabine (RR): dizziness, 1.69% (99% CI, 1.31 to 2.51); fatigue, 1.38 (99% CI, 0.89 to 2.14); nervousness, 10.65 (99% CI, 0.78 to 146.08); tremor, 4.56 (99% CI, 1.00 to 20.94). For the XO trials, one trial reported that eight and 10 patients reported adverse events when receiving tiagabine (dizziness and
				incoordination) and placebo (accidental injury). <i>Tiagabine vs topiramate</i> Not reported
Elterman et al ¹⁵⁶ Vigabatrin 100 to 148 mg/kg/day (high dose) vs	MC, RCT, SB Patients <2 years of age with newly diagnosed (<3 months) infantile	N=221 14 to 21 days (followed by 3 years of	Primary: Spasm cessation (seven consecutive days of spasm	Primary: Overall, 11.3% (25/221) of patients were spasm free, with a significant difference between treatment groups in the first 14 days of treatment. In the high dose group, 15.9% (17/107) were spasm free vs 7.0% (8/114) in the low dose group (P =0.0375).
vigabatrin 18 to 36 mg/kg/day (low dose) Patients could be on stable doses of non- infantile spasm AEDs such as phenobarbital or clonazepam.	spasms, weighing ≥3.5 kg	OL treatment)	freedom beginning within the first 14 days) Secondary: Proportion of patients who were spasm free for seven	Secondary: A significantly greater number of patients attained spasm freedom in the high dose group (73/107; 68.2%) compared to 51.8% (59/114) in the low dose group (<i>P</i> =0.0126). Analyses show a separation between treatment groups within one week of vigabatrin therapy initiation, with a greater response occurring in the high dose group (<i>P</i> =0.0016). The median time to spasm cessation was six weeks in the high dose group and 13 weeks in the low dose group. For the primary responders, the mean time to relapse was 162 days (range, 53 to





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Lee et al ¹⁵⁷ Zonisamide 3 to 5 mg/kg/day BID, in addition to current AED therapy	RETRO Children with epilepsy intractable to treatment with existing AEDs, experiencing >4 seizures/month before initiation of zonisamide, their seizures not controlled by ≥2 conventional AEDs before initiation of zonisamide and followed for ≥6 months	N=163 6 months	consecutive days at any time during the trial and remained spasm free for the duration of the trial, relapses, safety Primary: Efficacy (seizure reduction rate) Secondary: Not reported	 270) in the high dose group and 45 days (range, 31 to 58 days) in the low dose group. Of the 171 patients who became spasm free for seven consecutive days, 39 (22.8%) relapsed, and 28 of 39 (71.8%) became spasm free again. Throughout the trial, 115/222 patients (51.8%) experienced at least one adverse event considered to be related to treatment. Of the 1,587 unique events reported, 219 (13.8%) were considered to be related treatment. Of these events, 219 unique events, five were severe, 64 were moderate, and 150 were mild, and two were unknown. The most common vigabatrin-related events were sedation (16.7%), somnolence (13.5%), irritability (9.9%), sleep disorder (4.5%), constipation (3.6%), lethargy (3.6%), decreased appetite (3.2%), and hypotonia (2.3%). Primary: Seventy nine (48.5%) patients experienced a reduction in seizure frequency of >50%, and 25 (15.3%) became seizure-free. The rate of seizure reduction <50% in children with partial seizures was 40.5% (17/42) and in children with generalized seizures was 51.2% (62/121). Of the 36 patients who manifested mainly myoclonic seizure-free. Secondary: Not reported
Bipolar Disorder			1	
Joshi et al ¹⁵⁸	OL, PRO	N=27	Primary: Severity of	Primary: A statistically significant improvement from baseline after two weeks of treatment with further treatment for completers at week sight was observed (<i>D</i> value not
Carbamazepine ER, titrated to an effective	Outpatients 6 to 12 years of age	8 weeks	symptoms of mania	with further treatment for completers at week eight was observed (P value not reported). At eight weeks, 52% (n=14) of patients had a 30% reduction in baseline





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
dose (maximum 1,200 mg/day), frequency not specified	with a diagnosis of bipolar disease I or II or bipolar disease not otherwise specified, with significant severity of current manic, hypomanic or mixed symptoms on the YMRS		Secondary: Severity of symptoms of depression and ADHD	 YMRS and 44% (n=12) had a 50% reduction. A total of 34% (n=9) of patients achieved remission of mania symptoms (YMRS score <12). Secondary: A statistically significant improvement in the symptoms of both depression and psychosis as reflected by the change from baseline to end point in the mean scores of CDRS (34.8±10.9 vs 26.9±11.6; <i>P</i>=0.001) and BPRS (40.1±9.9 vs 30.0±6.8; <i>P</i><0.001), respectively. Forty three percent of patients demonstrated improvement in symptoms of depression and 62% demonstrated improvement in ADHD symptoms.
McElroy et al ¹⁵⁹ Divalproex ER titrated to an effective dose (not to exceed 30 mg/kg/day), frequency not specified vs placebo	DB, PC, PG, RCT Patients ≥18 years of age diagnosed with bipolar I or II disorder or bipolar disorder not otherwise specified and who were currently experiencing a hypomanic, manic or mixed episode; moderate to severe hypomania or mild mania within the past 2 weeks; operationally	N=62 8 weeks	Primary: Change in hypomanic/ mild manic symptoms as assessed by the YMRS Secondary: IDS, CGI-BP, HARS and GAF scales	Primary: Patients receiving divalproex ER had a significantly greater rate of reduction in mean total YMRS score than placebo (<i>P</i> =0.024). Secondary: Patients receiving divalproex ER had significantly greater rates of reduction in CGI-BP mania (<i>P</i> =0.044) and CGI-BP overall scores (<i>P</i> =0.047). The associated standardized effect sizes were moderate. There were no differences in the rates of change in the IDS (<i>P</i> =0.271), CGI-BP depression (<i>P</i> =0.187), HARS (<i>P</i> =0.494) or GAF (<i>P</i> =0.200) scores.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Hirschfeld et al ¹⁶⁰ Divalproex ER titrated to an effective dose, frequency not specified vs placebo	defined as having a YMRS score ≥10 and <21 at baseline and ≥1 prior to study screening visit ≥3 days, but not <2 weeks, before baseline; an overall CGI-BP score ≥2 and <5; were outpatients and receiving no psychotropics for the one week before baseline assessment RCT Patients 18 to 65 years of age diagnosed with bipolar I disorder (manic or mixed type) with a MRS score >25 with ≥4 items having a score ≥3 on the final day of the screening/washo ut period	N=225 21 days	Primary: Change from baseline to final evaluation in MRS score Secondary: Change from baseline to final evaluation in Manic Syndrome Score, Behavior and Ideation Score, Brief Agitation Rating Scale, Overt Aggression	Primary: There was no statistically significant difference in MRS change from baseline to any time-point for patients treated with divalproex ER compared to those treated with placebo (mean change from baseline, -10.1 vs -8.7; <i>P</i> value not reported). Secondary: There were no statistically significant differences in any of the secondary efficacy measures.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Macritchie et al ¹⁶¹ (abstract) Valproate vs placebo vs lithium	MA (1 RCT) Patients with bipolar disorder; literature was searched for trials comparing valproate with placebo, alternative mood stabilizers or neuroleptics where the stated intent of intervention was maintenance treatment of	N=372 12 months	Scale and BPRS total scores and subscale scores Primary: Determine the efficacy of valproate maintenance treatment in preventing or attenuating further episodes of bipolar disorder, acceptability of treatment, safety and mortality Secondary:	 Primary: One trial of 12 months duration was identified comparing divalproex, lithium, and placebo. It had several methodological limitations. The primary analysis of time to occurrence of mood episode described in the main trial report found no reliable difference between the treatments, although there was a trend for divalproex to be more effective than lithium. In the analysis in this review, patients taking divalproex who left the study because of the occurrence of a mood episode were significantly less in number than those on placebo (RRR, 37%; RR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.44 to 0.90). There was no significant difference in the numbers of patients receiving divalproex compared to those receiving lithium who left the study because they suffered any mood episode (RRR, 22%; RR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.52 to 1.17). There was insufficient information to allow subgroup analyses of rapid-cycling disorder; <i>P</i> values were not reported. The divalproex group had significantly more patients experiencing tremor (RRI, 223%; RR, 3.23; 95% CI, 1.85 to 5.62), weight gain (RRI, 187%; RR, 2.87; 95% CI, 1.35 to 5.65) than
Macritchie et al ¹⁶² (abstract)	bipolar disorder MA (10 RCTs) Patients with	N=932 Duration not	Not reported Primary: Determine the efficacy (failure	the placebo group. In comparison to lithium, divalproex was associated with more frequent sedation (RRI, 58%; RR, 1.58; 95% CI, 1.08 to 2.32) and infection (RRI, 107%; RR, 2.07; 95% CI, 1.16 to 3.68), but less suffered thirst (RRR, 62%; RR, 0.38; 95% CI, 0.18 to 0.81) and polyuria (RRR, 57%; RR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.22 to 0.82). <i>P</i> values were not reported. Secondary: Not reported Primary: Valproate was more efficacious than placebo (RRR, 38%; RR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.51 to 0.77) in the treatment of mania. There was no significant difference between
Valproate vs	bipolar disorder; literature was searched for	reported	to respond by end of study assessed by	valproate and lithium (RRI, 5%; RR, 1.05; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.50) or between valproate and carbamazepine (RRR, 34%; RR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.38 to 1.16). Valproate was less effective than olanzapine (failure to achieve clinical response;





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
carbamazepine (n=59)	trials comparing valproate with placebo, other		<50% reduction in the YMRS) and	RRI, 25%; RR, 1.25; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.54; average of 2.8 point less change on the MRS; 95% CI, 0.83 to 4.79). <i>P</i> values were not reported.
VS	mood stabilizers and		acceptability of treatment of	There were no significant differences in acceptability as measured by total number of subjects withdrawing from the study. There were significant differences in the
haloperidol (n=36)	antipsychotics in the treatment of		acute episodes of bipolar	adverse event profiles of valproate and olanzapine, with more sedation and weight gain on olanzapine; <i>P</i> values were not reported.
VS	any bipolar affective episode;		disorder	Secondary:
lithium (n=158)	only studies comparing		Secondary: Not reported	Not reported
VS	valproate with other			
olanzapine (n=363)	interventions in mania were			
VS	found (no studies were found			
placebo (n=316)	examining its use in depression or			
(Note: n=the total number of patients in	mixed affective episodes)			
the comparison trial with valproate.)				
Liu et al ¹⁶³	MA (46 OL trials and RCTs)	N=2,666	Primary: Treatment	Primary: OL studies
Traditional mood stabilizers (lithium, divalproex sodium, carbamazepine), other anticonvulsants	Pediatric patients with bipolar mania	Duration varied	response Secondary: Not reported	All drug classes had a response rate significantly greater than zero ($P \le 0.001$ for all comparisons). The pooled estimate of the rate of response ranged from 48.9 to 52.1%. Using meta-regression, there was no significant difference in the rate of response between drug classes ($P = 0.47$) or between specific drug compounds ($P = 0.56$).
(lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine, topiramate), SGAs (aripiprazole, olanzapine, quetiapine,				<i>RCTs</i> The pooled estimate for the OR was significantly greater than 1.0 (OR, 2.23; <i>P</i> <0.001), indicating a significantly increased likelihood of response when on the drug compared to placebo. This overall significant separation from placebo was





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
risperidone, ziprasidone), and naturopathic compounds				mainly accounted for by the highly significant effect of SGAs (<i>P</i> <0.001). Findings were not significant for divalproex (<i>P</i> =0.92) and modestly significant for the other anticonvulsants (<i>P</i> =0.04). Within each drug class, effect sizes were no significantly different from one another.
Diabetic Peripheral Neu	Ironathy			Not reported
Rosenstock et al ¹⁶⁴ Pregabalin 100 mg TID vs placebo TID	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients with 1- to 5-year history of DPN and average daily pain score ≥4 on an 11-point numeric pain- rating scale	N=146 8 weeks	Primary: Pain score Secondary: SF-MPQ scores, sleep interference scores, PGIC and CGIC scores, SF-36 Health Survey scores, POMS scores, adverse events	 Primary: Mean pain score was significantly improved with pregabalin compared to placebo (3.99 vs 5.46; <i>P</i>=0.0001). Secondary: Compared to placebo, pregabalin treatment resulted in significant improvements in mean sleep interference score, SF-MPQ total score, VAS score, present pain intensity score, PGIC, CGIC, bodily pain scores of the SF-36 health survey, and tension/anxiety and total mood disturbance of the POMS evaluation (<i>P</i>≤0.05 for all). No significant differences were observed between treatment groups in mental health and vitality scores of the SF-36 health survey and anger/hostility, vigor/activity, and fatigue/inertia scores of the POMS evaluation (<i>P</i>>0.05). The most commonly reported adverse events were dizziness (35.5 vs 11.4%), somnolence (19.7 vs 2.9%), infection (14.5 vs 5.7%), and peripheral edema (10.5 vs 1.4%).
Richter et al ¹⁶⁵ (abstract) Pregabalin 150 or 600 mg/day vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients with painful DPN	N=246 6 weeks	Primary: Pain score Secondary: Sleep interference, pain intensity, sensory and affective pain	Primary: Pregabalin significantly reduced pain score from baseline compared to placebo (4.3 vs 5.6; <i>P</i> =0.0002) and increased the percentage of patients with ≥50% decrease from baseline pain (39 vs 15% for placebo; <i>P</i> =0.002). Secondary: Pregabalin significantly improved sleep interference score, pain intensity, sensory and affective pain scores, and CGIC and PGIC scores compared to placebo.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			scores, CGIC, PGIC, adverse events	Dizziness was the most common adverse reaction.
Lesser et al ¹⁶⁶ Pregabalin 75, 300, and 600 mg/day administered in divided doses (TID) vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients with 1- to 5-year history of DPN and average weekly pain score ≥4 on an 11-point numeric pain- rating scale	N=338 5 weeks	Primary: Pain score Secondary: Sleep interference score, global impression of change, SF- MPQ, SF-36 Health Survey, PGIC, CGIC, adverse events	Primary: Compared to placebo, mean pain score was significantly improved with pregabalin 300 (<i>P</i> =0.0001) and 600 mg/day (<i>P</i> =0.001), but not with pregabalin 75 mg/day (<i>P</i> =0.6267). Secondary: Compared to placebo, percentages of reduction in pain, mean sleep interference scores, SF-MPQ total scores, PGIC and CGIC, VAS scores, and present pain intensity scores were significantly improved with pregabalin 300 mg/day and 600 mg/day, but not with pregabalin 75 mg/day (<i>P</i> ≤0.05 for all). Most common reported adverse events were dizziness (7.8 to 39.0 vs 5.2%), somnolence (3.9 to 26.8 vs 4.1%), and peripheral edema (3.9 to 13.4 vs 2.1%).
Quilici et al ¹⁶⁷	MA (11 RCTs; duloxetine, 3	N=not specified	Primary: Reduction in 24-	Primary: Direct comparisons
Duloxetine	trials; pregabalin, 6 trials;	≥5 to 13	hour pain severity,	All three agents were superior to placebo for all efficacy parameters. For 24-hour pain severity effect values were -1.13 (95% CI, -1.36 to -0.89), -0.90 (95% CI, -
vs pregabalin and gabapentin Placebo was used a common comparator.	gabapentin, 2 trials) Patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain	weeks	response rate (≥50% pain reduction), overall health improvement (PGI of Improvement and PGIC) Secondary: Safety	1.23 to -0.57), and -1.44 (95% CI, -2.21 to -0.66) with duloxetine, pregabalin, and gabapentin. Corresponding effect values for response rates were 0.86 (95% CI, 0.63 to 1.09; NNT, 5; 95% CI, 3 to 7) and 0.84 (95% CI, 0.52 to 1.16; NNT, 5; 95% CI, 4 to 8) with duloxetine and pregabalin, and for PGI of Improvement/PGIC were -0.76 (95% CI, -1.00 to -0.51) and -1.29 (95% CI, -1.72 to -0.86) with duloxetine and pregabalin. <i>Indirect comparisons</i> For the primary efficacy outcome of 24-hour reduction in pain severity, a difference of -0.248 (95% CI, -0.677 to 0.162) was observed in favor of duloxetine over pregabalin. Duloxetine was not inferior to pregabalin on this outcome. For response rates, the difference between duloxetine and pregabalin was close to zero and not significant. For PGI of Improvement/PGIC outcomes, pregabalin showed an improvement of 0.542 points over duloxetine, a difference that reached significant (95% CI, 0.016 to 1.060).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Tanenberg et al ¹⁶⁸ Duloxetine vs pregabalin vs duloxetine plus pregabalin	MC, NI, OL, RCT Adult patients with type 1 or 2 with HbA _{1c} ≤12.0%, and diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain who had been treated with gabapentin (900 mg/day) and had an inadequate response	N=407 12 weeks	Primary: Reduction from baseline in the weekly mean of the daily 24- hour pain diary ratings at week 12 Secondary: Worst pain and night pain ratings, Clinician Global Impression of Severity, Brief Pain Inventory severity and interference, Beck Depression Inventory II, Patient Global Improvement, Sheehan Disability Scale, response rate, safety	 Secondary: Duloxetine produced a significantly lower incidence of dizziness compared to pregabalin. No differences between these two treatments were observed in the rates of premature discontinuation, diarrhea, headache, and somnolence. Primary: The estimated mean change in the daily pain severity score at 12 weeks was -2.6 for duloxetine and -2.1 for pregabalin, representing an observed 0.49 advantage of duloxetine; therefore, NI was established. Significant superiority vs pregabalin in the mean daily pain diary ratings was observed at weeks, two, three, and five through 11 with duloxetine and with duloxetine plus gabapentin at weeks two and eight, but between-treatment differences at the 12 week end point met NI criteria, not statistical superiority. The NI comparison between duloxetine and combination therapy on the differences between end point mean changes in daily pain diary ratings in the ITT patient population was also met. Secondary: Reduction from baseline in Brief Pain Inventory average pain and Brief Pain Inventory worst pain severity ratings was significantly greater with duloxetine vs pregabalin, but differences between treatments were not significant for the other Brief Pain Inventory pain measures, CGI of Severity, depressive symptoms, or the Sheehan Disability Scale global measure. Also, no significant between-treatment differences were found among the various response outcomes. Significantly more discontinuations occurred as a result of adverse events with duloxetine (19.6%; <i>P</i>=0.04) compared to pregabalin (10.4%), but no vs combination therapy (13.3%; <i>P</i>=0.19). Peripheral edema associated with pregabalin (3.7%) was the only adverse event reported as a reason for discontinuation with significantly greater frequency compared to other treatments (duloxetine, 0%; <i>P</i>=0.3; combination therapy, 0%; <i>P</i>=0.03). Rates of discontinuation for other reasons did not differ among the treatments. The treatment-related adverse





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Wernicke et al ¹⁶⁹	ES, OL, RCT	N=293	Primary:	decreased appetite occurred significantly more frequently with duloxetine compared to pregabalin. The frequency of insomnia was also significantly greater with duloxetine compared to combination therapy. The occurrence of peripheral edema was significantly greater with pregabalin compared to the other two treatments. Combination treatment was associated with significantly greater occurrences of nausea, hyperhidrosis, decreased appetite, and vomiting compared to pregabalin monotherapy. Primary:
Duloxetine 60 mg BID vs routine care (gabapentin, amitriptyline, and venlafaxine)	Adult patients who presented with pain due to bilateral peripheral neuropathy caused by type 1 or 2 diabetes	52 weeks	Not reported Secondary: Health outcomes, safety	Not reported Secondary: There were significant treatment-group differences observed in favor of duloxetine in the SF-36 physical component summary score, and subscale scores of physical functioning, bodily pain, mental health, and vitality. A significant treatment-by- investigator interaction was seen for general health perceptions (<i>P</i> =0.073), mental health (<i>P</i> =0.092), and social functions (<i>P</i> =0.003) subscales. There were no significant treatment-group differences observed on the EQ-5D questionnaire. During the trial, four deaths occurred. Deaths were considered to be unrelated to the study drug or protocol procedures. During the trial, 22 (11.2%) duloxetine vs 16 (16.7%) routine care-treated patients experienced at least one serious adverse event. The most frequently reported serious adverse events for both treatments together were cerebrovascular accident and diabetes, and these events were not considered to be drug-related. Fourteen (4.8%) patients discontinued due to any adverse event; which included 11 and three duloxetine- and routine care-treated patients (<i>P</i> =0.560). A total of 157 (53.6%) patients reported at least one treatment- emergent adverse event, and there were no treatment-group differences in the overall incidence of these events. There was a significant increase in mean uric acid levels in routine care-treated patients compared to duloxetine-treated patients with regard to chemistry/urinalysis. Both treatments experienced a slight increase in HbA _{1c} , with duloxetine-treated patients experiencing a larger increase in the mean change from baseline to





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				endpoint (<i>P</i> <0.001). No significant treatment-group differences were observed in low density lipoprotein cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglyceride levels.
				There were no significant treatment-group differences observed in the mean change in the Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument score from baseline to endpoint.
				There were no significant treatment-group differences observed in either subset of patients in the ulnar F-wave, ulnar distal sensory latency, and peroneal compound muscle action potential from baseline to endpoint for all patients. There was a significant increase observed in the peroneal F-wave measure for routine care-treated patients (P =0.05).
				There were no significant treatment-group differences observed for any of the ophthalmologic exam measures.
				There was a significant treatment-group difference observed in the mean change in microalbumin/creatinine ratio from baseline to endpoint (P =0.031), with duloxetine-treated patients experiencing a bigger mean decrease compared to routine care-treated patients.
				There was no significant treatment-group difference observed in the mean change from baseline to endpoint vital signs and weight.
				One duloxetine-treated patient and one routine care-treated patient met the definition for sustained elevation in systolic blood pressure, and there were no significant differences between treatments.
				There were no ECG parameters that were significantly different between treatments. Significantly more routine-care patients had potentially clinically significant Fridericia-corrected QT interval increases (<i>P</i> =0.034).
Raskin et al ¹⁷⁰	ES, OL, RCT	N=237	Primary: Not reported	Primary: Not reported
Duloxetine 60 mg BID	Adult patients	52 weeks		





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
vs routine care (gabapentin, amitriptyline, and venlafaxine)	who presented with pain due to bilateral peripheral neuropathy caused by type 1 or 2 diabetes		Secondary: SF-36, EQ-5D, safety	Secondary: No significant treatment-group differences were observed in the SF-36 subscales or in the EQ-5D questionnaire. A higher proportion of routine care-treated patients experienced one or more serious adverse events. No significant treatment-group difference was observed in the overall incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events. The treatment- emergent adverse events reported by at least 10% of patients receiving duloxetine 60 mg BID were nausea, and by the patients receiving routine care were peripheral edema, pain in the extremity, somnolence, and dizziness. Duloxetine did not appear to adversely affect glycemic control, lipid profiles, nerve function, or the course of diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain.
Fibromyalgia Hauser et al ¹⁷¹ Gabapentin 1,200 or 2,400 mg/day (1 trial) or pregabalin 150 to 600 mg/day (4 trials) vs placebo	MA (5 RCTs) Adult patients with fibromyalgia	N=2,117 who completed treatment (n=1,507 gabapentin/ pregabalin, n=610 placebo) Median treatment duration 11 weeks (range 8 to 26 weeks)	Primary: Improvement of pain, sleep, depressed mood, fatigue, and anxiety; and safety Secondary: Not reported	Primary: There was strong evidence for a reduction of pain (SMD, -0.28, 95% CI, -0.36 to -0.20; P <0.001), and improved sleep (SMD, -0.39, 95% CI, -0.48 to -0.39; P<0.001), but not for depressed mood (SMD, -0.12; 95% CI, -0.30 to 0.06; P=0.18). The pooled NNT (all dosages) to achieve at least a 30% pain reduction was 8.5 (95% CI, 6.4 to 12.6; P value not reported). There was strong evidence for a nonsubstantial reduction of fatigue (SMD, -0.16; 95% CI, -0.23 to -0.09; P <0.001) and of anxiety (SMD, -0.18; 95% CI, -0.27 to -0.10; P <0.001). There was a significant overall difference between placebo and pregabalin 300, 450 and 600 mg/day regarding the dropout rates (P =0.007), treatment-related adverse events (P =0.005), dizziness (P =0.001), somnolence (P =0.04), weight gain (P =0.02), peripheral edema (P =0.03) and negative neurocognitive effects (P =0.003). Gabapentin compared to placebo had more dropouts due to adverse events (P =0.005), dizziness (P =0.01) and weight gain (P =0.01). Secondary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Generalized Anxiety Dis				
van Balkom et al ¹⁷² Benzodiazepines vs antidepressants vs psychological panic management vs exposure in vivo vs placebo	MA of 106 trials Patients being treated for panic disorder with or without agoraphobia	N=5,011 Duration varied	Primary: Panic, agoraphobia, depression and general anxiety Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: Antidepressants, psychological panic management and antidepressants/ exposure in vivo combination demonstrated significant improvement compared to a control condition in reduction of panic, agoraphobia, depression and anxiety. High-potency benzodiazepines showed significant improvement to control condition only in panic, agoraphobia and anxiety. There were no significant differences in treatments for panic disorder. Antidepressant/exposure in vivo test groups had significant improvements compared to other treatments except exposure in vivo in agoraphobia. A significantly greater improvement was noted in antidepressant/exposure in vivo compared to exposure in vivo alone and psychological panic management/exposure in vivo in treatment of depression and anxiety. Secondary: Not reported
Combinations of the above treatment arms were also investigated. Migraines and Trigemin	nal Neuralgia			
Chronicle et al ¹⁷³ Acetazolamide (1 trial), carbamazepine (1 trial), clonazepam (1 trial), divalproex sodium (4 trials), gabapentin (2 trials), lamotrigine (1 trial), topiramate (6	MA of 23 RCT Adults with migraines	N=2,927 Treatment duration 4 to 24 weeks (mean 12.3 weeks)	Primary: Assess efficacy and tolerability for preventing migraine attacks Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: Analysis of data from 10 trials (n=902) demonstrated that anticonvulsants as a class reduced migraine frequency by about 1.3 attacks per 28 days as compared to placebo (WMD, -1.31; 95% CI, -1.99 to -0.63; <i>P</i> value not reported). Data from 13 trials (n=1,773) showed that anticonvulsants as a class more than doubled the number of patients for whom migraine frequency is reduced by 50% or more relative to placebo (RR, 2.25; 95% CI, 1.79 to 2.84; NNT, 3.9; 95% CI, 3.4 to 4.7; <i>P</i> value not reported).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
trials), sodium valproate (2 trials) and vigabatrin (1 trial) vs placebo Divalproex sodium vs propranolol (1 trial) Sodium valproate vs flunarizine* (1 trial) Topiramate vs propranolol (1 trial) Topiramate vs sodium valproate (1 trial)				There was no significant difference in the number of patients treated with divalproex sodium vs propranolol, sodium valproate vs flunarizine, or topiramate 100 mg daily vs propranolol 160 mg daily for whom migraine frequency was reduced by 50% or more (<i>P</i> values not reported). The authors reported a slight but significant advantage for topiramate 50 mg daily over sodium valproate 400 mg daily with regards to posttreatment mean headache frequencies (<i>P</i> value not reported). It should be noted that the doses used in this study were not those used in routine clinical practice for the management of migraine. Relatively few robust trials were available for agents other than sodium valproate/divalproex sodium and topiramate; gabapentin in particular needs further evaluation. Acetazolamide, clonazepam, lamotrigine and vigabatrin were not "superior" to placebo (one trial each). For six trials of sodium valproate and divalproex sodium, NNH were the following: 15.0, asthenia; 16.3, dizziness; 7.0, nausea; 12.5, tremor and 18.8, weight gain. For three trials of topiramate (100 mg dose), NNH were the following: 11.7, anorexia; 31.2, fatigue; 16.6, memory problems; 23.1, nausea; 2.4, paresthesia; 15.3, taste disturbance and 11.1, weight loss. Secondary: Not reported
Wang et al ¹⁷⁴ (abstract) Topiramate vs	MA (6 RCTs) Adults with trigeminal neuralgia	N=354 Duration not reported	Primary: Not reported Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Not reported Secondary: Not reported
carbamazepine				Topiramate was more effective compared to carbamazepine after a treatment duration of twp months (RR, 1.20; 95% CI, 1.04 to 1.39; P = 0.01). No difference was found in the effectiveness rate after one month of treatment (RR, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.14; P = 0.94), in the remission rate after one month (RR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.36; P = 0.63), and in the remission rate after two months (RR, 1.31; 95% CI, 0.96 to 1.80; P = 0.09).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Afshari et al ¹⁷⁵ Topiramate 25 mg/day for 1 week, increasing to 50 mg/day for remainder of the study VS valproate 200 mg/day for 1 week, increasing to 400 mg/day for the remainder of the study Patients were allowed to take acetaminophen, NSAIDS, ergotamine, triptans and opioids for acute attacks.	DB, PG, RCT Patients 18 to 65 years of age with a diagnosis of migraine with or without aura according to IHS criteria, history of migraines for at least 6 months and having experienced at least 4 to 10 migraine attacks per month separated by a pain-free period of at least 48 hours; age at onset had to be less than 50 years	N=76 (random- ized) N=56 (ITT population) 12 weeks	Primary: Migraine frequency, responder rate (≥50% reduction in 4-week migraine frequency), headache severity, duration of headache episode, associating symptoms, MIDAS score, HIT-6 score Secondary: Safety	There was no difference in adverse events between the two treatments. Primary: A significant decrease in migraine frequency from baseline was reported at the end of the study in the topiramate group (6.8±2.0 compared to 3.0±1.9) and in the valproate group (7.5±1.9 compared to 3.6±1.8, P =0.0 for both groups compared to baseline). No significant difference was observed between treatment groups in migraine frequency (P =0.25). No significant difference in responder rate was observed between the topiramate and valproate groups (71.6 and 64.3% respectively, P value not reported). A significant decrease in headache severity from baseline was observed from baseline in both the topiramate (8.6±1.7 at baseline, decreasing to 6.7±2.0, 6.2±1.9 and 5.2±1.5 over three visits) and valproate groups (8.6±1.7 at baseline, decreasing to 6.7±1.5, 6.4±1.6 and 6.3±1.9 over three visits; P =0.0 for both groups compared to baseline). The reduction in the topiramate group was significantly greater than the reduction in the valproate group (P =0.027). The duration of each headache episode decreased from 13±10.9 hours at baseline to 6±2.9 hours at the end of the study for topiramate patients and from 13.5±13.7 hours to 7.5±4.7 hours in the valproate group. This was significant for each group compared to baseline (P =0.0), though the difference between groups was not significant (P =0.15). Associating symptoms including photophobia, phonophobia, nausea and vomiting were generally similar in each group at baseline, but at the end of the study, vomiting was reported in five and 13 patients in the topiramate and valproate groups respectively (P =0.04). No significant difference in other associating symptoms was observed. MIDAS score decreased from 18.7±13.3 at baseline to 7.6±7.8 at the end of the study in the topiramate group and from 18.6±15.0 to 11.5±10.4 in the valproate group. This reduction from baseline in both groups was statistically significant (P





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				 value not reported), though the difference between groups was not significant (<i>P</i>=0.12). HIT-6 score decreased from 64.5±4.7 at baseline to 49.7±8.1 at the end of the study in the topiramate group and from 65.8±5.0 to 57.2±6.9 in the valproate group. The differences were significant from baseline and the difference between treatment groups was statistically significant favoring topiramate (<i>P</i>=0.00). Secondary: One or more adverse events were reported in 64.3% of patients in the topiramate group and in 78.6% of patients in the valproate group. Adverse events were generally mild or moderate. The most common adverse events reported with topiramate include decreased appetite, paresthesia, vertigo, fatigue, somnolence and nausea. The most common adverse events reported with valproate include increased appetite, hair loss, somnolence, tremor, vertigo and nausea. All patients who experienced eye pain and decrease visual acuity were referred to an ophthalmologist and no specific problems were detected. Patients in the topiramate group experience significant weight loss compared to baseline while patients in the valproate group experienced significant weight gain compared to baseline
Multiple Conditions				compared to baseline.
Multiple Conditions Wiffen et al ¹⁷⁶	MA (12 RCTs)	N=404	Primary:	Primary:
Carbamazepine	Patients with acute and chronic pain	Duration not reported	Evaluate analgesic effectiveness and adverse	There was no evidence that carbamazepine was effective for acute pain. The NNT for any pain relief for carbamazepine in trigeminal neuralgia was 1.9 (95% CI, 1.4 to 2.8). For DPN there was insufficient data for an NNT to be
placebo	including patients with acute herpes zoster (1 trial), DPN (2 trials), PHN (1 trial),		effects of carbamazepine for acute and chronic pain	calculated. The NNH for carbamazepine for minor harm was 3.7 (95% CI, 2.4 to 7.8). The NNH for major harm was not statistically significant for carbamazepine compared to placebo. <i>P</i> values were not reported. Secondary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	post stroke pain (1 trial) and trigeminal neuralgia (7 trials)		Secondary: Not reported	Not reported
Moore et al ¹⁷⁷ Gabapentin 1,200 mg/day vs placebo, no treatment, or any other active comparator Only results for PHN are reported (5 trials), when possible.	SR (29 RCTs) Adult patients with 1 of 12 chronic pain conditions; 78% of patients had PHN, painful DPN, or mixed neuropathic pain	N=3,571 ≥2 weeks	Primary: Patient reported pain intensity reduction of ≥30 and ≥50%, PGIC Secondary: Any pain-related outcome indicating some improvement, withdrawals due to lack of efficacy, withdrawals due to adverse events, safety	 Primary: Pooled data from three trials (n=892) demonstrate that 33 and 20% of patients receiving gabapentin and placebo achieved ≥50% reduction in pain (risk ratio, 1.7; 95% CI, 1.3 to 2.2; NNT, 7.5; 95% CI, 5.2 to 14.0). In an AC comparing gabapentin to nortriptyline for nine weeks, 34 and 37% of patients achieved ≥50% reduction in pain. Pooled data from two trials (n=563) demonstrate that 15 and 6% of patients receiving gabapentin and placebo reported a PGIC of very much improved (risk ratio, 2.7; 95% CI, 1.5 to 4.8; NNT, 11; 95% CI, 7.0 to 22.0). Pooled data from four trials (n=1,121) demonstrate that 38 and 20% of patients receiving gabapentin and placebo reported a PGIC of much or very much improved (risk ratio, 1.9; 95% CI, 1.5 to 2.3; NNT, 5.5; 95% CI, 4.3 to 7.7). Secondary: Data on any pain-related outcome indicating some improvement and withdrawals due to lack of efficacy were not reported. Seventeen trials of 3,022 patients reported an adverse event withdrawal, which occurred in 12% of patients receiving gabapentin ≥1,200 mg/day, and eight percent of patients receiving placebo (risk ratio, 1.4; 95% CI, 1.1 to 1.7; NNH, 32; 95% CI, 19 to 100). Seventeen trials of 3,063 patients receiving gabapentin ≥1,200 mg/day, compared to 19% of patients receiving placebo (risk ratio, 1.1; 95% CI, 0.9 to 1.2). Eleven trials of 2,356 patients reported on patients experiencing at least one adverse event, which occurred in 66 and 51% of patients receiving gabapentin ≥1,200 mg/day and placebo (risk ratio, 1.3; 95% CI, 1.2 to 1.4; NNH, 6.6; 95% CI, 5.3 to 9.0). Fourteen trials of 2,702 patients reported on patients experiencing





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Gilron et al ¹⁷⁸ Placebo (lorazepam 0.3 mg, with a target daily dose of 1.6 mg) for 5 weeks VS morphine sustained- release 30 mg, with a target daily dose of 120 mg for 5 weeks VS gabapentin 400 mg, with a target daily dose of 3,200 mg for 5 weeks	DB, PC (active), RCT, 4-way XO Patient 18 to 89 years of age with painful diabetic neuropathy or PHN; patients with diabetic neuropathy had distal, symmetric, sensory diabetic polyneuropathy as determined on the basis of their medical history and either an unequivocal decrease in response to	N=57 (n=35 with diabetic neuropathy, n=22 with PHN) 20 weeks	Primary: Mean daily pain intensity in patients receiving a maximum tolerated dose Secondary: Pain (SF-MPQ), maximal tolerated doses, mood, quality of life, safety	serious adverse events, which occurred in 4.0 and 3.2% of patients receiving gabapentin \ge 1,200 mg/day and placebo (risk ratio, 1.3; 95% Cl, 0.9 to 2.0). Somnolence, drowsiness, or sedation was reported as an adverse event in 16 trials of 2,800 patients, and it occurred in 16 and 5% of patients receiving gabapentin \ge 1,200 mg/day and placebo (risk ratio, 3.2; 95% Cl, 2.5 to 4.2; NNH, 9.2; 95% Cl, 7.7 to 12.0). Peripheral oedema was reported as an adverse event in nine trials of 2,042 patients, and it occurred in 8.2 and 2.9% of patients (risk ratio, 3.4; 95% Cl, 2.1 to 5.3; NNH, 19; 95% Cl, 14 to 29). Ataxia or gait disturbances were reported as an adverse event in five trials of 544 patients, and occurred in 8.8 and 1.1% of patients (risk ratio, 4.5; 95% Cl, 1.9 to 11.0; NNH, 13; 95% Cl, 9 to 24). Deaths were rare in included trials. Four deaths occurred in PHN trials; two and one with placebo and gabapentin. Primary: Daily pain at maximal tolerated doses of trial drugs were as follows: 5.72±0.23 at baseline, 4.49±0.34 with placebo, 4.15±0.33 with gabapentin, 3.70±0.34 with morphine, and 3.06±0.33 with combination therapy (<i>P</i> <0.05 for combination vs placebo, gabapentin, and morphine). The analysis of the percent change in pain intensity indicated greater reduction of pain with the use of combination therapy compared to placebo (20.4% greater reduction; <i>P</i> =0.03), and other comparisons were not significant. The primary analysis showed no significant main effect of either sequence or treatment period, but the effects of drug treatment (<i>P</i> <0.05). The maximal tolerated dose of morphine was 45.3±3.9 mg as a single agent, as compared to placebo (<i>P</i> <0.05), gabapentin (<i>P</i> <0.05). The maximal tolerated dose of morphine therapy (<i>P</i> <0.05). The maximal tolerated dose of morphine therapy (<i>P</i> <0.05). The maximal tolerated dose of morphine therapy (<i>P</i> <0.05). The maximal tolerated dose of morphine therapy (<i>P</i> <0.05). The maximal tolerated dose of loorazeng ma as a single agent, compared to 1,705±83 mg with combination
vs	pinprick, temperature, or			Patients' scores for pain-related interference with mood with combination therapy





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
gabapentin 300 mg plus morphine sustained-release 15 mg, with target daily doses of 2,400 and 60 mg for 5 weeks	vibration in both feet or bilaterally decreased or absent ankle-jerk reflexes; patients with PHN had had an eruption of herpes zoster rash not more recently than 6 months prior to enrollment			were lower compared to placebo (P <0.001) and morphine (P =0.03), and scores for pain-related interference with general activity, normal work, sleep, and enjoyment of life were significant when patients were receiving any active treatment compared to placebo (P <0.05 for all). Based on SF-36 responses, combination therapy was associated with higher scores for vitality (P =0.007) and social functioning (P =0.004) compared to placebo, and higher scores compared to morphine for vitality (P =0.03) and social functioning (P =0.04). All active treatments were associated with significantly lower scores on the Beck Depression Inventory compared to placebo. At maximal tolerated doses, combination therapy was associated with a higher frequency of constipation compared to gabapentin (P =0.006) but not morphine, and with a higher frequency of dry mouth compared to morphine (P =0.03) but not gabapentin.
Wiffen PJ et al ¹⁷⁹ Gabapentin vs placebo	MA (15 RCTs) Patients with acute and chronic pain; trials included patients with acute post- operative pain (1 trial), DPN (7 trials), PHN (2 trials), cancer- related neuropathic pain (1 trial), phantom limb pain (1 trial), Guillain Barre syndrome (1 trial), spinal cord injury pain (1	N=1,468 Duration not reported	Primary: Evaluate analgesic effectiveness and adverse effects of gabapentin for acute and chronic pain Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: The study in acute post-operative pain (n=70) showed no benefit for gabapentin compared to placebo for pain at rest. In chronic pain, the NNT with gabapentin for improvement in all trials with evaluable data was 4.3 (95% Cl, 3.5 to 5.7), with 42% of participants improving on gabapentin compared to 19% on placebo. The NNH for adverse events leading to withdrawal from a trial was not significant with 14% of patients withdrawing from active arms compared to 10% in the placebo arms. The NNH for minor harm was 3.7 (95% Cl, 2.4 to 5.4; <i>P</i> values were not reported.) The NNT with gabapentin for effective pain relief in DPN was 2.9 (95% Cl, 2.2 to 4.3) and for PHN 3.9 (95% Cl, 3.0 to 5.7; <i>P</i> values were not reported). Secondary: Not reported





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	trial) and various neuropathic pains (1 trial)			
Chou et al ¹⁸⁰ Gabapentin vs placebo (6 trials) Gabapentin vs tricyclic antidepressants (3 trials) Tricyclic antidepressants vs placebo (9 trials).	MA (18 RCTs) Patients with DPN or PHN	Total N=not reported (sample sizes n=12 to 334) 2 to 12 weeks	Primary: Proportion of patients reporting significant pain relief (defined as ≥50% improvement in pain score compared to baseline or proportion reporting at least moderate or good improvement in pain or global efficacy on a categorical scale) and safety Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: In three head-to-head trials (n=120), there was no difference between gabapentin and tricyclic antidepressants (amitriptyline or nortriptyline) for achieving pain relief for DPN and PHN (RR, 0.99; 95% Cl, 0.76 to 1.29; <i>P</i> value not reported). There was no difference between gabapentin vs tricyclic antidepressants in rates of withdrawal due to adverse events (RR, 0.27; 95% Cl, 0.03 to 2.34; <i>P</i> value not reported), but only three cases were reported in two trials. None of the trials reported serious adverse events. There was no significant difference between gabapentin and tricyclic antidepressants in risk of dizziness, dry mouth or somnolence. In indirect analyses, gabapentin was worse than tricyclic antidepressants for achieving pain relief (RR, 0.41; 95% Cl, 0.23 to 0.74; <i>P</i> value not reported). The discrepancy between direct and indirect analyses was statistically significant (<i>P</i>=0.008). PC tricyclic trials were conducted earlier than the gabapentin trials, reported lower placebo response rates, had more methodological shortcomings, and were associated with funnel plot asymmetry. Secondary: Not reported The authors concluded that though direct evidence is limited, we found no difference in likelihood of achieving pain relief between gabapentin and tricyclic antidepressants for DPN and PHN.
Guan et al ¹⁸¹	DB, MC, PG, RCT	N=347	Primary: Mean pain score	Primary: Treatment with pregabalin resulted in significant improvement from 6.30±1.58 to
Pregabalin 150 to 600 mg/day	Chinese patients 18 to 75 years of	8 weeks	(daily pain rating scale)	3.70 ± 0.14 compared to treatment with placebo (6.40 ± 1.53 to 4.30 ± 0.19), with a least squares mean score difference of -0.6 (<i>P</i> =0.005). The duration-adjusted average change score was significantly better with pregabalin (<i>P</i> =0.001). A
VS	age with a primary diagnosis		Secondary: Daily Sleep	repeated measures analysis of daily pain rating scale scores during the eight weeks found significant efficacy for pregabalin beginning at two weeks (<i>P</i> <0.02)





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo	of painful DPN or PHN; patients with DPN had type 1 or 2 diabetes with HbA _{1c} ≤11.0% and painful, distal, symmetrical, sensorimotor polyneuropathy between 1 to 5 years; patients with PHN had pain ≥3 months after recovery from herpes zoster skin rash, moderate to severe neuropathic pain over 4		Interference Scale, SF-MPQ scale, PGIC or CGIC, safety	 and continuing through week eight (with the exception of week four). A response rate, defined as the proportion of patients with ≥30% reduction in daily pain rating scale, was significantly larger with pregabalin compared to placebo (64.0 vs 52.0%; <i>P</i>=0.041). Secondary: Treatment with pregabalin resulted in significant improvements in all secondary outcomes compared to treatment with placebo (Sleep interference score: least squares mean difference, -0.5; 95% Cl, -0.93 to -0.07; <i>P</i>=0.023, SF-MPQ VAS score [0 to 100], -6.56; 95% Cl, -11.65 to -1.47; <i>P</i>=0.012; SF-MPQ present pain intensity score, -0.35; 95% Cl, -0.58 to -0.12; <i>P</i>=0.003; PGIC score (0 to 7), -0.33; 95% Cl, -0.55 to -0.11; <i>P</i>=0.004; and CGIC score (0 to 7), -0.39; 95% Cl, -0.63 to -0.16; <i>P</i>=0.001). A total of 103 patients reported at least one adverse events with pregabalin compared to 41 patients receiving placebo (<i>P</i>=0.105), with the most common event being dizziness, occurring with an incidence of 11.2% among pregabalintreated patients. Other adverse events were lethargy, somnolence, peripheral edema, and increased weight, which were common with both treatments and there were no differences between them. Most adverse events were mild in severity. No deaths occurred during the trial. Five serious adverse events occurred; two of
Moon et al ¹⁸² Pregabalin 150 to 600 mg/day	consecutive days DB, MC, PC, RCT Outpatients ≥18 year of age with	N=241 10 weeks	Primary: End point (eight weeks) mean daily pain rating scale score	 which (chest pain and ischemic stroke) resulted in discontinuations. Primary: Daily pain rating scale scores at end point was significantly lower with pregabalin compared to placebo (least squares mean difference, -0.50; 95% CI, -1.00 to 0.00; <i>P</i>=0.049). A numeric reduction in mean daily pain rating scale scores at end point was also reported for the evaluable pregabalin population compared to placebo;
vs placebo	a diagnosis of peripheral neuropathic pain syndrome from DPN, PHN, or post-traumatic neuropathic pain		(average of the last seven available scores) Secondary: Weekly mean	 however, the comparison did not reach significant (least squares mean difference, -0.48; 95% CI, -1.00 to 0.05; <i>P</i> value not significant). Secondary: Using repeated-measures analysis of the weekly mean daily pain rating scale scores, the least squares mean daily pain rating scale scores for pregabalin were lower compared to placebo during weeks one to eight, with difference ranging from





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	(including postsurgical); patients diagnosed with DPN had painful distal, symmetrical, or sensorimotor polyneuropathy due to diabetes (type 1 or 2); HbA _{1c} ≤11.0%; and documented symptoms of DPN for 1 to 5 years; patients with PHN had a diagnosis ≥3 months after healing from an acute herpes zoster skin rash; and patients with post-traumatic neuropathic pain had a diagnosis of chronic pain for ≥3 months		daily pain rating scale score, the Duration Adjusted Average Change of adjust mean daily pain rating scale, the proportion of responders whose daily pain rating scale scores at end point were reduced ≥30 or ≥50% compared to baseline scores, Daily Sleep Interference Scale, EQ-5D, Medical Outcome Study, HADS, PGIC, CGIC, safety	-0.45 to -0.29. Significance was reached only for comparisons at week four (-0.43; 95% Cl, -0.85 to -0.01; P =0.039). The difference in least squares mean daily pain rating scale scores over the eight week DB period with pregabalin compared to placebo was -0.38 (95% Cl, -0.75 to -0.01; P =0.042). Mean change in Duration Adjusted Average Change scores from baseline to end point was -1.24±1.32 and -0.87±1.49 with pregabalin and placebo, a significant difference in favor of pregabalin (least squares mean difference, -0.37; 95% Cl, -0.74 to -0.01; P =0.044). A ≥50% reduction in daily pain rating scale score from baseline was reported by more patient receiving pregabalin compared to patients receiving placebo (26.1 vs 14.3%; P =0.041). In total, 42.2 and 35.1% of patients receiving pregabalin and placebo reported ≥30% reduction in daily pain rating scale scores from baseline to end point, a difference that did not reach significance (P value not reported). Analyses resulting in a significant treatment difference between baseline and end point that favored pregabalin were the end point mean Medical Outcome Study sleep disturbance (-5.62; P =0.034). Medical Outcome Study sleep quantity (-0.44; P =0.018), and the HADS-A score (-0.85; P =0.038). Medical Outcome Study somolence favored placebo (4.71; P =0.046). No significant differences were found between treatments for Medical Outcome Study sleep quantity (-0.44; P =0.018), and the HADS-A score (-0.85; P =0.038). Medical Outcome Study sleep quantity (-0.44; P =0.046). Medical Outcome Study sleep discubo), Medical Outcome Study sleep adequacy, Medical Outcome Study avakening short of breath or with a headache, Medical Outcome Study optimal sleep, Medical Outcome Study sleep adequacy. Medical Outcome Study overall sleep problems index, EQ-5D utility score or VAS, or HADS-D.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				pregabalin and 7.7% with placebo. Half of the patients receiving pregabalin (50.0%) and 35.9% of patients receiving placebo reported adverse events. Treatment-related adverse events were reported by 43.8 and 29.5% of patients receiving pregabalin and placebo. In patients receiving pregabalin, dizziness, somnolence, face edema, peripheral edema, and weight gain were the most frequently reported adverse events.
Vranken et al ¹⁸³ Pregabalin 150 mg, 1 to 4 capsules per day (flexible-dose regimen) vs placebo Patients taking concomitant analgesic mediation were allowed to enter the trial if neuropathic pain treatment was on a stable regimen ≥90 days before screening. Previous gabapentin had to be discontinued ≥3 days prior to trial entry.	DB, PC, RCT Patients ≥18 years of age suffering from severe neuropathic pain (described as burning pain, paroxysmal episodes of shooting pain, or pain on light touch), VAS score >6 caused by lesion or dysfunction of in the CNS (brain or spinal cord injury), pain for ≥6 months that started after sustaining the lesion of dysfunction of the	N=40 4 weeks	Primary: Pain score (VAS) Secondary: Pain Disability Index, EQ-5D, SF-36, safety	 Primary: Pain intensity scores before and after four weeks of treatment changed from 7.4±1.0 to 7.1±2.0 with placebo and from 7.6±0.8 to 5.1±2.9 with pregabalin. Pregabalin significantly decreased pain scores compared to placebo (difference, 2.18; 95% Cl, 0.57 to 3.80; <i>P</i>=0.01). There was no difference in pain relief with pregabalin between patients with neuropathic pain due to brain injury and spinal cord injury. Secondary: There was no difference between treatments in Pain Disability Index scores. Pregabalin significantly improved EQ-5D utility VAS scores compared to placebo (<i>P</i><0.001). Pregabalin significantly improved the bodily pain domain of the SF-36 compared to placebo (<i>P</i>=0.009). Pregabalin improved the remaining seven domains of the SF-36 compared to placebo, but differences did not reach significance. Pregabalin was generally well tolerated and few adverse events were reported. The most frequently reported adverse events were CNS-related (dizziness, decreased intellectual performance, and somnolence). There was no difference in the incidence of adverse events between the two treatments.
	CNS, and LANSS questionnaire score >12			





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Siddall et al ¹⁸⁴ Pregabalin 150 to 600 mg/day, administered BID vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients ≥18 years of age with a spinal cord injury (paraplegia or tetraplegia) that had been incurred ≥1 year previously, in whom it had been nonprogressive for ≥6 months, and chronic (≥3 months or with relapses and remission ≥6 months that started after sustaining the spinal cord injury) central neuropathic pain	Duration N=137 12 weeks	Primary: Pain score (daily pain diaries) Secondary: Responder rates, SF-MPQ, sleep interference, mood, patient global measure of change, safety	Primary: Pregabalin was superior to placebo on the primary efficacy variable, the between treatment group comparison of the endpoint pain score (difference, 1.53; 95% Cl, 0.92 to 2.15; <i>P</i> <0.001). The change from baseline was negligible with placebo and was approximately two points with pregabalin. In the analysis of pain scores by week, scores were significantly lower with pregabalin as early as week one and remained so for the duration of the study. Results were similar when analyzed in patients with complete spinal lesions (difference, 1.79; 95% Cl, 0.9 to 2.7; <i>P</i> <0.001), incomplete spinal lesions (difference, 1.25; 95% Cl, 0.1 to 2.2; <i>P</i> <0.05), and in patients (n=9) with lesions at or below L2 (difference, 1.57; 95% Cl, 0.9 to 2.2; <i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary: The proportion of patients with ≥30% reduction (42 vs 16; <i>P</i> =0.001) and ≥50% reduction (22 vs 8%; <i>P</i> <0.05) in pain score from baseline at endpoint were significantly higher with pregabalin compared to placebo. Based on the 30 and 50% responder rate the NNT was 3.9 and 7.1. At trial end, 15.9 and 43.3% of patients receiving pregabalin and placebo had severe pain. Reduction from baseline to trial end on each of the five SF-MPQ scales was greater with pregabalin compared to placebo (<i>P</i> ≤0.002 for all). Reduction from baseline to trial end on sleep interference score was greater with pregabalin compared to placebo (<i>P</i> <0.001) and a significantly difference between the two treatments was observed at week one and maintained for the duration of the trial. Pregabalin was associated with a greater reduction in the overall sleep problems index compared to placebo at trial end (<i>P</i> =0.021). The improvement in sleep quantity (<i>P</i> <0.05) and reduction in sleep disturbance (<i>P</i> <0.001) on the Medical Outcomes Study-sleep scale were significantly greater with pregabalin compared to placebo. There were no differences between the two treatments on the other five subscales (snoring, awaken short of breath, adequacy, somnolence, proportions of p
				Reduction from baseline to trial end in the HADS anxiety score was greater with pregabalin compared to placebo (P =0.043), but there were no differences in the





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Sharma et al ¹⁸⁵ Pregabalin 150, 300, or 600 mg/day vs placebo	RETRO (9 MC, PC, RCTs) Adult patients with PHN or DPN; patients with PHN were adults with neuropathic pain for \geq 6 months after healing of the herpes zoster rash, average daily pain score \geq 4; patients with DPN were adults with type 1 or 2 diabetes, HbA _{1c} \leq 11.0% painful	N=1,982 Duration not specified	Primary: Time to onset for individual treatment arms that statistically separated from placebo Secondary: Not reported	HADS depression score. A higher proportion of patients receiving pregabalin rated themselves as improved compared to placebo (56.5 vs 21.5%) and the distribution of changes across the two treatments was in favor of pregabalin (<i>P</i> <0.001). Treatment-emergent adverse events were generally mild or moderate in severity, with severe events being reported in 19 and 12% of patients. Overall, adverse events resulted in the discontinuation of 21 and 13% of patients. Somnolence and dizziness were the two most common adverse events. Somnolence resulted in the discontinuation of four patients receiving pregabalin compared to none of the patients receiving placebo. No patient discontinued treatment due to dizziness. The other most frequently reported adverse events were also generally mild or moderate, most were CNS-related, and they infrequently resulted in discontinuation. Primary: For DPN, five of the seven treatment arms successfully maintained efficacy at trial end point. Depending on the pregabalin treatment arms demonstrated efficacy at end point. Depending on the pregabalin treatment day one to reatment day seven in DPN trials. The time to onset was treatment day one for four treatment arms and treatment day two for the remaining successful treatment arms in the PHN trials. Of the total 1,205 DPN or PHN patients treated with pregabalin, 760 (63%) experienced significant pain relief on day one or two. In the 11 treatment arms for which efficacy was maintained at trial end point, the daily dosage at time to onset was 300 mg for four of the five successful arms in DPN patients and 75 mg in the other successful arm. For two DPN trials in which the time to onset was on treatment days seven and four, the dose-escalation schedules were the most gradual, reaching 300 mg/day level on treatment day six or later. For the PHN treatment arms in which efficacy was seen on treatment days one or two, the dosage at time to onset was 75 mg in five arms and 150 mg in the remaining arm. In the individual eff
	diabetes, HbA _{1c} ≤11.0%, painful			In the individual effect analysis, only patients who were responders (those with a 30% or greater reduction from baseline in mean pain score at end point) were





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	distal symmetric sensorimotor poly- neuoropathy, average daily pain score ≥4, and ≥40 mm score			considered. A one point or greater improvement in mean pain score was seen significantly earlier for pregabalin responders compared to patients receiving placebo (<i>P</i> <0.0001). Across all DPN trials, at least 25% of patients achieved a one point or greater improvement in mean pain score by day one (pregabalin at 300 mg/day) or two (pregabalin at 600 mg/day) compared to day four for placebo (150 mg/day; <i>P</i> =0.0232, 300 an 600 mg/day; <i>P</i> <0.0001). Across all PHN trials, at least 25% of patients receiving pregabalin achieved a one point or greater improvement day two, whereas this criterion for placebo patients was not met until day 18 (<i>P</i> <0.001). Half of the pregabalin treated patients showed a one point or greater improvement with only three to five days of treatment depending on the dose and type of neuropathic pain experienced. Secondary: Not reported
Semel et al ¹⁸⁶ Pregabalin 150, 300, or 600 mg/day vs placebo	Pooled analysis of 11 PC, RCTs Adult patients with DPN or PHN; patients with DPN had a diagnosis of type 1 or 2 diabetes and a diagnosis of painful DPN for \geq 3 months to \geq 1 years; patients with PHN had pain present for \geq 3 or >6 months after healing of herpes zoster rash	N=2,516 Duration not specified	Primary: Endpoint average pain score on daily pain rating scale, daily pain rating scale score responders (≥30 and ≥50% reduction), daily pain rating scale score ≤3 Secondary: Safety	Primary: Comparable dose-related improvements in endpoint mean pain score were observed for pregabalin across age groups. Similar results were observed for improvements in endpoint mean sleep interference scores. Placebo-corrected least squares mean differences in pain with pregabalin between age groups were - 0.155 (95% Cl, -0.412 to 0.109; <i>P</i> =0.2497) for patients 18 to 64 years of age vs patients ≥75 years of age; -0.157 (95% Cl, -0.419 to 0.105; <i>P</i> =0.2402) for patients 65 to 74 years of age vs patients ≥75 years of age; and 0.002 (95% Cl, -0.215 to 0.218; <i>P</i> =0.9882) for patients 18 to 64 years of age vs patients 65 to 74 years. Overall, there were significant differences among age groups in placebo patients with respect to pain relief (<i>P</i> =0.005), indicating a trend for decreasing placebo response with older age. Patients treated with placebo 18 to 64 years of age showed the largest improvement in average pain score (-1.47) compared to patients receiving placebo 65 to 74 years of age (-1.05; <i>P</i> =0.0112) or patients receiving placebo ≥75 years of age (-0.86; <i>P</i> =0.0031). No significant differences in placebo pain response were observed between those 65 to 74 years of age and those ≥75 years (<i>P</i> =0.3318). Significant dose-dependent reductions in endpoint mean pain score on daily pain rating scale scores were observed for pregabalin vs placebo for pooled age groups





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				(P<0.0001). For patients ≥75 years of age, significant improvements in endpoint mean pain score were observed for pregabalin vs placebo at al dosages (pregabalin 150 mg/day-placebo difference, -0.90 [P =0.0005]; 300 mg/day-placebo difference, -1.37 [$P<0.0001$]; and 600 mg/day-placebo difference, -1.81 [$P<0.0001$]). Significant differences in placebo-corrected endpoint mean pain were also observed for all pregabalin dosages in patients 65 to 74 years (-0.77 [P =0.0009], -1.28 [$P<0.0001$], and -1.71 [$P<0.0001$]). In patients 18 to 65 years, pregabalin provided significant improvements with 300 (-0.67; P =0.0003) and 600 mg/day (-1.08; $P<0.0001$), but not with 150 mg/day.
				Generally, higher response rates were observed for ≥30% pain relief, ≥50% pain relief, and pain score at endpoint ≤3 with increasing pregabalin dose in all age groups. Moderately important improvements in pain (≥30% reduction) were observed in one-third to more than one-half of patients and substantial improvements in pain (≥50% reduction) in one-fifth to nearly one-half of patients who received 150 to 600 mg/day pregabalin across age groups regardless of the method of imputation. One-quarter to nearly one-half of patients had pain scores ≤3 at endpoint reflecting mild pain following treatment with 150 to 600 mg/day pregabalin.
				Secondary: The most common adverse events were dizziness, somnolence, peripheral edema, asthenia, dry mouth, weight gain, and infections. The RRs for these adverse events increased with pregabalin dose, but did not appear related to older age (≥65 years of age) or type of neuropathic pain.
Roth et al ¹⁸⁷	Review (9 trials)	N=not	Primary:	Primary:
Pregabalin	Patients with	reported	Pain, sleep	In patients with painful DPN, five RCTs assessed efficacy of pregabalin administered TID or BID. Treatment with pregabalin 300 or 600 mg/day
	DPN or PHN	Duration not	Secondary:	significantly decreased endpoint mean pain scores compared to placebo. Doses of
VS		specified	Safety	75 and 150 mg/day (and 300 mg/day BID) did not produce significant pain relief vs placebo. Patients with PHN experienced significant reductions in mean pain
placebo				scores with both TID and BID regimens across all pregabalin dosages (150 to 600 mg/day). One trial included patients with either DPN or PHN, and both flexible- (150 to 600 mg/day) and fixed-dose (600 mg/day) pregabalin significantly improved the mean pain score compared to placebo.





Pregabalin 300 and 600 mg/day significantly decreased endpoint mean sleep interferences scores compared to placebo in patients with painful DPN, while lower doses of pregabalin did not differ from placebo. Significant improvements in sleep interference scores were seen as early as week one1. In patients with PHN, compared to placebo, 150, 300, and 600 mg/day of pregabalin significantly improved endpoint mean sleep interference scores and these effects were seen as early as week one. Secondary: The occurrence of adverse events appeared to be dose-related, with more frequent adverse events at higher doses. In patients with painful DPN, pregabalin was generally well tolerated, with a low rate of discontinuation due to adverse events (five to eight percent). The most frequently reported adverse events were CNS-related and of mild to moderate sevents, somonolence, and peripheral edema were the most common adverse events reported and were	Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Moore et al ¹⁸⁸ MA of (25 RCTs)N=7,652Primary: Analgesic effectiveness acute and chronic pain; trials included placeboPrimary: acute and acute pain, trials included perioperative patients with perioperative pain (6 trials), DPN (7 trials), PHN (5 trials), chroniz painPrimary: acute and acute pain, 	Pregabalin vs	Patients with acute and chronic pain; trials included patients with perioperative pain (6 trials), DPN (7 trials), PHN (5 trials), central neuropathic pain (2 trials), and fibromyalgia (5	24 hours acute pain, 4 to 26 weeks	Analgesic effectiveness and adverse effects of pregabalin for acute and chronic pain Secondary:	 interferences scores compared to placebo in patients with painful DPN, while lower doses of pregabalin did not differ from placebo. Significant improvements in sleep interference scores were seen as early as week one1. In patients with PHN, compared to placebo, 150, 300, and 600 mg/day of pregabalin significantly improved endpoint mean sleep interference scores and these effects were seen as early as week one. Secondary: The occurrence of adverse events appeared to be dose-related, with more frequent adverse events at higher doses. In patients with painful DPN, pregabalin was generally well tolerated, with a low rate of discontinuation due to adverse events (five to eight percent). The most frequently reported adverse events were CNS-related and of mild to moderate severity. Dizziness, somnolence, and peripheral edema were the most common adverse events reported and were common causes of discontinuation. Primary: There was no clear evidence of beneficial effects of pregabalin in established acute postoperative pain. No studies evaluated pregabalin in chronic nociceptive pain, like arthritis. Pregabalin at daily doses of 300, 450, and 600 mg was effective in patients with DPN, PHN, central neuropathic pain, and fibromyalgia. Pregabalin 150 mg daily was generally ineffective (<i>P</i> values not reported). Efficacy was demonstrated for dichotomous outcomes equating to moderate or substantial pain relief, alongside lower rates for lack of efficacy discontinuations with increasing dose. The best (lowest) NNT for each condition for at least 50% pain relief over baseline (substantial benefit) for pregabalin 600 mg daily compared to placebo were 5.0 (95% CI, 4.0 to 6.6) for DPN, 3.9 (95% CI, 3.1 to 5.1) for PHN, 5.6 (95% CI, 3.5 to 14) for central neuropathic pain, and 11.0 (95% CI, 7.1 to 21.0)





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Freynhagen et al ¹⁸⁹ Pregabalin flexible- dose regimen of 150, 300, 450, and 600 mg/day with weekly dose escalation based on responses and tolerability vs pregabalin fixed-dose regimen of 300 mg/day for 1 week, followed by 600 mg/day for 11 weeks vs	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients with chronic PHN or painful DPN	N=338 12 weeks	Primary: Pain score Secondary: Pain-related sleep interference, PGIC, adverse events	Higher rates of substantial benefit were found in DPN and PHN than in central neuropathic pain and fibromyalgia. For moderate and substantial benefit on any outcome, NNTs for the former were generally six and below for 300 and 600 mg daily; for fibromyalgia NNTs were much higher, and generally seven and above (P values not reported). With pregabalin 600 mg/day, somnolence typically occurred in 15 to 25% of patients, and dizziness occurred in 27 to 46% of patients. Treatment was discontinued due to adverse events in 18 to 28% of patients. The proportion of patients reporting at least one adverse event was not affected by dose, nor was the number with a serious adverse event, which was not more than with placebo (P values not reported.) Secondary: Not reported Primary: Compared to placebo, both regimens of pregabalin improved pain symptoms (P <0.002 for both). Secondary: Both regimens of pregabalin significantly improved sleep interference (P <0.001 for both) and PGIC (P <0.01) compared to placebo. Treatment-related adverse events were dizziness (4.8 vs 1.5%), peripheral edema (1.5 vs 0%), weight gain (0.7 vs 0%), and somnolence (1.8 vs 0%). Rate of adverse events was higher in the fixed-dose group than the flexible-dose group (74.2 vs 68.8%; P value not reported) and more patients withdrew from treatment due to adverse events in the fixed-dose group (25 vs 17 vs 7.7% of placebo group; P values not reported).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo				
Xochilcal-Morales et al ¹⁹⁰ Pregabalin 150 to 600 mg/day	MC, OL, PRO Patients ≥18 years of age diagnosed with neuropathic pain associated with DPN, PHN, chemotherapy- induced peripheral neuropathic pain, or HIV-related peripheral neuropathic pain; with a score ≥40 mm on a VAS and a daily pain rating score ≥4 throughout screening	N=121 12 weeks	Primary: Change from baseline to end of treatment/ LOCF in weekly main pain score on daily pain rating scale Secondary: Pain, anxiety, sleep interference, treatment satisfaction, PGIC, CGIC, safety	 Primary: Pregabalin significantly reduced the weekly mean pain score on daily pain rating scale scores from baseline to end of treatment/LOCF (-3.8; 95% Cl, -4.2 to -3.3; <i>P</i><0.0001). Secondary: Reductions from baseline to end of treatment/least observation carried forward were observed for all secondary efficacy outcomes (<i>P</i><0.0001). Pain and sleep interference were significantly improved compared to baseline across all weeks of the trial, as early as one week after initiation of pregabalin (<i>P</i><0.0001). The most commonly reported adverse events were somnolence, dizziness, weight gain, and peripheral oedema. Nine patients (7.4%) discontinued the trial because of the adverse events and 25 patients (20.7%) temporarily stopped or reduced their pregabalin dose because of adverse events.
Postherpetic Neuralgia				
Rowbotham et al ¹⁹¹ Gabapentin 3,600 mg/day vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients ≥18 years of age with pain present for >3 months after healing of a herpes zoster skin rash; pain intensity score ≥40 mm (on the	N=229 8 weeks	Primary: Change in the average daily pain score Secondary: Average daily sleep scores, SF-MPQ, PGIC, CGIC, SF-36, POMS, safety	Primary: The average daily pain score was significantly reduced at trial end with gabapentin (33.3% reduction) compared to placebo (7.7% reduction). At the end of eight weeks, gabapentin showed an average daily pain score of 4.2 (decrease of 2.1) compared to 6.0 with placebo (decrease of 0.5; P <0.001). This reduction was established at week two, with a further reduction at week four. At week eight, pain reduction was maintained at the week four level. Secondary: Gabapentin significantly improved average sleep rating scores compared to placebo (P <0.001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	100 mm VAS of the SF-MPQ) at screening and randomization; average daily diary pain score ≥4 (0 to 10 scale) during baseline; and discontinuance of muscle relaxants, anticonvulsants, mexiletine, topical analgesics, and antiviral agents ≥2 weeks prior to screening			SF-MPQ scores were significantly improved for total pain (P <0.001), as well as sensory pain (P <0.001) and affective pain (P <0.001) with gabapentin compared to placebo. SF-MPQ ratings were significantly improved with gabapentin compared to placebo (P <0.01). This included a rating of 'no pain' at the final week in 16.0 and 8.8% of patients receiving gabapentin and placebo. The PGIC questionnaire indicated that gabapentin provided valuable pain reduction for many patients. At trial end, 43.2 and 12.1% of patients receiving gabapentin and placebo reported their pain as 'much' or 'moderately' improved. The majority of patients receiving placebo reported no change in pain level (59.5%) compared to gabapentin (22.9%). The CGIC showed similar results. On the SF-36, measures relating to physical functioning, role-physical, bodily pain, vitality, and mental health all showed gabapentin to be superior compared to placebo (P ≤0.01 for all). Patients receiving placebo in the POMS assessments of depression-dejection, anger-hostility, fatigue-inertia, and confusion-bewilderment, and total mood disturbance (P ≤0.01 for all). Minor adverse events deemed to be treatment-related were reported in 54.9 and 27.6% of patients receiving gabapentin and placebo. No serious adverse events were reported. One death occurred with placebo and was considered to be nontreatment-related. Overall, the most frequently reported adverse events with gabapentin were somnolence (27.4 vs 5.2%), dizziness (23.9 vs 5.2%), ataxia (7.1 vs 0%), peripheral edema (9.7 vs 3.4%), and infection (8.0 vs 2.6%). A total of 13.3 and 9.5% of patients receiving gabapentin and placebo withdrew from the trial
Rice et al ¹⁹²	DB, MC, PC,	N=334	Primary:	due to an adverse event. Primary:
	RCT	11-004	Change in	Change in average daily pain diary score showed significant improvements with
Gabapentin 1,800 or 2,400 mg/day vs	Patients ≥18 years of age with pain present for	7 weeks	average daily pain diary score Secondary:	gabapentin compared to placebo. The average score with placebo was 6.4 vs 5.3 (reduction of 15.7%), for gabapentin 1,800 mg/day was 6.5 vs 4.3 (reduction of 34.5%), and for gabapentin 2,400 mg/day was 6.5 vs 4.2 (reduction of 34.4%). The difference between placebo and gabapentin 1,800 mg/day was 18.8% (95% CI,
placebo	>3 months after healing of an		Mean weekly sleep	10.9 to 26.8; <i>P</i> <0.01). The difference between placebo and gabapentin 2,400 mg/day was 18.7% (95% CI, 10.7 to 26.7; <i>P</i> <0.01). Differences between





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	acute herpes zoster skin rash, and an average pain score ≥4 (11-point scale)		interference score, SF-MPQ, CGIC, PGIC, SF-36, safety	gabapentin and placebo were significant from week one (1,200 mg/day) onward. The proportion of patients showing a \geq 50% reduction in mean pain score from baseline was significantly higher (<i>P</i> =0.001) with gabapentin 1,800 (32%) and 2,400 mg/day (34%) compared to placebo (14%).
				Secondary: Sleep interference diaries showed a similar pattern of improvement to the pain diary, with gabapentin showing greater improvement compared to placebo from week one onward. For the last week of treatment, the difference between placebo and gabapentin 1,800 mg/day was 0.9 (95% CI, 0.4 to 1.4; P <0.01). The difference between placebo and gabapentin 2,400 mg/day was 1.1 (95% CI, 0.7 to 1.6; P<0.01).
				SF-MPQ showed improvements in all parameters during treatment, with greater improvements with gabapentin. The difference between gabapentin and placebo was significant (P <0.05) for the sensory score, total score, and VAS of pain during the previous week (2,400 mg/day only).
				At trial end, 44 (<i>P</i> =0.002 vs placebo), 44 (<i>P</i> =0.001 vs placebo), and 19% of clinicians rated patients' conditions as 'very much improved' or 'much improved.
				At trial end, 41 (P =0.003 vs placebo), 43 (P =0.005 vs placebo), and 23% of patients reported their condition as 'very much improved' or 'much improved.'
				Patients receiving gabapentin experienced significantly greater improvements in mean score for the vitality scale of the SF-36 (P<0.05) compared to patients receiving placebo. Patients receiving gabapentin 1,800 mg/day showed significantly greater improvements in mean score for scales of bodily pain (P <0.01) and mental health (P <0.05) compared to patients receiving placebo.
				Withdrawals due to adverse events were more common with both doses of gabapentin compared to placebo, and 38% of gabapentin withdrawals occurred within the first week, and 76% within the first three weeks. Dizziness (seven percent) and drowsiness (five to six percent) were the most common adverse





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				events necessitating withdrawal among patients receiving gabapentin. There were five serious adverse events; one, three, and one with placebo, gabapentin 1,800 mg/day, and gabapentin 2,400 mg/day. All were considered nontreatment-related.
Skvarc et al ¹⁹³ Pregabalin 75 to 150 mg BID vs placebo	DB, PC, PRO, RCT Outpatients 30 to 80 years of age who, despite naproxen use, had herpes zoster pain assessed ≥4 on a 0 to 10 point scale during the period between day 7 and 14 of acute disease	N=29 3 weeks	Primary: Assessment of pain severity using the 11- point Likert scale Secondary: Patients' ratings of the severity of allodynia, hyperalgesia, and burning, prickling and tingling sensations, and their rating of quality of sleep and physical activity, safety	 Primary: The main pain score decreased from seven at the initial visit to two at the concluding visit with pregabalin; the decrease was similar (from seven to two) with placebo. Secondary: Allodynia scoring decreased from eight to 0.5 with pregabalin, and from five to zero with placebo. Pressure hyperalgesia scoring decreased from eight at the initial visit to zero at the concluding visit with pregabalin, and from six to zero with placebo. There were no significant differences between the two treatments with regard to allodynia or pressure hyperalgesia, nor with respect to other observations of pain quality: burning sensation, prickling sensation, electric shock sensation, heat hyperalgesia, and cold hyperalgesia. There were no significant differences between the two treatments with regard to sleep and physical activity assessments. The most common adverse events were dry mouth with an incidence of 65.5%; this was followed by tiredness (55.2%), dizziness (44.8%), somnolence (44.8%), vertigo (41.4%), constipation (20.7%), diplopia (17.2%), and flatulence (13.8%). Patients receiving pregabalin suffered more adverse events compared to patients receiving placebo (52 vs 36), but the only significant difference between the
Sabatowski et al ¹⁹⁴	DB, MC, PC, RCT	N=238	Primary: Pain score	treatments was in relation to dizziness and somnolence. Primary: Pregabalin 150 (<i>P</i> =0.0002) and 300 mg/day (<i>P</i> =0.0001) significantly improved
Pregabalin 150 or 300 mg/day	Patients with	8 weeks	Secondary:	mean pain scores compared to placebo.
vs	PHN who did not respond to treatment with		Sleep interference, HRQoL as	Percentage of patients who had \geq 50% decrease in mean pain scores was significantly higher in the pregabalin 150 and 300 mg/day groups compared to the placebo group (26 vs 28 vs 10%, respectively; <i>P</i> <0.05 for all).
placebo	gabapentin ≥1,200 mg/day		assessed by SF-36 Health	Secondary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			Survey, adverse events	Pregabalin, at both doses, also significantly improved mean sleep interference scores, PGIC scores, and HRQoL compared to placebo (<i>P</i> <0.05 for all). Adverse events that occurred in ≥10% of pregabalin-treated patients include dizziness, somnolence, peripheral edema, headache, and dry mouth. The adverse
Dworkin et al ¹⁹⁵ Pregabalin 600 (if CrCl >60 mL/minute) or 300 mg/day (if CrCl 30 to 60 mL/minute) vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Patients with PHN	N=173 8 weeks	Primary: Pain scores Secondary: Sleep interference, SF-MPQ, SF-36 Health Survey, POMS, PGIC, CGIC, adverse events	events appeared to be dose-related.Primary:Pregabalin-treated patients had greater decreases in pain compared to placebo- treated patients (pain score, 3.60 vs 5.29; P=0.0001).Greater percentage of patients in the pregabalin than placebo groups experienced ≥50% decrease in pain (50 vs 20%, respectively; P<0.05).
Edelsberg et al ¹⁹⁶ Pregabalin (3 trials), capsaicin (2 trials), gabapentin (2 trials), amitriptyline (1 trial), nortriptyline (1 trial), morphine (1 trial), tramadol (1 trial), and divalproex sodium (1	MA and SR (12 RCTs) Patients with PHN	N=not specified 6 to 13 weeks	Primary: Percentage reduction in pain intensity Secondary: RR of withdrawal due to lack of efficacy, RR of	Primary: The difference in the percentage reduction in pain intensity varied from 13.8 (tramadol) to 42.4% (amitriptyline). All differences were significant. Secondary: The RR of withdrawal due to lack of efficacy varied from 0.26 (gabapentin) to 1.17 (amitriptyline), among drugs for which this outcome was reported. However, none of these RRs were significant. RR of withdrawal due to adverse events ranged from 1.6 (divalproex sodium) to





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
trial) vs			withdrawal due to adverse events, safety	8.4 (capsaicin); those for capsaicin (8.4), pregabalin (3.1), and gabapentin (1.9) were significant. RR of withdrawals due to adverse events was not reported for nortriptyline, morphine, or tramadol.
placebo				Agents and adverse events with RRs significantly different from those of placebo were gabapentin: dizziness (RR, 3.76; 95% CI, 2.27 to 6.22) and somnolence (RR, 4.06; 95%; 2.29 to 7.31); pregabalin: dizziness (RR, 2.49; 95% CI, 1.68 to 3.60), somnolence (RR, 3.18; 95% CI, 1.87 to 5.41), dry mouth (RR, 2.73; 95% CI, 1.12 to 6.63), and ataxia (RR, 11.70; 95% CI, 1.55 to 88.54); nortriptyline: dizziness (RR, 39.17; 95% CI, 2.49 to 616.66); and morphine: nausea (RR, 5.47; 95% CI, 2.03 to 14.76). RRs of individual adverse events were not reported for amitriptyline or divalproex sodium.
Ifuku et al ¹⁹⁷ Pregabalin Without changing the frequency of dosing, gabapentin was substituted with pregabalin at one-sixth	PRO Patients with PHN who were being administered gabapentin, and whose pain had continued for 3	N=32 Duration not specified	Primary: VAS pain score Secondary: Safety	Primary: During evaluation after two weeks, the VAS pain score was 46.9±22.5 mm; thus, no significant difference was observed in the score before and after the substitution (<i>P</i> >0.05). However, the score varied greatly among patients. Regarding changes in individual VAS pain scores, the score in the patients with most pain relief was -18 mm and in the patients with maximum pain exacerbation was 30 mm. Twenty-two patients had increased dosage to improve the analgesic effect after
dosage of gabapentin. After 2 weeks, the dosage was increased in patients who requested a dosage increase and if VAS pain score was ≥25 mm	months or more after being infected with herpes zoster			the substitution. Although no significant difference was observed in VAS pain scores after substitution of gabapentin with pregabalin in the titration group (scores increased from 51.5 \pm 23.0 to 52.1 \pm 20.3 mm; <i>P</i> >0.05), regarding the judgment of the effect of action after the dosage increase, VAS pain scores significantly decreased from 52.1 \pm 20.3 to 35.5 \pm 21.2 mm (<i>P</i> <0.05). Secondary: Although no significant difference was observed in the number of patients with
after substitution.				somnolence and dizziness before and after the substitution, the number of patients with peripheral edema increased significantly in the group where gabapentin was substituted with pregabalin (P <0.05). Serious adverse events interfering with daily life were not observed before and after the substitution.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Ogawa et al ¹⁹⁸	OL	N=126	Primary:	Primary:
(abstract)			SF-MPQ	SF-MPQ showed a decrease over time with treatment. The changes of VAS and
	Patients with	52 weeks		present pain intensity at trial end were -28.3 mm and -1.1 score, respectively.
Pregabalin 150 to 600	PHN		Secondary:	
mg/day			Safety	Secondary:
				The commonly reported adverse events were dizziness, somnolence, peripheral
				edema, and weight gain, and most of them were mild to moderate in intensity. No
				new adverse events were observed during long-term administration compared to
				short-term administration (13 weeks).

*Agent not available in the United States.

Drug regimen abbreviations: BID=twice-daily, ER=extended-release, IR=immediate-release, QD=once daily, QID=four times daily, TID=three times daily, XR=extended-release

Study design abbreviations: AC=active-controlled, ANCOVA=analysis of covariance, CI=confidence interval, DB=double-blind, ES=extension study, HR=hazard ratio, ITT=intention to treat, LOCF=last observation carried forward, MA=meta-analysis, MC=multicenter, NI=noninferiority, NNH=number needed to harm, NNT=number needed to treat, OL=open-label, OR=odds ratio, PC=placebo-controlled, PG=parallel-group, PP=per protocol, PRO=prospective, RETRO=retrospective, RCT=randomized-controlled trial, RR=relative risk, RRI=relative risk increase, RRR=relative risk reduction, SB=single-blind, SD=standard deviation, SMD=standardized mean differences, SR=systematic review, WMD=weighted mean difference, XO=cross-over

Miscellaneous abbreviations: ACTH=adrenocorticotropic hormone, ADAS-Cog=Alzheimer Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive, AED=antiepileptic drug, ALT=alanine aminotransferase, AST=aspartate aminotransferase, BPRS=Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale, CBC=complete blood count, CDRS=Children's Depression Rating Scale, CGI=Clinical Global Impression, CGI-BP=Clinical Global of Impression-Bipolar Version, CGIC=Clinical Global Impression of Change, CMAI=Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory, CNS=central nervous system, CrCI=creatinine clearance, DPN=diabetic peripheral neuropathy, ECG=electrocardiogram, EEG=electroencephalogram, EQ-5D=Euro Quality of Life Assessment, GAF=Global Assessment of Functioning, HADS=Hospital Anxiety And Depression Scale, HARS=Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale, HbA_{1c}=glycosylated hemoglobin, HIT-6=Headache Impact Test, HIV=human immunodeficiency virus, HRQoL=health-related quality of life, IDS=Inventory of Depressive Symptoms, IHS=International Headache Society, ILAE=International League Against Epilepsy, LANSS=Leeds Assessment of Neuropathic Symptoms and Signs, LGS=Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome, LSSS=Liverpool Seizure Severity Scale, MDD=major depression of Change, PHN=postherpetic neuralgia, POMS=Profile of Mood States, QOLIE-31=Quality of Life in Epilepsy Scale-31, SF-36=Short Form 36, SF-HPQ=Short Form-McGill Pain Questionnaire, US=United States, VAS=visual analog scale, vEEG=video electroencephalogram, VNS=vagal nerve stimulator, YMRS=Young Mania Rating Scale





Special Populations

	•	Populat	ion and Precaution		
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk
Phenobarbital	Dosage adjustment recommended in the elderly. Dosage adjustment recommended in children.	Renal dosage adjustment recommended.	Hepatic dosage adjustment recommended; initial doses should be reduced. Use caution.	D	Yes (% not reported); use with caution.
Primidone	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Dose adjustment is required in pediatrics; dose depends on the patient's age and weight.	No dosage adjustment required.	No dosage adjustment required.	D	Yes (% not reported); use with caution.

Table 5a. Special Populations-Barbiturates ¹	,48-50,56
---	-----------

Table 5b. Special Populations-Benzodiazepines^{1,25,28,45}

		Populat	ion and Precaution		
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk
Clobazam	Dosage adjustment in elderly patients is required; an initial dose of 5 mg/day is recommended. Safety and efficacy in children <2 years of age have not been established.	No dosage adjustment required in mild and moderate dysfunction. Not studied in severe renal dysfunction.	Hepatic dosage adjustment required in mild to moderate dysfunction; an initial dose of 5 mg/day is recommended. Not studied in severe hepatic dysfunction.	C	Yes; use with caution.
Clonazepam	Dosage adjustment required; decrease usual dose by 50%.	Use with caution.	Use with caution. Contra-indicated with significant hepatic dysfunction.	D	Yes; do not administer to nursing women.



Page 119 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



		Populati	on and Precaution		
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk
	Dosage adjustment recommended in children.				
	Safety and efficacy for the treatment of panic disorder in patients <18 have not been established.				
Diazepam	Dosage adjustment required; limit to the smallest effective amount to preclude the development of ataxia or over- sedation.	No dosage adjustment required.	Hepatic dosage adjustment required; decrease usual dose by 50%.	D	Yes; not Recomm- ended.
	Safety and efficacy in children <6 months of age have not been established.				

Table 5c. Special Populations-Hydantoins^{1,47,51-54}

		Populatio	on and Precaution		
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk
Ethotoin	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Dose adjustment is required in pediatrics; do not initiate with doses >750 mg/day.	No dosage adjustment required.	No dosage adjustment required.	D	Yes (% not reported); effects on a breast- feeding infant are unknown.
Phenytoin	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Dose adjustment	No dosage adjustment required.	No dosage adjustment required.	D	Yes (% not reported); breast- feeding is not reco- mmended.



Page 120 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



	Population and Precaution					
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk	
	is required in pediatrics; dose depends on the patient's weight.					

Table 5d. Special Populations-Succinimides^{1,24,33,34}

		Populati	ion and Precaution		
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk
Ethosuximide	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Safety and efficacy in children <3 years of age have not been established.	Use with extreme caution.	Use with extreme caution.	C	Yes (% not reported); the American Academy of Pediatrics classifies as compatible with breast- feeding.
Methsuximide	No dosage adjustment required. No dosage adjustment required.	No dosage adjustment required.	No dosage adjustment required.	D	Unknown

Table 5e. Special Populations-Anticonvulsants, Miscellaneous^{1,23,26,27,31,32,35-44,46,55, 57-65}

		Population and Precaution					
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk		
Carbamaz- epine	Use with caution in the elderly. Dose adjustment is required in pediatrics; dose depends on the patient's age and weight.	No dosage adjustment required.	Use with caution.	D	Yes (% not reported); use with caution.		
Divalproex	Start elderly patients at the lower end of the dosage range.	No dosage adjustment required.	Do not use in severe hepatic impairment.	D	Yes (1%- 10%); the American Academy of		



Page 121 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



	Population and Precaution					
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk	
	Safety and efficacy in children <10 years of age have not been established.				Pediatrics classifies as usually compatible with breast- feeding.	
Ezogabine	Dose adjustment is required; an initial dose of 50 mg TID and a maximum dose of 200 mg TID are recommended. The safety and effectiveness in children <18 years of age have not been established.	Renal dose adjustment is required; for creatinine clearances <50 mL/minute or patients with end stage renal disease, an initial dose of 50 mg TID and a maximum dose of 250 mg TID are recommended.	Hepatic dosage adjustment is required; for moderate (Child- Pugh >7 to 9) dysfunction, an initial dose of 50 mg TID and a maximum dose of 250 mg TID are recommended; for severe (Child- Pugh >9) dysfunction, an initial dose of 50 mg TID and a maximum dose of 200 mg TID are recommended. No dosage adjustment required in mild hepatic dysfunction.	C	Excretion through breast milk: unknown; use with caution.	
Felbamate	Start elderly patients at the lower end of the dosage range. Approved for use in children ages two to 14.	Dose adjustment is required.	No dosage adjustment required.	С	Yes (% not reported); effects on a breast- feeding infant are unknown.	
Gabapentin	Dose adjustment may be required in the elderly; dose depends on renal function. Safety and efficacy in children <3 years of age	Dose adjustment is required.	No dosage adjustment required.	С	Yes (% not reported); use with caution.	





	Population and Precaution					
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk	
	have not been established.					
Lacosamide	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly.	Dose adjustment is required.	Not re- commended in severe hepatic impairment.	С	Unknown	
	Safety and efficacy in children <17 years of age have not been established.					
Lamotrigine	Start elderly patients at the lower end of the dosage range.	Dose adjustment may be required.	Dose adjustment may be required.	С	Yes (% not reported); breast- feeding is not reco-	
	Safety and efficacy of lamotrigine extended- release tablets in patients <13 years of age have not been established.				mmended.	
	Efficacy in patients one to 24 months of age for the treatment of partial seizures was not demon- strated.					
Levetiracetam	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Safety and efficacy of levetiracetam tablets and solution in children <4 years of age have not been	Dose adjustment is required.	No dosage adjustment required.	С	Yes (% not reported); effects on a breast- feeding infant are unknown.	





	Population and Precaution				
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk
	Safety and efficacy of levetiracetam extended- release tablets in children <16 years of age have not been established.				
Oxcarbaz- epine	Consider starting with 300 mg or 450 mg per day (ER only). Approved for use in children >2 years of age (IR) and >6 years of age (ER).	Dose adjustment is required. Renal dose adjustment is required; for creatinine clearances <30 mL/minute, an initial dose of 300 mg QD is recommended (ER).	Use caution in patients with severe hepatic impairment.	С	Yes (% not reported); effects on a breast- feeding infant are unknown.
Perampanel	Safety and efficacy in elderly patients have not been established. FDA-approved for use in children >12 years of age.	Use in patients with severe renal impairment or patients undergoing hemodialysis is not recommended.	Hepatic dose adjustment is required; a maximum dose of 6 mg in mild hepatic impairment or 4 mg in severe hepatic impairment is recommended. Use in patients with severe hepatic impairment is not recommended.	С	Unknown
Pregabalin	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Safety and efficacy in children have not been	Dose adjustment is required.	No dosage adjustment required.	С	Unknown





		Populat	ion and Precaution		
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk
	established.				
Rufinamide	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Safety and effectiveness in children <4 years of age have not been established.	No dosage adjustment required.	No dosage adjustment required.	С	Likely; (% not reported); potential for serious adverse reactions in exposed infants.
Tiagabine	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Safety and efficacy in children <12 years of age have not been established.	No dosage adjustment required.	Dose adjustment may be required.	С	Unknown
Topiramate	Dose adjustment may be required in the elderly; dose depends on renal function. Safety and efficacy in children <2 years of age have not been established.	Dose adjustment is required.	Use with caution.	С	Unknown
Valproic acid	Start elderly patients at the lower end of the dosage range. Approved for children age ≥10 years.	No dosage adjustment required.	Do not use in severe hepatic impairment.	D	Yes (1 to 10%); the American Academy of Pediatrics classifies as usually compatible with breast- feeding.
Vigabatrin	Studies did not include sufficient numbers of	Dose adjustment is required.	No dosage adjustment required.	С	Yes (% not reported); breast-



Page 125 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



		Populat	ion and Precaution		
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk
	 patients aged ≥65 years to determine if they responded differently from younger patients. Potential benefits must outweigh the potential risk of vision loss for use in children. 				feeding is not reco- mmended.
Zonisamide	Start elderly patients at the lower end of the dosage range Safety and efficacy in children <16 years of age have not been established.	Use with caution; do not use in patients with glomerular filtration rate <50 mL/minute.	Use caution in hepatic impairment.	С	Unknown

ER=extended-release, IR=immediate-release, TID=three times a day

Adverse Drug Events

Table 6a. Adverse Drug Events (%)-Barbiturates

Adverse Event(s)	Phenobarbital	Primidone
Cardiovascular		
Bradycardia		-
Hypotension		-
Syncope		-
Central Nervous System		
Agitation		-
Anxiety	-	-
Ataxia		\checkmark
Central nervous system depression		-
Confusion		-
Dizziness		-
Drowsiness	-	\checkmark
Emotional disturbances	-	\checkmark
Hallucinations		-
Hyperirritability	-	\checkmark
Hyperkinesia		-
Insomnia		-
Nervousness		-
Nightmares		-



Page 126 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Psychiatric disturbance \ - Somnolence \ - Somnolence \ - Thinking abnormality \ - Dermatologic - \ Exfoliative dermatitis \ - Skin eruptions - \ Stevens-Johnson syndrome \ - Toxic epidermal necrolysis \ - Anorexia - \ Constipation \ - Nausea \ \ Vomiting \ \ Genitourinary - \ Sexual impotency - \ Agranulocytopenia - \ Agranulocytopenia - \ Regaloblastic anemia \ - Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia - \ Apnea \ - Hypoventilation \ - Megaloblastic anemia \ - Pother - - Double vision - \	Adverse Event(s)	Phenobarbital	Primidone
Thinking abnormality √ - Dermatologic - - Exfoliative dermatitis √ - Skin eruptions - √ Stevens-Johnson syndrome √ - Toxic epidermal necrolysis √ - Gastrointestinal √ - Anorexia - √ Constipation √ - Nausea √ √ Vomiting √ √ Genitourinary - √ Sexual impotency - √ Hematologic - √ Agranulocytopenia - √ Megaloblastic anemia √ √ Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia - √ Apnea √ - Hypoventilation √ - Apnea √ - Hypoventilation √ - Double vision - √ Fever √ - <td></td> <td>\checkmark</td> <td>-</td>		\checkmark	-
Dermatologic Exfoliative dermatitis \lambda - Skin eruptions - \lambda Stevens-Johnson syndrome \lambda - Toxic epidermal necrolysis \lambda - Gastrointestinal - \lambda Anorexia - \lambda Constipation \lambda - Nausea \lambda \lambda Vomiting \lambda \lambda Vomiting \lambda \lambda Agranulocytosis - \lambda Granulocytopenia - \lambda Aprea \lambda \lambda Aprea \lambda \lambda Apnea \lambda - Hypoventilation \lambda - Hypoventilation \lambda - Double vision - \lambda Fever \lambda - Headache \lambda - Hypersensitivity reactions \lambda - Injection site reactions \lambda - Liver d	Somnolence	\checkmark	-
Dermatologic Exfoliative dermatitis \lambda - Skin eruptions - \lambda Stevens-Johnson syndrome \lambda - Toxic epidermal necrolysis \lambda - Gastrointestinal - \lambda Anorexia - \lambda Constipation \lambda - Nausea \lambda \lambda Vomiting \lambda \lambda Vomiting \lambda \lambda Agranulocytosis - \lambda Granulocytopenia - \lambda Aprea \lambda \lambda Aprea \lambda \lambda Apnea \lambda - Hypoventilation \lambda - Hypoventilation \lambda - Double vision - \lambda Fever \lambda - Headache \lambda - Hypersensitivity reactions \lambda - Injection site reactions \lambda - Liver d	Thinking abnormality	\checkmark	-
Skin eruptions - \/ Stevens-Johnson syndrome \/ - Toxic epidermal necrolysis \/ - Gastrointestinal - \/ Anorexia - \/ Constipation \/ - Nausea \/ \/ Voniting \/ \/ Genitourinary - \/ Sexual impotency - \/ Hematologic - \/ Agranulocytosis - \/ Granulocytopenia - \/ Megaloblastic anemia \/ \/ Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia - \/ Apnea \/ - Hypoventilation \/ - Double vision - \/ Fever \/ - Headache \/ - Hypersensitivity reactions \/ - Injection site reactions \/ - Nystagmus			
Stevens-Johnson syndrome Image: syndroritext Image: syndrome <	Exfoliative dermatitis	\checkmark	-
Stevens-Johnson syndrome Image: syndroritext Image: syndrome <	Skin eruptions	-	\checkmark
Toxic epidermal necrolysis \checkmark -Gastrointestinal- \checkmark Anorexia- \checkmark Constipation \checkmark -Nausea \checkmark \checkmark Vomiting \checkmark \checkmark Genitourinary- \checkmark Sexual impotency- \checkmark Hematologic- \checkmark Agranulocytopenia- \checkmark Megaloblastic anemia \checkmark \checkmark Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia- \checkmark Apnea \checkmark -Hypoventilation \checkmark -Double vision- \checkmark Fever \checkmark -Hadache \checkmark -Hypersensitivity reactions \checkmark -Injection site reactions \checkmark -Ivystagmus \checkmark -Vistagmus \checkmark -		\checkmark	-
GastrointestinalAnorexia- $$ Constipation $$ -Nausea $$ $$ Vomiting $$ $$ GenitourinarySexual impotency-Sexual impotency- $$ Hematologic- $$ Agranulocytosis- $$ Granulocytopenia- $$ Regaloblastic anemia $$ $$ Respiratory- $$ Apnea $$ -Hypoventilation $$ -Respiratory depression $$ -Double vision- $$ Fever $$ -Headache $$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $$ -Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$		\checkmark	-
Constipation \checkmark -Nausea \checkmark \checkmark Vomiting \checkmark \checkmark Genitourinary- \checkmark Sexual impotency- \checkmark Hematologic- \checkmark Agranulocytosis- \checkmark Granulocytopenia- \checkmark Megaloblastic anemia \checkmark \checkmark Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia- \checkmark Apnea \checkmark -Hypoventilation \checkmark -Respiratory depression \checkmark -Other- \checkmark Double vision- \checkmark Fever \checkmark -Headache \checkmark -Hypersensitivity reactions \checkmark -Injection site reactions \checkmark -Liver damage \checkmark -Nystagmus- \checkmark			
Nausea $$ $$ Vomiting $$ $$ Genitourinary $$ $$ Sexual impotency $ $ Hematologic $ $ Agranulocytosis $ $ Granulocytopenia $ $ Megaloblastic anemia $$ $$ Recell hypoplasia/aplasia $ $ Respiratory $$ $-$ Apnea $$ $-$ Hypoventilation $$ $-$ Respiratory depression $$ $-$ Other $$ $-$ Double vision $ $ Fever $$ $-$ Headache $$ $-$ Hypersensitivity reactions $$ $-$ Injection site reactions $$ $-$ Liver damage $$ $-$ Nystagmus $ $	Anorexia	-	\checkmark
Nausea $$ $$ Vomiting $$ $$ Genitourinary $$ $$ Sexual impotency $ $ Hematologic $ $ Agranulocytosis $ $ Granulocytopenia $ $ Megaloblastic anemia $$ $$ Recell hypoplasia/aplasia $ $ Respiratory $$ $-$ Apnea $$ $-$ Hypoventilation $$ $-$ Respiratory depression $$ $-$ Other $$ $-$ Double vision $ $ Fever $$ $-$ Headache $$ $-$ Hypersensitivity reactions $$ $-$ Injection site reactions $$ $-$ Liver damage $$ $-$ Nystagmus $ $	Constipation	\checkmark	-
GenitourinarySexual impotency- $$ Hematologic- $$ Agranulocytosis- $$ Granulocytopenia- $$ Megaloblastic anemia $$ $$ Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia- $$ Respiratory- $$ Apnea $$ -Hypoventilation $$ -Respiratory depression $$ -Other- $$ Double vision- $$ Fever $$ -Headache $$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $$ -Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$		\checkmark	
Sexual impotency- $$ HematologicAgranulocytosis- $\sqrt{$ Granulocytopenia- $\sqrt{$ Megaloblastic anemia $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia- $\sqrt{$ Respiratory- $\sqrt{$ Apnea $\sqrt{$ -Hypoventilation $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression $\sqrt{$ -Other- $\sqrt{$ Double vision- $\sqrt{$ Fever $\sqrt{$ -Headache $\sqrt{$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $\sqrt{$ -Injection site reactions $\sqrt{$ -Liver damage $\sqrt{$ -Nystagmus- $\sqrt{$	Vomiting	\checkmark	
HematologicAgranulocytosis- $$ Granulocytopenia- $$ Megaloblastic anemia $$ $$ Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia- $$ Respiratory- $$ Apnea $$ -Hypoventilation $$ -Respiratory depression $$ -Other- $$ Double vision- $$ Fever $$ -Headache $$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $$ -Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$	Genitourinary		
Agranulocytosis- $$ Granulocytopenia- $\sqrt{$ Megaloblastic anemia $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia- $\sqrt{$ Respiratory- $\sqrt{$ Apnea $\sqrt{$ -Hypoventilation $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression $\sqrt{$ -Other- $\sqrt{$ Double vision- $\sqrt{$ Fever $\sqrt{$ -Headache $\sqrt{$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $\sqrt{$ -Injection site reactions $\sqrt{$ -Liver damage $\sqrt{$ -Nystagmus- $\sqrt{$	Sexual impotency	-	\checkmark
Agranulocytosis- $$ Granulocytopenia- $\sqrt{$ Megaloblastic anemia $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia- $\sqrt{$ Respiratory- $\sqrt{$ Apnea $\sqrt{$ -Hypoventilation $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression $\sqrt{$ -Other- $\sqrt{$ Double vision- $\sqrt{$ Fever $\sqrt{$ -Headache $\sqrt{$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $\sqrt{$ -Injection site reactions $\sqrt{$ -Liver damage $\sqrt{$ -Nystagmus- $\sqrt{$			
Granulocytopenia- $$ Megaloblastic anemia $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia- $\sqrt{$ Respiratory- $\sqrt{$ Apnea $\sqrt{$ -Hypoventilation $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression $\sqrt{$ -Other- $\sqrt{$ Double vision- $\sqrt{$ Fever $\sqrt{$ -Headache $\sqrt{$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $\sqrt{$ -Injection site reactions $\sqrt{$ -Liver damage $\sqrt{$ -Nystagmus- $\sqrt{$		-	\checkmark
Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia- $$ RespiratoryApnea $\sqrt{$ -Hypoventilation $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression $\sqrt{$ -Other $\sqrt{$ -Double vision- $\sqrt{$ Fever $\sqrt{$ -Headache $\sqrt{$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $\sqrt{$ -Injection site reactions $\sqrt{$ -Liver damage $\sqrt{$ -Nystagmus- $\sqrt{$		-	\checkmark
RespiratoryApnea $$ Hypoventilation $$ Respiratory depression $$ OtherDouble vision-Fever $$ Headache $$ Hypersensitivity reactions $$ Injection site reactions $$ Liver damage $$ Nystagmus-	Megaloblastic anemia	\checkmark	\checkmark
Apnea $$ -Hypoventilation $$ -Respiratory depression $$ -Other $$ -Double vision- $$ Fever $$ -Headache $$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $$ -Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$	Red cell hypoplasia/aplasia	-	
Hypoventilation $$ -Respiratory depression $$ -Other $$ -Double vision- $$ Fever $$ -Headache $$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $$ -Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$	Respiratory		•
Respiratory depression $$ -Other $ $ Double vision- $$ Fever $$ -Headache $$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $$ -Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$	Apnea	\checkmark	-
OtherDouble vision- $$ Fever $$ -Headache $$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $$ -Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$	Hypoventilation	\checkmark	-
Double vision- $$ Fever $$ -Headache $$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $$ -Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$	Respiratory depression	\checkmark	-
Fever $$ -Headache $$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $$ -Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$	Other		
Headache $$ -Hypersensitivity reactions $$ -Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$	Double vision	-	\checkmark
Hypersensitivity reactions $$ -Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$	Fever	\checkmark	-
Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$	Headache	\checkmark	-
Injection site reactions $$ -Liver damage $$ -Nystagmus- $$	Hypersensitivity reactions	\checkmark	-
Nystagmus - √	Injection site reactions		-
	Liver damage	\checkmark	-
		-	\checkmark
		-	\checkmark

-Event not reported. √Percent not specified.

Table 6b. Adverse Drug Events (%)-Benzodiazepines^{1,25,28,45}

Adverse Events	Clobazam	Clonazepam	Diazepam			
Cardiovascular						
Bradycardia	-	-	\checkmark			
Cardiovascular collapse	-	-	\checkmark			
Hypotension	-	-	\checkmark			
Palpitations	-		-			
Syncope	-	-	\checkmark			
Vasodilation	-	-	2			
Central Nervous Systems						
Abnormal eye movements	-	\checkmark	-			
Aphonia	-	\checkmark	-			
Ataxia	5	-	3			
Choreiform movements	-	\checkmark	-			
Coma	-		-			
Convulsion	-	-				



Page 127 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Adverse Events	Clobazam	Clonazepam	Diazepam
Diplopia	-	√	-
Dizziness	-	-	3
Drooling	9	-	-
Dysarthria	3		V
Dysdiadochokinesis	-		-
Emotional liability	-	-	
Euphoria	-	-	3
"Glassy-eyed" appearance	-		-
Headache	-		5
Hemiparesis	-		-
Hypotonia	-	N N	-
Incoordination	-	-	3
Lethargy	10	-	-
		- \	- - -
Nystagmus	-	,	
Psychomotor hyperactivity Sedation	4	-	-
	5	-	-
Slurred speech	-	N	√
Somnolence	22	-	23
Somnolence or sedation	26	-	-
Speech disorder	-	-	N N
Thinking abnormal	-	-	N
Tremor	-	N	-
Vertigo	-		\checkmark
Dermatologic	•	1	1
Ankle and facial edema	-	ν	-
Hair loss	-	ν	-
Hirsutism	-	ν	-
Rash	-	-	3
Skin rash	-		-
Gastrointestinal	<u>.</u>		
Anorexia	-		-
Coated tongue	-		-
Constipation	5		\checkmark
Diarrhea	-		4
Dry mouth	-		-
Dysphagia	2	-	-
Encopresis	-		-
Gastritis	-		-
Increased appetite	-		-
Nausea	-		-
Sore gums	-		-
Vomiting	7	-	-
General Disorders/Administration Site Condition	าร		
Fatigue	5	-	-
Irritability	7	-	-
Pyrexia	13	-	-
Genitourinary			
Dysuria	-		-
Enuresis	-		-
Nocturia	-	V	-
Urinary retention	-	V	
,	1	,	· ·



Page 128 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Hematopoietic Image: Second Seco	Adverse Events	Clobazam	Clonazepam	Diazepam
Anemia - N - Eosinophilia - N - Leukopenia - N - Neutropenia - N - Hepatomegaly - N - Jaundice - N - Hepatomegaly - N - Jaundice - - N Transient elevations of serum transaminases and alkaline phosphatase - N - Infections and Infestations 2 - - - Bronchitis 2 - - - - Urinary tract infection 12 - - - - Metabolism and Nutrition Disorders - N - - - Musculoskeltal - N - - - - - Musculoskeltal - N - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <t< th=""><th></th><th>Olobazam</th><th>Olonazepani</th><th>Diazepain</th></t<>		Olobazam	Olonazepani	Diazepain
Eosinophilia - √ - Leukopenia - √ - Neutropenia - √ - Thrombocytopenia - √ - Hepatic - √ - Hepatic - √ - Jaundice - √ - Transient elevations of serum transaminases and alkaline phosphatase - √ - Infections and Infestations 2 - - - Bronchitis 2 - - - - Upper respiratory tract infection 12 - - - Urinary tract infection 4 - - - Muscle weakness - √ - Pains - - Muscle weakness - √ - Pains - √ - Aggression 8 - - - √ - Aggression -		_	1	_
Leukopenia - - - Neutropenia - - - - Hepatic - - - - - Hepatic - - - - - - Hepatic - - - - - - - Hepatic - - - - - - - Bronchitis 2 - - - - - - Bronchitis 2 - - - - - - Preumonia 4 - - - - - - Urinary tract infection 12 -				
Neutropenia - - V Thrombocytopenia - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Thrombocytopenia - √ - Hepatic - √ - Hepatomegaly - √ - Jaundice - √ - Transient elevations of serum transaminases and alkaline phosphatase - √ - Infections and Infestations 2 - - - Bronchitis 2 - - - - Upper respiratory tract infection 12 - - - Upper respiratory tract infection 4 - - - Metabolism and Nutrition Disorders - - - - Metabolism and Nutrition Disorders - - - - Muscle weakness - √ - - - Muscle weakness - √ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			,	
Hepatic · · · · · · · · Jaunclice · Jaunclice · · Jaunclice · · Jaunclice · Jaunclice · Jaunclice · Jaunclice · Jaunclice · Jaunclice				
Hepatomegaly - √ - Jaundice - √ - Jaundice - √ - Infactions of serum transaminases and alkaline phosphatase - √ - Infactions and Infestations 2 - - Bronchitis 2 - - Pneumonia 4 - - Upper respiratory tract infection 12 - - Musculoskeletal 3 - - Musculoskeletal - √ - Muscule weakness - √ - Pains - √ - Agitation - - √ Aggression 8 - - Aggression - √ - Papinsia - √ - Increased appetite - - √ Musculoskeletal - √ - Musculoskeletal - - √ Aggression 8 - -		-	V	-
Jaundice - - √ Transient elevations of serum transaminases and alkaline phosphatase - √ - Infections and Infestations 2 - - Bronchitis 2 - - Pneumonia 4 - - Upper respiratory tract infection 12 - - Metabolism and Nutrition Disorders - - - Decreased appetite 3 - - - Musculoskeletal - √ - - Muscle weakness - √ - - Aggression 8 - - - Aggression - √ - - Increased libido - √ - - Increased libido -				
Transient elevations of serum transaminases and alkaline phosphataseInfections and InfestationsBronchitis2-Pneumonia4-Upper respiratory tract infection12-Upper respiratory tract infection4-Metabolism and Nutrition DisordersDecreased appetite3-Increased appetite3-Increased appetite3-Muscle weakness- $$ Pains- $\sqrt{$ Aggression8-Aggression- $\sqrt{$ Agitation- $\sqrt{$ Depression- $\sqrt{$ Hylteria- $\sqrt{$ Increased libido- $\sqrt{$ Increased libido- $\sqrt{$ Agitation- $\sqrt{$ Agitation- $\sqrt{$ Agitation- $\sqrt{$ Agitation- $\sqrt{$ Increased libido- $\sqrt{$ Increased libido- $\sqrt{$ Increased libido- $\sqrt{$ Suicidal attempt- $\sqrt{$ Cough5Respiratory2Chest congestion- $\sqrt{$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $\sqrt{$ Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $\sqrt{$ Ashma $\sqrt{$ Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $\sqrt{$ Hypersecretion in upper respirato				1
alkaline phosphatase - N - Infections and Infestations - - - Bronchitis 2 - - - Pneumonia 4 - - - Upper respiratory tract infection 12 - - - Metabolism and Nutrition Disorders - - - - Decreased appetite 3 - - - - Musculoskeletal - - - - - - Musculoskeletal - - - - - - - Psychiatric Disorders - - - - - - - Agtration - <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>N</td></t<>		-	-	N
Infections and Infestations 2 - - Bronchitis 2 - - - Pneumonia 4 - - - Upper respiratory tract infection 12 - - - Metabolism and Nutrition Disorders Decreased appetite 3 - - Increased appetite 3 - - - Muscle weakness - √ - Pains - - Pains - √ - - Psychiatric Disorders - - - - Aggression 8 - <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>\checkmark</td> <td>-</td>		-	\checkmark	-
Bronchitis 2 - - Pneumonia 4 - - Upper respiratory tract infection 12 - - Wetabolism and Nutrition Disorders - - - Decreased appetite 3 - - - Increased appetite 3 - - - Musculoskeletal - - - - Muscle weakness - - - - Payins - - - - Psychiatric Disorders - - - - Aggression 8 - - - Aggression - - - - Aggression - - - - - Aggression - - - - - - Aggression - - - - - - - Aggression - -				
Pneumonia 4 - - Upper respiratory tract infection 12 - - Urinary tract infection 4 - - Metabolism and Nutrition Disorders - - - Decreased appetite 3 - - - Musculoskeletal - - - - Muscle weakness - - - - Pains - - - - Pains - - - - Aggression 8 - - - Aggression - - - - Aggression - - - - Confusion - - - - - Depression - - - - - - Hallucinations - - - - - - - - - - - -		•	T	Г
Upper respiratory tract infection 12 - - Metabolism and Nutrition Disorders - - - Decreased appetite 3 - - Increased appetite 3 - - Muscloskeletal - - - Muscle weakness - - - - Pains - - - - - Aggression 8 - - - - Aggression 8 -			-	-
Urinary tract infection 4 - - Metabolism and Nutrition Disorders - - - Decreased appetite 3 - - - Increased appetite 3 - - - - Musculoskeletal - √ - - Pains - √ - Psychiatric Disorders - √ -			-	-
Metabolism and Nutrition Disorders Decreased appetite 3 - - Increased appetite 3 - - Musculoskeletal - - - Muscle weakness - - - - Pains - - - - Aggression 8 - - - Aggression - - - - Aggression - - - - Aggression - - - - - Aggression - - - - - - Aggression -			-	-
Decreased appetite 3 - - Increased appetite 3 - - Musculoskeletal - - - Muscle weakness - - - - Pains - - - - - Aggression 8 - - - - Agitation - - - - - - Agitation - - - - - - - Agitation - <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>		4	-	-
Increased appetite 3 - - Muscloskeletal - √ - Pains - √ - Pagins - √ - Pagins - √ - Psychiatric Disorders - √ - Aggression 8 - - Aggression 8 - - Aggression 8 - - Amnesia - √ - Confusion - √ √ Depression - √ √ Hallucinations - √ - Increased libido - √ - Insomnia 5 √ - Psychosis - - - Respiratory - - - Suidal attempt - - - Cough 5 - - Respiratory - <td></td> <td></td> <td>ſ</td> <td>1</td>			ſ	1
Musculoskeletal Muscle weakness - √ - Pains - √ - Aggression 8 - - Agitation - - √ - Amnesia - √ - - Confusion - √ - - - √ - Depression - √ - - √ -			-	-
Muscle weakness - √ - Pains - √ - Aggression 8 - - Agitation - - √ - Agitation - - √ - Agitation - - √ - Amnesia - √ - - Confusion - √ - - Depression - √ - - Hallucinations - √ - - Hysteria - √ - - Increased libido - √ - - Insomnia 5 √ - - Sepiratory - - Suicidal attempt - √ - - - - Cough 5 - - - - - - Respiratory - - √		3	-	-
Pains- $$ - Psychiatric Disorders Aggression8Aggression $\sqrt{$ Amnesia- $\sqrt{$ -Confusion- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Depression- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Hallucinations- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Hysteria- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Increased libido- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Insomnia5 $\sqrt{$ -Psychosis- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ RespiratorySuicidal attempt- $\sqrt{$ -Cough5Respiratory2Chest congestion- $\sqrt{$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression- $\sqrt{$ -Rhinorrhea- $\sqrt{$ -Asthenia- $\sqrt{$ -Paradoxical reactions- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Urticaria- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$				
Psychiatric DisordersAggression8Agitation $$ Amnesia- $$ -Confusion- $$ $$ Depression- $$ $$ Hallucinations- $$ $$ Hysteria- $$ -Increased libido- $$ $$ Increased libido- $$ $$ Insomnia5 $$ -Psychosis- $$ -Suicidal attempt- $$ -Cough5Respiratory $$ Asthma- $$ -Respiratory $$ Asthma- $$ -Respiratory- $$ -Asthma- $$ -Respiratory depression- $$ -Respiratory depression- $$ -Rhinorrhea- $$ -Shortness of breath- $$ -Paradoxical reactions- $$ $$	Muscle weakness	-	\checkmark	-
Aggression 8 - - Agitation - - √ Amnesia - √ - Confusion - √ √ Depression - √ √ Hallucinations - √ √ Hysteria - √ - Increased libido - √ - Insomnia 5 √ - Psychosis - √ - Respiratory - - - Suicidal attempt - √ - Cough 5 - - Asthma - - 2 Chest congestion - √ - Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages - √ - Respiratory depression - √ - Respiratory depression - √ - Rhinorrhea - √ - Shortness of breath - √ - Paradoxical reactions <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>\checkmark</td> <td>-</td>		-	\checkmark	-
Agitation $$ Amnesia- $\sqrt{$ -Confusion- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Depression- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Hallucinations- $\sqrt{$ -Hysteria- $\sqrt{$ -Increased libido- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Insomnia5 $\sqrt{$ -Psychosis- $\sqrt{$ -RespiratorySuicidal attempt- $\sqrt{$ -Cough5Asthma2Chest congestion- $\sqrt{$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $\sqrt{$ -Shortness of breath- $\sqrt{$ -Shortness- $\sqrt{$ -Paradoxical reactions- $\sqrt{$ -Urticaria $\sqrt{$ -	Psychiatric Disorders			
Amnesia- $$ -Confusion- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Depression- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Hallucinations- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Hysteria- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Increased libido- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Insomnia5 $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Psychosis- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Respiratory $\sqrt{$ Suicidal attempt- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Cough5Respiratory $\sqrt{$ Asthma- $\sqrt{$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression- $\sqrt{$ -Rhinorrhea- $\sqrt{$ -Shortness of breath- $\sqrt{$ -Other- $\sqrt{$ -Asthenia $\sqrt{$ Urticaria- $\sqrt{$ -	Aggression	8	-	-
Confusion- $$ $$ Depression- $$ $$ Hallucinations- $$ -Hysteria- $$ -Increased libido- $$ $$ Insomnia5 $$ -Psychosis- $$ -Respiratory $$ Suicidal attempt- $$ -Cough5Respiratory $$ Asthma2Chest congestion- $$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $$ -Respiratory depression- $$ -Shortness of breath- $$ -Other- $$ -Asthenia $$ Urticaria $$	Agitation	-	-	\checkmark
Depression- $$ $$ Hallucinations- $$ -Hysteria- $$ -Increased libido- $$ -Increased libido- $$ $$ Insomnia5 $$ -Psychosis- $$ -RespiratorySuicidal attempt- $$ -Cough5Respiratory $$ Asthma2Chest congestion- $$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $$ -Respiratory depression- $$ -Rhinorrhea- $$ -Shortness of breath- $$ -Other- $$ -Paradoxical reactions- $$ $$ Urticaria $$ $$	Amnesia	-	\checkmark	-
Hallucinations- $$ -Hysteria- $\sqrt{$ -Increased libido- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Insomnia5 $\sqrt{$ -Psychosis- $\sqrt{$ -RespiratorySuicidal attempt- $\sqrt{$ -Cough5Respiratory- $\sqrt{$ -Asthma2Chest congestion- $\sqrt{$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression- $\sqrt{$ -Rhinorrhea- $\sqrt{$ -Shortness of breath- $\sqrt{$ -Other- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Asthenia $\sqrt{$ Paradoxical reactions- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Urticaria $\sqrt{$	Confusion	-	\checkmark	\checkmark
Hallucinations- $$ -Hysteria- $\sqrt{$ -Increased libido- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Insomnia5 $\sqrt{$ -Psychosis- $\sqrt{$ -RespiratorySuicidal attempt- $\sqrt{$ -Cough5Respiratory $\sqrt{$ Asthma2Chest congestion- $\sqrt{$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression- $\sqrt{$ -Rhinorrhea- $\sqrt{$ -Shortness of breath- $\sqrt{$ -Other- $\sqrt{$ -Asthenia $\sqrt{$ Paradoxical reactions- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Urticaria $\sqrt{$	Depression	-	\checkmark	\checkmark
Hysteria- $$ -Increased libido- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Insomnia5 $\sqrt{$ -Psychosis- $\sqrt{$ -RespiratorySuicidal attempt- $\sqrt{$ -Cough5Respiratory5Respiratory- $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory2Chest congestion- $\sqrt{$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression- $\sqrt{$ -Rhinorrhea- $\sqrt{$ -Shortness of breath- $\sqrt{$ -Other- $\sqrt{$ -Asthenia $\sqrt{$ Paradoxical reactions- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Urticaria $\sqrt{$		-		-
Increased libido- $$ $$ Insomnia5 $$ -Psychosis- $$ -RespiratorySuicidal attempt- $$ -Cough5RespiratoryAsthma2Chest congestion- $$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $$ -Respiratory depression- $$ -Rhinorrhea- $$ -Shortness of breath- $$ -Other- $$ -Asthenia $$ Urticaria- $$ $$		-	\checkmark	-
Insomnia5 $$ -Psychosis- $\sqrt{$ -RespiratorySuicidal attempt- $\sqrt{$ -Cough5RespiratoryAsthma2Chest congestion- $\sqrt{$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression- $\sqrt{$ -Rhinorrhea- $\sqrt{$ -Shortness of breath- $\sqrt{$ -Other- $\sqrt{$ -Asthenia $\sqrt{$ Paradoxical reactions- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Urticaria- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$		-	1	
Psychosis- $$ -RespiratorySuicidal attempt- $\sqrt{$ -Cough5Respiratory2Asthma2Chest congestion- $\sqrt{$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression- $\sqrt{$ -Rhinorrhea- $\sqrt{$ -Shortness of breath- $\sqrt{$ -Other- $\sqrt{$ -Paradoxical reactions- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Urticaria- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$		5	1	
RespiratorySuicidal attempt- $$ -Cough5Respiratory2Asthma2Chest congestion- $$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $$ -Respiratory depression- $$ -Rhinorrhea- $$ -Shortness of breath- $$ -Other- $$ -Asthenia $$ Paradoxical reactions- $$ $$ Urticaria- $$ $$			1	-
Suicidal attempt- $$ -Cough5RespiratoryAsthma2Chest congestion- $\sqrt{$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $\sqrt{$ -Respiratory depression- $\sqrt{$ -Rhinorrhea- $\sqrt{$ -Shortness of breath- $\sqrt{$ -Other- $\sqrt{$ -Asthenia $\sqrt{$ Paradoxical reactions- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$ Urticaria- $\sqrt{$ $\sqrt{$		-		
Cough5RespiratoryAsthma2Chest congestion- $$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $$ -Respiratory depression- $$ -Rhinorrhea- $$ -Shortness of breath- $$ -Other- $$ -Asthenia $$ Paradoxical reactions- $$ $$ Urticaria- $$ $$			1	
RespiratoryAsthma2Asthma- $$ -Chest congestion- $$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $$ -Respiratory depression- $$ -Rhinorrhea- $$ -Shortness of breath- $$ -Other- $$ -Asthenia $$ Paradoxical reactions- $$ $$ Urticaria $$			-	-
Asthma2Chest congestion- $$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $$ -Respiratory depression- $$ -Rhinorrhea- $$ -Shortness of breath- $$ -Other- $$ -Asthenia $$ Paradoxical reactions- $$ $$ Urticaria $$		0		
Chest congestion- $$ -Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $$ -Respiratory depression- $$ -Rhinorrhea- $$ -Shortness of breath- $$ -Other- $$ -Asthenia $$ Paradoxical reactions- $$ Urticaria $$		_	_	2
Hypersecretion in upper respiratory passages- $$ -Respiratory depression- $$ -Rhinorrhea- $$ -Shortness of breath- $$ -Other- $$ -Asthenia $$ Paradoxical reactions- $$ Urticaria $$				
Respiratory depression- $$ -Rhinorrhea- $$ -Shortness of breath- $$ -Other- $$ -Asthenia $$ Paradoxical reactions- $$ $$ Urticaria $$				
Rhinorrhea- $$ -Shortness of breath- $$ -Other- $$ -Asthenia $$ Paradoxical reactions- $$ $$ Urticaria $$			1	
Shortness of breath- $$ -Other $$ Asthenia $$ Paradoxical reactions- $$ $$ Urticaria $$			1	
OtherAsthenia- \checkmark Paradoxical reactions- $$ Urticaria $$			1	
Asthenia- $$ Paradoxical reactions- $$ Urticaria		-	N	-
Paradoxical reactions- $$ Urticaria				1
Urticaria v				1
		-	N	
	Urticaria √Percent not specified.	-	-	\wedge

√Percent not specified. - Event not reported.



Page 129 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Table 6c. Adverse Drug Events (%)-Hydantoins^{1,47,51-54}

Adverse Event	Ethotoin	Phenytoin
Cardiovascular		
Chest pain	\checkmark	-
Ventricular conduction depression	-	√
Ventricular fibrillation	-	
Central Nervous System	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Ataxia	\checkmark	
Decreased coordination	-	
Dizziness	\checkmark	\checkmark
Dyskinesias	-	
Headache	\checkmark	
Insomnia	\checkmark	\checkmark
Nystagmus	\checkmark	
Mental confusion	-	\checkmark
Motor twitching	-	
Slurred speech	-	
Transient nervousness	-	
Connective Tissue System		•
Coarsening of facial features	-	
Enlargement of lips	-	
Gingival hyperplasia	_	
Hypertrichosis	-	V
Peyronie's disease	_	Ń
Dermatologic		· ·
Bullous dermatitis	-	
Exfoliative dermatitis	-	Ń
Lupus erythematosus	-	Ń
Morbilliform rashes	-	Ń
Purpuric dermatitis	-	Ń
Rash		-
Scarlatiniform rashes	_	
Stevens-Johnson syndrome	\checkmark	Ń
Toxic epidermal necrolysis	-	
Gastrointestinal		,
Constipation	-	
Diarrhea		-
Nausea		
Vomiting		
Hemopoietic	,	,
Agranulocytosis	-	
Granulocytosis		
Leukopenia		
Lymphadenopathy		√
Macrocytosis anemia		√
Maciocytosis anemia Megaloblastic anemia		√
Pancytopenia with or without bone marrow suppression		
Thrombocytopenia	-	N
Immunologic	-	V
Hypersensitivity syndrome	-	<u> </u>
Immunoglobulin abnormalities	-	√
Periarteritis nodosa	-	



Page 130 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Adverse Event	Ethotoin	Phenytoin
Systemic lupus erythematosus		\checkmark
Other		
Double vision		-
Fatigue		-
Fever		\checkmark
Gum hypertrophy		-
Liver damage	-	\checkmark
Sensory peripheral polyneuropathy	-	\checkmark
Taste perversion	-	3.3
Toxic hepatitis	-	\checkmark
Percent not specified		

√Percent not specified. - Event not reported.

Table 6d. Adverse Drug Events (%)-Succinimides^{1,24,33,34}

Adverse Event(s)	Ethosuximide	Methsuximide
Cardiovascular		
Hyperemia	-	
Central Nervous System	·	
Aggressiveness	\checkmark	
Ataxia	\checkmark	
Auditory hallucinations	-	
Blurred vision	-	\checkmark
Confusion	-	\checkmark
Depression	-	\checkmark
Dizziness	\checkmark	\checkmark
Drowsiness	\checkmark	\checkmark
Euphoria	\checkmark	-
Fatigue	\checkmark	-
Headache	\checkmark	\checkmark
Hiccups	\checkmark	\checkmark
Hyperactivity	\checkmark	-
Hypochondriacal behavior	-	\checkmark
Inability to concentrate	\checkmark	-
Insomnia	-	\checkmark
Instability	\checkmark	\checkmark
Irritability	-	
Lethargy	\checkmark	-
Mental slowness	-	
Nervousness	-	
Night terrors	\checkmark	-
Photophobia	-	
Psychosis	-	
Sleep disturbances		-
Suicidal behavior/intentions	\checkmark	
Dermatologic		
Hirsutism		-
Pruritic erythematosus rashes		
Stevens-Johnson syndrome		
Systemic lupus erythematosus		-
Urticaria	√	\checkmark
Gastrointestinal		
Abdominal pain	\checkmark	



Page 131 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Adverse Event(s)	Ethosuximide	Methsuximide
Anorexia		
Constipation	-	
Cramps		-
Diarrhea		
Epigastric pain		
Nausea		
Vague gastric upset		-
Vomiting		\checkmark
Weight loss		
Genitourinary		
Increased libido		-
Microscopic hematuria		
Proteinuria	-	
Vaginal bleeding		-
Hemopoietic		
Agranulocytosis		-
Eosinophilia		
Leukopenia		
Monocytosis	-	
Pancytopenia with or without bone marrow suppression		
Other		
Gum hypertrophy		-
Муоріа		-
Periorbital edema	-	\checkmark
Swelling of the tongue		-
Percent not specified.		

√Percent not specified. - Event not reported.





Table 6e. Adverse Drug Events (%)-Anticonvulsants, Miscellaneous^{1,23,26,27,31,32,35-44,46,55, 57-65}

able 6e. Adverse Drug Ev			Jiivuisa		cenarie	Jus							1				
Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Cardiovascular																	
Angina pectoris	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark		-	-	-
Atrial arrhythmia	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Atrial fibrillation	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
Atrioventricular, block first degree	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	1	-	-	-
Bradycardia	-		-			-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	
Bundle block right	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cardiac arrest	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cardiac failure	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cerebral hemorrhage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cerebral ischemia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Chest pain	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 4	-	≥1	1 to 4		1 to 5	
Congestive heart failure	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Electrocardiogram abnormal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Flushing	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gangrene	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Heart block	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Heart failure	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
Hemorrhage	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Hypertension			-			-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	1 to 2		-	\checkmark
Hypotension	\checkmark	-	-		\checkmark	-	-	-	1 to 2	-		-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark
Ischemic necrosis	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Myocardial infarct	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	•	-	-		-	-	-	-
Palpitation	-		-					-		-	-	-		-		-	
Pericardial effusion	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pericardial rub	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Pericarditis	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peripheral ischemia	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peripheral vascular disorder	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Phlebitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Postural hypotension	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-
Premature atrial contraction	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pulmonary embolus	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Retinal vascular disorder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST depressed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Subventricular tachycardia	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Syncope		-	-	-	V	-		-		-		-		-	-	-	
Tachycardia	_		-		Ń	-	Ň	-	Ń	-	-	-	Ń	-		-	
Thrombophlebitis		-	-	V		-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	
Torsades de pointes	-	-	-	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vascular insufficiency	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Vasculitis	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vasodilation	-	-	-	-	1.1	-		-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Ventricular extrasystoles	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
Ventricular fibrillation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Central Nervous Syste	m																
Abnormal coordination	\checkmark	\checkmark	5 to 12	-	1.1 to 1.5	1 to 6	6 to 7	-	1 to 4	<2	1 to 6	1.6	≥1	4	\checkmark	7 to 16	\checkmark
Abnormal dreams	-		-	-		-		-	-	-		-		-		1 to 5	
Aggression	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agitation		-	-			-		6	1 to 2	-		-	1	3	-	-	9





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Amblyopia	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≥1 to 4	-	\checkmark	-	-
Amnesia	-	5 to 21	<3	-	1.2 to 2.2	-	\checkmark	2	1 to 5	-	1 to 6	-	-	-	5 to 21	5 to 7	-
Anger	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Anxiety	-	\checkmark	2 to 5	5.2	\checkmark	-	4	2	5 to 7	2 to 4	2	3	≥1	4 to 10	\checkmark	6	3
Apathy	-	-	-			-		-		-		-		1	-	-	-
Aphasia	-	-	1 to 7	-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Apraxia	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-
Asthenia	-	10 to 27	4 to 6	\checkmark	5.7	2 to 4	8	1.3 to 15.0	1 to 6	<2	2 to 7	-	20 to 23	4 to 6	10 to 27	-	\checkmark
Ataxia	-	-	-	-	3.3 to 12.5	4 to 15	10 to 28	3	1 to 31	1 to 8	1 to 20	4.0 to 5.4	5 to 9	3 to 16	-	-	6
Aura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance disorder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blurred vision	~	12	2 to 10	-	-	2 to 16	4 to 16	-	-	1 to 4	1 to 12	≥5	-	-	12	13 to 16	-
Central nervous system neoplasm	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	>	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Cerebellar syndrome	-	-	-	-		\checkmark	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-
Cerebral edema	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cerebrovascular accident	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
Cerebrovascular disorder	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Choreoathetosis	-	-	-			-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Circumoral paresthesia	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark
Cognitive disorder	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Cogwheel rigidity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Coma	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
Concentration impaired	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	2	-	1 to 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Confusion	\checkmark	\checkmark	4 to 16	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	2	1 to 7	<2	1 to 7	-	5	3 to 4	\checkmark	5 to 6	6
Convulsion	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 to 3	3	1 to 5	-	-	≥5	-	-	-	-	
Cranial injury	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delirium	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delusions	-	-	-		-	-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-
Depersonalization	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-		-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	5 to 9	-	-	-
Depression	\checkmark	4 to 5	-	-	1.8	2	4	3 to 5	-	-	2	-	3 to 7	5 to 9	4 to 5	6 to 14	6
Difficulty with memory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
Difficulty with verbal expressions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Disorientation	-	-	<5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Disturbance in attention	-	-	6 to 7	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	4 to 6	3	6 to 14	4 to 9	-	9	6
Dizziness	\checkmark	4 to 25	15 to 32	-	2.5 to 28.0	16 to 53	7 to 54	1.4 to 9.0	6 to 49	9 to 43	5 to 45	2.7 to 19.0	27 to 28	13 to 25	4 to 25	24 to 26	13
Double vision	\checkmark	16	-	3.4	1.2 to 5.9	6 to 16	5 to 49	2	1 to 40	-	2 to 12	≥5	≥1	10	16	7 to 16	6
Dysarthria	-	-	2 to 8	\checkmark	2.4			-	-	<4	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark
Dysautonomia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dyskinesia	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-		-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark
Dysmetria	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dysphonia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Dystonia	-	-	-			-		-	\checkmark	-		-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	
Electroencephalogram	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
abnormal																	
Emotional liability	-		-	-	4.2	-	4	2 to 6	2 to 8	-	-	-	3	3		-	-
Encephalopathy	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-		-		\checkmark	-		
Euphoria	-	-	-			-		-		<2	2 to 7	-		-	-	-	
Extrapyramidal symptoms	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Facial paralysis	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fatigue	\checkmark	-	13 to 16	6.9	3.4 to 11.0	7 to 15	8	10	5 to 21	5 to 12	1 to 8	9.5 to 16.0	-	11 to 30	-	23 to 40	8
Gait disturbances	-	\checkmark	2 to 6	-	1.5	<1 to 4	4	-	3 to 17	<4	1 to 8	1.4 to 3.0	3 to 5	3	\checkmark	6 to 12	\checkmark
Guillain-Barre syndrome	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hallucination						-		-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-
Headache	\checkmark	31	-	6.9	3.3	11 to 14	29	14	10 to 32	11 to 13	5 to 14	>10	≥1	-	31	26 to 33	10
Hemiplegia	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Hostility	-	\checkmark	-	-	7.6	-	\checkmark	2 to 12	-	-	\checkmark	-	2 to 5	-	\checkmark	-	-
Hypalgesia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hyperalgesia	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Hyperesthesia	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	
Hyperkinesia	-	-	-	-		-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	5	-	-	
Hypersomnia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypertonia	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-		3	-	-	\checkmark
Hypoesthesia	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		<3	2 to 3	-	-	2 to 5	-	-	-
Hypokinesia	-	-	-	-	2.5	-		-		-		-		-	-	-	
Hypotonia	-	-	-	-		-		-		-		-		-	-		
Hysteria	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Insomnia	-	9 to	-	8.6	\checkmark	-	6 to	-	2 to 6	-	-	-	5 to 6	8 to 9	9 to	-	6





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
		15					10								15		
Intracranial hypertension	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Irritability	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	3	7 [*]	-	4 to 12	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	7 to 23	9
Lack of energy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	4 to 7	-
Language problems	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Lethargy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Light headedness	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Manic reaction	-	-	-			-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Memory impairment	-	-	3 to 9	-	-	1 to 6	\checkmark	-	-	<2	1 to 4	-	-	5 to 13	-	7 to 16	6
Mental slowing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Migraine	-	-	-			-		-		-	-	-			-	-	-
Mood altered	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	<2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Movement disorder	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Myoclonus	-	-		-		-		-	-	-	1 to 4	-		-	-	-	
Nervousness	-	7 to 11	-	-	2.4	-	2	1.7 to 10.0	2 to 7	-	1	-	10 to 14	4 to 19	7 to 11	2 to 5	2
Neuralgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Neuritis	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Neurosis	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-		1	-	-	-
Nystagmus	-	1 to 8	-	\checkmark	8.3	2 to 10	-	-	1 to 26	-	\checkmark	≥5	2	10	1 to 8	13 to 19	4
Oculogyric crisis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Paralysis	-	-	-		-	-		-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Paranoid reaction	-	-	-			-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-
Paresthesia	\checkmark	\checkmark	2 to 5	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	2	-	<2	\checkmark	-	4	1 to 40	\checkmark	2 to 7	4
Peripheral neuritis	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-	-	-	





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Personality disorder	-		-	-		-		8		-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-		-	-
Psychological disturbance	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Psychomotor hyperactivity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
Psychosis	-		<2	\checkmark		-		-		-	-	-		-		\checkmark	-
Psychotic depression	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reflexes decreased	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	\checkmark	2	-	4 to 5	-
Reflexes increased	-	-	-	-		-	-	2		-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	2 to 4	\checkmark
Respiratory depression	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schizophrenic reaction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Sleep disorder	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somnolence	-	19 to 30	15 to 27	-	8.4 to 21.4	5 to 8	9 to 17	4.4 to 23.0	5 to 36	7 to 18	3 to 28	≥5.0 to 24.3	18 to 19	9 to 29	19 to 30	22 to 26	17
Speech disorder		\sqrt{x}	-	-		-	3	-	1 to 3	-	1 to 7	-	4	13		-	5
Status epilepticus	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 to 5	-
Stupor	-	-	-	-		-		-		-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	2	-	-	-
Suicide attempt	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thinking abnormal	-	6	-	-	1.7 to 2.7	-	3	-	2 to 4	-	1 to 9	-	\checkmark	-	6	3 to 7	-
Tiredness	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
Torticollis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tremor	-	19 to 57	3 to 12	-	6.8	4 to 12	4 to 10	-	3 to 16	-	1 to 11	≥5	9 to 21	9	19 to 57	15 to 16	\checkmark
Trismus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Twitching	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 5	-	≥1	-	-	-	\checkmark
Vertigo	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	3 to 5	2	3	3 to 15	4 to 5	1 to 4	3	\checkmark	1	\checkmark	2 to 5	\checkmark





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Dermatologic	1	1				1	1				1	1	1	1		1	
Abnormal body odor	-	-	-		N	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Abscess	-	-	-	-	√	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Acne	-	-	-	3.4		-		-	1 to 2	-	-	-	≥1	2 to 3	-	-	
Alopecia	\checkmark	6 to 24	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	2 to 5	6 to 24	-	\checkmark
Angioedema	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Bullous eruption	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contact dermatitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Cyst	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Desquamation	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dry skin	-		-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-
Eczema	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	2	-	\checkmark	-		-	\checkmark	1	-	-	\checkmark
Erythema	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Exfoliative dermatitis		-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Folliculitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fungal dermatitis	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Furunculosis	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Heat rash	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Herpes simplex	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Herpes zoster	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hirsutism	$\sqrt{1}$	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark
Lichenoid dermatitis	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Maculopapular rash	-	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark
Melanosis	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Nail disorder	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Petechial rash	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Pruritus			-	\checkmark	1.3	2 to 3	2 to 3	2	-	-		3	2	1 to 9			\checkmark
Psoriasis	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-		-	-	-	\checkmark	2	-	-	-
Purpuric rash	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-		-	-	-	-	-	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Pustular rash	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	~
Rash	-	6	-	3.4	1.2	-	7 to 14	-	2 to 4	-	-	4	5	1 to 4	6	4 to 5	3
Skin atrophy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Skin benign neoplasm	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Skin carcinoma	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Skin discoloration		-	-	-	\checkmark	-		2	-	-	-	-		1	-	-	-
Skin necrosis	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Skin nodules	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
Skin ulcer	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
Stevens-Johnson syndrome	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
Subcutaneous nodule	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Subcutaneous nodule	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Sweating	-	-	-			-	-	-	3	-	-	-		1	-	-	
Toxic epidermal necrolysis	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
Urticaria		-	-			-		-		-		-			-	-	
Vesiculobullous rash	-	-	-	-		-		2	-	-		-		-	-	-	
Endocrine System																	
Cushingoid appearance	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Diabetes mellitus	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Goiter	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Hyperthyroidism	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypoestrogen	-	-	-	-	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypothyroidism	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Ovarian failure	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gastrointestinal		•														•	
Abdominal distention	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 2	-	-	-	-	2	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Abdominal pain	\checkmark	9 to 23	-	-	2.7	-	5 to 10	-	3 to 13	-	\checkmark	-	7	5 to 7	9 to 23	2 to 3	6
Abdominal pain upper	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	5	-
Abnormal stools	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Anorexia	\checkmark	4 to 12	-	-	\checkmark	-	2	3 to 13	5 to 3	-	-	-	≥1	9 to 15	4 to 12	-	13
Aphthous stomatitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Cholangitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cholecystitis	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-		-	-	-	
Cholelithiasis	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-		-	-	-	
Cholestatic jaundice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Colitis	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	
Constipation	\checkmark	\checkmark	1 to 5	6.9	1.5 to 3.9	\checkmark	4 to 5	3	1 to 6	2 to 3	2 to 7	3	≥1	1 to 5	\checkmark	5 to 8	2
Decreased appetite	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
Diarrhea	\checkmark	13 to 23	-	5.2	5.7	3 to 5	6 to 11	8	2 to 7	-	-	-	7	2 to 11	13 to 23	10 to 6	5
Duodenitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
Dyspepsia	-	8 to 11	2 to 3	8.6	2.2	\checkmark	2 to 7	-	1 to 6	-	-	3	≥1	2 to 7	8 to 11	4 to 5	3
Dysphagia	-	-	<3	\checkmark	\checkmark	-		-		-	\checkmark	-		1	-	-	\checkmark
Enteritis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eructation	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-		-		-	-	-		-		-	-
Esophageal ulcer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Esophagitis	-	-	-			-	-	-		-		-			-		
Fecal incontinence	-	$\sqrt{1}$	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	
Flatulence	-		-		2.1	-		-		-	1 to 3	-	≥1	1		-	
Gastritis	-	-	-			-		-	1 to 2	-		-		3	-	-	
Gastroduodenal ulcer	-	-	-			-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Gastroenteritis	-	$\sqrt{1}$	-	-		-	-	4	-	-		-	≥1	2 to 3		-	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Gastrointestinal	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-
hemorrhage																	
Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase elevated	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	1 to 3	-	-	-
Gingivitis	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-		1	-	-	-
Glossitis		$\sqrt{1}$	-			-		-	-	-	-	-		1		-	
Gum hemorrhage	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Gum hyperplasia	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-		1	-	-	
Halitosis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Hematemesis	-		-		-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hepatomegaly	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Hyperammonemia	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Increased appetite	-				1.1	-		-		-	1 to 7		2	-		1 to 5	-
Increased salivation	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-		6	-	-	-
Irritable bowel syndrome	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
Melena	-	-	-	-		-		-		-		-			-	-	
Nausea	\checkmark	15 to 48	6 to 9	-	3.9 to 8.4	6 to 16	7 to 25	5^{*}	15 to 29	3 to 8	-	7 to >10	11	6 to 14	15 to 48	2 to 10	9
Pancreatitis	-		-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-
Rectal hemorrhage	-	-	-			-	-	-	2	-		-		-	-	-	
Stomatitis		-	-	-		-		-		-	-	-			-	-	
Ulcerative stomatitis	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	
Vomiting	\checkmark	15 to 27	-	8.6	3.3 to 8.4	-	5 to 20	15	5 to 36	2 to 4	1 to 3	≥5 to 17	7	1 to 3	15 to 27	7 to 9	\checkmark
Genitourinary																	
Abnormal ejaculation	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Abortion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Acute kidney failure	-	-	-			-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Albuminuria		-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-		-	-	\checkmark	-	-	
Amenorrhea	-		-	-	\checkmark	-	2	-	-	-		-		2		-	
Anorgasmia	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Balanitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Bladder calculus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Bladder neoplasm	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Bladder pain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Breast enlargement	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
Breast pain	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		4	-	-	-
Cervicitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Chromaturia	-	-	<3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cystitis	-		-	-	\checkmark	-		-	-	-	-	-		1 to 3		-	-
Decreased libido	-	-	-	-		-		-		-		-			-	-	
Dysmenorrhea	-		-	-	\checkmark	-	5 to 7	-	-	-		-		-			-
Dyspareunia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Dysuria	-	-	1 to 4			-		-		-				-	-	-	
Enuresis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Epididymitis	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Female lactation	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Fibrocystic breast	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Glomerulitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Gynecomastia	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hematuria	-	-	1 to 2	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-		-				2	-	-	
Impotence		-	-	-	1.5	-		-	-	-		-		-	-	-	
Incontinence	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Increased libido	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Intermenstrual bleeding	-	-	-	3.4	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kidney calculus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kidney failure	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Leukorrhea	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mastitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Menorrhagia	-	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-		-	\checkmark	-		2	-	-	-
Metrorrhagia	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-		-		-	
Nephritis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nephrolithiasis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Nocturia	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-			-	-	-	
Oliguria		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-		-	-	-
Ovarian disorder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Papanicolaou smear suspicious	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Pollakiuria	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Polyuria	-	-	-	-		-		-	\checkmark	-	-				-	-	
Pyelonephritis	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
Renal stone	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Salpingitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Urethritis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Urinary abnormality	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Urinary frequency			-	-		-	\checkmark	-	1 to 2	-	\checkmark	-	≥1	1		-	
Urinary hesitation	-	-	1 to 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Urinary incontinence	-		-	-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	1 to 2			2		-	
Urinary retention		-	-	\checkmark		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	-			-	-	
Urinary tract infection	-	\checkmark	-	3.4	\checkmark	-	3	-	1 to 5	-	-	-	≥1 to 5	2	\checkmark	4 to 5	-
Urinary urgency	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Vaginal hemorrhage	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-		3	-	-	-
Vaginitis	-		-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
Hemopoietic and Lym	phatic				•		•										
Agranulocytosis			-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Anemia	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-		\checkmark	\checkmark	1 to 2	-	-	\checkmark





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Antinuclear factor test positive	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aplastic anemia			-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Ecchymosis	-	4 to 5	-	-		-		4	2 to 4	-		-	≥1	-	4 to 5	-	2
Eosinophilia		-	-		-	-		-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
Erythrocytes abnormal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Granulocytopenia	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Hypochromic anemia	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Immunodeficiency	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Iron deficiency	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-
Leukocytosis		-	-		-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Leukopenia		-	-		1.1	-		-		-				2	-	-	
Lymphadenopathy		-	-			-	2	-	2	-			\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark
Microcytic anemia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Myelofibrosis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Neutropenia	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Pancytopenia			-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-
Petechia	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	
Polycythemia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Prothrombin decreased	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Purpura	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Qualitative platelet disorder	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thrombocythemia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Thrombocytopenia	\checkmark	1 to 24	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	1	-	1 to 24	-	\checkmark
Metabolic and Nutrition	nal Disc	orders															
Alkaline phosphate increase	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Alanine transaminase increase	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aspartate aminotransferase increase	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Creatinine phosphokinase increase	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dehydration	-	-	-	-		-	-	2	-	-	-	-			-	-	
Diabetic ketoacidosis	-	3 to 8	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	3 to 8	-	-
Edema		-				-	2	-	1 to 2	-	1 to 6	-	-	-	-	5 to 7	
Glucose tolerance decrease	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gout	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-
Hyperglycemia	-		-	-	1.2	-		-		-	-	-				-	-
Hyperlipidemia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-
Hypoglycemia	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	1 to 3	-		1	-	-	
Hypokalemia	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-			-	-	-
Hyponatremia	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	1 to 5	<2	-	-			-	-	
Hypophosphatemia	-	-	-	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Lactic dehydrogenase increase	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
Peripheral edema	-	-	-	-	1.7 to 8.3	-	-	-	-	<2	2 to 16	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
Serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase increased	-	V	-	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	V	V	-	\checkmark
Serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase	-	\checkmark	-	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
increased																	
Weight gain	-	4 to 9	2 to 3	\checkmark	1.8 to 2.9	-	\checkmark	-	1 to 2	4	1 to 16	-	\checkmark	1	4 to 9	6 to 14	\checkmark
Weight loss	-	6	-	3.4	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	6 to 21	6	-	3
Urate crystalluria	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Musculoskeletal	•	•							•				•				
Arthralgia	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	2	-	-	<2	2 to 6	-	\checkmark	1 to 7	\checkmark	5 to 10	\checkmark
Arthritis	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	
Arthrosis	-	$\sqrt{1}$	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-		-	-
Bursitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Chondrodystrophy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Fracture	-	-	-	-	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Generalized spasm	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
Leg cramps	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	\checkmark
Muscle spasms	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 to 4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Muscle weakness	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Myalgia	-	\checkmark	-	-	2	-	\checkmark	-	-	1 to 3	\checkmark	-	≥1 to 5	2	\checkmark	3 to 5	\checkmark
Myasthenia	-		-	-		-		-	-	-	1	-	1	-		-	
Neuropathy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 to 9	-	-	-	-	-	
Sprains/strains	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tendinous contracture	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Respiratory											•					_	
Apnea	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	\checkmark
Asthma	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	2		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Atelectasis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Bronchiolitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Bronchitis	-	5	-	-		-	2 to 7	-	3	-	1 to 3	3	\checkmark	2 to 7	5	5	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Bronchospasm	-	-	-	-		-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cough	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cough increased	-	\checkmark	-	-	1.8	-	7 to 8	2 to 11	5	-	-	-	4	2 to 4	\checkmark	2 to 14	\checkmark
Dyspnea		1 to 5	-	\checkmark		-	2 to 5	-	\checkmark	-	1	-	\checkmark	1 to 2	1 to 5	-	
Epistaxis	-		-			-	2 to 5	-	4	-	-	-		2 to 4		-	-
Hemoptysis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	
Hiccups	-	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Hoarseness	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hyperventilation	-	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Hypoxia	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Laryngitis	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Laryngismus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Limb injury	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lung edema	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Lung fibrosis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Mucositis	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nasal obstruction	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nasopharyngitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7 [*]	-	-	-	≥5	-	-	-	9 to 14	-
Pharyngitis	-	2 to 8	-	-	1.2 to 2.8	-	5 to 14	6 to 10	3	-	-	-	7	6	2 to 8	-	\checkmark
Pharyngolaryngeal pain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	7 to 14	-
Pneumonia			-			-	-	-	1 to 2	-	-	-		5		-	-
Respiratory disorder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		5	-	-	-
Rhinitis	-	5	-	6.9	4.1	-	7 to 14	4 to 13	2 to 10	-	-	-	≥1	2 to 7	5	-	2
Sinusitis	-		-	-		-	1 to 5	2	2 to 4	-	4 to 7	3	≥1	2 to 5	\checkmark	-	-
Snoring	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Upper respiratory infection	-	12 to 20	-	8.6	\checkmark	-	-	-	5 to 10	3 to 4	-	-	-	16 to 18	12 to 20	7 to 9	-
Voice alteration	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Yawn	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Other				•				•	•	•					•		
Abnormal vision	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	2 to 14	-	1 to 5	-	\checkmark	13	\checkmark	-	-
Abnormality of accommodation	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	2	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-
Accidental injury	-	*	-	-	3.3	-	14	17	-	-	2 to 11	-	≥1 to 21	6 to 14	\checkmark	-	\checkmark
Addiction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Allergic reaction	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-	2	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	<1 to 2	\checkmark	-	\checkmark
Amblyopia	-	\checkmark	-	-	2.7 to 4.2	-	\checkmark	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark
Anaphylactoid reaction	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-
Anisocoria	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Ascites	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Back pain	-	\checkmark	-	-	1.8	-	8	-	2 to 4	2 to 5	1 to 4	3	≥1	2 to 5		4 to 7	-
Birth defects	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-
Blepharitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
Blindness	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-		-	-	-	-
Buccal mucous membrane swelling	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cellulites	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-		-	-	-	-
Chills	\checkmark	$\sqrt{1}$	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-		-	-
Conjunctivitis	\checkmark	$\sqrt{1}$	-	-	1.2	-	\checkmark	3	-	-		-	≥1	2 to 4		-	\checkmark
Contusion	-	-	-	-	-	2 to 4	-	-	-	<2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Corneal ulcer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Deafness	-		-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-		2			
Diplopia	-	-	6 to 8	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dry eyes	-	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dry mouth	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	1.7 to 4.8	\checkmark	6	-	3	-	1 to 15	-	≥1	-	-	-	2
Ear infection	-		-	3.4	1.2	-	-	-	2	-	\checkmark	3		1 to 2		-	-
Ear pain	-	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	2	1 to 2	-	-	-		-	-	5	-
Exophthalmoses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extraocular palsy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremity pain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eye disorder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eye hemorrhage	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eye pain	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Facial edema	-	-	-	3.4		-	2	2	-	-	1 to 3	-		-	-	-	\checkmark
Fall	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	4	2 to 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeling abnormal	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	1 to 2	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeling drunk	-	-	-	-			-	-		-	1 to 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fetal death	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fever	\checkmark	6	-	-	10.1	-	6 to 15	-	3	-	\checkmark	-	≥1	1 to 9	6	4 to 7	-
Flank pain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Flu syndrome	-	12	-	\checkmark	-	-	7	3	-	-	1 to 2	-	≥1 to 9	<1 to 3	12	-	4
Fluid retention	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glaucoma	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Granuloma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hangover effect	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Head injury	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hepatic failure	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Hepatitis	\checkmark	-	-			-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hernia	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Hot flushes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hyperacusis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
Hyperhidrosis	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hyperpyrexia	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypothermia	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Infection	-	-	-	-	5.1	-	5 to 20	13	2 to 7	-	3 to 14	-	≥1 to 19	2 to 7	-	-	-
Influenza	-	-	1 to 5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Intentional injury	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Iritis	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	
Keratitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Keratoconjunctivitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-
Liver function tests abnormal	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Lupus erythematosus	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Malaise	-					-	-	-		-		-		-		5	
Miosis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Mouth ulceration	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	1	-	-	-	\checkmark
Mydriasis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Neck pain	-	$\sqrt{1}$	-	-		-	2	2 to 8	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
Neck rigidity	-	$\sqrt{1}$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-		-	\checkmark
Neoplasm	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	<1 to 2	-	-	-
Night blindness	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Ophthalmoplegia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Oral hypoesthesia	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Otic atrophy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Overdose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-





Adverse Event(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Pain	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	6 to 7	-	-	4 to 5	-	5 to 7	-	-	-	-
Parosmia	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	
Pelvic pain	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-		-	-	-	-
Periodontal abscess	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-		-	-	-	-
Photophobia	-		-	-		-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-		-		\checkmark		-	
Photosensitivity reaction	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	2	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-
Ptosis	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Pyrexia	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retinal edema	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rigors	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Sepsis	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Shock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Skin lacerations	-	-	-	-	-	2 to 3	-	-	-	<2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sudden death	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Sudden infant death syndrome	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Suicide attempt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Taste loss	-	-	-	-		-	\checkmark	-	-	-		-		1 to 2	-	-	-
Taste perversion	-		-	-		-	\checkmark	-	5	-		-		2 to 3		-	2
Thirst	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	2	-	-	-		1 to 2	-	2	
Tinnitus		1 to 7	-	-		\checkmark		-	\checkmark	-		-		4 to 2	1 to 7	2	
Tongue edema	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Uveitis	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Viral infection	-		-	-	10.9	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	4 to 9		-	-
Visual field defect	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	\checkmark

√Percent not specified.

Event not specified.
Event not reported or incidence <1%.
* Extended-release tablets only.
‡ Delayed-release tablets only.





Contraindications

Table 7a. Contraindications-Barbiturates^{1,48-50,56}

Contraindication(s)	Phenobarbital	Primidone
Hypersensitivity to phenobarbital	\checkmark	\checkmark
Patients with histories of manifest or latent porphyria	\checkmark	\checkmark
Patients with marked impairments of liver function or respiratory disease in which dyspnea or obstruction is evident	\checkmark	-

Table 7b. Contraindications-Benzodiazepines^{1,25,28,45}

Contraindication(s)	Clobazam	Clonazepam	Diazepam
Acute narrow-angle glaucoma	-	\checkmark	\checkmark
Children less than six years of age	-	-	\checkmark
Hypersensitivity	-		\checkmark
Significant liver disease	-		-

Table 7c. Contraindications-Hydantoins^{1,47,51-54}

Contraindication(s)	Ethotoin	Phenytoin
Coadministration with delavirdine	-	
Hematologic disorders		-
Hepatic abnormalities		-
Hypersensitivity	-	

Table 7d. Contraindications-Succinimides^{1,24,33,34}

Contraindication(s)	Ethosuximide	Methsuximide
Hypersensitivity	\checkmark	



Page 154 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Table 7e. Contraindications-Anticonvulsants, Miscellaneous^{1,23,26,27,31,32,35-44,46,55, 57-65}

Contraindication(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Coadministration with nefazodone	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Concurrent use with monoamine oxidase inhibitors	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Concurrent use with delavirdine or other non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors	√*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hepatic dysfunction	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
History of any blood dyscrasias	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
History of previous bone marrow depression	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypersensitivity	\checkmark	\checkmark	-			-		-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-		-	
Known urea cycle disorders	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
Patients with familial short QT syndrome	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-

*Equetro®





Boxed Warnings

Boxed Warning for carbamazepine¹

WARNING

Serious dermatologic reactions and HLA-B*1502 allele: Serious and sometimes fatal dermatologic reactions, including toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome, have been reported during treatment with carbamazepine. These reactions are estimated to occur in one to six per 10,000 new users in countries with mainly Caucasian populations, but the risk in some Asian countries is estimated to be approximately 10 times higher. Studies in patients of Chinese ancestry have found a strong association between the risk of developing Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis and the presence of HLA-B*1502, an inherited allelic variant of the HLA-B gene. HLA-B*1502 is found almost exclusively in patients with ancestry across broad areas of Asia. Patients with ancestry in genetically at-risk populations should be screened for the presence of HLA-B*1502 prior to initiating treatment with carbamazepine. Patients testing positive for the allele should not be treated with carbamazepine unless the benefit clearly outweighs the risk.

Aplastic anemia and agranulocytosis: Aplastic anemia and agranulocytosis have been reported in association with the use of carbamazepine. Data from a population-based case-control study demonstrate that the risk of developing these reactions is five to eight times greater than in the general population. However, the overall risk of these reactions in the untreated general population is low, approximately six patients per 1 million population per year for agranulocytosis and two patients per one million population per year for aplastic anemia.

Although reports of transient or persistent decreased platelet or white blood cell counts are not uncommon in association with the use of carbamazepine, data are not available to accurately estimate their incidence or outcome. However, the vast majority of the cases of leukopenia have not progressed to the more serious conditions of aplastic anemia or agranulocytosis.

Because of the very low incidence of agranulocytosis and aplastic anemia, the vast majority of minor hematological changes observed while monitoring patients on carbamazepine are unlikely to signal the occurrence of either abnormality. Nonetheless, obtain complete pretreatment hematological testing as a baseline. If a patient in the course of treatment exhibits low or decreased white blood cell or platelet counts, monitor the patient closely. Consider discontinuation of the drug if any evidence of significant bone marrow depression develops.

Boxed Warning for felbamate¹

WARNING

Before prescribing felbamate, the health care provider should be thoroughly familiar with the details of this prescribing information.

Felbamate should not be used by patients until there has been a complete discussion of the risks and the patient, parent, or guardian has provided written informed consent.

Aplastic anemia: The use of felbamate is associated with a marked increase in the incidence of aplastic anemia. Accordingly, felbamate should only be used in patients whose epilepsy is so severe that the risk of aplastic anemia is deemed acceptable in light of the benefits conferred by its use. Ordinarily, a patient should not be placed on and/or continued on felbamate without consideration of appropriate expert hematologic consultation.

Among felbamate-treated patients, aplastic anemia (pancytopenia in the presence of a bone marrow largely depleted of hematopoietic precursors) occurs at an incidence that may be more than a 100-fold greater than that seen in the untreated population (i.e., two to five per million persons per year). The risk of death in patients with aplastic anemia generally varies as a function of its severity and etiology;



Page 156 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



WARNING

current estimates of the overall case fatality rate are in the range of 20 to 30%, but rates as high as 70% have been reported in the past.

There are too few felbamate-associated cases, and too little known about them to provide a reliable estimate of the syndrome's incidence or its case fatality rate or to identify the factors, if any, that might conceivably be used to predict who is at greater or lesser risk.

In managing patients on felbamate, the clinical manifestation of aplastic anemia may not be seen until after a patient has been taking felbamate for several months (e.g., onset of aplastic anemia among felbamate-exposed patients for whom data are available has ranged from five to 30 weeks). However, the injury to bone marrow stem cells that is held to be ultimately responsible for the anemia may occur weeks to months earlier. Accordingly, patients who are discontinued from felbamate remain at risk for developing anemia for a variable, and unknown, period afterwards.

It is not known whether the risk of developing aplastic anemia changes with duration of exposure. Consequently, it is not safe to assume that a patient who has been on felbamate without signs of hematologic abnormality for long periods of time is without risk.

It is not known whether the dose of felbamate affects the incidence of aplastic anemia.

It is not known whether concomitant use of antiepileptic drugs and/or other drugs affects the incidence of aplastic anemia.

Aplastic anemia typically develops without premonitory clinical or laboratory signs; the full blown syndrome presents with signs of infection, bleeding, or anemia. Accordingly, routine blood testing cannot be reliably used to reduce the incidence of aplastic anemia, but, it will, in some cases, allow the detection of the hematologic changes before the syndrome declares itself clinically. Discontinue felbamate if any evidence of bone marrow depression occurs.

Hepatic failure: Evaluation of postmarketing experience suggests that acute liver failure is associated with the use of felbamate. The reported rate in the United States has been approximately six cases of liver failure leading to death or transplant per 75,000 patient-years of use. This rate is an underestimate because of underreporting, and the true rate could be considerably greater than this. For example, if the reporting rate is 10%, the true rate would be one case per 1,250 patient-years of use.

Of the cases reported, approximately 67% resulted in death or liver transplantation, usually within five weeks of the onset of signs and symptoms of liver failure. The earliest onset of severe hepatic dysfunction followed subsequently by liver failure was three weeks after initiation of felbamate. Although some reports described dark urine and nonspecific prodromal symptoms (e.g., anorexia, malaise, gastrointestinal symptoms), in other reports it was not clear if any prodromal symptoms preceded the onset of jaundice.

It is not known whether the risk of developing hepatic failure changes with duration of exposure.

It is not known whether the dosage of felbamate affects the incidence of hepatic failure.

It is not known whether concomitant use of other antiepileptic drugs and/or other drugs affects the incidence of hepatic failure.

Felbamate should not be prescribed for anyone with a history of hepatic dysfunction.

Treatment with felbamate should be initiated only in individuals without active liver disease and with normal baseline serum transaminases. It has not been proved that periodic serum transaminase testing



Page 157 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



WARNING

will prevent serious injury, but it is generally believed that early detection of drug-induced hepatic injury along with immediate withdrawal of the suspect drug enhances the likelihood for recovery. There is no information available that documents how rapidly patients can progress from normal liver function to liver failure, but other drugs known to be hepatotoxins can cause liver failure rapidly (e.g., from normal enzymes to liver failure in two to four weeks). Accordingly, monitoring of serum transaminase levels (aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase) is recommended at baseline and periodically thereafter. While more frequent monitoring increases the chances of early detection, the precise schedule for monitoring is a matter of clinical judgment.

Discontinue felbamate if serum aspartate aminotransferase or serum alanine aminotransferase levels become increased at least two times the upper limit of normal, or if clinical signs and symptoms suggest liver failure. Patients who develop evidence of hepatocellular injury while taking felbamate and are withdrawn from the drug for any reason should be presumed to be at increased risk for liver injury if felbamate is reintroduced. Accordingly, such patients should not be considered for re-treatment.

Boxed Warning for lamotrigine¹

WARNING

Skin reactions: Lamotrigine can cause serious rashes requiring hospitalization and discontinuation of treatment. The incidence of these rashes, which have included Stevens-Johnson syndrome, is approximately 0.8% (8/1,000) in pediatric patients (two to 16 years of age) receiving lamotrigine immediate release as adjunctive therapy for epilepsy and 0.3% (3/1,000) in adults receiving adjunctive therapy for epilepsy. In clinical trials of bipolar and other mood disorders, the rate of serious rash was 0.08% (0.8/1,000) in adult patients receiving lamotrigine as initial monotherapy and 0.13% (1.3/1,000) in adult patients receiving lamotrigine as adjunctive therapy. In a prospectively followed cohort of 1,983 pediatric patients (two to 16 years of age) with epilepsy taking adjunctive lamotrigine immediate release, there was one rash-related death. In worldwide postmarketing experience, rare cases of toxic epidermal necrolysis and/or rash-related death have been reported in adults and children, but those numbers are too few to permit a precise estimate of the rate.

The risk of serious rash caused by treatment with lamotrigine extended-release is not expected to differ from that with the immediate-release formulation of lamotrigine. However, the relatively limited treatment experience with lamotrigine extended-release makes it difficult to characterize the frequency and risk of serious rashes caused by treatment with lamotrigine extended-release. Lamotrigine extended-release is not approved for patients younger than 13 years.

Other than age, there are no known factors identified to predict the risk of occurrence or the severity of rash caused by lamotrigine. There are suggestions, yet to be proven, that the risk of rash may also be increased by coadministration of lamotrigine with valproate (includes valproic acid and divalproex sodium), exceeding the recommended initial dose of lamotrigine, or exceeding the recommended dose escalation for lamotrigine. However, cases have been reported in the absence of these factors.

Nearly all cases of life-threatening rashes associated with lamotrigine have occurred within two to eight weeks of treatment initiation. However, isolated cases have been reported after prolonged treatment (e.g., six months). Accordingly, duration of therapy cannot be relied upon as a means to predict the potential risk heralded by the first appearance of a rash.

Although benign rashes also occur with lamotrigine, it is not possible to reliably predict which rashes will prove to be serious or life-threatening. Accordingly, discontinue lamotrigine at the first sign of rash unless the rash is clearly not drug-related. Discontinuation of treatment may not prevent a rash from becoming life-threatening or permanently disabling or disfiguring.



Page 158 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Boxed Warning for perampanel¹

WARNING

Serious or life-threatening psychiatric and behavioral adverse reactions including aggression, hostility, irritability, anger, and homicidal ideation and threats have been reported in patients taking perampanel. Monitor patients for these reactions as well as for changes in mood, behavior, or personality that are not typical for the patient, particularly during the titration period and at higher doses. Perampanel should be reduced if these symptoms occur and should be discontinued immediately if symptoms are severe or are worsening.

Boxed Warning for vigabatrin¹

WARNING

Vision loss: Vigabatrin causes permanent vision loss in infants, children, and adults. Because assessing vision loss is difficult in children, the frequency and extent of vision loss in infants and children are poorly characterized. For this reason, the following data are primarily based on the adult experience.

In adults, vigabatrin causes permanent bilateral concentric visual field constriction in 30% or more of patients; it ranges in severity from mild to severe, including tunnel vision to within 10 degrees of visual fixation, and can result in disability. In some cases, vigabatrin also can damage the central retina and may decrease visual acuity.

The onset of vision loss from vigabatrin is unpredictable and can occur within weeks of starting treatment or sooner, or at any time during treatment, even after months or years.

The risk of vision loss increases with increasing dose and cumulative exposure, but there is no dose or exposure known to be free of risk of vision loss.

In infants and children, vision loss may not be detected until it is severe. Nonetheless, unless a patient is formally exempted from periodic ophthalmologic assessment as documented in the SHARE program, assess vision to the extent possible at baseline (no later than four weeks after starting vigabatrin) and at least every three months during therapy. Vision assessment is also required about three to six months after the discontinuation of vigabatrin therapy. Once detected, vision loss caused by vigabatrin is not reversible. It is expected that, even with frequent monitoring, some patients will develop severe vision loss.

Consider drug discontinuation, balancing benefit and risk, if visual loss is documented. It is possible that vision loss can worsen despite discontinuing vigabatrin.

Because of the risk of vision loss, withdraw vigabatrin from patients who do not show substantial clinical benefit within two to four weeks of initiation when used in infants or children or within three months when used in adults, or sooner if treatment failure becomes obvious. Periodically reassess patient response to and continued need for vigabatrin.

Symptoms of vision loss from vigabatrin are unlikely to be recognized by the parent, patient, or caregiver before vision loss is severe. Vision loss of milder severity, although unrecognized by the patient or caregiver, may still adversely affect function.

Do not use vigabatrin in patients with, or at high risk of, other types of irreversible vision loss unless the benefits of treatment clearly outweigh the risks. The interaction of other types of irreversible vision damage with vision damage from vigabatrin has not been well characterized, but is likely adverse.

Do not use vigabatrin with other drugs associated with serious adverse ophthalmic effects such as retinopathy or glaucoma unless the benefits clearly outweigh the risks.



Page 159 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



WARNING

Use the lowest dose and shortest exposure to vigabatrin that is consistent with clinical objectives.

The possibility that vision loss from vigabatrin may be more common, more severe, or have more severe functional consequences in infants and children than in adults cannot be excluded.

Because of the risk of permanent vision loss, vigabatrin is available only through a special restricted distribution program called SHARE by calling 1-888-457-4273. Only health care providers and pharmacies registered with SHARE may prescribe and distribute vigabatrin. In addition, vigabatrin may be dispensed only to patients who are enrolled in and meet all conditions of SHARE.

Warnings/Precautions

Table 8a. Warnings and Precautions-Barbiturates^{1,48-50,56}

Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Phenobarbital	Primidone
Acute or chronic pain; caution should be exercised when therapy is administered to patients with acute or chronic pain	\checkmark	-
Controlled substance; schedule IV drug		-
Dependence; prolonged, uninterrupted therapy, even in therapeutic doses, may result in psychic and physical dependence	\checkmark	-
Habit forming; therapy may be habit forming		-
Hazardous tasks; therapy may impair the mental or physical abilities required for the performance of potentially hazardous tasks	\checkmark	-
Special risk patients; therapy should be administered with caution, if at all, to patients who are mentally depressed, have suicidal tendencies, or have a history of drug abuse	\checkmark	-
Suicidal behavior and ideation; therapy may increase the risk of suicidal thoughts or behavior in patients taking these drugs for any indication	-	\checkmark
Synergistic effects; concomitant use with alcohol may produce additive central nervous system-depressant effects		-
Withdrawal seizures; the abrupt withdrawal of therapy may precipitate status epilepticus	-	\checkmark

Table 8b. Warnings and Precautions-Benzodiazepines^{1,25,28,45}

Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Clobazam	Clonazepam	Diazepam
Abuse; the pharmacological profile is similar to that of other benzodiazepines, which leads to sedation, somnolence, and anxiolytics; therefore, therapy may be abused	\checkmark	-	-
Controlled substance; schedule IV drug	\checkmark		
Cytochrome P450 2C19 poor metabolizers; concentrations of the active metabolite are higher in poor metabolizers compared to extensive metabolizers	V	-	-
Dependence; risk of dependence is present even with the use of therapeutic doses after a few weeks	\checkmark	\checkmark	-
Discontinuation of therapy; avoid abrupt discontinuation, withdrawal gradually to minimize the risk of precipitating seizures, seizure exacerbation, or status epilepticus	V	-	-
Hazardous tasks; therapy may impair the mental or			





Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Clobazam	Clonazepam	Diazepam
physical abilities required for the performance of potentially hazardous tasks			
Hypersalivation; therapy may produce an increase in salivation	-	\checkmark	-
Psychiatric disorders; therapy is not of value in the treatment of psychotic patients and should not be employed in lieu of appropriate treatment	-	-	\checkmark
Somnolence/sedation; therapy causes somnolence and sedation	\checkmark	-	-
Suicidal behavior and ideation; therapy may increase the risk of suicidal thoughts or behavior in patients taking these drugs for any indication	\checkmark	\checkmark	-
Withdrawal; abrupt discontinuation of therapy causes withdrawal symptoms	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Worsening of seizures; when used in patients in whom several different types of seizure disorders coexist, therapy may increase the incidence or precipitate the onset of generalized tonic-clonic/grand mal seizures	-	\checkmark	\checkmark

Table 8c. Warnings and Precautions-Hydantoins^{1,47,51-54}

Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Ethotoin	Phenytoin
Acute toxicity; serum levels sustained above the optimal range may produce confusional states	-	\checkmark
Dermatologic effects; therapy can cause rare, serious skin adverse reactions, which can be fatal	-	\checkmark
Enteral feeding; literature suggest that patients who received enteral feeding and/or related nutritional supplements had lower than expected plasma levels	-	\checkmark
Hematologic effects; blood dyscrasias have been reported in patients receiving therapy	\checkmark	-
Hyperglycemia; has been reported with therapy, therapy may also raise serum glucose levels in patients with diabetes	-	\checkmark
Lymphadenopathy; there have been a number of reports suggesting a relationship between therapy and the development of lymphadenopathy	-	\checkmark
Osteomalacia; has been associated with therapy and is considered to be caused by the agent's interference with vitamin D metabolism	-	\checkmark
Other seizures; therapy is not indicated for seizures caused by hypoglycemic or other metabolic causes	-	\checkmark
Porphyria; exercise with caution when administering therapy in patients suffering from this disease	-	\checkmark
Slow metabolism; a small proportion of patients receiving therapy have been shown to metabolize the agent slowly	-	\checkmark
Suicidal behavior and ideation; therapy may increase the risk of suicidal thoughts or behavior in patients taking these drugs for any indication	\checkmark	\checkmark
Withdrawal seizures; the abrupt withdrawal of therapy may precipitate status epilepticus	-	\checkmark

Table 8d. Warnings and Precautions-Succinimides^{1,24,33,34}

Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Ethosuximide	Methsuximide
Hazardous tasks; therapy may impair the mental or physical abilities required for the performance of potentially hazardous tasks	\checkmark	\checkmark



Page 161 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Ethosuximide	Methsuximide
Hematologic effects; blood dyscrasias have been reported in patients receiving therapy	\checkmark	\checkmark
Mixed epilepsy disorder; therapy, when used alone in mixed types of epilepsy, may increase the frequency of tonic-clonic seizures in some patients	\checkmark	\checkmark
Suicidal behavior and ideation; therapy may increase the risk of suicidal thoughts or behavior in patients taking these drugs for any indication	\checkmark	\checkmark
Systemic lupus erythematosus; cases have been reported with the use of therapy	\checkmark	\checkmark
Withdrawal seizures; the abrupt withdrawal of therapy may precipitate status epilepticus	\checkmark	\checkmark





Table 8e. Warnings and Precautions-Anticonvulsants, Miscellaneous^{1,23,26,27,31,32,35-44,46,55,57-65}

Table be. Warnings and Treeadtions-Anticonv		,															
Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Absence seizures; use therapy with caution in patients with a mixed seizure disorder, therapy has been associated with increased frequency or generalized convulsions	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Acute multiorgan failure; multiorgan failure has been observed in patients receiving therapy	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
Acute myopia and secondary angle-closure glaucoma; this syndrome has been reported with therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-
Anaphylactic reactions and/or angioedema; rare cases have been reported in patients after taking the first or subsequent doses of therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Anticholinergic effects; therapy has shown mild anticholinergic activity	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aplastic anemia; therapy is associated with a marked increase in the incidence of aplastic anemia	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aseptic meningitis; therapy increases the risk of developing aseptic meningitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Atrial fibrillation/flutter; therapy may predispose to atrial arrhythmias, especially in patients with diabetic neuropathy and/or cardiovascular disease	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blood pressure effects; a significantly higher risk of at least one measured increase in diastolic blood pressure had been observed with therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Central nervous system; therapy has been associated with central nervous system-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark





Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
related adverse reactions																	
Congestive heart failure; use with caution due to limited data in this patient population	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	V	-	-	-	-	-	-
Controlled substance; schedule V drug	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Creatine kinase levels; therapy was associated with creatine kinase elevations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
Dermatologic; severe dermatologic reactions have been reported	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark
Discontinuation of therapy; avoid abrupt discontinuation to prevent the possibility of increasing seizure frequency	-	-	-			\checkmark	\checkmark			-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark		-	\checkmark	-
Dizziness and somnolence; dose-related increases in dizziness and somnolence have been reported with treatment	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Edema; therapy has been shown to cause edema in adults	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-
Electroencephalogram abnormalities; therapy may induce exacerbations of pre-existing electroencephalogram abnormalities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Fall risk increased; serious injuries including head injuries and bone fracture have been reported	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Folic acid supplementation; supplementation prior to conception and during the first trimester of pregnancy may decreases the risk for congenital neural tube defects	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Generalized weakness; moderately severe to incapacitating generalized weakness has been reported with therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Hazardous tasks; therapy may impair the mental or physical abilities required for the performance of potentially hazardous tasks	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark





Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Hematologic effects; have been observed with therapy	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark
Hepatic failure; evaluation of postmarketing experience suggests that acute liver failure is associated with therapy	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
Human immunodeficiency virus; there are in vitro studies that suggest therapy stimulates the replication of the human immunodeficiency virus and cytomegalovirus under certain experimental conditions	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
Hyperammonemia and encephalopathy associated with concomitant topiramate/valproic acid use; coadministration has been associated with hyperammonemia and encephalopathy	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-
Hypersensitivity reactions; have been reported	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	
Hyponatremia; has been reported with therapy	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kidney stones; have been reported with therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark
Magnetic resonance imaging; abnormal magnetic resonance imaging signal changes have been observed in some infants treated for infantile spasms with therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-
Melanin-containing tissues; product contains melanin, which could accumulate in melanin- rich tissues over time	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Metabolic acidosis; hyperchloremic, nonunion gab, metabolic acidosis is associated with therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark
Neurologic symptoms, including dizziness,																	





Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
gait disturbance, somnolence and fatigue occurred more frequently in clinical trials with active treatment compared to placebo																	
Neuropsychiatric effects; use in children three to 12 years of age is associated with central nervous system-related adverse events	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Neuropsychiatric symptoms; confusional state, psychotic symptoms and hallucinations occurred more frequently in clinical trials with active treatment compared to placebo	-	-	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Neurotoxicity; has been observed in animal studies	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-
Oligohidrosis and hyperthermia; have been reported with therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark
Ophthalmic effects; changes in vision occur and/or there may be a possibility of long-term ophthalmic effects	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Pancreatitis; cases of have been reported with therapy	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark
Paresthesia; appears to be a common effect of therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-
Peripheral edema; therapy may cause peripheral edema	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peripheral neuropathy; therapy has been shown to cause symptoms of peripheral neuropathy in adults	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-
Phenylketonurics; oral solution contains aspartame	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Potential medication errors; medication errors have occurred with therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PR interval; therapy was associated with PR prolongation	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-





Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Psychiatric and behavioral reactions; monitor patients during treatment and for at least one month following the last dose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QT interval; therapy was associated with QT shortening	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-
QT interval: therapy was associated with QT prolongation	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Special risk patients; prescribe therapy only after a critical benefit-to-risk appraisal in patients with a history of cardiac conduction disturbance; cardiac, hepatic, or renal damage; and adverse hematologic or hypersensitivity reaction to other drugs	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Seizures in patients without epilepsy; postmarketing reports have shown that therapy use has been associated with new- onset seizures and status epilepticus in patients without epilepsy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	V	-	-	-	-
Status epilepticus; rare treatment-emergent events have been reported	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark
Sudden and unexplained death in patients with epilepsy; premarketing studies of gabapentin	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark
Suicide; the possibility of suicide attempt is inherent in bipolar disorder, accompany drug therapy with close supervision in high risk patients	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Suicidal behavior and ideation; therapy may increase the risk of suicidal thoughts or behavior in patients taking these drugs for any indication	-	-	V	V	\checkmark	V	\checkmark	\checkmark	V	V		\checkmark	V	-	-	V	V
Syncope; syncope was reported in patients	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Warning(s)/Precaution(s)	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
with diabetic neuropathy receiving therapy																	
Thrombocytopenia; has been reported with therapy	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
Urinary retention; has been reported with therapy	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vision loss; the onset and progression of vision loss from therapy are unpredictable and may occur or worsen precipitously between assessments, once detected, vision loss caused by therapy is not reversible	-	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	V	-
Weight gain; therapy may cause weight gain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-
Withdrawal seizures; the abrupt withdrawal of therapy may precipitate status epilepticus	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	V	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
Women of childbearing potential; avoid due the risk fetal risk of neural tube defects and other major congenital malformations, unless the drug is essential to the management of medical condition *Only Equetro [®] .	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Drug Interactions

Table 9a. Drug Interactions-Barbiturates^{1,48-50,56}

Description	Phenobarbital	Primidone
Anticoagulants: barbiturates reduce the effects of anticoagulants. Patients receiving barbiturates will need modification of their anticoagulant dose. Monitor anticoagulant action and adjust doses as needed. Termination of barbiturate therapy will result in decreased anticoagulant requirements. Monitor patients for several weeks. Consider using a benzodiazepine.	\checkmark	\checkmark
β-blockers: When administered concomitantly, pharmacokinetic effects of metoprolol and propranolol may be reduced. If an interaction is suspected, consider a higher β-blocker dose during coadministration of barbiturates.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Carbamazepine: concomitant administration may result in decreased serum primidone, its metabolite, and carbamazepine concentrations. Monitor serum carbamazepine concentrations, and observe the patient for loss of carbamazepine efficacy. Consider discontinuing the barbiturate or adjusting the dose of carbamazepine as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Clozapine: clozapine plasma concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the pharmacologic effects. Monitor clozapine therapy when phenobarbital is started or stopped. Observe the patient for clozapine toxicity when phenobarbital is stopped.	\checkmark	
Contraceptives, hormonal: loss of oral contraceptive efficacy, possibly resulting in unintended pregnancy. Alternate methods of contraception are recommended; ethinyl estradiol 80 µg may give good cycle control.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Corticosteroids: Decreased pharmacologic effects of corticosteroids may be observed. If possible, avoid this combination. Carefully monitor patients receiving corticosteroids when a barbiturate is added or discontinued. Increases in the corticosteroid dosage may be required to maintain the desired effect.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Doxycycline: concomitant administration may decrease doxycycline half-life and serum levels, resulting in a decreased therapeutic effect. The dose of doxycycline may need to be increased during barbiturate coadministration. Consider an alternative tetracycline.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Exemestane: plasma exemestane concentrations may be reduced, resulting in decreased efficacy. If phenobarbital is coadministered in patients receiving exemestane, the recommended dosage of exemestane is 50 mg once daily after a meal. If phenobarbital is discontinued, reduce the exemestane dosage to 25 mg once daily with a meal.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Felodipine: pharmacologic effects of felodipine may be decreased. Patients receiving long- term treatment with both drugs may require higher doses of felodipine.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Griseofulvin: serum griseofulvin levels are decreased. Separating drug administration times, giving the phenobarbital in divided doses, or increasing the griseofulvin dose may be helpful if therapeutic failures with griseofulvin occur. Also, consider stopping either drug or using alternative therapy.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Hepatitis C protease inhibitors: hepatitis C protease inhibitors plasma concentrations may be reduced, leading to loss of virologic response. Phenobarbital concentrations may be increased or decreased. Coadministration of boceprevir and phenobarbital is contraindicated. Coadminister telaprevir and phenobarbital with caution; close clinical and laboratory monitoring of phenobarbital concentrations is recommended. Dose titration is recommended to achieve the desired clinical response.	\checkmark	
Hydantoins: hydantoins may increase serum primidone concentrations. In patients requiring both primidone and a hydantoin, closely monitor serum concentrations of primidone and primidone metabolites following any alteration in hydantoin therapy.		\checkmark
Lapatinib: plasma lapatinib concentrations may be reduced, resulting in decreased efficacy.		



Page 169 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Description	Phenobarbital	Primidone
Avoid coadministration of lapatinib and phenobarbital. If these agents must be used concurrently, gradually titrate the dosage of lapatinib from 1,250 to 4,500 mg/day based on tolerability. If phenobarbital is discontinued, reduce lapatinib to the indicated dose.		
Methadone: the actions of methadone may be reduced. Patients receiving chronic methadone treatment may experience opiate withdrawal symptoms. A higher dose of methadone may be required during coadministration of barbiturates.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Methoxyflurane: enhanced renal toxicity may occur with concomitant administration. If possible, do not administer methoxyflurane in the presence of enzyme inducers such as barbiturates. Because enzyme induction dissipates slowly, be wary of the combination for several weeks following withdrawal of barbiturates. Monitor renal function closely.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Metronidazole: concomitant administration results in therapeutic failure of metronidazole. Observe for metronidazole treatment failure in patients receiving a barbiturate concurrently, and if necessary, increase the metronidazole dose accordingly. Alternatively, use higher initial metronidazole doses in patients also receiving a barbiturate.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Nifedipine: serum nifedipine concentrations are decreased, resulting in reduced efficacy. Titrate dose according to response. A larger dose of nifedipine may be needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Progestins: loss of contraceptive efficacy, possibly leading to pregnancy. Inform women of the increased risk of contraceptive failure. Consider alternative or additional nonhormonal methods.	V	\checkmark
Quinidine: concomitant administration appears to reduce serum quinidine concentrations and its elimination half-life. Closely monitor serum concentrations in a patient who requires quinidine if barbiturate therapy is added to or removed from the patient's therapy.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Ranolazine: ranolazine plasma concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the pharmacologic effect. Coadministration of ranolazine and cytochrome P450 3A inducers such as phenobarbital is contraindicated.	\checkmark	
Rilpivirine: rilpivirine plasma concentrations may be reduced, resulting in a loss of virologic response and possible resistance. Coadministration of rilpivirine with phenobarbital is contraindicated.	\checkmark	
Succinimides: Concomitant administration may result in lower serum primidone concentrations. A patient who requires both primidone and a succinimide should have serum primidone and phenobarbital concentrations monitored whenever a change is made in the succinimide therapy.		\checkmark
Tacrolimus: tacrolimus concentrations may be reduced. Monitor tacrolimus whole-blood concentrations and observe the clinical response of the patient when starting, stopping, or changing the barbiturate dose. Adjust the tacrolimus dose as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Theophyllines: decreased theophylline levels may occur, resulting in reduced therapeutic effects. Increased theophylline dosages may be required with use of a barbiturate. Closely monitor plasma levels of theophylline when barbiturates are added to or removed from a patient's drug regimen; tailor dosage as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Temsirolimus: plasma concentrations of sirolimus (a major metabolite of temsirolimus) may be reduced, decreasing the efficacy. Avoid coadministration of temsirolimus and phenobarbital. If these agents must be used concurrently, consider increasing the dosage to temsirolimus 50 mg/week and monitor sirolimus levels. If phenobarbital is discontinued, reduce temsirolimus to the indicated dose.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Valproic acid: plasma barbiturate concentrations may be elevated, increasing the pharmacologic and adverse effects. When valproic acid is added to the therapeutic regimen of a patient receiving a barbiturate, monitor the patient and the serum barbiturate concentration. Barbiturate dosage may need to be decreased in some patients.	\checkmark	





Description	Phenobarbital	Primidone
Voriconazole: voriconazole plasma concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the therapeutic effect. Coadministration of voriconazole and long-acting barbiturates is contraindicated.	\checkmark	

Table 9b. Drug Interactions-Benzodiazepines^{1,25,28,45}

Description	Clobazam	Clonazepam	Diazepam
Azole antifungal agents: increased and prolonged central nervous system depression and psychomotor impairment, possibly continuing for several days after the azole antifungal agent is stopped. When using fluconazole, consider giving a lower benzodiazepine dose or a benzodiazepine metabolized by glucuronidation (e.g., lorazepam, temazepam). Warn patients about increased and prolonged sedative effects.		\checkmark	V
Carbamazepine: the pharmacologic effects of certain benzodiazepines may be decreased. Monitor for a decrease in benzodiazepine clinical response during coadministration of carbamazepine. If an interaction is suspected, consider using a higher dose of the benzodiazepine.		\checkmark	
Clozapine: the pharmacologic or toxic effects of certain benzodiazepines may be increased. Consider monitoring vital signs and observing patients for excessive adverse reactions when clozapine and benzodiazepines are coadministered.		\checkmark	\checkmark
Diltiazem: effects of certain benzodiazepines may be increased, producing increased central nervous system depression and prolonged effects. Give a lower dose of the benzodiazepine. Caution the patient about increased and prolonged sedative effects.			\checkmark
Hydantoin: serum hydantoin concentrations may be increased, resulting in toxicity, but data conflict. Monitor serum hydantoin levels and effects when benzodiazepines are started or stopped. In some situations, a larger benzodiazepine dose may be needed.			\checkmark
Macrolide antibiotics: increased central nervous system depression and prolonged sedation. Caution patients about increased or prolonged sedation. Reduce the benzodiazepine dose as needed. Benzodiazepines undergoing conjugative metabolism, including lorazepam, oxazepam, and temazepam, are unlikely to interact. Azithromycin does not alter midazolam metabolism but may delay its absorption.			\checkmark
Opioid analgesics: increased risk of sedation and life-threatening respiratory depression, especially with overdose. Use with caution in patients in methadone maintenance programs (e.g., supervised ingestion) or patients receiving opioids for pain management. Subjective and performance responses may be altered. Caution patients against driving or operating machinery while taking these agents.		\checkmark	\checkmark
Protease inhibitors: possibly severe sedation and respiratory depression. Certain benzodiazepines are contraindicated in patients taking protease inhibitors.		\checkmark	\checkmark
Rifamycins: The pharmacologic effects of certain benzodiazepines may be decreased. Monitor the clinical response to the benzodiazepine when starting or stopping a rifamycin. Adjust the dose as needed.		\checkmark	\checkmark



Page 171 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Table 9c. Drug Interactions-Hydantoins^{1,47,51-54}

Description	Ethotoin	Phenytoin
Acetaminophen: coadministration of chronic hydantoins may increase the potential hepatotoxicity of acetaminophen and the therapeutic effects of acetaminophen may be reduced. The risk of hepatotoxicity is greatest when chronic dosing or overdosage with acetaminophen accompanies regular hydantoin use. Generally, no special dosage adjustment or monitoring is required at the usual therapeutic doses of acetaminophen and hydantoins.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Amiodarone: hydantoins may decrease serum amiodarone levels and serum hydantoin concentrations may increase resulting in symptoms of toxicity. Monitor drug concentrations and observe the patient for toxicity or loss of therapeutic effect when this combination is used. Be prepared to adjust the dose of either agent. Because effects may be delayed for several weeks, long-term monitoring is necessary.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Anticoagulants: concomitant administration with anticoagulants may lead to increased serum hydantoin concentrations with possible toxicity. Increased prothrombin time and an increased risk of bleeding may also occur. Monitor patients for signs or symptoms of altered response to hydantoins or anticoagulants while receiving the combination or when starting or stopping either drug.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Antineoplastic agents: serum phenytoin concentrations may be decreased, resulting in a loss of therapeutic effect. Monitor phenytoin serum levels and adjust the phenytoin dosage appropriately. Intravenous phenytoin may be useful.		\checkmark
Carbamazepine: phenytoin may decrease serum carbamazepine levels. Monitor serum concentrations of both drugs, particularly when starting or stopping one drug. Alter dose as needed to maintain therapeutic effects and avoid toxicity.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Chloramphenicol: increased serum phenytoin concentrations with potential toxicity. If chloramphenicol must be used in a patient taking phenytoin, closely monitor serum concentrations of both drugs and adjust the dose as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Cimetidine: serum hydantoin levels may be elevated, resulting in an increase in the pharmacologic effects. Monitor serum hydantoin levels and observe the patient's response when starting or stopping cimetidine. Adjust the hydantoin dosage as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Contraceptives, hormonal: serum hydantoin levels may be increased and the pharmacologic effects of hormonal contraceptives may be decreased. Monitor patients for loss of seizure control. Increased doses of estradiol (i.e., 50 or 80 µg) may provide adequate cycle control; however, consider alternate methods of contraception.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Corticosteroids: corticosteroid effects may be decreased with concomitant therapy. Dexamethasone may reduce phenytoin levels. A two-fold or more increase in the steroid dose may be needed. Greater than expected phenytoin doses may also be required. If unable to avoid this combination, monitor phenytoin levels and adjust the dose of either agent.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Cyclosporine: phenytoin decreases cyclosporine concentrations, resulting in a decrease in the immunosuppressive activity. Closely monitor cyclosporine concentrations during concurrent phenytoin administration; tailor cyclosporine dosage to maintain concentrations in the therapeutic range.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Diazoxide: serum phenytoin levels may be decreased, resulting in a possible decrease in the anticonvulsant actions of phenytoin. Monitor phenytoin serum levels and observe patients for a decrease in phenytoin activity or an increase in toxicity if diazoxide is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. Tailor the phenytoin dosage as needed.		\checkmark
Disopyramide: phenytoin coadministration may decrease the serum levels, half-life, and bioavailability of disopyramide while increasing mono-N-dealkyldisopyramide, a metabolite	\checkmark	\checkmark



Page 172 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Description	Ethotoin	Phenytoin
of disopyramide, serum levels. Anticholinergic actions may be enhanced. The effects of this interaction may persist for several days following phenytoin discontinuation. The dose of disopyramide may need to be increased during concurrent phenytoin therapy. If increased anticholinergic effects occur, consider an alternative to disopyramide.		
Disulfiram: serum hydantoin levels may be increased, resulting in an increase in the pharmacologic and toxic effects of hydantoins. Monitor serum hydantoin levels and observe patients for hydantoin toxicity or a decrease in hydantoin activity if disulfiram is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. Adjust the hydantoin dosage as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Dopamine: coadministration of phenytoin during a dopamine infusion may result in profound hypotension and possible cardiac arrest. Use phenytoin with extreme caution in patients receiving a dopamine infusion. If phenytoin must be administered, carefully monitor blood pressure and discontinue the phenytoin infusion if hypotension occurs.		
Doxycycline: the half-life of doxycycline is decreased by the coadministration of phenytoin. Monitor clinical response closely when phenytoin is used concomitantly. Some researchers recommend doubling the daily dose of doxycycline to maintain adequate serum levels.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Erlotinib: hydantoin concentrations may be elevated, increasing the pharmacologic effects and adverse reactions. Plasma erlotinib levels may be decreased, resulting in decreased efficacy. Use of alternative treatment that lacks cytochrome P450 3A4-inducing activity is recommended. If alternative therapy is not available, consider increasing the erlotinib starting dose at two week intervals. If the dose of erlotinib is adjusted upward, reduce to the indicated starting dose immediately after stopping the hydantoin. In addition, monitor hydantoin concentrations and observe the clinical response of patients when starting, stopping, or changing the erlotinib dose. Adjust the hydantoin dose as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Estrogens: a loss of seizure control has been suggested with concomitant therapy and breakthrough bleeding, spotting, and pregnancy have also resulted. Monitor patients for loss of seizure control. Increased doses of estradiol (i.e., 50 or 80 µg) may provide adequate cycle control; however, consider alternate methods of contraception.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Exemestane: plasma exemestane concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the efficacy. If phenytoin is coadministered in patients receiving exemestane, the recommended dosage of exemestane is 50 mg once daily after a meal. If phenytoin is discontinued, reduce the exemestane dosage to 25 mg once daily with a meal.		\checkmark
Felbamate: serum hydantoin concentrations may be increased, resulting in an increase in the pharmacologic and toxic effects of hydantoins. Phenytoin may also decrease serum felbamate concentrations. During any change in drug therapy, monitor hydantoin and felbamate concentrations and observe for changes in seizure control. In addition, observe for hydantoin toxicity if felbamate is added to the treatment schedule. When adding felbamate to phenytoin therapy, consider reducing the phenytoin dose approximately 20%.	\checkmark	V
Felodipine: the pharmacologic effects of felodipine may be decreased. Patients receiving long-term treatment with hydantoins and felodipine may require higher doses of felodipine to achieve plasma levels equivalent to those of patients who are not receiving hydantoins concurrently.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Fluconazole: serum hydantoin concentrations may be increased, producing an increase in the pharmacologic and toxic effects of hydantoins. Monitor hydantoin serum concentrations and observe for hydantoin toxicity or a decrease in hydantoin activity if fluconazole is started or stopped. Adjust the hydantoin dosage as needed.	V	\checkmark
Folic acid: serum hydantoin concentrations may be decreased, resulting in a decreased pharmacologic effect. Monitor serum hydantoin concentrations and observe for decreased hydantoin activity or increased toxicity if folic acid is started or stopped. Adjust the hydantoin dosage as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark





Description	Ethotoin	Phenytoin
Isoniazid: serum phenytoin concentrations may be increased, resulting in an increase in the pharmacologic and toxic effects of phenytoin. Monitor hydantoin serum concentrations and observe patients for hydantoin toxicity or a decrease in hydantoin activity if isoniazid is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. Adjust the hydantoin dosage as needed.	V	\checkmark
Itraconazole: the pharmacologic effects of itraconazole may be decreased, while the effects of hydantoins may be increased. Until more clinical data are available, avoid concomitant use of itraconazole and hydantoins, if possible.	V	\checkmark
Lapatinib: plasma lapatinib concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the efficacy. Avoid coadministration of lapatinib and hydantoins. If these agents must be used concurrently, gradually titrate the dose of lapatinib from 1,250 up to 4,500 mg/day based on tolerability. If the hydantoin is discontinued, reduce lapatinib to the indicated dose.		
Levodopa: the efficacy of levodopa may be reduced with coadministration. Use this combination with caution. If an interaction is suspected, consider changing the hydantoin therapy.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Methadone: the actions of methadone may be reduced with coadministration. A higher dose of methadone may be required during coadministration of hydantoins.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Metyrapone: subnormal pituitary-adrenal responses to oral metyrapone when concomitantly administered. Consider using oral metyrapone doses up to twice the usual dose when assessing pituitary-adrenal axis function in patients maintained on hydantoins. Discontinue hydantoins when possible.	\checkmark	
Mexiletine: coadministration results in increased mexiletine clearance, leading to a lower steady-state plasma concentration and possible loss of efficacy. Monitor plasma mexiletine concentrations and observe for loss of mexiletine effectiveness during coadministration of hydantoins. Increase mexiletine dose according to plasma concentration changes and clinical requirements.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Mirtazapine: plasma mirtazapine concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the pharmacologic effects. In patients receiving mirtazapine, closely monitor the clinical response when starting, stopping, or changing the hydantoin dose. Adjust mirtazapine therapy as needed.	\checkmark	
Nisoldipine: the pharmacologic effects of nisoldipine may be decreased. Monitor the cardiovascular status of patients receiving nisoldipine when hydantoins therapy is started, stopped, or adjusted in dose. Patients receiving long-term treatment with hydantoins may require larger doses of nisoldipine than patients who are not receiving hydantoins.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Nondepolarizing muscle relaxants: coadministration may lead to a shorter than expected duration or a decreased effect of nondepolarizing muscle relaxants. Nondepolarizing muscle relaxants dosage may need to be increased. Monitor for reduced effectiveness.		\checkmark
Phenacemide: serum hydantoin levels may be increased, resulting in an increase in the pharmacologic and toxic effects. Monitor serum hydantoin levels and observe the patient for hydantoin toxicity or a decrease in hydantoin activity if phenacemide is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. Tailor the hydantoin dosage as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Primidone: hydantoins may increase serum primidone, phenobarbital, and phenylethylmalonamide concentrations. In patients requiring both primidone and a hydantoin, closely monitor serum concentrations of primidone and primidone metabolites following any alteration in hydantoin therapy.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Quetiapine: plasma quetiapine concentrations and pharmacologic effects may be decreased. In patients receiving Quetiapine, monitor clinical response when starting, stopping, or changing the dose of phenytoin. Be prepared to change the dose of Quetiapine as needed.		\checkmark



Page 174 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Description	Ethotoin	Phenytoin
Quinidine: a decrease in the therapeutic effect of quinidine may occur. Frequent monitoring of serum quinidine concentrations is recommended; an increase in the quinidine dose may be required.		\checkmark
Ranolazine: plasma ranolazine concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the pharmacologic effect. Coadministration of ranolazine and cytochrome P450 3A inducers such as phenytoin is contraindicated.		\checkmark
Rifamycins: serum hydantoin levels may be decreased, resulting in decreased pharmacologic effects. Monitor hydantoin serum levels and observe patients for a decrease in hydantoin activity or an increase in toxicity if rifampin is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. Tailor the hydantoin dosage as needed.	V	
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors: serum hydantoin concentrations may be increased, producing an increase in the pharmacologic and toxic effects of hydantoins. Monitor serum hydantoin concentrations and observe the clinical response of the patient when sertraline therapy is started, stopped or changed in dosage. Adjust the hydantoin dose accordingly.	V	
Sucralfate: the absorption of oral phenytoin may be administration with coadministration. Consider monitoring the patient for a change in phenytoin activity if sucralfate is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. Tailor the dose of phenytoin as needed.		\checkmark
Sulfonamides: serum hydantoin levels may be increased, resulting in increased pharmacologic and toxic effects. Monitor serum hydantoin levels and observe the patient for hydantoin toxicity or a decrease in hydantoin activity if sulfonamides are added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. Tailor the hydantoin dosage as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Tacrolimus: serum phenytoin concentrations may be increased and serum tacrolimus concentrations may be decreased. Monitor serum concentrations of tacrolimus and phenytoin. Observe the clinical response of the patient during coadministration of these drugs. Adjust the doses as needed.		\checkmark
Temsirolimus: plasma concentrations of sirolimus, a major metabolite of temsirolimus, may be reduced, resulting in decreased efficacy. Avoid temsirolimus and hydantoin coadministration. If these agents must be used concurrently, consider increasing the dosage to temsirolimus 50 mg/wk and monitor sirolimus levels. If the hydantoin is discontinued, reduce temsirolimus to the indicated dose.		\checkmark
Theophylline: decrease or loss of pharmacologic effects of theophyllines or phenytoin may occur. When either medication is added to or deleted from a patient's regimen, monitor the plasma levels of each. Tailor dosages as needed.		\checkmark
Ticlopidine: plasma hydantoin concentrations may be increased, resulting in an increase in adverse effects. Monitor hydantoin levels and observe the patient's clinical response when the dose of ticlopidine is started, stopped, or changed. Adjust the phenytoin dose as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Trimethoprim: serum hydantoin concentrations may be increased, producing an increase in the pharmacologic and toxic effects. Monitor serum hydantoin concentrations and observe patients for hydantoin toxicity or a decrease in hydantoin activity if trimethoprim is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. Tailor the hydantoin dosage as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Valproic acid: hydantoin effects may be enhanced, while those of valproic acid may be decreased. Monitor the free fraction of hydantoin and serum valproic acid levels. Interpret total hydantoin plasma levels, considering the increase in the free fraction of the drug. Observe patients for hydantoin toxicity or loss of therapeutic effects. Tailor the dose of either drug as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark





Table 9d. Drug Interactions-Succinimides^{1,24,33,34}

Description	Ethosuximide	Methsuximide
Lamotrigine: serum lamotrigine concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the therapeutic effects. It may be necessary to adjust the dose of when starting, stopping, or changing the dose of succinimide therapy. Observe the clinical response of the patient and adjust the dose of lamotrigine as needed.	\checkmark	\checkmark
Primidone: coadministration may result in lower serum primidone and phenobarbital concentrations. A patient who requires both primidone and a succinimide should have serum primidone and phenobarbital concentrations monitored whenever a change is made in the succinimide therapy.	\checkmark	\checkmark





Table 9e. Drug Interactions-Anticonvulsants, Miscellaneous^{1,23,26,27,31,32,35-44,46,55, 57-65}

Description	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
	Са				0			Le	ô	4		ш			>	-	Z
Aripiprazole: plasma aripiprazole concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the pharmacologic effects. When carbamazepine is added to aripiprazole therapy, double the aripiprazole dosage. Make additional dosage adjustments based on clinical evaluation. When carbamazepine is discontinued, decrease the dosage of aripiprazole.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Azole antifungals: plasma carbamazepine concentrations may be elevated, resulting in increased clinical and adverse effects. Closely monitor carbamazepine concentrations and observe the clinical response when an azole antifungal agent is started or stopped.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bupropion: serum bupropion concentrations may be decreased, reducing the pharmacologic effects. Observe the clinical response of the patient. If an interaction is suspected, adjust therapy as indicated.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carbapenem antibiotics: plasma valproic acid levels may be decreased, leading to a loss of seizure control. Monitor anticonvulsant plasma concentrations and observe patients for seizure activity when starting a carbapenem antibiotic. If an interaction is suspected, it may be necessary to use alternative antibiotic therapy. If the carbapenem antibiotic is stopped, the valproic acid dose may need to be reduced.	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
Central nervous system depressants; concomitant use of perampanel and central nervous system depressants including alcohol may increase central nervous system depression.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cholestyramine: serum valproic acid concentrations and bioavailability may be reduced, resulting in a decrease in therapeutic effects. Administer anticonvulsant therapy at least three hours before but not within three hours following	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-





Description	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
cholestyramine. Monitor the patient's clinical response and adjust the dose of anticonvulsant as needed.																	
Cimetidine: plasma carbamazepine levels may be increased, resulting in toxicity. Monitor serum carbamazepine concentrations, and observe the patient for signs of toxicity after initiation of cimetidine therapy. Adjust the dose accordingly.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contraceptives, hormonal: loss of oral contraceptive efficacy, possibly resulting in unintended pregnancy. Plasma lamotrigine and valproic acid concentrations may also be reduced, resulting in a decreased therapeutic effect. To help avoid unintended pregnancy, patients should use an alternative method of contraception. If larger doses of the hormonal contraceptive are being considered, titrate the hormonal contraceptive dose against breakthrough bleeding.	V	V	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-	-	_	\checkmark	-	-
Cyclosporine: cyclosporine levels may be decreased, resulting in a reduction of pharmacologic effects. Monitor cyclosporine levels; observe patient for signs of rejection or toxicity if carbamazepine is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. Adjust the cyclosporine dose as needed.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cytochrome P450 inducers; concurrent use may reduce perampanel serum concentration by approximately 50 to 67%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Danazol: serum carbamazepine concentrations may be increased, resulting in increased pharmacologic and toxic effects. Avoid this combination if possible. If both drugs are given, monitor carbamazepine serum levels and observe patients for signs of toxicity after initiating danazol therapy. In patients stabilized on carbamazepine, it may be	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Description	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
necessary to alter the dose when starting or stopping danazol.																	
Diltiazem: serum carbamazepine concentrations may be increased, resulting in toxicity. Monitor serum carbamazepine levels, and observe patients for signs of carbamazepine toxicity or a loss of therapeutic effect if diltiazem is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. Be prepared to increase the carbamazepine dose if diltiazem is discontinued.	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Divalproex sodium, valproate sodium, valproic sodium: increased and decreased valproic acid levels, resulting in toxicity or loss of seizure control. Variable changes in carbamazepine levels may also occur and lamotrigine levels may be increased. Monitor serum levels and observe patients for seizure activity and toxicity for at least one month after either drug is started or stopped. Alter dosage as needed.	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Doxycycline: coadministration may result in a decrease in the half-life of doxycycline, resulting in a reduction in efficacy. The dose of doxycycline may need to be increased during carbamazepine coadministration. Consider the use of another tetracycline.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Exemestane: plasma exemestane concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the efficacy. If carbamazepine is coadministered in patients receiving exemestane, the recommended dosage of exemestane is 50 mg once daily after a meal. If carbamazepine is discontinued, reduce the exemestane dosage to 25 mg once daily with a meal.	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Estrogens: the efficacy of estrogens may be decreased. Inform women of the possible increased risk of estrogen failure during concomitant administration of topiramate. An	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-





Description	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
alternate method of contraception or an increased estrogen dose (greater than or equal to 35 µg ethinyl estradiol) should be considered.																	
Felbamate: decreased serum carbamazepine or felbamate concentrations may occur, resulting in a loss of effectiveness. Serum valproic acid concentrations may be increased, possibly resulting in toxicity. During any change in drug therapy, observe patients for changes in seizure control. The epoxide metabolite is active and may pharmacodynamically balance the decrease in carbamazepine concentration. Also, in patients receiving felbamate, carefully monitor concentrations if therapy with carbamazepine is altered.	J	V	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	V	-	-
Felodipine: the pharmacologic effects of felodipine may be decreased. Patients receiving long-term treatment with carbamazepine and felodipine may require higher doses of felodipine to achieve plasma levels equivalent to those of patients who are not receiving carbamazepine concurrently.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fluoxetine: serum carbamazepine levels may be increased, possibly leading to toxicity. Monitor serum carbamazepine levels during coadministration of fluoxetine. Adjust the dose of carbamazepine accordingly. Sertraline does not appear to interact with carbamazepine and may be an alternative.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Haloperidol: therapeutic effects of haloperidol may be decreased and increased for carbamazepine. If an interaction is suspected, consider adjusting the dose of therapy as indicated.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors: plasma concentrations of certain HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors may be reduced, decreasing the therapeutic effect. If coadministration of	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Description	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
these agents cannot be avoided, closely monitor the clinical response of the patient. Pravastatin and rosuvastatin are less likely to interact with carbamazepine and may be suitable alternatives.																	
Hydantoins: phenytoin may decrease serum carbamazepine, felbamate, and valproic acid levels. Monitor serum concentrations of both drugs, particularly when starting or stopping one drug. Alter dose as needed to maintain therapeutic effects and avoid toxicity.	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
Isoniazid: both carbamazepine toxicity and isoniazid hepatotoxicity may occur with coadministration. Monitor serum carbamazepine concentrations, and observe patients for toxicity. Adjust the dose of carbamazepine as needed. Monitor liver function and consider discontinuing isoniazid if hepatotoxicity occurs.	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lamotrigine: serum lamotrigine levels and efficacy may be reduced. Serum levels of the active metabolite of carbamazepine may be increased, resulting in toxicity. It may be necessary to adjust the dose of lamotrigine when the dose of carbamazepine is started, stopped, or changed. Observe clinical response and adjust the lamotrigine dose as needed. When adding lamotrigine to regimens including carbamazepine monitor for carbamazepine toxicity and reduce the dose if noted.	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lapatinib: plasma lapatinib concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the efficacy. Avoid coadministration of lapatinib and carbamazepine. If these agents must be used concurrently, titrate the dosage of lapatinib gradually from 1,250 to 4,500 mg/day based on tolerability. If carbamazepine is discontinued, reduce the lapatinib dose to the indicated dosage.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Description	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
Lithium: coadministration may result in adverse central nervous system effects. Monitor patients for signs of neurotoxicity. If these develop, one of the two drugs may need to be discontinued.	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mebendazole: the pharmacologic effects of mebendazole may be decreased. No special precautions appear necessary. If an interaction is suspected, consider increasing the dose of mebendazole during coadministration of carbamazepine. Measure mebendazole plasma levels and adjust the dose accordingly.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monoamine oxidase inhibitor: theoretical risk of severe adverse events with coadministration. On theoretical grounds, coadministration of carbamazepine and a monoamine oxidase inhibitor is contraindicated. Discontinue the monoamine oxidase inhibitor at least 14 days prior to administration of carbamazepine.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nefazodone: serum carbamazepine levels may be elevated with possible increase in adverse events and lower serum nefazodone levels, resulting in a decrease in efficacy. Coadministration of carbamazepine and nefazodone is contraindicated.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nondepolarizing muscle relaxants: coadministration may lead to a shorter than expected duration or a decreased effect of nondepolarizing muscle relaxants or an increased effect. Monitor patients for reduced muscle relaxant effectiveness and increase the dose of the nondepolarizing muscle relaxants accordingly.	V	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Primidone: coadministration may result in decreased primidone, its metabolite, and carbamazepine serum concentrations. Plasma barbiturate concentrations may also be elevated, increasing the pharmacologic and	V	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-





Description	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
adverse events. Monitor serum anticonvulsant concentrations, and observe the patient for loss of anticonvulsant efficacy. Consider discontinuing the barbiturate or adjusting the dose of anticonvulsant as needed.																	
Propoxyphene: serum carbamazepine concentrations may be increased, resulting in toxicity. Because of the potential for toxicity and the availability of alternative analgesics, avoid propoxyphene. If this combination is used, monitor serum carbamazepine concentrations and observe patients for clinical signs of toxicity. Be prepared to adjust the carbamazepine dose as needed.	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protease inhibitors: carbamazepine levels may be elevated, increasing the risk of toxicity. Protease inhibitor levels may decrease, resulting in decreased efficacy. Closely monitor carbamazepine serum levels when starting, stopping, or changing the dose of the protease inhibitor and observe the clinical response to protease inhibitor therapy. Adjust the dose as needed.	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Quetiapine: serum quetiapine levels may be decreased or increased. Plasma concentrations of carbamazepine active metabolite may be increased, resulting in toxicity. Observe patients for possible neurotoxicity or increased seizure activity if anticonvulsant therapy and quetiapine are coadministered. Consider monitoring anticonvulsant levels. Also, monitor for a decrease in quetiapine response. If an interaction is suspected, it may be necessary to discontinue anticonvulsant therapy or quetiapine.	V	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
Ranolazine: plasma ranolazine concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the pharmacologic effect. Coadministration of ranolazine and cytochrome P450 3A	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





Description	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
inducers such as carbamazepine is contraindicated.																	
Rifamycins: plasma lamotrigine levels may be reduced, decreasing the pharmacologic effects. It may be necessary to adjust the dose of lamotrigine when starting, stopping, or changing the dose of the rifamycin. Observe the clinical response of the patient and adjust the dose of lamotrigine as needed.	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Salicylates: coadministration may lead to increased free fraction of valproic acid, possibly leading to toxic effects. When aspirin is given to a patient taking valproic acid, monitor serum valproic acid concentrations (including free fraction if readily available), symptoms of valproic acid toxicity, and liver enzymes.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-
Sertraline: the therapeutic effect of sertraline may be decreased or reversed. In patients receiving carbamazepine, consider administration of an antidepressant that is not affected by cytochrome P450 3A4 metabolism. In patients receiving sertraline, closely monitor patient response and be prepared to adjust the dose of sertraline when starting, stopping, or changing the dose of carbamazepine.	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Succinimides: serum lamotrigine concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the therapeutic effects. It may be necessary to adjust the dose of lamotrigine when starting, stopping, or changing the dose of succinimide therapy. Observe the clinical response of the patient and adjust the dose of lamotrigine as needed.	-	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Temsirolimus: plasma concentrations of temsirolimus' active metabolite may be decreased, resulting in reduced efficacy. Avoid temsirolimus and carbamazepine coadministration. If these agents must be used	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-





concurrently, consider increasing the dosage to temsirolimus 50 mg/week and monitor sirolimus levels. If carbamazepine is discontinued, reduce temsirolimus to the indicated dose. Topiramate: the pharmacologic effects of topiramate may be reduced. Monitor the clinical response to topiramate when starting, stopping, or changing the dose of carbamazepine. Adjust the dose as needed. Verapamil: serum carbamazepine levels, and observe the patient for signs of carbamazepine toxicity or loss of therapeutic effect if verapamil is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. carbamazepine toxicity or loss of therapeutic effect if verapamil is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. carbamazepine dose may need to be decreased 40 to 50% when administered with verapamil. Verapamil: pasma voriconazole concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the therapeutic effect. Coadministration of voriconazole and carbamazepine is contraindicated. Verapamil: coadministration may lead to a decreased anticoagulation effect of warfarin. Monitor coagulation parameters when starting or stopping or atomazepine therapey in patients receiving warfarin. Adjust the warfarin dose as needed. Zidovudine: the ara under the curve of zidovudine may be increased, leading to toxicity. It may be necessary to adjust the dose of valproic acid. Monitor hemoglobin and Veraperiodic add. Monitor hemoglobin and 	Description	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
be reduced. Monitor the clinical response to topiramate when starting, stopping, or changing the dose of carbamazepine. Adjust the dose as needed. Verapamil: serum carbamazepine levels, may be increased, resulting in an increase in the pharmacologic and toxic effects. Monitor serum carbamazepine levels, and observe the patient for signs of carbamazepine dose may need to be decreased 40 to 50% when administered with verapamil. Voriconazole: plasma voriconazole concentrations may be reduced, decreasing the therapeutic effect. Coadministration of voriconazole and carbamazepine is contraindicated. Warfarin: coadministration may lead to a decreased anticoagulation effect of warfarin. Adjust the warfarin dose as needed. Zidovudine: the area under the curve of zidovudine may be increased, leading to toxicity. It may be necessary to adjust the dose of valproic acid. Monitor themoglobin and	temsirolimus 50 mg/week and monitor sirolimus levels. If carbamazepine is discontinued, reduce temsirolimus to the indicated dose.																	
resulting in an increase in the pharmacologic and toxic effects. Monitor serum carbamazepine levels, and observe the patient for signs of carbamazepine toxicity or loss of v -	be reduced. Monitor the clinical response to topiramate when starting, stopping, or changing the dose of carbamazepine. Adjust the dose as needed.	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
reduced, decreasing the therapeutic effect. Coadministration of voriconazole and carbamazepine is contraindicated.√ <t< td=""><td>resulting in an increase in the pharmacologic and toxic effects. Monitor serum carbamazepine levels, and observe the patient for signs of carbamazepine toxicity or loss of therapeutic effect if verapamil is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. carbamazepine dose may need to be decreased 40 to 50% when administered with verapamil.</td><td>\checkmark</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>	resulting in an increase in the pharmacologic and toxic effects. Monitor serum carbamazepine levels, and observe the patient for signs of carbamazepine toxicity or loss of therapeutic effect if verapamil is added to or discontinued from the treatment regimen. carbamazepine dose may need to be decreased 40 to 50% when administered with verapamil.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
anticoagulation effect of warfarin. Monitor coagulation parameters when starting or stopping carbamazepine therapy in patients receiving warfarin. Adjust the warfarin dose as needed	reduced, decreasing the therapeutic effect. Coadministration of voriconazole and carbamazepine is	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
increased, leading to toxicity. It may be necessary to adjust the dose of zidovudine when starting, stopping, or changing the dose of valproic acid. Monitor hemoglobin and the dose of valproic acid.	anticoagulation effect of warfarin. Monitor coagulation parameters when starting or stopping carbamazepine therapy in patients receiving warfarin. Adjust the warfarin dose as needed.	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
hematocrit. Image: Concentrations may be √ -	increased, leading to toxicity. It may be necessary to adjust the dose of zidovudine when starting, stopping, or changing the dose of valproic acid. Monitor hemoglobin and hematocrit.	-	V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	V	-	-





Description	Carbamazepine	Divalproex	Ezogabine	Felbamate	Gabapentin	Lacosamide	Lamotrigine	Levetiracetam	Oxcarbazepine	Perampanel	Pregabalin	Rufinamide	Tiagabine	Topiramate	Valproic acid	Vigabatrin	Zonisamide
reduced, decreasing the therapeutic effect. Monitor the clinical response of the patient to ziprasidone when starting, stopping, or changing the dose of carbamazepine. Be prepared to change the ziprasidone dose as needed.																	





Dosage and Administration

Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
Phenobarbital	Anticonvulsant:	Anticonvulsant:	Elixir:
	Injection: 4 to 6 mg/kg/day for	Tablet: 15 to 20 mg two to	20 mg/5 mL
	seven to 10 days to blood level	three times daily	
	of 10 to 15 µg/mL or 10 to 15		Injection:
	mg/kg/day intramuscular or	Sedative:	65 mg/mL
	intravenous	Injection (preoperative	130 mg/mL
		sedation): 1 to 3 mg/kg	•
	Tablet: 50 to 100 mg two to	intramuscular or intravenous	Tablet:
	three times daily		15 mg
		Tablet: 6 mg/kg/day in three	16.2 mg
	Emergency control of certain	divided doses	30 mg
	acute convulsive episodes:		32.4 mg
	Injection: 20 to 320 mg/kg over	Partial and generalized	60 mg
	10 to 15 minutes intravenous	seizures:	64.8 mg
		Elixir: 3 to 6 mg/kg/day or 60	97.2 mg
	<u>Hypnotic:</u>	to 200 mg/day	100 mg
	Injection (bedtime): 100 to 320		
	mg intramuscular or	Status epilepticus: Injection:	
	intravenous	15 to 20 mg/kg over 10 to 15	
	Intravenious	minutes intravenous	
	Sedative:		
	Elixir, tablet: 30 to 120 mg/day		
	administered in two to three		
	divided doses		
	Injection (daytime sedation): 30		
	to 120 mg/day administered in		
	two to three divided doses		
	Injection (prophorative		
	Injection (preoperative sedation): 100 to 200 mg 60 to		
	90 minutes before surgery		
	Partial and gaparalized		
	Partial and generalized		
	<u>seizures:</u> Elivir: 2 to 6 mg/kg/dov or 60 to		
	Elixir: 3 to 6 mg/kg/day or 60 to		
Drimidona	200 mg/day	Control of grand mal	Tablat:
Primidone	Control of grand mal,	Control of grand mal,	Tablet:
	psychomotor, and focal	psychomotor, and focal	50 mg
	epileptic seizures, used alone	epileptic seizures, used	250 mg
	or concomitantly with other	alone or concomitantly with	
	anticonvulsants:	other anticonvulsants:	
	Tablet (patients >8 years of	Tablet (patients >8 years of	
	age): initial, 100 to 125 mg at	age): initial, 100 to 125 mg at	
	bedtime for three days then	bedtime for three days then	
	100 to 125 mg twice daily for	100 to 125 mg twice daily for	
	three days, then 100 to 125 mg	three days, then 100 to 125	
	three times daily for three days,	mg three times daily for three	
	then 250 mg three times daily;	days, then 250 mg three	
	maintenance, 250 mg three to	times daily; maintenance,	
	four times daily; maximum, 500	250 mg three to four times	

Table 10a. Dosing and Administration-Barbiturates^{1,48-50,56}





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
	mg four times daily	daily; maximum, 500 mg four times daily	
		Tablet (patients <8 years of age): initial, 50 mg at bedtime for three days, then 50 mg twice daily for three days, then 100 mg twice daily for three days, then 125 mg three times daily; maintenance, 125 to 250 mg three times daily or 10 to 25 mg/kg/day in divided doses	

Table 10b. Dosing and Administration-Benzodiazepine^{1,25,28,45}

Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
Clobazam	Adjunctive treatment of seizures associated with LGS in patients two years of age or older: Tablet: initial, 5 (≤30 kg) or 10 mg/day (>30 kg); maintenance, 10 to 20 (≤30 kg) or 20 to 40 mg/day (>30 kg)	Adjunctive treatment of seizures associated with LGS in patients two years of age or older: Tablet: initial, 5 (≤30 kg) or 10 mg/day (>30 kg); maintenance, 10 to 20 (≤30 kg) or 20 to 40 mg/day (>30 kg)	Tablet: 5 mg 10 mg 20 mg
Clonazepam	Treatment of LGS (petit mal variant), akinetic, and myoclonic seizures, alone or as adjunct therapy: Orally disintegrating tablet, tablet: initial, not to exceed 1.5 mg/day divided into three doses; maintenance, increase until seizures are adequately controlled; maximum, 20 mg/day Orally disintegrating tablet (pediatrics): initial, 0.01 and 0.03 mg/kg/day but not to exceed 0.05 mg/kg/day given in two or three divided doses; maintenance, 0.1 to 0.2 mg/kg/day <u>Treatment of panic disorder,</u> with or without agoraphobia: Orally disintegrating tablet, tablet: initial, 0.25 mg twice daily; maintenance, 1 mg/day	Treatment of LGS (petit mal variant), akinetic, and myoclonic seizures, alone or as adjunct therapy: Orally disintegrating tablet: initial, 0.01 and 0.03 mg/kg/day but not to exceed 0.05 mg/kg/day given in two or three divided doses; maintenance, 0.1 to 0.2 mg/kg/day	Orally disintegrating tablet: 0.125 mg 0.25 mg 1 mg 2 mg Tablet: 0.5 mg 1 mg 2 mg 2 mg
Diazepam	Management of selected, refractory, patients with epilepsy, on stable regimens of	Management of selected, refractory, patients with epilepsy, on stable regimens	Rectal gel: 2.5 mg 10 mg





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
	antiepileptic drugs, who require	of antiepileptic drugs, who	20 mg
	intermittent use of diazepam to	require intermittent use of	
	control bouts of increased	diazepam to control bouts of	
	seizure activity:	increased seizure activity:	
	Rectal gel: 0.2 to 0.5 mg/kg as	Rectal gel: 0.2 to 0.5 mg/kg	
	a single dose; a second dose	as a single dose; a second	
	may be prescribed and	dose may be prescribed and	
	administered four to 12 hours	administered four to 12	
	later	hours later	

LGS=Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome

Table 10c. Dosing and Administration-Hydantoins^{1,47,51-54}

Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
Ethotoin	Control of generalized tonic-	Control of generalized tonic-	Tablet:
	clonic and complex partial	clonic and complex partial	250 mg
	<u>seizures:</u>	<u>seizures:</u>	
	Tablet: initial, 1 g/day in four	Tablet: initial, do not start	
	to six divided doses;	>750 mg/day; maintenance,	
	maintenance, 2 to 3 g/day	500 mg to 1 g	
Phenytoin	<u>Seizures:</u>	<u>Seizures:</u>	Chewable tablet:
	Chewable tablet, extended-	Chewable tablet, extended-	50 mg
	release capsule (treatment	release capsules,	
	naïve adults): initial, 100 mg	suspension: initial, 5	Extended-
	three times daily;	mg/kg/day in two to three	release capsule:
	maintenance, 100 to 200 mg	equally divided doses;	30 mg
	three times daily	maintenance, 4 to 8 mg/kg;	100 mg
		maximum, 300 mg/day	200 mg
	Suspension (treatment-naïve		300 mg
	adults): 5 mL three times	Status epilepticus:	
	daily	Injection: loading dose, 10 to	Injection:
	Otatus enilentiaus	15 mg/kg; maintenance, 100	50 mg/mL
	Status epilepticus:	mg orally or intravenously	0
	Injection: loading dose, 10 to	every six to eight hours	Suspension:
	15 mg/kg; maintenance, 100		125 mg/5 mL
	mg orally or intravenously		
	every six to eight hours		

Table 10d. Dosing and Administration-Succinimides^{1,24,33,34}

Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
Ethosuximide	Absence seizures:	Absence seizures in patients	Capsule:
	Capsule, syrup: initial, 500	≥3 years of age:	250 mg
	mg/day	Capsule, syrup: initial, 250 or	
		500 mg/day; maintenance,	Syrup:
		20 mg/kg/day	250 mg/5 mL
Methsuximide	Absence seizures:	Absence seizures:	Capsule:
	Capsule: initial, 300 mg/day	Capsule: initial, 300 mg/day	300 mg
	for seven days; maintenance,	for seven days;	
	increase at weekly intervals	maintenance, increase at	
	by 300 mg/day; maximum,	weekly intervals by 300	
	1,200 mg/day	mg/day; maximum, 1,200	
		mg/day	



Page 189 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Generic Name	nd Administration-Anticonvuls Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
Carbamazepine	Generalized tonic-clonic	Generalized tonic-	Chewable tablet:
	seizures, mixed seizure	clonic seizures, mixed	100 mg
	patterns, and partial seizures	seizure patterns, and	5
	with complex	partial seizures with	Extended-release
	symptomatology:	complex	capsule:
	Chewable tablet, extended-	symptomatology in	100 mg
	release tablet, suspension,	children <6 years of	200 mg
	tablet: initial, 400 mg/day,	age:	300 mg
	maintenance, 800 to 1,200	Chewable tablet,	_
	mg/day	extended-release	Extended-release
		tablet, suspension,	tablet:
	Generalized tonic-clonic	tablet: initial, 10 to 20	100 mg
	seizures in children >12	mg/kg/day in divided	200 mg
	<u>years of age:</u>	doses; maintenance,	400 mg
	Chewable tablet, extended-	<35 mg/kg; maximum,	
	release tablet, suspension,	35 mg/kg/day	Suspension:
	tablet: initial, 400 mg/day;		100 mg/5 mL
	maintenance, 800 to 1,200	Generalized tonic-	
	mg/day; maximum, 1,000 to	clonic seizures in	Tablet:
	1,200 mg/day	children six to 12	200 mg
		years of age:	
	Bipolar disorder in adults:	Chewable tablet,	
	Extended-release capsules:	extended-release	
	initial, 400 mg/day in divided	tablet, suspension,	
	doses twice daily; maximum,	tablet: initial, 200	
	1,600 mg/day	mg/day; maintenance,	
		400 to 800 mg/day;	
	Trigeminal neuralgia in	maximum, 1,000	
	adults:	mg/day	
	Chewable tablet, extended-		
	release tablet, suspension,	Generalized tonic-	
	tablet: initial, 200 mg/day;	clonic seizures in	
	maintenance, 400 to 800	children >12 years of	
	mg/day; maximum, 1,200	age:	
	mg/day	Chewable tablet,	
		extended-release	
		tablet, suspension,	
		tablet: initial, 400	
		mg/day; maintenance,	
		800 to 1,200 mg/day;	
		maximum, 1,000 to	
Divolara	Complex particland shares	1,200 mg/day	Concula (onviation):
Divalproex	Complex partial and absence	Complex partial and	Capsule (sprinkle):
	Seizures:	absence seizures in abildrop 10 years of	125 mg
	Capsule, delayed-release	children 10 years of	Delayed release tablet
	tablet, extended-release	age and older:	Delayed-release tablet:
	tablet: initial, 10 to 15	Capsule, delayed-	125 mg
	mg/kg/day; maximum, 60	release tablet,	250 mg
	mg/kg/day	extended-release	500 mg
	Pipelor disorder:	tablet: initial, 10 to 15	Extended release
	Bipolar disorder:	mg/kg/day; maximum,	Extended-release tablet:
	Delayed-release tablet: initial,	60 mg/kg/day	
	750 mg/day in divided doses;		250 mg

Table 10e. Dosing and Administration-Anticonvulsants, Miscellaneous 1,23,26,27,31,32,35-44,46,55, 57-65





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
	maximum 60 mg/kg/day		500 mg
			_
	Extended-release tablet:		
	initial, 25 mg/kg/day;		
	maximum, 60 mg/kg/day		
	Migraine prophylaxis:		
	Delayed-release tablet:		
	maintenance, 250 mg twice		
	daily; maintenance, 1,000		
	mg/day		
	Extended release tablet		
	Extended-release tablet: initial, 500 mg once daily for		
	seven days; maintenance,		
	1,000 mg/day		
Ezogabine	Partial seizures:	The safety and	Tablet:
_	Tablet: initial, 100 mg three	effectiveness in	50 mg
	time daily; maintenance, 200	children <18 years of	200 mg
	to 400 mg three times daily;	age have not been	300 mg
	maximum, 400 mg three times daily	established.	400 mg
Felbamate	Patients who respond	Patients who respond	Suspension:
1 olbamato	inadequately to alternative	inadequately to	600 mg/5 mL
	treatments and whose	alternative treatments	3.1
	epilepsy is so severe that a	and whose epilepsy is	Tablet:
	substantial risk of aplastic	so severe that a	400 mg
	anemia and/or liver failure is	substantial risk of	600 mg
	deemed acceptable in light of	aplastic anemia	
	the benefits conferred by its use:	and/or liver failure is deemed acceptable in	
	Suspension, tablet: initial,	light of the benefits	
	1,200 mg/day in three to four	conferred by its use:	
	divided doses; maintenance,	Suspension, tablet:	
	2,400 to 3,600 mg/day	initial, 1,200 mg/day	
		in three to four divided	
		doses; maintenance, 2,400 to 3,600	
		2,400 10 3,800 mg/day	
		The safety and	
		efficacy of felbamate	
		in children, other than	
		those with Lennox-	
		Gastaut syndrome, have not been	
		established.	
Gabapentin	Partial seizures:	Partial seizures in	Capsule:
	Capsule, solution, tablet	children ≥3 years of	100 mg
	(patients >12 years of age):	age:	300 mg
	initial, 300 mg three times	Capsule, solution,	400 mg
	daily; maintenance, 900 to	tablet: initial, 10 to 15	Colution
	1,800 mg/day	mg/kg/ day	Solution:





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
		administered in three	250 mg/5 mL
	Capsule, solution, tablet (patients three to 12 years of age): initial, 10 to 15 mg/kg/ day administered in three divided doses; maintenance, 25 to 40 mg/kg/day	divided doses; maintenance, 25 to 40 mg/kg/day	Tablet: 600 mg 800 mg
	PHN: Capsule, solution, tablet: initial, 300 mg once daily for one day, 300 mg twice daily for one day, and 300 mg three times daily for one day; maintenance, 1,800 mg/day divided three times daily		
Lacosamide	Partial seizures: Injection, solution, tablet: initial, 50 mg twice daily; maintenance, 200 to 400 mg/day	The safety and effectiveness in children <17 years of age have not been established.	Injection: 200 mg/20 mL Solution: 10 mg/mL
			Tablet: 50 mg 100 mg 150 mg 200 mg
Lamotrigine	Bipolar disorder: Chewable tablet, orally disintegrating tablet, tablet: 200 mg/day (target dose) LGS, and partial and primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures: Chewable tablet, extended- release tablet, orally disintegrating tablet, tablet: initial dosage and dose titration is based on concurrent medications	Bipolar disorder in patients : Safety and efficacy in children <18 years of age have not been established. LGS, and partial and primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures in patients ≥2 years of age: Chewable tablet, extended-release tablet, orally disintegrating tablet, tablet: initial dosage and dose titration is based on concurrent medications	Chewable tablet: 2 mg 5 mg 25 mg Extended-release tablet: 25 mg 50 mg 100 mg 200 mg 250 mg 300 mg Orally disintegrating tablet: 25 mg 50 mg 100 mg 200 mg 200 mg Tablet:
			1 ablet: 25 mg 50 mg 100 mg 150 mg





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
			200 mg
			250 mg
Levetiracetam	Myoclonic seizures in	Myoclonic seizures in	Extended-release
	patients with juvenile	patients with juvenile	tablet:
	myoclonic epilepsy:	myoclonic epilepsy in	500 mg
	Injection, solution, tablet:	patients ≥12 years of	750 mg
	initial, 500 mg twice daily;	age:	
	maintenance, 1,500 twice	Injection, solution,	Injection:
	daily	tablet: initial, 500 mg twice daily;	500 mg/5mL
	Partial seizures:	maintenance, 1,500	Solution:
	Extended-release tablet:	twice daily	100 mg/mL
	initial, 1,000 mg once daily;	twice daily	roo mg/me
	maximum, 3,000 mg/day	Partial seizures in	Tablet:
		patients ≥16 years of	250 mg
	Injection, solution, tablet:	age:	500 mg
	initial, 7 to 10 mg/kg or 500	Extended-release	750 mg
	mg twice daily; maintenance,	tablet: initial, 1,000	1,000 mg
	21 to 30 mg/kg or 1,500 mg	mg once daily;	
	twice daily	maximum, 3,000	
		mg/day	
	Primary generalized tonic-	Destiel e sieure s in	
	clonic seizures: Injection, solution, tablet:	Partial seizures in patients ≥1 month of	
	initial, 10 mg/kg or 500 mg	age:	
	twice daily; maintenance, 30	Injection, solution,	
	mg/kg or 1,500 mg twice	tablet: initial, 7 to 10	
	daily	mg/kg or 500 mg	
	,	twice daily;	
		maintenance, 21 to 30	
		mg/kg or 1,500 mg	
		twice daily	
		Primary generalized	
		tonic-clonic seizures	
		in patients ≥6 years of	
		age:	
		Injection, solution,	
		tablet: initial, 10	
		mg/kg or 500 mg	
		twice daily;	
		maintenance, 30	
		mg/kg or 1,500 mg twice daily	
Oxcarbazepine	Partial seizures:	Partial seizures in	Extended-release
	Extended-release tablet:	patients ≥6 years of	tablet:
	initial, 600 mg once daily;	age:	150 mg
	maintenance, dose	Extended-release	300 mg
	dependent on body weight or	tablet: initial, 8 to 10	600 mg
	1,200 to 2,400 mg once daily	mg/kg/ once daily;	
		maintenance, dose	Suspension:
	Suspension, tablet: initial, 8	dependent on body	300 mg/5 mL
	to 10 mg/kg/day or 600	weight or 1,200 to	





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
	mg/day administered in two divided doses; maintenance, dose dependent on body weight or 1,200 to 2,400 mg/day	2,400 mg once daily <u>Partial seizures in</u> <u>patients ≥2 years of</u> <u>age:</u> Suspension, tablet: initial, 8 to 10 mg/kg/day or 600 mg/day administered in two divided doses; maintenance, dose dependent on body weight or 1,200 to 2,400 mg/day	Tablet: 150 mg 300 mg 600 mg
Perampanel	Partial seizures: Tablet: initial, 2 mg once daily at bedtime (4 mg if using enzyme-inducing AEDs); maintenance, 4 to 8 mg once daily at bedtime; maximum, 12 mg once daily at bedtime	Partial seizures in patients ≥12 years of age: Tablet: initial, 2 mg once daily at bedtime (4 mg if using enzyme-inducing AEDs); maintenance, 4 to 8 mg once daily at bedtime; maximum, 12 mg once daily at bedtime	Tablet: 2 mg 4 mg 6 mg 8 mg 10 mg 12 mg
Pregabalin	Fibromyalgia: Capsule: initial, 75 mg two times a day; maintenance, 300 to 450 mg/dayManagement of neuropathic pain associated with DPN: Capsule: initial, 150 mg divided three times daily; maintenance, 150 to 300 mg/day divided twice daily or three times daily; maximum, 300 mg/day divided twice daily or three times dailyManagement of neuropathic pain associated with DPN: Capsule: initial, 150 mg divided twice daily or three times daily; maximum, 300 mg/day divided twice daily or three times dailyManagement of neuropathic pain associated with spinal cord injury: Capsule: initial, 75 mg twice daily; maintenance,150 to 600 mg/dayPartial seizures: Capsule: initial, not to exceed 150 to 600 mg/day; maximum, 600 mg/day	The safety and effectiveness in children have not been established.	Capsule: 25 mg 50 mg 75 mg 100 mg 150 mg 200 mg 225 mg 300 mg Solution: 20 mg/mL





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
	<u>PHN:</u> Capsule: initial, 150 mg/day divided twice daily or three times daily; maintenance, 300 to 600 mg mg/day divided twice daily or three times daily; maximum, 600 mg/day divided twice daily or three times daily		
Rufinamide	LGS: Suspension, tablet: initial, 10 mg/kg/day or 400 to 800 mg/day administered in two divided doses; maintenance, 45 mg/kg/day or 3,200 mg/day	LGS in patients ≥4 years of age: Suspension, tablet: initial, 10 mg/kg/day or 400 to 800 mg/day administered in two divided doses; maintenance, 45 mg/kg/day or 3,200 mg/day	Suspension: 40 mg/mL Tablet: 200 mg 400 mg
Tiagabine	Partial seizures: Tablet: initial, 4 mg/day; maintenance, 32 to 56 mg/day administered in two to four divided doses	Partial seizures in patients >12 years of age: Tablet: initial, 4 mg/day; maintenance, up to 32 mg/day administered in two to four divided doses; maximum, 32 mg/day	Tablet: 2 mg 4 mg 12 mg 16 mg
Topiramate	Epilepsy monotherapy (patients ≥10 years of age): Capsule (sprinkle), tablet: initial, 50 mg/day administered in two divided doses; maximum, 400 mg/day administered in two divided doses Epilepsy adjunctive therapy (adults with partial onset seizure or LSG and primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures): Capsule (sprinkle), tablet: initial, 25 to 50 mg/day; maintenance, 200 to 400 mg/day administered in two divided doses <u>Migraine prophylaxis:</u> Capsule, tablet: initial, 25 mg/day administered nightly for seven days; maintenance,	Epilepsy monotherapy (children two to <10 years): Capsule (sprinkle), tablet: initial, 25 mg/day administered for seven days; maintenance, daily doses in two divided doses based on weight Epilepsy monotherapy (patients ≥10 years of age): Capsule (sprinkle), tablet: initial, 50 mg/day administered in two divided doses; maximum, 400 mg/day administered in two divided doses	Capsule (sprinkle): 15 mg 25 mg Tablet: 25 mg 50 mg 100 mg 200 mg





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
	100 mg/day administered in two divided doses	therapy (pediatrics with partial onset seizures, primary generalized tonic- clonic seizures, or LSG): Capsule (sprinkle), tablet: initial, 25 mg/day administered at night for seven days; maintenance, 5 to 9 mg/day/day administered in two divided doses	
Valproic acid	Absence seizures: Capsule, delayed-release capsule, solution: initial, 15 mg/kg/day; maintenance, increase until seizure control or limiting adverse eventsBipolar disorder: Delayed-release capsule: initial, 750 mg/day; maintenance, increase rapidly to achieve lowest therapeutic dose or desired plasma levelMigraine prophylaxis: Delayed-release capsule: 25 mg twice dailyPartial seizures: capsule, solution: initial, 10 to 15 mg/kg/day; maintenance, increase to achieve optimal response	Absence seizures: Capsule, delayed- release capsule, solution: initial, 15 mg/kg/day; maintenance, increase until seizure control or limiting adverse events Partial seizures (patients >10 years of age): Capsule, delayed- release capsule, solution: initial, 10 to 15 mg/kg/day; maintenance, increase to achieve optimal response	Capsule: 250 mg Delayed-release capsule: 125 mg 250 mg 500 mg Solution: 250 mg/5 mL
Vigabatrin	Partial seizures: Tablet: initial, 500 mg twice daily; maintenance, 1.5 g twice daily	Infantile spasms (patients >1 month to 2 years of age): Solution: initial, 50 mg/kg/day twice daily; maximum, 150 mg/kg/day Partial seizures: Tablet: initial, 500 mg twice daily; maintenance, 1.5 g twice daily Safety and efficacy in	Solution (powder): 500 mg Tablet: 500 mg Capsule:





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
	Capsule: initial, 100 mg/day;	children <16 years of	25 mg
	maintenance, 100 to 600	age have not been	50 mg
	mg/day	established.	100 mg

AED=antiepileptic drugs, DPN=diabetic peripheral neuropathy, LGS=Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome, PHN=postherpetic neuralgia

Clinical Guidelines

Table 11. Clinical Guidelines

Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
National Institute for	Treatment of atonic or tonic seizures
Clinical Excellence:	First-line treatment in children, young people, and adults with tonic or
The Epilepsies: The	atonic seizure: sodium valproate.
Diagnosis and	Offer lamotrigine as adjunctive treatment if sodium valproate is ineffective
Management of the	or not tolerated.
Epilepsies in Adults	Discuss with a tertiary epilepsy specialist if adjunctive treatment is
and Children in	ineffective or not tolerated. Other antiepileptics that may be considered by
Primary and	the tertiary epilepsy specialist are rufinamide and topiramate.
Secondary Care	• Do not offer carbamazepine, gabapentin, oxcarbazepine, pregabalin,
(2012) ⁷	tiagabine or vigabatrin.
	Treatment of generalized tonic-clonic seizures
	• First-line treatment in children, young people, and adults with newly
	diagnosed focal seizures: sodium valproate.
	Offer lamotrigine if sodium valproate is unsuitable.
	Consider carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine.
	Offer clobazam, lamotrigine, levetiracetam, sodium valproate, or
	topiramate as adjunctive treatment to all patients if first-line treatments
	are ineffective or not tolerated.
	If there are absence or myoclonic seizures, or if juvenile myoclonic
	epilepsy is suspected, do not offer carbamazepine, gabapentin,
	oxcarbazepine, phenytoin, pregabalin, tiagabine, or vigabatrin.
	Treatment of infantile spasms
	 Discuss with, or refer to, a tertiary pediatric epilepsy specialist when an
	infant presents with infantile spasms.
	• Offer a steroid or vigabatrin as first-line treatment to infants with infantile
	spasms that are not due to tuberous sclerosis.
	• Offer vigabatrin as first-line treatment to infant with infantile spasms due
	to tuberous sclerosis. If vigabatrin is ineffective, offer a steroid.
	Treatment of Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome (LGS)
	• Discuss with, or refer to, a tertiary pediatric epilepsy specialist when a
	child presents with suspected LGS.
	Offer sodium valproate as first-line treatment to children with LGS.
	Offer lamotrigine as adjunctive treatment if first-line treatments are
	ineffective or not tolerated.
	Discuss with a tertiary epilepsy specialist if adjunctive treatment is
	ineffective or not tolerated. Other antiepileptics that may be considered by
	the tertiary epilepsy specialist are rufinamide and topiramate.
	• Do not offer carbamazepine, gabapentin, oxcarbazepine, pregabalin,
	tiagabine or vigabatrin.
	• Only offer felbamate in centers providing tertiary epilepsy specialist care
	and when treatment with all of the antiepileptics listed above have proved



Page 197 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	ineffective or not tolerated.
	Tractment of mycelonic coizures
	 <u>Treatment of myoclonic seizures</u> First-line treatment in children, young people, and adults with myoclonic
	seizures: valproate, unless unsuitable.
	 Consider levetiracetam or topiramate if sodium valproate is unsuitable or not tolerated.
	 Offer levetiracetam, sodium valproate, or topiramate as adjunctive treatment to all patients if first-line treatments are ineffective or not
	 tolerated. If adjunctive treatment is ineffective or not tolerated, discuss with, or refer to, a tertiary epilepsy specialist or consider clobazam, clonazepam,
	 piracetam*, or zonisamide. Do not offer carbamazepine, gabapentin, oxcarbazepine, phenytoin,
	pregabalin, tiagabine or vigabatrin.
	Treatment of absence seizures
	 First-line treatment in children, young people, and adults with absence seizures: ethosuximide or sodium valproate. If there is a high risk of generalized tonic-clonic seizures, offer sodium valproate first, unless it is unsuitable.
	 Offer lamotrigine if ethosuximide and sodium valproate are unsuitable, ineffective, or not tolerated.
	 If two first-line antiepileptics are ineffective, consider a combination of two of these three antiepileptics as adjunctive treatment: ethosuximide,
	lamotrigine, or sodium valproate.
	 If adjunctive treatment is ineffective or not tolerated, discuss with, or refer to, a tertiary epilepsy specialist and consider clobazam, clonazepam, levetiracetam, topiramate or zonisamide.
	 Do not offer carbamazepine, gabapentin, oxcarbazepine, phenytoin, pregabalin, tiagabine or vigabatrin.
	Tractment of feed exizures
	 <u>Treatment of focal seizures</u> First-line treatment in children, young people, and adults with newly diagnosed focal seizures: carbamazepine or lamotrigine.
	 Offer levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine, or sodium valproate if first-line treatments are unsuitable or not tolerated. If the first antiepileptic tried is ineffective, offer an alternative from the five antiepileptics noted above.
	 Consider adjunctive treatment if a second well-tolerated antiepileptic is ineffective.
	• For refractory focal seizures, offer carbamazepine, clobazam,
	gabapentin, lamotrigine, levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine, sodium valproate, or topiramate as adjunctive treatment to all patients with focal seizures if first-line treatments are ineffective or not tolerated.
	 For refractory focal seizures, if adjunctive treatment is ineffective or not tolerated, discuss with, or refer to, a tertiary epilepsy specialist. Other antiepileptics that may be considered by a specialist are eslicarbazepine acetate*, lacosamide, phenobarbital, phenytoin, pregabalin, tiagabine, vigabatrin and zonisamide.
	 <u>Treatment of Dravet syndrome</u> Discuss with, or refer to, a tertiary pediatric epilepsy specialist when a child presents with suspected Dravet syndrome.



Page 198 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	Consider sodium valproate or topiramate as first-line treatment in children
	with Dravet syndrome.
	Discuss with a tertiary epilepsy specialist if first-line treatments are
	ineffective or not tolerated, and consider clobazam or stiripentol as adjunctive treatment.
	 Do not offer carbamazepine, gabapentin, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine,
	phenytoin, pregabalin, tiagabine or vigabatrin.
	Treatment of benign epilepsy with centrotemporal spikes, Panayiotopoulos
	syndrome, or late-onset childhood occipital epilepsy (Gastaut type)
	Discuss with the child or young person, and their family and/or
	 caretakers, whether antiepileptic drug treatment is indicated. Offer carbamazepine or lamotrigine as first-line treatment to children and
	 Other carbamazepine or lamotrigine as hist-line treatment to children and young people.
	Offer levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine, or sodium valproate if first-line
	treatments are unsuitable or not tolerated. If the first antiepileptic drug
	tried is ineffective, offer an alternative from the five antiepileptics noted
	above.
	Consider adjunctive treatment if a second well-tolerated antiepileptic drug is ineffective.
	• Offer carbamazepine, clobazam, gabapentin, lamotrigine, levetiracetam,
	oxcarbazepine, sodium valproate, or topiramate as adjunctive treatment if
	first-line treatments are ineffective or not tolerated.
	 If adjunctive treatment is ineffective or not tolerated, discuss with, or refer to, a tertiary epilepsy specialist. Other antiepileptic drugs that may be
	considered are eslicarbazepine acetate*, lacosamide, phenobarbital,
	phenytoin, pregabalin, tiagabine, vigabatrin and zonisamide.
	Treatment of idiopathic generalized anilonau
	 <u>Treatment of idiopathic generalized epilepsy</u> First-line treatment in children, young people, and adults with idiopathic
	generalized epilepsy: sodium valproate.
	 Offer lamotrigine if sodium valproate is unsuitable or not tolerated.
	Consider topiramate.
	Offer lamotrigine, levetiracetam, sodium valproate, or topiramate as
	adjunctive treatment if first-line treatments are ineffective or not tolerated.
	If adjunctive treatment is ineffective or not tolerated, discuss with, or refer
	to, a tertiary epilepsy specialist and consider clobazam, clonazepam or zonisamide.
	 Do not offer carbamazepine, gabapentin, oxcarbazepine, phenytoin,
	pregabalin, tiagabine or vigabatrin.
	Treatment of juvenile myoclonic epilepsy
	 First-line treatment in children, young people, and adults with juvenile
	myoclonic epilepsy: sodium valproate.
	Consider lamotrigine, levetiracetam, or topiramate if sodium valproate is
	unsuitable or not tolerated.
	Offer lamotrigine, levetiracetam, sodium valproate, or topiramate as
	adjunctive treatment if first-line treatments are ineffective or not tolerated.
	 If adjunctive treatment is ineffective or not tolerated, discuss with, or refer to, a tertiary epilepsy specialist and consider clobazam, clonazepam, or
	zonisamide.
	 Do not offer carbamazepine, gabapentin, oxcarbazepine, phenytoin,





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	pregabalin, tiagabine or vigabatrin.
	 <u>Treatment of epilepsy with generalized tonic-clonic seizures only</u> First-line treatment in children, young people, and adults with epilepsy with generalized tonic-clonic seizures only: lamotrigine, sodium valproate. Consider carbamazepine or oxcarbazepine. Offer clobazam, lamotrigine, levetiracetam, sodium valproate, or topiramate as adjunctive treatment if first-line treatments are ineffective or not tolerated.
	Treatment of childhood absence epilepsy, juvenile absence epilepsy, or other absence epilepsy syndromes
	 First-line treatment in children, young people, and adults: ethosuximide, sodium valproate.
	Offer lamotrigine if first-line treatments are unsuitable, ineffective, or not tolerated.
	 If two first-line antiepileptic drugs are ineffective, consider a combination of two of these three antiepileptic drugs adjunctive treatment: ethosuximide, lamotrigine, or sodium valproate.
	• If adjunctive treatment is ineffective or not tolerated, discuss with, or refer to, a tertiary epilepsy specialist and consider clobazam, clonazepam, levetiracetam, topiramate, or zonisamide.
	Do not offer carbamazepine, gabapentin, oxcarbazepine, phenytoin, pregabalin, tiagabine or vigabatrin.
American Academy of Neurology:	• To date, there is insufficient evidence to support the use of agents other than adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), and vigabatrin.
Evidence-Based Guideline Update:	 Low-dose ACTH should be considered as an alternative to high-dose ACTH for treatment of infantile spasms.
Medical Treatment of Infantile Spasms:	• ACTH or vigabatrin may be offered for short-term treatment of infantile spasms. Evidence suggests that ACTH may be offered over vigabatrin.
Report of the Guideline Development	 There is insufficient evidence to recommend the use of dexamethasone, prednisolone and methylprednisolone as being as effective as ACTH for short-term treatment of infantile spasms.
Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the Practice Committee	• The data is insufficient to recommend other therapies (valproic acid, vitamin B6, nitrazepam, levetiracetam, zonisamide, topiramate, the ketogenic diet, or novel/combination therapies) for the treatment of infantile spasms.
of the Child Neurology Society (2012) ¹⁰	 Hormonal therapy (ACTH or prednisolone) may be considered for use in preference to vigabatrin in infants with cryptogenic infantile spasms, to possibly improve developmental outcome.
	 A shorter lag time to treatment of infantile spasms with either hormonal therapy or vigabatrin may be considered to improve long-term cognitive outcomes.
Infantile Spasms Working Group: Infantile Spasms: A	 To improve outcomes in infantile spasms, the goals include early recognition and diagnosis, short-term treatment with a first-line therapy, timely electroencephalography evaluation to assess treatment
U.S. Consensus Report (2010) ¹¹	 effectiveness and prompt treatment modification if indicated. Effective treatment should produce both cessation of spasms and resolution of hypsarrhythmia on electroencephalography.
	• The dose of the chosen first-line agent should be adjusted to achieve the maximum effective dose in as short amount of time as clinically indicated.
	There is insufficient evidence to recommend the best approach in events



Page 200 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Clinical Guideline	 Recommendations of relapse. Possible treatment options include using the previously effective agent and dose, using the previously effective agent at the maximum dose or using a new agent. ACTH is considered first-line therapy for infantile spasms. There is insufficient evidence to recommend the optimal dose and duration of treatment, although short duration is preferable to avoid adverse events. Treatment with the maximum dose of ACTH should be continued for two weeks followed by taper and evaluation of treatment response. Vigabatrin is considered first-line therapy for infantile spasms, especially in patients with comorbid tuberous sclerosis complex. Vigabatrin should be initiated at 50 mg/kg/day and increased up to 100 to 150 mg/kg/day if indicated. Efficacy should be assessed within two weeks following dose titration. Responders to treatment may continue therapy for six to nine months, with continued ophthalmic evaluation. No recommendations can be given with regard to oral corticosteroids in the treatment of infantile spasms. Ketogenic diet may be considered as second-line therapy when first-line therapies fail or are inappropriate. Patients with refractory spasms, concomitant partial seizures or focal abnormalities on the electroencephalography may be evaluated for surgery. Initial pharmacological treatment for generalized convulsive status epilepticus and non-convulsive status epilepticus The preferred treatment is intravenous administration of lorazepam 0.1 mg/kg; however, depending on the patients' general medical condition, treatment can be started at a lower dose of 4 mg, to be repeated if seizures continue for >10 minutes after first injection. If lorazepam is not available, diazepam 10 mg (route of administration not specified) directly followed by phenytoin (15 to 18 mg/kg) or equivalent fosphenytoin. General management of refractory generalized convulsive
	initiated.For elderly patients in whom intubation and artificial ventilation would not
	 <u>Pharmacological treatment for refractory non-convulsive status epilepticus</u> Due to poor evidence and lack of any head-to-head trials, no recommendations can be made regarding which of the non-anaesthetizing anticonvulsants should be the drug of choice. Recommendations include phenobarbital, valproic acid and levetiracetam. If treatment regimen includes the administration of anesthetics, use the same protocol as refractory generalized convulsive status epilepticus.



Page 201 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
American Academy of Neurology/American Epilepsy Society: Efficacy and Tolerability of the New Antiepileptic Drugs I: Treatment of New Onset Epilepsy (2004) ⁵	 At this time, there are no studies that assessed the efficacy and tolerability of the new antiepileptic drugs (gabapentin, lamotrigine, levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine, tiagabine, topiramate and zonisamide) in adults with newly diagnosed (exclusively) idiopathic or symptomatic generalized epilepsy. Lamotrigine can be included in the treatment options for children with newly diagnosed absence seizures. At this time, there is insufficient evidence to recommend use of gabapentin, levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine, tiagabine, topiramate and zonisamide in children with newly diagnosed (exclusively) idiopathic or symptomatic generalized epilepsy. Patients with newly diagnosed partial or mixed seizure disorders who require treatment can be initiated on carbamazepine, gabapentin, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, topiramate or valproic acid. The choice of drug will depend on individual patient characteristics. At this time, there is insufficient evidence to determine effectiveness in newly diagnosed patients for levetiracetam, tiagabine and zonisamide.
American Academy of Neurology/American Epilepsy Society: Efficacy and Tolerability of the New Antiepileptic Drugs II: Treatment of Refractory Epilepsy (2004) ⁶	 Topiramate may be used for the treatment of refractory generalized tonic- clonic seizures in adults and children. At this time, there is insufficient evidence to recommend use of gabapentin, lamotrigine, levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine, tiagabine or zonisamide for refractory generalized tonic- clonic seizures in adults and children. Lamotrigine and topiramate may be used to treat drop attacks associated with LGS in adults and children. Lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine and topiramate can be used as monotherapy in adults with refractory partial epilepsy. At this time, there is insufficient evidence to recommend use of gabapentin, levetiracetam, tiagabine or zonisamide in monotherapy for refractory partial epilepsy. Gabapentin, lamotrigine, levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine, tiagabine, topiramate and zonisamide are appropriate treatment options as adjunctive therapy for refractory partial epilepsy in adults. Gabapentin, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine and topiramate may be used as adjunctive treatment of refractory partial seizures in children. At this time, there is insufficient evidence to recommend levetiracetam, tiagabine or zonisamide as adjunctive treatment of refractory partial seizures in children. At this time, there is insufficient evidence to recommend levetiracetam, tiagabine or zonisamide as adjunctive treatment of refractory partial seizures in children.
International League Against Epilepsy: Updated ILAE Evidence Review of Antiepileptic Drug Efficacy and Effectiveness as Initial Monotherapy for Epileptic Seizures and Syndromes (2013) ²⁰⁰	 <u>Adults with partial onset seizures</u> Carbamazepine, levetiracetam, phenytoin, and zonisamide are established treatments as initial monotherapy for adults with newly diagnosed or untreated partial-onset seizures. Valproic acid is probably effective and gabapentin, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine, phenobarbital, topiramate and vigabatrin are possibly effective for partial onset seizures. Clonazepam and primidone are potentially efficacious/effective. <u>Children with partial-onset seizures</u> Oxcarbazepine is established as initial monotherapy for children with newly diagnosed or untreated partial-onset seizures. Carbamazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, topiramate, valproic acid and vigabatrin may be effective and clobazam, clonazepam, lamotrigine and zonisamide are potentially efficacious/ effective. <u>Elderly adults with partial-onset seizures</u>





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	Gabapentin and lamotrigine are effective as initial monotherapy for elderly adults with newly diagnosed or untreated partial-onset seizures. Carbamazepine may be effective and topiramate and valproic acid are potentially efficacious/ effective.
	 <u>Adults with generalized-onset tonic-clonic seizures</u> Carbamazepine, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, topiramate and valproic acid are possibly effective as initial monotherapy for adults with newly diagnosed or untreated generalized-onset tonic-clonic seizures. Gabapentin, levetiracetam and vigabatrin are potentially efficacious/effective. Carbamazepine and phenytoin may precipitate or aggravate generalized-onset tonic-clonic seizures.
	 <u>Children with generalized-onset tonic-clonic seizures</u> Carbamazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, topiramate and valproic acid are possibly effective for children with newly diagnosed or untreated generalized onset tonic-clonic seizures. Oxcarbazepine is potentially efficacious/effective. Carbamazepine and phenytoin may precipitate or aggravate generalized-onset tonic-clonic seizures.
	 <u>Children with absence seizures</u> Ethosuximide and valproic acid are established treatments for children with newly diagnosed or untreated absence seizures. Lamotrigine is possibly efficacious/effective as initial monotherapy. Gabapentin is inefficacious/ineffective for children with absence seizures. Based on scattered reports, the following AEDs may precipitate or aggravate absence seizures: carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, tiagabine and vigabatrin. No conclusion can be made about levetiracetam efficacy/effectiveness for absence seizures since the failed class III placebo-controlled trial was uninformative.
	 <u>Children with benign childhood epilepsy with centrotemporal spikes (BECTS)</u> Carbamazepine and valproic acid are possibly effective as initial monotherapy for children with BECTS. Gabapentin, levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine, and sulthiame* are potentially efficacious/effective.
	 Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy Topiramate and valproic acid are potentially efficacious/effective for patients with newly diagnosed juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. Carbamazepine, gabapentin, oxcarbazepine, phenytoin, tiagabine and vigabatrin may precipitate or aggravate absence seizures, myoclonic seizures, and in some cases generalized tonic-clonic seizures. There has been a report that lamotrigine may exacerbate seizures in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy.
Veterans Affairs/ Department of Defense: Clinical Practice Guideline for Management of Bipolar Disorder in Adults (2010) ¹³	 Bipolar mania or mixed bipolar disorder: Pharmacotherapy for bipolar mania or mixed episode should start with initiation or optimization of a medication that has been shown to be the most effective in treating bipolar manic episodes while minimizing the potential risks. Agents that are most likely to be beneficial for mania are the following: lithium, valproate, carbamazepine, aripiprazole, olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone, or ziprasidone. In addition, lithium or valproate may be combined with an atypical antipsychotic. Agents most likely to be beneficial for the treatment of a mixed bipolar



Page 203 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	episode are valproate, carbamazepine, aripiprazole, olanzapine,
	risperidone, or ziprasidone.
	Agents that are unlikely to be beneficial either for bipolar mania or mixed
	bipolar are lamotrigine, topiramate, or gabapentin.
	Clozapine, haloperidol and oxcarbazepine may be considered in patients
	with mania or mixed episode. Lithium or quetiapine may be considered in patients with mixed episode.
	• Treatment response should be evaluated at four to eight weeks after initiation of treatment, after each change in treatment, and periodically until full remission is achieved. In patients who reach full remission, assessment of symptoms should be continued periodically to monitor for relapse or recurrence.
	 Patients who have failed monotherapy may consider switching to another monotherapy, combining a non-antipsychotic mood stabilizer (lithium or valproate) with a second generation antipsychotics (SGA).
	 Clozapine, with its more serious adverse event profile, may be combined
	with valproate or lithium as a treatment of severe mania or mixed episode, if it has been successful in the past or if other antipsychotics have failed.
	Pharmacotherapy for bipolar depression
	 Pharmacotherapy for bipolar depression should start with initiation or optimization of a medication that has been shown to be the most effective in treating bipolar depressive episodes, while minimizing the potential
	risks.
	 Quetiapine, lamotrigine, or lithium monotherapy should be considered as first-line treatment for adult patients with bipolar depression.
	 Olanzapine/fluoxetine combination should be considered for treatment of bipolar depression, but its adverse effects (weight gain, risk of diabetes, hypertriglyceridemia) places this combination as a second-line treatment. Olanzapine alone may also be considered for bipolar depression, but adverse effects require caution.
	 Agents that had been effective in treating prior episodes of depression should be considered.
	 There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against the use of valproate, carbamazepine, topiramate, risperidone, ziprasidone, or clozapine for bipolar disease depression.
	• Aripiprazole is not recommended for monotherapy in the treatment of acute bipolar depression, unless there is a history of previous good response during depression without switch to mania or a history of treatment refractory depression.
	 Combining lithium with lamotrigine can be considered for patients with bipolar depression who do not respond to monotherapy.
	 When patients do not respond to treatment options that have shown better efficacy, antidepressant augmentation with selective serotonin
	reuptake inhibitor, serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor, and monoamine oxidase inhibitors can be considered for short-term
	treatment, monitoring closely for triggering of manic symptoms.
	 Clozapine may be considered for augmentation, using caution regarding metabolic or other adverse effects.
	 There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against use of
	augmentation with aripiprazole, olanzapine, risperidone, haloperidol, oxcarbazepine, topiramate, ziprasidone, valproate, or carbamazepine for



Page 204 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	the treatment of bipolar depression.
	 Gabapentin and the tricyclic antidepressants are not recommended for monotherapy or augmentation in the treatment of acute bipolar depression, unless there is a history of previous good response during depression without switch to mania or a history of treatment refractory depression. If there is no response within two to four weeks on an adequate dose of medication, therapy should be adjusted by either augmenting with additional agents, discontinuing switching to another effective medication or electroconvulsive therapy if multiple medication trials have been ineffective.
American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry: Practice Parameter for the Assessment and Treatment of Children and Adolescents with Bipolar Disorder (2007) ¹⁴	 Youth with suspected bipolar disorder must also be carefully evaluated for other associated problems, including suicidality, comorbid disorders (including substance abuse), psychosocial stressors, and medical problems. The diagnostic validity of bipolar disorder in young children has yet to be established. Caution must be taken before applying this diagnosis in preschool children. For mania in well-defined DSM-IV-TR bipolar I disorder, pharmacotherapy is the primary treatment. Standard therapy, based on adult literature, includes lithium, valproate, and/or atypical antipsychotic agents, with other adjunctive medications used as indicated. The choice of medication should be based on 1) evidence of efficacy, 2) illness phase, 3) presence of confounding symptoms, 4) adverse events, 5) patient's medication response history, 6) patient and family preferences. Clozapine is reserved for treatment-refractory cases because of its adverse event profile. Antidepressants may be used as adjunctive therapy for bipolar depression. Most youths with bipolar I disorder will require ongoing medication therapy to prevent relapse; some individuals will need lifelong treatment. Psychopharmacological interventions require baseline and follow-up symptoms, adverse event (including patient's weight), and laboratory monitoring as indicated. A six to eight week trial of a mood-stabilizing agent is recommended, using adequate doses, before adding or substituting other mood stabilizers. For severely impaired adolescents with manic or depressive episodes in bipolar I disorder, electroconvulsive therapy may be used if medications either are not helpful or cannot be tolerated. Psychotherapeutic interventions are an important component of a comprehensive treatment plan for early-onset bipolar disorder. The treatment
National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence: Bipolar Disorder:	 <u>Acute manic episode in adults</u> An antipsychotic or valproate should be used for severe manic symptoms marked by a behavioral disturbance. Lithium may be used if symptoms are not severe due to its slower onset of action. For an acute manic episode while on lithium or valproate, dose should be optimized, then olanzapine, quetiapine or risperidone should be added on



Page 205 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
The Management of	if there are no signs of improvement.
Bipolar Disorder in	
Adults, Children and	Acute depressive episode in adults
Adolescents, in	Patients with an incomplete response to antidepressant monotherapy
Primary And Secondary Care (2006) ¹⁵	may be managed by increasing the dose, switching antidepressants (e.g., mirtazapine or venlafaxine), adding an antipsychotic (olanzapine or quetiapine) or adding lithium.
	 Patients with concurrent depressive and psychotic symptoms may be managed with olanzapine, quetiapine, or risperidone if the depressive illness is severe.
	Long-term management
	 Lithium, olanzapine, or valproate should be considered for long-term treatment of bipolar disorder.
	 Long-acting intramuscular antipsychotic injections should not be used routinely.
	 Quetiapine or lamotrigine can be considered for the management of patients with chronic and recurrent depressive symptoms.
	 <u>Acute manic episode in children and adolescents</u> An antipsychotic or valproate should be used for severe manic symptoms marked by behavioral disturbance. Lithium may be used if symptoms are not severe due to its slower onset of action.
	 If there is an inadequate response to an antipsychotic, adding lithium or valproate should be considered.
	 For an acute manic episode while on lithium or valproate, dose should be optimized, then if there are no signs of improvement, olanzapine, quetiapine or risperidone may be added.
	 Valproate should be avoided in girls and young women because of risks during pregnancy and risk of polycystic ovary syndrome.
	 At the start of therapy and periodically thereafter, height, weight and prolactin levels should be measured.
	• When considering an antipsychotic, the risk of increased prolactin levels with risperidone and weight gain with olanzapine should be considered.
	 <u>Acute depressive episode in children and adolescents</u> Patients with mild depressive symptoms, not requiring immediate
	 treatment should be monitored. Children and adolescents with depressive symptoms needing treatment should be treated by specialists.
	 A structured psychological therapy aimed at treating depression should be considered in addition to prophylactic medication.
	 When prescribing an antidepressant, an antimanic agent should also be prescribed.
	• Patients with an incomplete response to antidepressant therapy may be managed by increasing the dose, switching antidepressants (e.g., mirtazapine or venlafaxine), adding an antipsychotic (olanzapine or quetiapine) or adding lithium.
	 Patients with concurrent depressive and psychotic symptoms may be managed with olanzapine, quetiapine, or risperidone if the depressive illness is severe.
The Texas Medication	Treatment of hypomanic or manic episodes
Algorithm Project:	
, agonann i Tojooa	1





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Texas	Stage 1 treatment options for euphoric symptoms include: lithium,
Implementation of	valproate, aripiprazole, quetiapine, risperidone, and ziprasidone.
Medication	 Stage 1 treatment options for mixed symptoms include: valproate,
Algorithms	aripiprazole, risperidone, and ziprasidone.
Procedural Manual:	 Stage 1b, olanzapine and carbamazepine are potential alternatives to
Bipolar Disorder	stage 1 agents.
Algorithms (2007) ¹⁶	 Stage 2 treatment options include a combination with two of the following:
	lithium, valproate, olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone, or ziprasidone (not two antipsychotics).
	• Stage 3 treatment options include a different combination than that tried
	in Stage 2, with additional options including carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine, aripiprazole, and a typical antipsychotic.
	 Stage 4 treatment options include clozapine or three-drug combinations
	(include lithium, an anticonvulsant mood stabilizer [valproate, carbamazepine, or oxcarbazepine], plus an atypical antipsychotic).
	 Treatment of depression Stage 1 recommended treatment is lamotrigine monotherapy for those
	patients without a recent and/or severe history of manic symptoms.
	Others should receive lamotrigine plus a mood stabilizer.
	 Stage 2 treatment options include quetiapine monotherapy or the olanzapine/fluoxetine combination treatment.
	For Stage 3 and beyond, evidence-based medicine is limited to case
	series, open-label studies and expert clinical consensus. A variety of
	treatment options are suggested.
	• For intolerance or unresponsiveness to agents used in a particular Stage,
	it is recommended to try an alternative mood stabilizer within that Stage.
American	Treatment of acute manic or mixed episodes
Psychological Association:	Adjunctive antipsychotic treatment is recommended for manic or mixed
Practice Guideline	manic episodes with psychotic features.
for the Treatment of	SGAs are preferable over first generation antipsychotics because of their
Patients With Bipolar	adverse event profile.
Disorder (2002) ¹²	Treatment of acute depressive episodes
	Patients presenting with psychotic features would require adjunctive
	treatment with an antipsychotic medication or electroconvulsive therapy.
	Treatment of acute rapid cycling
	 A combination regimen containing a SGA may also be used.
	Maintenance treatment for manic/depressive episode
	Ongoing adjunctive antipsychotic therapy should be reassessed, and should tapered upless required for control of pareistent psychosis or
	slowly tapered, unless required for control of persistent psychosis or prophylaxis against recurrence.
American Academy of	
Neurology/American	 The following medications are established as effective; therefore, should be offered for migraine prevention:
Headache Society:	 Antiepileptic drugs: divalproex sodium, sodium valproate,
Evidence-based	topiramate.
Guideline Update:	\circ β-blockers: metoprolol, propranolol, timolol.
Pharmacologic	 Triptans: frovatriptan for short term menstrually associated
Treatment for	migraine prevention.
Episodic Migraine	The following medications are probably effective; therefore, should be
Prevention in Adults	



Page 207 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
(2012 ⁾¹⁷	considered for migraine prevention:
	 Antidepressants: amitriptyline, venlafaxine.
	 β-blockers: atenolol, nadolol.
	 Triptans: naratriptan, zolmitriptan for short term menstrually
	associated migraine prevention.
	The following medications are possibly effective; therefore, may be
	considered for migraine prevention:
	 Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors: lisinopril.
	 Angiotensin receptor blockers: candesartan.
	 α-agonists: clonidine, guanfacine.
	 Antiepileptic drugs: carbamazepine. A blackera: pabivelal piddalal
	\circ β-blockers: nebivolol, pindolol.
	Evidence is conflicting or inadequate to support or refute the use of the following mediactions for migrating provention, generating flueveting.
	following medications for migraine prevention: gabapentin, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, protriptyline, acenocoumarol, warfarin, picotamide,
	bisoprolol, nicardipine, nifedipine, nimodipine, verapamil, acetazolamide,
	cyclandelate.
	 Ineffective medications for migraine prevention:
	 Lamotrigine is established as ineffective and should not be
	offered.
	 Clomipramine is probably ineffective and should not be
	considered.
	Acebutolol, clonazepam, nabumetone, oxcarbazepine, and telmisartan
	are possibly ineffective and may not be considered.
American Academy of	Prevention of migraines
Family Physicians/	Generally accepted indications for migraine prevention include the
American College of	following: at least two attacks per month that produce disability lasting at
Physicians-American	least three days per month; contraindication to, or failure of, acute
Society of Internal	treatments; use of abortive medication at least two times per week; or
Medicine:	presence of uncommon migraine conditions, including hemiplegic
Pharmacologic	migraine, migraine with prolonged aura, or migrainous infarction. Other
Management of	factors to consider are adverse events with acute therapies, patient
Acute Attacks of	preference and the cost of both acute and preventive therapies.
Migraine and	Although many agents are available for the preventive treatment of
Prevention of	migraine, only a few have proven efficacy. Once an agent has been
Migraine Headache (2002) ²⁰¹	chosen, clinicians should initiate therapy with a low dose and titrate the
(2002)	dose slowly up until clinical benefits are achieved in the absence of
	adverse events or until limited by adverse events. Because a clinical
	benefit may take as long as two to three months to manifest, each treatment should be given an adequate trial. After a period of stability
	treatment should be given an adequate trial. After a period of stability, clinicians should consider tapering or discontinuing treatment.
	Recommended first-line agents for the prevention of migraine headache are amitriptyline, divalproex sodium, propranolol, sodium valproate, and
	timolol.
American Academy of	 The goals of migraine preventive therapy are to reduce attack frequency,
Neurology/United	severity, and duration; improve responsiveness to treatment of acute
States Headache	attacks; and improve function and reduce disability.
Consortium:	 One or more of the following helps guide management decisions on the
Practice Parameter:	use of preventive therapies: recurring migraines that significantly interfere
Evidence-Based	with daily routines, despite acute treatment; frequent headaches;
Guidelines for	contraindication to or failure or overuse of acute therapies; adverse
Migraine Headache	events with acute therapies; presence of uncommon migraine conditions,
(2000) ²⁰²	including hemiplegic migraine, basilar migraine, migraine with prolonged



Page 208 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
European Federation	 aura, or migrainous infarction; patient preference and cost of both acute and preventive therapies. Also, consider coexisting conditions and medications. Initiate therapy with medications that have the highest level of evidence-based efficacy and at the lowest effective dose. Increase the dose slowly until clinical benefits are achieved in the absence of, or until limited by, adverse events. Since it may take two to three months to achieve clinical benefit, give each drug an adequate trial. Use of a long-acting formulation may improve compliance. Re-evaluate therapy and after three to six months headaches are well controlled, consider tapering or discontinuing treatment. The following medications have proven high efficacy for the prevention of migraine and mild-to-moderate adverse events: amitriptyline, divalproex sodium, fluoxetine, gabapentin, propranolol and timolol. This summary only focused on preventive therapy for migraines.
of Neurological Societies: European Federation of Neurological Societies Guideline on the Drug Treatment of Migraine-Revised Report of an European Federation of Neurological Societies Task Force (2009) ²⁰³	 Prophylactic drug treatment of migraine should be considered and discussed with the patient when: the quality of life, business duties, or school attendance are severely impaired; frequency of attacks per month is at least two; migraine attacks do not respond to acute drug treatment; or frequent, very long, or uncomfortable auras occur. A migraine prophylaxis regimen is regarded as successful if the frequency of migraine attacks per month is decreased by at least 50% within three months. The drugs of first choice for migraine prophylaxis are flunarizine*, metoprolol, propranolol, topiramate, and valproic acid. Drugs of second choice include amitriptyline, bisoprolol, naproxen, petasites*, and venlafaxine.
European Federation of Neurological Societies: Guidelines on the Pharmacological Treatment of Neuropathic Pain (2010) ²⁰⁴	 Painful polyneuropathy Diabetic and non-diabetic painful polyneuropathy are similar in symptomatology and with respect to treatment response, with the exception of human immunodeficiency virus-induced neuropathy. Recommended first-line treatments include tricyclic antidepressants, gabapentin, pregabalin, and serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (duloxetine, venlafaxine). Tramadol is recommended second line, except for patients with exacerbations of pain or those with predominant coexisting non-neuropathic pain. Strong opioids are recommended third-line treatments due to concerns regarding long-term safety, including addiction potential and misuse. In human immunodeficiency virus-associated polyneuropathy, only lamotrigine (in patients receiving antiretroviral treatment), smoking cannabis, and capsaicin patches were found moderately useful. Postherpetic neuralgia (PHN) Recommended first-line treatments include a tricyclic antidepressant, gabapentin, or pregabalin. Topical lidocaine with its excellent tolerability may be considered first-line in the elderly, especially if there are concerns of adverse events of oral medications.
	 Strong opioids and capsaicin cream are recommended as second-line therapies.





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
American Academy of Neurology/American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine/American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation: Treatment of Painful Diabetic Neuropathy (2011) ¹⁸	 <u>Anticonvulsants</u> If clinically appropriate, pregabalin should be offered for treatment. Gabapentin and sodium valproate should be considered for treatment. There is insufficient evidence to support or refute the use of topiramate for treatment. Oxcarbazepine, lamotrigine, and lacosamide should probably not be considered for treatment. <u>Antidepressants</u> Amitriptyline, venlafaxine, and duloxetine should be considered for the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy. Data are insufficient to recommend one of these agents over another. Venlafaxine may be added to gabapentin for a better response. There is insufficient evidence to support or refute the use of desipramine, imipramine, fluoxetine, or the combination of nortriptyline and fluphenazine in the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy.
	 <u>Opioids</u> Dextromethorphan, morphine sulfate, tramadol, and oxycodone should be considered for treatment. Data are insufficient to recommend one agent over the other. <u>Other pharmacologic options</u> Capsaicin and isosorbide dinitrate spray should be considered for treatment. Clonidine, pentoxifylline, and mexiletine should probably not be considered for treatment. Lidocaine patch may be considered for treatment. There is insufficient evidence to support or refute the usefulness of vitamins and α-lipoic acid for treatment.
	 <u>Nonpharmacologic options</u> Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation should be considered for treatment. Electromagnetic field treatment, low-intensity laser treatment, and Reiki therapy should probably not be considered for treatment. Evidence is insufficient to support or refute the use of amitriptyline plus electrotherapy for treatment.
American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists: Medical Guidelines for Clinical Practice for the Management of Diabetes Mellitus (2007) ²⁰⁵	 <u>Neuropathy</u> All patients with type 2 diabetes should be assessed for neuropathy at the time of diagnosis, and all patients with type 1 diabetes should be assessed five years after diagnosis. Annual examinations should be performed thereafter in all patients. Inspect the patient's feet at every visit to evaluate skin, nails, pulses, temperature, evidence of pressure, and hygiene. Perform an annual comprehensive foot examination to assess sensory function by pinprick, temperature and vibration sensation using a tuning fork, or pressure using a monofilament. Refer patient to a qualified podiatrist, orthopedist, or neurologist if there is lack of sensation or mechanical foot changes. Consider treatment with duloxetine or pregabalin, both of which are indicated to treat diabetic neuropathy.



Page 210 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	 When treating patients with cardiac autonomic neuropathy, strategies appropriate for protection against cardiovascular disease should be utilized. Tricyclic antidepressants; topical capsaicin; and antiepileptic drugs such as carbamazepine, gabapentin, pregabalin, topiramate, and lamotrigine may provide symptomatic relief, but must be prescribed with knowledge of potential toxicities. Further study is required before botanical preparations and dietary supplements can be advocated to treat neuropathic symptoms. Maintain a referral network for podiatric and peripheral vascular studies and care.
American Diabetes Association: Diabetic Neuropathies (2005) ²⁰⁶	 <u>Algorithm for the management of symptoms diabetic polyneuropathy</u> Exclude nondiabetic etiologies, followed by, stabilize glycemic control (insulin not always required in type 2 diabetes), followed by, tricyclic antidepressants (e.g., amitriptyline 25 to 250 mg before bed), followed by, anticonvulsants (e.g., gabapentin, typical dose 1.8 g/day), followed by, opioid or opioid-like drugs (e.g., tramadol, oxycodone), followed by, consider pain clinical referral
American Academy of Neurology: Practice Parameter: Treatment of Postherpetic Neuralgia (2004) ¹⁹	 consider pain clinical referral. Tricyclic antidepressants (amitriptyline, nortriptyline, desipramine, maprotiline), gabapentin, pregabalin, opioids, and topical lidocaine patches are effective and should be used in the treatment of PHN. There is limited evidence to support nortriptyline over amitriptyline, and the data are insufficient to recommend one opioid over another. Amitriptyline has significant cardiac effects in the elderly when compared to nortriptyline and desipramine. Aspirin cream is possibly effective in the relief of pain in patients with PHN, but the magnitude of benefit is low, as seen with capsaicin. In countries with preservative-free intrathecal methylprednisolone available, it may be considered in the treatment of PHN. Acupuncture, benzydamine cream, dextromethorphan, indomethacin, epidural methylprednisolone, epidural morphine sulfate, iontophoresis of vincristine, lorazepam, vitamin E, and zimelidine are not of benefit. The effectiveness of carbamazepine, nicardipine, biperiden, chlorprothixene, ketamine, He:Ne laser irradiation, intralesional triamcinolone, cryocautery, topical piroxicam, extract of <i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>, dorsal root entry zone lesions, and stellate ganglion block are unproven in the treatment of PHN. There is insufficient evidence to make any recommendations on the long-term effects of these treatments.
European League Against Rheumatism: Evidence-based Recommendations for the Management of Fibromyalgia Syndrome (2008) ²⁰	 Tramadol is recommended for the management of pain in fibromyalgia. Simple analgesics such as paracetamol and other weak opioids can also be considered in the treatment of fibromyalgia. Corticosteroids and strong opioids are not recommended. Amitriptyline, fluoxetine, duloxetine, milnacipran, moclobemide and pirlindole (not available in the United States), reduce pain and often improve function, therefore they are recommended for the treatment of fibromyalgia. Tropisetron, pramipexole and pregabalin reduce pain and are recommended for the treatment of fibromyalgia.
American Academy of Neurology/European Federation of	 To control pain in patients with trigeminal neuralgia: carbamazepine should be offered; oxcarbazepine should be considered; baclofen, lamotrigine and pimozide* may be considered; and topical ophthalmic





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Neurological	anesthesia should not be considered.
Societies:	• For patients with trigeminal neuralgia refractory to medical therapy: early
Diagnostic	surgical therapy may be considered; and percutaneous procedures on
Evaluation and	the Gasserian ganglion, gamma knife and microvascular decompression
Treatment of	may be considered.
Trigeminal Neuralgia	
(2008) ²¹	

*Agent not currently available in the United States.

Conclusions

The anticonvulsants consist of agents from the following pharmacologic classes: barbiturates, benzodiazepines, hydantoins, succinimides, and miscellaneous anticonvulsants.^{1,2} The majority of agents are available in a generic formulation, and there is at least one generic agent available within each pharmacologic class.¹ Over the past decade, many new anticonvulsants have become available in the United States. Overall, the second generation anticonvulsants (e.g., gabapentin, lamotrigine, topiramate, levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine and zonisamide) have a number of potential advantages compared to older anticonvulsants (e.g., phenobarbital, phenytoin, carbamazepine and valproate) including a lower rates of adverse events, minimal or no need for serum monitoring, once or twice daily dosing and fewer drug interactions.⁴⁻⁶

Based on available clinical trial data, the safety and efficacy of the anticonvulsants for the management of seizure disorders are well established. At this time, there is insufficient evidence to suggest that one agent is more efficacious than another, or that one dosage formulation is more efficacious than another. ⁶⁶⁻¹⁵⁷ Despite a lack of demonstrated superiority compared to other available anticonvulsant dosage formulations within clinical trials, diazepam rectal gel provides a beneficial route of administration compared to other agents in the class. Overall, this agent offers a clinical advantage over other anticonvulsants included in this review. Diazepam rectal gel is Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for the management of selected, refractory patients with epilepsy, who are receiving a stable anticonvulsant regimen, and who require intermittent use of diazepam to control bouts of increased seizure.²⁸ Results from several placebo-controlled trials support that diazepam rectal gel is beneficial in aborting an episode of acute repetitive seizures and reducing the recurrence of seizure shortly thereafter.^{116,138-141} Furthermore, current clinical guidelines recognize the anticonvulsants as the standard of care for the management of seizure disorders.

Epilepsy pharmacotherapy requires individualization, and should be focused on controlling seizures, avoiding treatment-related adverse events and maintaining or restoring quality of life.⁴ Recommendations from current treatment guidelines for the management of seizure disorders are comprehensive and disorder-specific. Carbamazepine and lamotrigine are considered first-line for the treatment of patients with newly diagnosed focal seizures (partial seizures). Levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine or sodium valproate should be offered if first-line therapies prove inadequate, and adjunctive therapy should be considered if a second well-tolerated anticonvulsant also proves inadequate. Sodium valproate is recommended first-line for the treatment of patients with newly diagnosed generalized tonic-clonic focal seizures. Lamotrigine should be offered if sodium valproate proves inadequate, and carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine should be considered. Adjunctive therapy with clobazam, lamotrigine, levetiracetam, sodium valproate or topiramate should be offered to all patients if first-line therapies prove inadequate.⁷ Vigabatrin oral solution is the only anticonvulsant FDA-approved for the management of infantile spasm.^{1,57} There is insufficient evidence to support the use of agents other than adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and vigabatrin for the treatment of infantile spasms. Evidence suggests that ACTH may be preferred over vigabatrin for short term management.¹⁰ Vigabatrin is also available as a tablet that is FDA-approved as adjunctive therapy for adult patients with refractory complex partial seizures. Use of vigabatrin is associated with progressive and permanent bilateral concentric visual field constriction, and may also reduce visual acuity.57



Page 212 of 223 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/11/2013



Sodium valproate is recognized as first-line for the treatment of Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome (LGS), with lamotrigine recommended as adjunctive therapy if needed.⁷ Clobazam, clonazepam, lamotrigine, rufinamide and topiramate are all FDA-approved for the treatment of LGS.^{1,23,25,41,42,45,60} Clobazam was most recently approved by the FDA in 2011; however, this agent has been available internationally for several years for the treatment of anxiety and epilepsy. Some of the anticonvulsant agents hold additional FDA-approved indications that are unrelated to seizures disorders, including, but not limited to, prevention of migraines, and management of bipolar disorder (acute and maintenance treatment), fibromyalgia, neuropathic pain and trigeminal neuralgia.¹ Treatment guidelines recommend recognize valproate and carbamazepine as potentially beneficial options for the management of adults with a manic or mixed bipolar episode. Lamotrigine should be considered as a potential first-line option for the management of bipolar depression in adults, and patients who do not respond to initial monotherapy should receive combination therapy with lithium.¹²⁻¹⁶ Treatment guidelines recommend the use of divalproex, topiramate, and valproic acid for migraine prophylaxis.¹⁷ If clinically appropriate, treatment guidelines recommend pregabalin for the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Gabapentin and sodium valproate are other anticonvulsants that should be considered.¹⁸ According to treatment guidelines, first-line therapies for the management of postherpetic neuralgia include tricyclic antidepressants, gabapentin, pregabalin, opioids and topical lidocaine. At this time the use of these therapies for long-term management remains uncertain.¹⁹ The use of anticonvulsants in the management of fibromyalgia is not addressed within treatment guidelines.²⁰ According to treatment guidelines, carbamazepine should be offered to patients experiencing pain associated with trigeminal neuralgia.²





References

- 1. Drug Facts and Comparisons 4.0 [database on the Internet]. St. Louis: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.; 2013 [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://online.factsandcomparisons.com.
- Central Nervous System Agents 28:00, Anticonvulsants 28:12. In: McEvoy GK, editor; American Hospital Formulary Service. AHFS drug information 2013 [monograph on the Internet]. Bethesda (MD): American Society of Health-System Pharmacists; 2013 [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://online.statref.com.
- 3. Schachter SC. Overview of the management of epilepsy in adults. In: Basow DS (Ed). UpToDate [database on the internet]. Waltham (MA): UpToDate; 2013 [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.utdol.com/utd/index.do.
- 4. Schachter SC. Pharmacology of antiepileptic drugs. In: Basow DS (Ed). UpToDate [database on the internet]. Waltham (MA): UpToDate; 2013 [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.utdol.com/utd/index.do.
- French JA, Kanner AM, Bautista J, Abou-Khalil B, Browne T, Harden CL, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of the new antiepileptic drugs I: Treatment of new onset epilepsy: Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee and Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Epilepsy Society. Neurology. 2004;62:1252-60.
- French JA, Kanner AM, Bautista J, Abou-Khalil B, Browne T, Harden CL, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of the new antiepileptic drugs II: Treatment of refractory epilepsy: Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee and Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Epilepsy Society. Neurology. 2004;62:1261-73.(A)
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE). The epilepsies: the diagnosis and management of the epilepsies in adults and children in primary and secondary care. London, UK: 2012 Jan [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk.
- 8. Glaze DG. Clinical features and diagnosis of infantile spasms. In: Basow DS (Ed). UpToDate [database on the internet]. Waltham (MA): UpToDate; 2013 [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.utdol.com/utd/index.do.
- Wilfong A. Epilepsy syndromes in children. In: Basow DS (Ed). UpToDate [database on the internet]. Waltham (MA): UpToDate; 2013 [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.utdol.com/utd/index.do.
- 10. Go C, Mackay M, Weiss S et al. Evidence-based guideline update: medical treatment of infantile spasms: report of the guideline development subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the Practice Committee of the Child Neurology Society. Available at: http://www.neurology.org/content/78/24/1974.full.html.
- 11. Pellock JM, Hrachovy R, Shinnar S, Baram TZ, Bettis D, Dlugos DJ, et al. Infantile spasms: a U.S. consensus report. Epilepsia. 2010 Oct;51(10):2175-89.
- Hirschfeld RMA, Bowden CL, Gitlin MJ, Keck PE, Suppes T, Thase ME, et al. Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with bipolar disorder [monograph on the internet]. 2nd ed. Arlington (VA): American Psychiatric Association; 2002 Apr [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.psych.org/psych_pract/treatg/pg/prac_guide.cfm.
- 13. Management of Bipolar Disorder Working Group. VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for management of bipolar disorder in adults. Washington (DC): Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of Defense; 2010 May. 176 p. Available from: http://www.healthquality.va.gov/bipolar/bd_305_full.pdf.
- McClellan J, Kowatch R, Findling RL, et al. Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with bipolar disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolec Psychiatry. 2007; 46(1):107-25.
- 15. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Bipolar disorder: the management of bipolar disorder in adults, children and adolescents, in primary and secondary care. National clinical practice guideline number 38 [monograph on the internet]. London:The British Psychological Society & The Royal College of Psychiatrists; 2006 [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://guidance.nice.org.uk/cg38.





- 16. Suppes T, Dennehy EB, Hirschfeld RMA, et al. The Texas Implementation of Medication Algorithm: update to the algorithm for treatment of bipolar I disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2005;66(7):870-86. [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/mhprograms/tima.shtm.
- 17. Silberstein SD, Holland S, Freitag F, Dodick DW, Argoff C, Ashman E, et al. Evidence-based guideline update: pharmacologic treatment for episodic migraine prevention in adults: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Headache Society. Neurology. 2012 Apr 24;78(17):1337-45.
- 18. Bril V, England J, Franklin GM, Backonja M, Cohen J, Del Toro D, et al. Evidence-based guideline: treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy: report of the American Academy of Neurology, the American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine, and the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. Neurology. 2011 May 17:76(20):1758-65.
- 19. Dubinsky RM, Kabbani H, El-Chami, Boutwell C, Ali H; Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Practice parameter: treatment of postherpetic neuralgia: an evidence-based report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 2004;63:959.
- 20. Carville SF, Arendt-Nielsen S, Bliddal H, Blotman F, Branco JC, Buskila D, et al. EULAR evidencebased recommendations for the management of fibromyalgia syndrome. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008;67:536-41.
- 21. Gronseth G, Cruccu G, Alksne J, Argoff C, Brainin M, Burchiel K, et al. Practice parameter: the diagnostic evaluation and treatment of trigeminal neuralgia (an evidence-based review): Report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the European Federation of Neurological Societies. Neurology. 2008 Oct 7;71:1183-90.
- 22. Mebaral[®] (mephobarbital tablets, USP). Product Discontinuation Information [press release on the internet]. Deerfield (IL): Lundbeck; 2012 Jun 8 [cited 2013 Apr 10]. Available from: http://www.lundbeck.com/us/media/press-releases/2012/mebaral-mephobarbital-tablets-usp-productdiscontinuation-information.
- 23. Banzel[®] [package insert]. Woodcliff Lake (NJ): Eisai Co., Ltd.; 2011 Nov.
- 24. Celontin[®] [package insert]. New York (NY): Parke-Davis; 2010 Dec.
- 25. Clonazepam [package insert]. Corona (CA): Watson Laboratories, Inc.; 2008 Mar.
- 26. Depakote® [package insert]. North Chicago (IL): AbbVie Inc.; 2013 Feb.
- 27. Depakote ER[®] [package insert]. North Chicago (IL): AbbVie Inc.; 2013 Feb.
- 28. Diastat[®] [package insert]. San Antonio (TX): DPT Laboratories, LTD.; 2005 Sep.
- 29. Dilantin[®] [package insert]. New York (NY): Parke-Davis; 2011 Aug.
- 30. Dilantin Infatabs[®] [package insert]. New York (NY): Parke-Davis; 2011 Jul.
- 31. Epitol[®] [package insert]. Sellersville (PA): Teva Pharmaceuticals; 2011 May.
- 32. Equetro[®] [package insert]. Parsippany (NJ): Validus Pharmaceuticals LLC; 2012 Nov.
- 33. Ethosuximide capsule [package insert]. Sellersville (PA): Teva Pharmaceuticals USA; 2012 Jul.
- 34. Ethosuximide syrup [package insert]. Atlanta (GA): Milkart, Inc.; 2003 Mar.
- 35. Felbatol[®] [package insert]. Somerset (NJ): Meda Pharmaceuticals Inc.; 2011 Nov.
- 36. Fycompa[®] [package insert]. Woodcliff Lake (NJ): Eisai Co., Ltd.; 2012 Oct.
- 37. Gabitril[®] [package insert]. Frazer (NY): Cephalon Inc.; 2010 Sept.
- 38. Keppra[®] injection [package insert]. Smyrna (GA): UCB Inc.; 2012 Jun.
 39. Keppra[®] solution and tablet [package insert]. Smyrna (GA): UCB, Inc.; 2012 Jun.
- 40. Keppra XR[®] [package insert]. Smyrna (GA): UCB Inc.; 2012 Jun.
- 41. Lamictal CD[®], ODT[®], and tablet [package insert]. Research Triangle Park (NC): GlaxoSmithKline; 2012 Sept.
- 42. Lamictal XR[®] [package insert]. Research Triangle Park (NC): GlaxoSmithKline; 2012 Sept.
- 43. Lyrica[®] [package insert]. New York (NY): Pfizer; 2012 Jun.
- 44. Neurontin[®] [package insert]. New York (NY): Pfizer; 2012 Jul.
- 45. Onfi[®] [package insert]. Deerfield (IL): Lundbeck Inc.; 2011 Oct.
- 46. Oxtellar XR [package insert]. Rockville (MD): Supernus Pharmaceuticals Inc.; 2012 Oct.5
- 47. Peganone[®] [package insert]. Deerfield (IL): Lundbeck, Inc.; 2010 May.
- 48. Phenobarbital elixir [package insert]. Huntsville (AL): Qualitest Pharmaceuticals; 2008 Jun.
- 49. Phenobarbital injection [package insert]. Eatontown (NJ): West-ward Pharmaceuticals Corp.; 2011 Jun.





- 50. Phenobarbital tablet [package insert]. Physicians Total Care, Inc.; 2012 Mar. 2012 Mar.
- 51. Phenytek[®] [package insert]. Morgantown (WV): Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.; 2011 May.
- 52. Phenytoin extended-release capsule [package insert]. Zanesville (OH): Cardinal Health; 2009 Nov.
- 53. Phenytoin injection [package insert]. Eatontown (NJ): West-ward Pharmaceutical Corp.; 2006 Sep.
- 54. Phenytoin solution [package insert]. Baltimore (MD): Actavis Mid Atlantic LLC; 2006 Jan.
- 55. Potiga [package insert]. Research Triangle Park (NC): GlaxoSmithKline; 2012 Mar.
- 56. Primidone [package insert]. Philadelphia (PA): Lannett Company, Inc.; 2011 May.
- 57. Sabril[®] oral solution [package insert]. Deerfield (IL): Lundbeck Inc.; 2012 Feb.
 58. Stavzor[®] [package insert]. High Point (NC): Banner Pharmacaps, Inc.; 2009 Jan.
- 59. Tegretol[®] and Tegretol XR[®] [package insert]. East Hanover (NJ): Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; 2013 Mar.
- 60. Topamax[®] [package insert]. Titusville (NJ): Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2012 Oct.
- 61. Trileptal[®] [package insert]. East Hanover (NJ): Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; 2011 Mar.
- 62. Valproic acid capsule [package insert]. St Petersburg (FL): Catalent Pharma Solutions; 2012 Apr.
- 63. Valproic acid solution [package insert]. Bryan (OH): SUN Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc.; 2012 Jan.
- 64. Vimpat[®] [package insert]. Smyrna (GA): UCB Inc.; 2012 Oct.
- 65. Zonegran [package insert]. Woodcliff Lake (NJ): Elan Pharma International Ltd.; 2012 Jan.
- 66. Posner EB, Mohamed K, Marson AG. Ethosuximide, sodium valproate or lamotrigine for absence seizures in children and adolescents (abstract). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005 Oct 19;(4):CD003032.
- 67. Hancock EC, Osborne JP, Edwards SW. Treatment of infantile spasms. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008 Oct 8:(4):CD001770.
- 68. Koch MW, Polman SK. Oxcarbazepine vs carbamazepine monotherapy for partial-onset seizures (abstract). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009 Oct 7;(4):CD006453.
- 69. Mattson RH, Cramer JA, Collins JF. A comparison of valproate with carbamazepine for the treatment of complex partial seizures and secondarily generalized tonic-clonic seizures in adults. The Department of Veterans Affairs Epilepsy Cooperative Study No. 264 Group (abstract). N Engl J Med. 1992 Sep 10;327(11):765-71.
- 70. Mattson RH, Cramer JA, Collins JF, Smith DB, Delgado-Escueta AV, Browne TR, et al. Comparison of carbamazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, and primidone in partial and secondarily generalized tonic-clonic seizures (abstract). N Engl J Med. 1985 Jul 18;313(3):145-51.
- 71. Ficker DM, Privitera M, Krauss G, Kanner A, Moore JL, Glauser T. Improved tolerability and efficacy in epilepsy patients with extended-release carbamazepine. Neurology. 2005;65:593-5.
- 72. Porter RJ, Patriot A, Sachdeo R, Nohria V, Alves WM. Randomized, multicenter, dose-ranging trial of retigabine for partial-onset seizures. Neurology. 2007;68:1197-204.
- 73. French JA, Abou-Khalil BW, Leroy RF, Yacubian EMT, Shin P, Hall S, et al. Randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial of ezogabine (retigabine) in partial epilepsy. Neurology. 2011;76:1555-63.
- 74. Brodie MJ, Lerche H, Gil-Nagel A, Elger C, Hall S, Shin P, et al. Efficacy and safety of adjunctive ezogabine (retigabine) in refractory partial epilepsy. Neurology. 2010;75:1817-24.
- 75. Marson AG, Kadir ZA, Hutton JL, Chadwick DW. Gabapentin add-on for drug-resistant partial epilepsy (abstract). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2000;(3):CD001415.
- 76. Chung S, Sperling M, Biton V, Krauss G, Beaman M, Hebert D. Lacosamide: efficacy and safety as oral adjunctive treatment for partial-onset seizures. Neurology. 2008;70(11 Suppl 1):A74-5.
- 77. Halász P, Kälviäinen R, Mazurkiewicz-Beldzińska M, Rosenow F, Doty P, Hebert D, et al. Adjunctive lacosamide for partial-onset seizures: efficacy and safety results from a randomized controlled trial. Epilepsia, 2009:50(3):443-53.
- 78. Ben-Menachem E, Biton V, Jatuzis D, Abou-Khalil B, Doty P, Rudd GD. Efficacy and safety of oral lacosamide as adjunctive therapy in adults with partial-onset seizures. Epilepsia. 2007;48(7):1308-17.
- 79. Ramaratnam S, Marson AG, Baker GA. Lamotrigine add-on for drug-resistant partial epilepsy (abstract). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001;(3):CD001909.
- 80. Naritoku DK, Warnock CR, Messenheimer JA, Borgohain R, Evers S, Guekht AB, et al. Lamotrigine extended-release as adjunctive therapy for partial seizures. Neurology. 2007 Oct 16;69(16):1610-8.





- 81. Biton V, Di Memmo J, Shukla R, Lee Y, Poverennova I, Demchenko V et al. Adjunctive lamotrigine XR for primary generalized tonic-clonic seizures in a randomized, placebo-controlled study. Epilepsy and Behav. 2010;19:352-8.
- Rosenow F, Schade-Brittinger C, Burchardi N, Bauer S, Klein KM, Weber Y, et al. The LaLiMo Trial: lamotrigine compared to levetiracetam in the initial 26 weeks of monotherapy for focal and generalised epilepsy--an open-label, prospective, randomized controlled multicenter study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2012 Nov;83(11):1093-8.
- 83. Chaisewikul R, Privitera MD, Hutton JL, Marson AG. Levetiracetam add-on for drug-resistant localization related (partial) epilepsy (abstract). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001;(1):CD001901.
- 84. Peltola J, Coetzee C, Jiménez F, Litovchenko T, Ramaratnam S, Zaslavaskiy L, et al. Once-daily extended-release levetiracetam as adjunctive treatment of partial-onset seizures in patients with epilepsy: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Epilepsia. 2009;50(3):406-14.
- Otoul C, Arrigo C, Van Rijckevorsel K, French JA. Meta-analysis and indirect comparisons of levetiracetam with other second-generation antiepileptic drugs in partial epilepsy. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2005 Mar-Apr;28(2):72-8.
- 86. Cumbo E, Ligori LD. Levetiracetam, lamotrigine, and phenobarbital in patients with epileptic seizures and Alzheimer's disease. Epilepsy & Behavior. 2010;17-461-6.
- Schiemann-Delgado J, Yang H, de la Loge C, Stalvey TJ, Jones J, LeGeoff D, et al. A long-term open-label extension study assessing cognition and behavior, tolerability, safety, and efficacy of adjunctive levetiracetam in children four to 16 years with partial-onset seizures. J Child Neurol. 2012;27(1):80-9.
- 88. Castillo SM, Schmidt DB, White S, Shukralla A. Oxcarbazepine add-on for drug-resistant partial epilepsy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2000;(3):CD002028.
- 89. Costa J, Fareleira F, Ascencao R, Borges M, Sampaio C, Vaz-Carneiro. Clinical comparability of the new antiepileptic drugs in refractory partial epilepsy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Epilepsia. 2011;52(7):1280-91.
- French JA, Krauss GL, Biton V, Squillacote D, Yang Haichen, Laurenza A, et al. Adjunctive perampanel for refractory partial-onset seizures: randomized phase III study 304. Neurology. 2012 Aug;79(6):589-96.
- 91. French JA, Krauss GL, Steinhoff BJ, Squillacote D, Yang H, Kumar D, et al. Evaluation of adjunctive perampanel in patients with refractory partial-onset seizures: results of randomized global phase III study 305. Epilepsia. 2013 Jan;54(1):117-25.
- Krauss GL, Serratosa JM, Villanueva V, Endziniene M, Hong Z, French J, et al. Adjunctive perampanel for refractory partial-onset seizures: randomized phase III study 306. Neurology. 2012 May;78(18):1405-15.
- 93. Krauss GL, Perucca E, Ben-Menachem E, Kwan P, Shih JJ, Squillacote D, et al. Perampanel, a selective, noncompetitive α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor antagonist, as adjunctive therapy for refractory partial-onset seizures: interim results from phase III, extension study 307. Epilepsia. 2013 Jan;54(1):126-34.
- 94. French JA, Kugler AR, Robbins JL, Knapp LE, Garofalo EA. Dose-response trial of pregabalin adjunctive therapy in patients with partial seizures. Neurology. 2003;60:1631-7.
- 95. Arroyo S, Anhut H, Kugler AR, Lee CM, Knapp LE, Garofalo EA, et al. Pregabalin add-on treatment: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-response study in adults with partial seizures. Epilepsia. 2004;45(1):20-7.
- 96. Beydoun A, Uthman BM, Kugler AR, Greiner MJ, Knapp LE, Garofalo EA, et al. Safety and efficacy of two pregabalin regimens for add-on treatment of partial epilepsy. Neurology. 2005;64:475-80.
- Elger CE, Brodie MJ, Anhut H, Lee CM, Barrett JA. Pregabalin add-on treatment in patients with partial seizures: a novel evaluation of flexible-dose and fixed-dose treatment in a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Epilepsia. 2005;46(12):1926-36.
- 98. Lozsadi D, Hemming K, Marson AG. Pregabalin add-on for drug-resistant partial epilepsy. 2008 Jan 23;(1):CD005612.
- 99. Baulac M, Leon T, O'Brien T, Whalen E, Barrett J. A comparison of pregabalin, lamotrigine and placebo as adjunctive therapy in patients with refractory partial-onset seizures. Epilepsy Res. 2010;91:10-9.





- 100. Delahoy P, Thompson S, Marschner I. Pregabalin vs gabapentin in partial epilepsy: a metaanalysis of dose-response relationships. BMC Neurol. 2010;10:104.
- 101. Kwan P, Brodie MJ, Kalviainen R, Yurkewicz L, Weaver J, Knapp LE. Efficacy and safety of pregabalin vs lamotrigine in patients with newly diagnosed partial seizures: a phase three, doubleblind, randomized, parallel-group trial (abstract). Lancet Neurol. 2011 Oct;10(10):881-90.
- 102. Uthman BM, Bazil CW, Beydoun A, Schulze-Bonhage A, Benabou R, Whalen E, et al. Long-term add-on pregabalin treatment in patients with partial-onset epilepsy: pooled analysis of open-label clinical trials. Epilepsia. 2010;5(6:968-78.
- 103. Pereira J, Marson AG, Hutton JL. Tiagabine add-on for drug-resistant partial epilepsy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002;(3):CD001908.
- 104. Jette N, Hemming K, Hutton JL, Marson AG. Topiramate add-on for drug-resistant partial epilepsy (abstract). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008 Jul 16;(3):CD001417.
- 105. Zhang L, Huang J, Zhuang JH, Huang LQ, Zhao ZX. Topiramate as an adjunctive treatment for refractory partial epilepsy in the elderly (abstract). J Int Med Res. 2011;39(2):408-15.
- 106. Puri V, Ness S, Sattaluri SJ, Wang S, Todd M, Yuen E, et al. Long-term open-label study of adjunctive topiramate in infants with refractory partial-onset seizures. J Child Neurol. 2011;26:1271-83.
- 107. Hemming K, Maguire MJ, Hutton JL, Marson AG. Vigabatrin for refractory partial epilepsy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008 Jul 16;(3):CD007302.
- 108. Lu Y, Xiao Z, Yu W, Xiao F, Xiao Z, Hu Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of adjunctive zonisamide in adult patients with refractory partial-onset epilepsy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial (abstract). Clin Drug Investig. 2011;31(4):221-9.
- 109. Chadwick DW, Marson AG. Zonisamide add-on for drug-resistant partial epilepsy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005 Oct 19;(4):CD001416.
- 110. Baulac M, Brodie MJ, Patten A, Segieth J, Giorgi L. Efficacy and tolerability of zonisamide vs controlled-release carbamazepine for newly diagnosed partial epilepsy: a phase 3, randomized, double-blind, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Neurol. 2012 Jul;11(7):579-88.
- 111. Gamble CL, Williamson PR, Marson AG. Lamotrigine vs carbamazepine monotherapy for epilepsy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006 Jan 25;(1):CD001031.
- 112. Tudur Smith C, Marson AG, Williamson PR. Carbamazepine vs phenobarbitone monotherapy for epilepsy (abstract). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003;(1):CD001904.
- 113. Tudur Smith C, Marson AG, Clough HE, Williamson PR. Carbamazepine vs phenytoin monotherapy for epilepsy (abstract). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002;(2):CD001911.
- 114. Marson AG, Williamson PR, Clough HE, Hutton JL, Chadwick DW; Epilepsy Monotherapy Trial Group. Carbamazepine vs valproate monotherapy for epilepsy: a meta-analysis (abstract). Epilepsia. 2002 May;43(5):505-13.
- 115. Marson AG, Appleton R, Baker GA, Chadwick DW, Doughty J, Eaton B, et al. A randomized controlled trial examining the longer-term outcomes of standard vs new antiepileptic drugs. The SANAD trial (abstract). Health Technol Assess. 2007 Oct;11(37);iii-iv,ix-x,1-134.
- 116. Cereghino JJ, Mitchell WG, Murphy J, Kriel RL, Rosenfeld WE, Trevathan E. Treating repetitive seizures with a rectal diazepam formulation: a randomized study. The North American Diastat Study Group. Neurology. 1998 Nov;51(5):1274-82.
- 117. Lippa CF, Rosso A, Hepler M, Jenssen S, Pillai J, Irwin D. Levetiracetam: a practical option for seizure management in elderly patients with cognitive impairment. Am J Alzheimer's Dis Other Demen. 2010;25;(2):149-54.
- 118. Sake JK, Hebert D, Isjarvi J, Doty P, De Backer M, Davies K, et al. A pooled analysis of lacosamide clinical trial data grouped by mechanism of action of concomitant antiepileptic drugs (abstract). CNS Drugs. 2010 Dec;24(12):1055-68.
- 119. Dasheiff RM, McNamara D, Dickinson L. Efficacy of second line antiepileptic drugs in the treatment of patients with medically refractive complex partial seizures. Epilepsia. 1986 Mar-Apr;27(2):124-7.
- 120. Muller M, Marson AG, Williamson PR. Oxcarbazepine vs phenytoin monotherapy for epilepsy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;(2):CD003615.





- 121. Taylor S, Tudur Smith C, Williamson PR, Marson AG. Phenobarbitone vs phenytoin monotherapy for partial-onset seizures and generalized-onset tonic-clonic seizures. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003;(2):CD002217.
- 122. Tudur Smith C, Marson AG, Williamson PR. Phenytoin vs valproate monotherapy for partial-onset seizures and generalized-onset tonic-clonic seizures. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001;(4):CD001769.
- 123. Novotny E, Renfroe B, Yardi N, Nordli D, Ness S, Wang S, et al. Randomized trial of adjunctive topiramate therapy in infants with refractory partial seizures. Neurology. 2010;74:714-20.
- 124. Ramsay E, Faught E, Krumholz A, Naritoku D, Privitera M, Schwarzman L, et al. Efficacy, tolerability, and safety of rapid initiation of topiramate vs phenytoin in patients with new-onset epilepsy: a randomized double-blind clinical trial. Epilepsia. 2010;51(10):1970-7.
- 125. Ben-Menachem E, Sander JW, Stefan H, Schwalen S, Schauble B. Topiramate monotherapy in the treatment of newly or recently diagnosed epilepsy. Clin Ther. 2008 Jul;30(7):1180-95.
- 126. Dupont S, Striano S, Trinka E, Springub J, Giallonardo AT, Smith P, et al. Flexible dosing of adjunctive zonisamide in the treatment of adult partial-onset seizures: a non-comparative, open-label study (ZEUS). Acta Neurol Scand. 2010;121:141-8.
- 127. Ng YT, Conry JA, Drummond R, Stolle J, Weinberg MA; OV-1012 Study Investigators. Randomized, phase III study results of clobazam in Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. Neurology. 2011;77:1473-81.
- 128. Conry JA, Ng YT, Paolicchi JM, Kernitsky L, Mitchell WG, Ritter FJ, et al. Clobazam in the treatment of Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. Epilepsia. 2009;50:1158-66.
- 129. Ng YT, Conry J, Paolicchi J, Kernitsky L, Mitchell W, Drummond R, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of clobazam for Lennox-Gastaut syndrome: interim results of an open-label extension study. Epilepsy Behav. 2012 Dec;25(4):687-94.
- 130. Lee EH, Yum MS, Choi HW, Ko TS. Long-term use of clobazam in Lennox-Gastaut syndrome: experience in a single tertiary epilepsy center. Clin Neuropharmacol. 2013 Jan-Feb;36(1):4-7.
- 131. Bensch J, Blennow G, Ferngren H, Gamstorp I, Herrlin KM, Kubista J, et al. A double-blind study of clonazepam in the treatment of therapy-resistant epilepsy in children. Dev Med Child Neurol. 1977 Jun;19(3):335-42.
- 132. Mikkelsen B, Birket-Smith E, Bradt S, Holm P, BParm, Lung M, et al. Clonazepam in the treatment of epilepsy. A controlled clinical trial in simple absences, bilateral massive epileptic myoclonus, and atonic seizures. Arch Neurol. 1976 May;33(5):322-5.
- 133. Mikkelsen B, Berggreen P, Joensen P, Kristensen Ö, Køhler O, Mikkelsen BO. Clonazepam (Rivotril) and carbamazepine (Tegretol) in psychomotor epilepsy: a randomized multicenter trial. Epilepsia. 1981 Aug;22(4):415-20.
- 134. Vassella F, Pavlincova E, Schneider HJ, Rudin HJ, Karbowski K. Treatment of infantile spasms and Lennox-Gastaut syndrome with clonazepam (Rivotril). Epilepsia. 1973 Jun;14(2):165-75.
- 135. livanainen M, Himberg JJ. Valproate and clonazepam in the treatment of severe progressive myoclonus epilepsy. Arch Neurol. 1982 Apr;39(4):236-8.
- 136. Nanda RN, Johnson RH, Keogh HJ, Lambie DG, Melville ID. Treatment of epilepsy with clonazepam and its effect on other anticonvulsants. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1977 Jun;40(6):538-43.
- 137. Pavlidou E, Tzitiridou M, Panteliadis. Effectiveness of intermittent diazepam prophylaxis in febrile seizures: Long-term prospective controlled study. J Child Neurol. 2006; 21:1036-40.
- Dreifuss FE, Rosman NP, Cloyd JC, Pellock JM, Kuzniecky RI, Lo WD, et al. A comparison of rectal diazepam gel and placebo for acute repetitive seizures. N Engl J Med. 1998 Jun 25;338(26):1869-75.
- Kriel RL, Cloyd JC, Pellock JM, Mitchell WG, Cereghino JJ, Rosman NP. Rectal diazepam gel for treatment of acute repetitive seizures. The North American Diastat Study Group. Pediatr Neurol. 1999 Apr;20(4):282-8.
- 140. Cereghino JJ, Cloyd JC, Kuzniecky RI; North American Diastat Study Group. Rectal diazepam gel for treatment of acute repetitive seizures in adults. Arch Neurol. 2002 Dec;59(12):1915-20.
- 141. Mitchell WG, Conry JA, Crumrine PK, Kriel RL, Cereghino JJ, Groves L, et al. An open-label study of repeated use of diazepam rectal gel (Diastat) for episodes of acute breakthrough seizures





and clusters: safety, efficacy, and tolerance. North American Diastat Group. Epilepsia. 1999 Nov;40(11):1610-7.

- 142. Prasad K, Al-Roomi K, Krishnan PR, Sequeira R. Anticonvulsant therapy for status epilepticus (abstract). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005;(4):CD003723.
- 143. Treiman D, Meyers P, Walton N, Collins J, Colling C, Rowan AJ, et al. A comparison of four treatments for generalized convulsive status epilepticus. Veterans Affairs Status Epilepticus Cooperative Study Group. N Engl J Med. 1998 Sep 17;339(12):792-8.
- 144. Glauser TA, Cnaan A, Shinnar S, Hirtz DG, Dlugos D, Masur D, et al. Ethosuximide, valproic acid, and lamotrigine in childhood absence epilepsy. N Engl J Med. 2010;362:790-9.
- 145. Biton V, Gates JR, Ritter FJ, Loewenson RB. Adjunctive therapy for intractable epilepsy with ethotoin (abstract). Epilepsia. 1990 Jul-Aug;31(4):433-7.
- 146. Hancock EC, Cross HHJ. Treatment of Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;(3):CD003277.
- 147. Fattore C, Boniver C, Capovilla G, Cerminara C, Citterio A, Coppola G, et al. A multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of levetiracetam in children and adolescents with newly diagnosed absence epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2011;52(4):802-9.
- 148. Lo BWY, Kyu HH, Jichici D, Upton Am, Akl EA, Meade MO. Meta-analysis of randomized trials on first-line and adjunctive levetiracetam. Clin J Neurol Sci. 2011;38:475-86.
- 149. Tennison MB, Greenwood RS, Miles MV. Methsuximide for intractable childhood seizures. Pediatrics. 1991 Feb;87(2):186-9.
- 150. Painter M, Scher M, Stein A, Armatti S, Wang Z, Gardiner J, et al. Phenobarbital compared to phenytoin for the treatment of neonatal seizures. N Engl J Med. 1999 Aug 12;341(7):485-9.
- 151. Bondarenko II. Experience in the use of the anticonvulsant pregabalin as add-on therapy in patients with partial epilepsy with polymorphic seizures. Neurosci Behav Physiol. 2010;40(2):163-4.
- 152. Glauser T, Kluger G, Sachdeo R, Krauss G, Perdomo C, Arroyo S, et al. Rufinamide for generalized seizures associated with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. Neurology. 2008;70:1950-8.
- 153. Kluger G, Glauser T, Krauss G, Seeruthun R, Perdomo C, Arroyo S, et al. Adjunctive rufinamide in Lennox-Gastaut syndrome: a long-term, open-label extension study. Acta Neurol Scand. 2010;122:202-8.
- 154. Kim SH, Eun SH, Kang HC, Kwon EJ, Byeon JH, Lee YM, et al. Rufinamide as an adjuvant treatment in children with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. Seizure. 2012 May;21(4):288-91.
- 155. Pulman J, Marson AG, Hutton JL. Tiagabine add-on for drug-resistant partial epilepsy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2012, Issue 5. Art. No.: CD001908. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001908.pub2.
- 156. Elterman RD, Shields D, Bittman RM, Torri SA, Sagar SM, Collins SD. Vigabatrin for the treatment of infantile spasms: final report of a randomized trial. J Child Neurol. 2010;25(11):1340-7.
- 157. Lee YJ, Kang HC, Seo JH, Lee JS, Kim HD. Efficacy and tolerability of adjunctive therapy with zonisamide in children intractable epilepsy. Brain Dev. 2010;32:208-12.
- 158. Joshi G, Wozniak J, Mick E, Doyle R, Hammerness P, Georgiopoulos A, et al. A prospective open-label trial of extended-release carbamazepine monotherapy in children with bipolar disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2010;20(1):7-14.
- 159. McElroy SL, Martens BE, Creech RS, Welge JA, Jefferson L, Guerdjikova AI, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of divalproex extended release loading monotherapy in ambulatory bipolar spectrum disorder in patients with moderate-to-severe hypomania or mild mania. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010;7(5):557-65.
- 160. Hirschfeld RMA, Bowden CL, Vigna NV, Wozniak R, Collins M. A randomized, placebocontrolled, multicenter study of divalproex sodium extended-release in the acute treatment of mania. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010;71(4):426-32.
- 161. Macritchie KA, Geddes JR, Scott J, Haslam DR, Goodwin GM. Valproic acid, valproate and divalproex in the maintenance treatment of bipolar disorder (abstract). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001;(3):CD003196.
- 162. Macritchie K, Geddes JR, Scott J, Haslam D, de Lima M, Goodwin G. Valproate for acute mood episodes in bipolar disorder (abstract). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003;(1):CD004052.





- 163. Liu HY, Potter MP, Woodworth KY, Yorks DM, Petty CR, Wozniak JR, et al. Pharmacologic treatments for pediatric bipolar disorder: a review and meta-analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011;50(8):749-62.
- 164. Rosenstock J, Tuchman M, LaMoreaux L, Sharma U. Pregabalin for the treatment of painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Pain. 2004;110:628-38.
- 165. Richter RW, Portenoy R, Sharma U, Lamoreaux L, Bockbrader H, Knapp LE. Relief of painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy with pregabalin: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial (abstract). J Pain. 2005;6(4):253-60.
- 166. Lesser H, Sharma U, LaMoreaux L, Poole RM. Pregabalin relieves symptoms of painful diabetic neuropathy. Neurology. 2004;63:2104-10.
- 167. Quilici S, Chancellor J, Lothgren M, Simon D, Said G, Le TK, et al. Meta-analysis of duloxetine vs pregabalin and gabapentin in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain. BMC Neurology. 2009;9:6-19.
- 168. Tanenberg RJ, Irving GA, Risser RC, Ahl J, Robinson MJ, Skljarevski V, et al. Duloxetine, pregabalin, and duloxetine plus gabapentin for diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain management in patients with inadequate pain response to gabapentin: an open-label, randomized, noninferiority comparison. Mayo Clin Proc. 2011;86(7):615-24.
- 169. Wernicke JF, Wang F, Pritchett YL, Smith TR, Raskin J, D'Souza DN, et al. An open-label 52week clinical extension comparing duloxetine with routine care in patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain. Pain Medicine. 2007;8(6):503-13.
- 170. Raskin J, Smith TR, Wong K, Pritchett YL, D'Souza DN, Iyengar S, et al. Duloxetine vs routine care in the long-term management of diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain. J Palliative Med. 2006;9(1):29-40.
- 171. Hauser W, Bernardy K, Uceyler N, Sommer C. Treatment of fibromyalgia syndrome with gabapentin and pregabalin-a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Pain. 2009 Sep;145(1-2):69-81.
- 172. van Balkom AJ, Bakker A, Spinhoven P, Blaauw BM, Smeenk S, Ruesink B. A meta-analysis of the treatment of panic disorder with or without agoraphobia: a comparison of psychopharmacological, cognitive-behavioral, and combination treatments. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1997 Aug;185(8):510-6.
- 173. Chronicle EP, Mulleners WM. Anticonvulsant drugs for migraine prophylaxis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2004;(3):CD003226.
- 174. Wang QP, Bai M. Topiramate vs carbamazepine for the treatment of classical trigeminal neuralgia: a meta-analysis (abstract). CNS Drugs. 2011 Oct 1;25(10):847-57.
- 175. Afshari D, Rafizadeh S, Rezaei M. A comparative study of the effects of low-dose topiramate vs sodium valproate in migraine prophylaxis. Int J Neurosci. 2012;122:60-8.
- 176. Wiffen PJ, McQuay HJ, Moore RA. Carbamazepine for acute and chronic pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005 Jul 20;(3):CD005451.
- 177. Moore RA, Wiffen PJ, Derry S, McQuay HJ. Gabapentin for chronic neuropathic pain and fibromyalgia in adults. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD007938. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007938.pub2.
- 178. Gilron I, Bailey RN, Tu D, Holden RR, Weaver DF, Houlden RL. Morphine, gabapentin, or their combination for neuropathic pain. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:1324-34.
- 179. Wiffen PJ, McQuay HJ, Edwards JE, Moore RA. Gabapentin for acute and chronic pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005 Jul 20;(3):CD005452.
- 180. Chou R, Carson S, Chan BK. Gabapentin vs tricyclic antidepressants for diabetic neuropathy and post-herpetic neuralgia: discrepancies between direct and indirect meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials. J Gen Intern Med. 2009 Feb;24(2):178-88.
- 181. Guan Y, Ding X, Cheng Y, Fan D, Tan L, Wang Y, et al. Efficacy of pregabalin for peripheral neuropathic pain: results of an eight-week, flexible-dose, double-blind, placebo-controlled study conducted in China. Clin Ther. 2011;33:159-66.
- 182. Moon DE, Lee DI, Lee SC, Song SO, Yoon DM, Yoon MH, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of pregabalin using a flexible, optimized dose schedule in Korean patients with peripheral neuropathic pain: a 10-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study. Clin Ther. 2010;32:2370-85.





- 183. Vranken JH, Kijkgraaf MG, Kruis MR, van der Vegt MH, Hollman MW, Heesen M. Pregabalin in patients with central neuropathic pain: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of a flexible-dose regimen. Pain. 2008 May;136(1-2):150-7.
- 184. Siddall PJ, Cousins MJ, Otte A, Griesing T, Chambers R, Murphy TK. Pregabalin in central neuropathic pain associated with spinal cord injury: a placebo-controlled trial. Neurology. 2006 Nov 28;67(10):1792-800.
- 185. Sharma U, Griesing T, Emir B, Young JP. Time to onset of neuropathic pain reduction: a retrospective analysis of data from nine controlled trials of pregabalin for painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy and postherpetic neuralgia. Am J Ther. 2010;17:577-85.
- 186. Semel D, Murphy TK, Zlateva G, Cheung R, Emir B. Evaluation of the safety and efficacy of pregabalin in older patients with neuropathic pain: results from a pooled analysis of 11 clinical studies. BMC Family Practice. 2010;11:85.
- 187. Roth T, van Seventer R, Murphy TK. The effect of pregabalin on pain-related sleep interference in diabetic peripheral neuropathy or postherpetic neuralgia: a review of nine clinical trials. Clin Med Res & Opin. 2010;26(10):2411-9.
- Moore RA, Straube S, Wiffen PJ, Derry S, McQuay HJ. Pregabalin for acute and chronic pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009 Jul 8;(3):CD007076. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007076.pub2.
- 189. Freynhagen R, Strojek K, Griesing T, Whalen E, Balkenhol M. Efficacy of pregabalin in neuropathic pain evaluated in a 12-week, randomized, double-blind, multicentre, placebo-controlled trial of flexible- and fixed-dose regimens. Pain. 2005;115:254-63.
- 190. Xochilcal-Morales M, Castro EM, Guajardo-Rosas J, Obregon TN, Acevedo JC, Chucan JMG, et al. A prospective, open-label, multicentre study of pregabalin in the treatment of neuropathic pain in Latin America. Int J Clin Pract. 2010 Aug;64(9):1301-9.
- 191. Rowbotham M, Harden N, Stacey B, Bernstein P, Magnus-Miller L; Gabapentin Postherpetic Neuralgia Study Group.
- 192. Rice ASC, Maton S; Postherpetic Neuralgia Study Group. Gabapentin in postherpetic neuralgia: a randomized, double blind, placebo controlled study. Pain. 2001;94:215-24.
- 193. Skvarc NK, Kamenik M. Effects of pregabalin on acute herpetic pain and postherpetic neuralgia incidence. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2010;122(Suppl 2):49-53.
- 194. Sabatowski R, Galvez R, Cherry DA, Jacquot F, Vincent E, Maisonobe P, et al. Pregabalin reduces pain and improves sleep and mood disturbances in patients with post-herpetic neuralgia: results of a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Pain. 2004;109:26-35.
- 195. Dworkin RH, Corbin AE, Young JP Jr, Sharma U, LaMoreaux L, Bockbrader H, et al. Pregabalin for the treatment of postherpetic neuralgia: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Neurology. 2003;60:1274-83.
- 196. Edelsberg JS, Lord C, Oster G. Systematic review and meta-analysis of efficacy, safety, and tolerability data from randomized controlled trials of drugs used to treat postherpetic neuralgia. Ann Pharmacother. 2011;45:1483-90.
- 197. Ifuku M, Iseki M, Hidaka I, Morita Y, Komatus S, Inada E. Replacement of gabapentin with pregabalin in postherpetic neuralgia therapy. Pain Medicine. 2011;12:1112-6.
- 198. Ogawa S, Suzuki M, Arakawa A, Yoshiyama T, Suzuki M. Long-term efficacy and safety of pregabalin in patients with postherpetic neuralgia: results of a 52-week, open-label, flexible-dose study (abstract). Masui. 2010 Aug;59(8):961-70.
- 199. Meierkord H, Boon P, Engelsen B, Gocke K, Shorvon S, Tinuper P, et al. EFNS guideline on the management of status epilepticus. Eur J Neurol. 2010;17:348-55.\
- 200. Glauser T, Ben-Menachem E, Bourgeois B, Cnaan A, Guerreiro C, Kälviäinen R, et al. Updated ILAE evidence review of antiepileptic drug efficacy and effectiveness as initial monotherapy for epileptic seizures and syndromes. Epilepsia. 2013 Mar;54(3):551-63.
- 201. Snow V, Weiss K, Wall EM, Mottur-Pilson C, for the American Academy of Family Physicians and the American College of Physicians-American Society of Internal Medicine. Pharmacologic management of acute attacks of migraine and prevention of migraine headache. Ann Intern Med. 2002 Nov 19;137(10):840-9.
- 202. Silberstein SD. Practice parameter: evidence-based guidelines for migraine headache (an evidence-based review): Report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of





Neurology. Neurology. 2000 Sep 26;55(6):754-62.

- 203. Evers S, Afra J, Frese A, Goadsby PJ, Linde M, May A, et al. EFNS guideline on the drug treatment of migraine-revised report of an EFNS task force. Eur J Neurol. 2009 Sep;16(9):968-81.
- 204. Attal N, Cruccu G, Baron R, Haanpaa M, Hansson P, Jensen TS, et al. EFNS guidelines on the pharmacological treatment of neuropathic pain: 2010 revision. Eur J Neurol. 2010 Sep;17)9):1113-e88.
- 205. Rodbard HW, Blonde L, Braithwaite SS, Brett EM, Cobin RH, Handelsman Y, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists medical guidelines for clinical practice for the management of diabetes mellitus. Endocr Pract. 2007 May-Jun;13(Suppl 1):S1-68.
- 206. Boulton AJ, Vinkik AL, Arezzo JC, Bril V, Feldman EL, Freeman R, et al. Diabetic neuropathies: a statement by the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care. 2005;28(4):956-62.



