

Therapeutic Class Overview Injectable Anticoagulants

INTRODUCTION

- Venous thromboembolism (VTE) can lead to significant health problems, which may become potentially fatal. It may
 occur in young, otherwise healthy adults, although it often occurs in patients who sustain multiple trauma(s), undergo
 major surgery, are immobile for a lengthy period of time, or have a hypercoagulable disorder (such as cancer). Due to
 clot formation within the venous circulation, VTE manifests as a stroke, deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and/or a
 pulmonary embolism (PE). The disease is often clinically silent, and death from PE can occur within minutes after the
 onset of symptoms, before treatment can be given (Blann et al, 2006).
- The estimated incidence of VTE is 300,000 to 600,000 annually. This estimate is considered to be an underestimate, however, due to missed or wrong diagnoses. The VTE incidence is similar or higher among African Americans and lower among Asian Americans and Native Americans. Most PE deaths are sudden and both DVTs and PEs are usually attributed to underlying disease (e.g., cancer, other chronic heart, lung, or renal disease). The 30-day VTE survival is 72% (DVT alone, 94.5%; PE with or without DVT, 55.6%) (Benjamin et al, 2017).
- Stroke also causes significant morbidity and mortality. Stroke is the fifth leading cause of death after heart disease, cancer, and chronic lower respiratory disease and injuries/accidents, in which more women die (approximately 60% of all US stroke deaths) from stroke every year than men. Each year, approximately 795,000 people experience a new or recurrent stroke. Of all strokes, 87% are ischemic, 10% are intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) strokes, and 3% are subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) strokes (Benjamin et al, 2017).
- The injectable anticoagulants include ARIXTRA[®], FRAGMIN[®], LOVENOX[®] and unfractionated heparin (UFH) and, in general, are Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for prophylaxis and/or treatment of VTE.
 - Certain agents in the class are also FDA-approved for the treatment of acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) or for prophylaxis of ischemic complications in unstable angina (UA) and non-Q-wave MI.
 - Additional labeled indications for use of UFH include disseminated intravascular coagulation, prophylaxis and treatment of arterial embolism, and use in blood transfusions, extracorporeal circulation, and dialysis procedures. Heparin is also used as an anticoagulant for several other off-label indications.
- UFH is a mucopolysaccharide molecule that ranges in molecular weight from 3,000 to 30,000 daltons. Its primary
 effect as an anticoagulant is a result of its binding to antithrombin which inhibits clot formation. Additional
 anticoagulant effects of UFH include inhibition of factors (F) IIa (thrombin), Xa, IXa, XIa, and XIIa (Garcia et al, 2012).
- FRAGMIN and LOVENOX are classified as low molecular weight heparins (LMWH) and exert their anticoagulant effect by binding to antithrombin, an endogenous inhibitor of various activated clotting factors, including FXa and thrombin.
 - LMWH is a smaller fragment of UFH that is formed by enzymatic or chemical depolymerization processes. The difference in the average size of LMWH (5,000 daltons) compared to UFH contributes to the pharmacologic differences between the agents. The LMWH agents primarily inhibit FXa, and do so with much less effect on thrombin compared to UFH. The inhibition of thrombin requires a heparin molecule to bind simultaneously to antithrombin and thrombin to form a ternary complex. The UFH molecules are large enough for this while the LMWH molecules typically are not (Hirsh et al, 2008; Weitz JI, 1997).
- Because the LMWH agents are prepared using different methods of depolymerization, they differ somewhat in their pharmacokinetic properties and anticoagulant profiles. Therefore, these agents are not clinically interchangeable (Hirsh et al, 2008).
- ARIXTRA is a synthetic, selective FXa inhibitor that was developed to have an increased affinity to antithrombin. Its specific anti-FXa activity is higher than that of the LMWH agents (Hirsh et al, 2008).
- Medispan class: Anticoagulants; Heparins and Heparinoid-like agents (intravenous [IV], inpatient-only formulations excluded.

Manufacturer	FDA Approval Date	Generic Availability
Mylan	12/17/2001	~
Pfizer Inc	12/22/1994	-
<mark>Pfizer</mark>	Approved prior to Jan 1, 1982	✓
Sanofi-Aventis US	03/29/1993	✓
	Manufacturer Mylan Pfizer Inc Pfizer Sanofi-Aventis US	ManufacturerFDA Approval DateMylan12/17/2001Pfizer Inc12/22/1994PfizerApproved prior to Jan 1, 1982Sanofi-Aventis US03/29/1993

Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review

(Drugs@FDA, 2017; Orange Book: Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations, 2017)



INDICATIONS

- In general, the injectable anticoagulants are FDA-approved for prophylaxis and/or treatment of VTE. The labeled indications for ARIXTRA, FRAGMIN, and LOVENOX are more specific than the labeled indications for UFH. However, UFH is considered an option for a number of off-label uses, including UA, NSTEMI, STEMI, and bridging in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) and mechanical heart valves, by various guidelines.
- For most indications, UFH is administered IV; however, the subcutaneous (SC) route can be used for prophylaxis and/or treatment of VTE.
- Both LOVENOX and FRAGMIN are approved for prophylaxis of ischemic complications in UA and non-Q-wave MI.
- FRAGMIN is the only LMWH agent that is not approved for the treatment of acute VTE, yet it is the only agent in the class that is approved for the extended treatment of symptomatic VTE in patients with cancer.

Indication	ARIXTRA (fondaparinux)	FRAGMIN (dalteparin)	HEPARIN SODIUM (unfractionated heparin)	LOVENOX (enoxaparin)
Extended treatment of symptomatic VTE (proximal DVT and/or PE) in patients with cancer		✓		
Prophylaxis of ischemic complications in UA and non-Q- wave MI		~ ¶		∽ ¶
Treatment of acute DVT	✓ ‡			✓ **
Treatment of acute PE	✓ ‡			
Treatment of acute STEMI				✓ §
Prophylaxis and treatment of venous thrombosis and PE			✓	
Prophylaxis and treatment of thromboembolic complications			✓	
associated with AF				
Treatment of acute and chronic consumption			✓	
coaguiopathies (disseminated intravascular coagulation)				
Prevention of clotting in arterial and cardiac surgery			✓	
Prophylaxis and treatment of peripheral arterial embolism			✓	
Anticoagulant use in blood transfusions, extracorporeal			✓	
Circulation, and dialysis procedures				
Medical patients who are at risk for thromboombolic				
complications due to severely restricted mobility during				
acute illness		•		•
Patients undergoing abdominal surgery who are at risk for				
thromboembolic complications	~	~		×
Patients undergoing hip fracture surgery	✓ †			
Patients undergoing hip replacement surgery	×	~		✓ #
Patients undergoing knee replacement surgery	~			~

Table 2. Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications

In these patients therapy begins with the initial VTE treatment and continues for six months.

When concurrently administered with aspirin therapy.

*Which may lead to PE.

†Including extended prophylaxis.

#During and following hospitalization.

‡When administered in conjunction with warfarin.

**Indicated for inpatient treatment of acute DVT with or without PE, when administered in conjunction with warfarin, and for outpatient treatment of acute DVT without PE when administered in conjunction with warfarin.

§When administered in conjunction with warfarin when initial therapy is administered in the hospital.

††When administered concurrently with aspirin, enoxaparin has been shown to reduce the rate of the combined endpoint of recurrent MI or death in patients with acute STEMI receiving thrombolysis and being managed medically or with percutaneous coronary intervention.

(Prescribing information: ARIXTRA, 2015; FRAGMIN, 2016; LOVENOX, 2013, HEPARIN SODIUM, 2016)

Information on indications, mechanism of action, pharmacokinetics, dosing, and safety has been obtained from the prescribing information for the individual products, except where noted otherwise.



CLINICAL EFFICACY SUMMARY

- The evidence demonstrating the safety and efficacy of the injectable anticoagulants in FDA-approved indications is well established, and as mentioned previously, clinical guidelines support the use of these agents for these indications. Patients experiencing an acute coronary syndrome will generally receive treatment with an injectable anticoagulant in an acute hospital setting as recommended per current clinical guidelines (Levine et al 2011, O'Gara et al, 2013, Guyatt et al, 2012). When compared to UFH and placebo, LMWH was found to be superior or comparable to UFH treatment in patients with acute coronary syndrome.
- Currently, FRAGMIN is the only injectable anticoagulant approved for the extended treatment of VTE in patients with cancer. In a trial comparing FRAGMIN to oral anticoagulation (warfarin or acenocoumarol [not available in the United States]) in patients with symptomatic VTE, the incidence of symptomatic, recurrent VTE was significantly lower with FRAGMIN at six months. At six months there was no difference in mortality rates between the two treatments; however, a 12 month follow-up revealed a significant benefit in mortality with FRAGMIN in patients without known metastases of their cancer (Lee et al, 2003; Lee et al, 2005). The DALTECAN study found that the frequency of major bleeding events was lower during months 6 through 12 as compared to the first six months of FRAGMIN therapy in patients with cancer (Francis et al, 2015).
- An AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Review found for the minority of patients at low or intermediate risk of recurrent ischemia, MI, or death, an initial conservative approach is recommended as LOVENOX reduced composite ischemic events and MI with mixed effects on bleeding when compared to UFH or ARIXTRA (Melloni et al, 2013).
- The evidence establishing the safety and efficacy of the injectable anticoagulants for VTE treatment and/or thromboprophylaxis is well established. Several placebo-controlled trials, meta-analyses, and systematic reviews with the various injectable anticoagulants in medical patients, immobilized patients, and those undergoing orthopedic surgery have been conducted and consistently demonstrate their efficacy (Alikhan et al, 2003; Bergqvist et al, 1996; Bergqvist et al, 2002; Eriksson et al, 2003; Fuji et al, 2008; Hull et al, 2010; Lassen et al, 1998; Leizorovicz et al, 2004; Michot et al, 2002; Planes et al, 1996; Samama et al, 1999; Testroote et al, 2014; Torholm et al, 1991; Uchino et al, 2012; Anderson et al, 2013). When the injectable anticoagulants are compared to other methods of treatment and thromboprophylaxis which include heparin, UFH, aspirin, and warfarin, "superiority" in terms of recurrent VTE and safety is not always consistent, which supports recommendations from current clinical guidelines (Andras et al. 2012; Bhutia et al. 2013: Colwell et al. 1994: Colwell et al. 1999: Cook et al. 2011: De et al. 2010: DeCarolis et al. 2012: Eriksson et al, 1991; Erkens et al, 2010; Ferres et al, 2011; Fitzgerald et al, 2001; Francis et al, 1997; Handoll et al, 2002; Kanaan et al, 2007; Kleber et al, 2003; Leclerc et al, 1996; McLeod et al, 2001; No authors listed, 1991; Othieno et al. 2007: Rasmussen et al. 2009: Salazar et al. 2010: Senaran et al. 2006: Anderson et al. 2013: Akl et al. 2014). For treatment and thromboprophylaxis in these patients, any of these options may be appropriate; however, LMWH or low-dose UFH are generally suggested in preference to the other agents recommended as alternatives (Guyatt et al, 2012). In a recent update to a Cochrane review comparing fixed dose LMWH with adjusted dose IV or SC UFH for initial VTE treatment, LMWH reduced the incidence of both recurrent VTE and major hemorrhage compared to UFH. Additionally, low-quality evidence suggested that LMWH also reduced the thrombus size compared to UFH. No difference in overall mortality was observed (Robertson et al, 2017).
- Although data comparing the LMWH agents to ARIXTRA has not demonstrated significant "superiority" for one therapy in all outcomes, treatment with ARIXTRA appears to be associated with a lower incidence of VTE, and a comparable incidence of major bleeding compared to LOVENOX (Bauer et al, 2001; Eriksson et al, 2001; Lassen et al, 2002; Turpie et al, 2002b). In a meta-analysis of randomized-controlled trials comparing ARIXTRA to LMWH therapy (LOVENOX), the incidence of VTE was significantly less and the incidence of major bleeding was significantly greater with ARIXTRA (Turpie et al, 2002a). Another trial noted no difference between ARIXTRA and FRAGMIN for the incidence of VTE and major bleeding (Agnelli et al, 2005).
- In general, recommendations from other clinical guidelines for other populations are in line with the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) guidelines (AAOS, 2011; Amsterdam et al, 2014; Levine et al, 2011; Kernan et al, 2014; Guyatt et al, 2012; Jaff et al, 2011; Bushnell et al, 2014; Lyman et al, 2015; O'Gara et al, 2013; January et al, 2014; Kernan et al, 2014). Treatment recommendations vary according to the indication.
 - For orthopedic (e.g., total hip or knee replacement) surgery, the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS) does not recommend a specific medication (AAOS, 2011). The ACCP does favor LMWH over ARIXTRA, ELIQUIS, XARELTO, or UFH (Guyatt et al, 2012).
 - For non-orthopedic (e.g., general and abdominal-pelvic surgery) surgical patients requiring thromboprophylaxis who are at moderate to high risk for VTE and who are not at high risk for bleeding complications, LMWH and low dose UFH are both recommended as options (Guyatt et al, 2012).



- In patients with UA, NSTEMI, or STEMI, the American College of Cardiology (ACC) recommends anticoagulant therapy for a minimum of 48 hours and up to 8 days or until revascularization is performed in patients undergoing reperfusion. The recommended treatment options include UFH, LOVENOX and ARIXTRA (O'Gara et al, 2013; Kernan et al, 2014). For those patients undergoing PCI, LOVENOX, ARIXTRA, or UFH are recommended by most reputable guidelines. However, ARIXTRA should not be used as the sole anticoagulant administered due to risk of catheter thrombosis (Amsterdam et al, 2014; Levine et al, 2011). Bridging therapy with UFH or LMWH is recommended for patients with AF and a mechanical heart valve undergoing procedures or for various procedures (January et al, 2014).
- In acutely ill hospitalized (i.e., non-surgical) patients at increased risk of thrombosis, LMWH, low dose UFH, and ARIXTRA are recommended (Guyatt et al, 2012).
- For acute VTE (e.g., DVT or PE), LMWH or ARIXTRA is preferred over UFH (Guyatt et al, 2012; Lyman et al, 2015). For chronic management of VTE in patients with cancer, the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) guideline recommends LMWH for the initial six months due to its improved efficacy over warfarin. The guideline states that warfarin is an acceptable alternative for long-term therapy if LMWH is not readily available (Lyman et al, 2015). The most recent ACCP guidelines recommend PRADAXA (dabigatran), XARELTO (rivaroxaban), ELIQUIS (apixaban), or SAVAYSA (edoxaban) over warfarin for long-term VTE therapy (Kearon et al, 2016). They also recommend warfarin over LMWH; however, LMWH is preferred in patients with cancer. In patients with a VTE recurrence while on warfarin, PRADAXA, XARELTO, ELIQUIS, or SAVAYSA, treatment with a LMWH is recommended. Duration of anticoagulation after treatment of an acute thromboembolic event will depend on whether the patient was currently receiving anticoagulation therapy, if the event was provoked or unprovoked and/or caused by surgery or a nonsurgical transient risk factor, and if it was the first or second thromboembolic event (Guyatt et al, 2012).
- In general, pregnant women and women who are breast-feeding with a high-risk condition that would require anticoagulation outside of pregnancy, it is reasonable to use UFH, or LMWH (Bushnell et al, 2014; Kernan et al, 2014).
- Patients with mechanical heart valves, AF, or VTE at high risk of developing thromboembolism, whose oral anticoagulation therapy is to be interrupted prior to an invasive procedure, would require bridging therapy with LMWH or UFH. Providers need to carefully consider risks and benefits of bridging in patients with the above mentioned conditions and moderate risk for thromboembolism. No bridging is indicated for patients at low risk for thromboembolism (Douketis et al, 2012; Douketis et al, 2015; Clark et al 2015).

SAFETY SUMMARY

- A boxed warning exists for the injectable anticoagulants (e.g., ARIXTRA, FRAGMIN, and LOVENOX) warning of spinal or epidural hematomas when anticoagulated with LMWH or heparinoids and in patients who are receiving neuraxial anesthesia or undergoing spinal puncture. Optimal timing between the administration of ARIXTRA, FRAGMIN or LOVENOX and neuraxial procedures is not known.
- The injectable anticoagulants (e.g., ARIXTRA, FRAGMIN, and LOVENOX) are contraindicated with major active bleeding. These agents are associated with an increased risk of bleeding and hemorrhage; therefore, use with caution in conditions with increased risk of hemorrhage. In addition, thrombocytopenia can occur with these agents.
- UFH is contraindicated in patients with a history of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia and thrombosis or a history of
 hypersensitivity to heparin or pork products. Although major active bleeding is not contraindicated, it is a warning to
 avoid heparin in the presence of major bleeding unless the benefit outweighs the risk.
- All injectable anticoagulants warn of drug interactions with medications that may enhance the risk of hemorrhage, which should be discontinued prior to initiation of therapy with any of the injectable anticoagulants, unless these medications are essential. However, in clinical trials, ARIXTRA in combination with oral anticoagulants, platelet inhibitors, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and digoxin did not significantly affect the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of any of the medications.
- Adverse reactions associated with agents in class include:
 - Injection site reaction, rash, and fever as adverse events commonly observed; and serious adverse events include bleeding-related adverse events with ARIXTRA use.
 - Injection site reaction, pain, and hematomas as adverse events commonly observed; and serious adverse events include anaphylaxis, abnormal liver function tests, and those bleeding-related adverse events with FRAGMIN use.
 - Gastrointestinal reactions, abnormal liver function tests, fever, thrombocytopenia, and bleeding-related as adverse events commonly observed; and serious adverse events include AF, heart failure, dermatologic reactions, pneumonia, and those adverse events related to bleeding with LOVENOX use.



- o Hemorrhage, thrombocytopenia, hypersensitivity, and local injection reactions with UFH use.
- In November 2013, the FDA recommended that health care professionals consider the timing of spinal catheter
 placement and removal in patients taking anticoagulant drugs, such as LOVENOX, and delay dosing of anticoagulant
 medications for some time interval after catheter removal to decrease the risk of spinal column bleeding and
 subsequent paralysis after spinal injections, including epidural procedures and lumbar punctures. New timing
 recommendations, which can decrease the risk of epidural or spinal hematoma, were added to the labels of LMWHs.

DOSING AND ADMINISTRATION

- FRAGMIN is administered via SC injection, and should not be administered via intramuscular injection. Routine coagulation tests such as Prothrombin Time and Activated Partial Thromboplastin Time are relatively insensitive measures of FRAGMIN activity; therefore, these measurements are unsuitable for monitoring the anticoagulant effect of FRAGMIN. In addition, in patients receiving FRAGMIN who experience platelet counts between 50,000 and 100,000/mm³, the daily dose should be reduced by 2,500 international units until the platelet count recovers to ≥ 100,000/mm³. In patients receiving FRAGMIN who experience platelet counts < 50,000/mm³, discontinue treatment until the platelet count returns to > 50,000/mm³.
- ARIXTRA is to be administered via SC injection only. Routine coagulation tests such as Prothrombin Time and Activated Partial Thromboplastin Time are relatively insensitive measures of ARIXTRA activity; therefore, these measurements are unsuitable for monitoring the anticoagulant effect of ARIXTRA. The anti-FXA activity can be measured. ARIXTRA should be discontinued if the platelet count is < 100,000/mm³.
- LOVENOX can be administered via SC injection or intravenously, and should not be administered via intramuscular injection. All patients should be evaluated for a bleeding disorder before receiving LOVENOX, unless the medication is needed urgently. Coagulation parameters are also unsuitable for monitoring LOVENOX activity; therefore, routine monitoring of coagulation parameters is not required. LOVENOX should be discontinued if the platelet count is < 100,000/mm³.
- UFH can be administered via SC injection or IV, and should not be administered via intramuscular injection. When
 using full-dose heparin, activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) should be monitored to aid in dose adjustments.
 Additionally, platelet counts may need to be monitored regularly depending on the patient's risk of heparin-induced
 thrombocytopenia. UFH should be discontinued if the platelet count is < 100,000/mm³ or if thrombosis occurs.

Drug	Dosage Form: Strength	Usual Recommended Dose	Other Dosing/ Administration Considerations
ARIXTRA (fondaparinux)	Injection: 2.5 mg/0.5 mL 5 mg/0.4 mL 7.5 mg/0.6 mL 10 mg/0.8 mL	Prophylaxis of DVT in patients undergoing abdominal surgery who are at risk for thromboembolic complications: Injection: 2.5 mg SC once-daily after hemostasis has been established, initiated no earlier than six to eight hours after surgery (usual duration, five to nine days)*	Do not mix other medications or solutions with ARIXTRA.
		Prophylaxis of DVT in patients undergoing hip fracture surgery: Injection: 2.5 mg SC once-daily after hemostasis has been established, initiated no earlier than six to eight hours after surgery (usual duration, five to nine days)†; an extended prophylaxis course of up to 24 additional days is recommended‡	
		Prophylaxis of DVT in patients undergoing hip replacement surgery: Injection: 2.5 mg SC once-daily after hemostasis has been established, initiated no earlier than six to eight hours after surgery (usual duration, five to nine days)†	
		Prophylaxis of DVT in patients undergoing knee replacement surgery: Injection: 2.5 mg SC once-daily after hemostasis has been	

Table 3. Dosing and Administration



Drug	Dosage Form: Strength	Usual Recommended Dose	Other Dosing/ Administration Considerations
Drug C FRAGMIN (dalteparin) Ir (dalteparin) 25 7 1 0 1 0 1 0 9 3	Dosage Form: Strength	Usual Recommended Dose established, initiated no earlier than six to eight hours after surgery (usual duration, five to nine days)† Treatment of acute DVT: Injection: 5 (< 50 kg), 7.5 (50 to 100 kg) or 10 (> 100 kg) mg Sonce-daily for ≥ 5 days and until a therapeutic oral anticoagulant effect is established (usual duration, five to nine days)§ Treatment of acute PE: Injection: 5 (< 50 kg), 7.5 (50 to 100 kg) or 10 (> 100 kg) mg SC once-daily for ≥ 5 days and until a therapeutic oral anticoagulant effect is established (usual duration, five to nine days)§ Extended treatment of symptomatic VTE (proximal DVT and/or PE) in patients with cancer: Injection: initial, 200 IU/kg SC once-daily for 30 days; maintenance, approximately 150 IU/kg SC once-daily during months two through six; maximum, daily doses should not exceed 18,000 IU Prophylaxis of ischemic complications in UA and non-Q-wave Mi: Injection: 120 IU/kg, but not more than 10,000 IU, SC every 12 hours; maintenance, continue treatment until the patient is clinically stabilized (usual duration, five to eight days) Prophylaxis of DVT in medical patients who are at risk for thromboembolic complications due to severely restricted mobility during acute illness: Injection: 5,000 IU SC once-daily [] Prophylaxis of DVT in patients undergoing abdominal surgery who are at risk for thromboembolic complications:	Other Dosing/ Administration Considerations Dosage reductions may be required in patients with cancer and acute symptomatic VTE who develop thrombocytopenia. FRAGMIN should not be mixed with other injections or infusions unless specific compatibility data are available that support such mixing.
		surgery: Injection: preoperatively, 5,000 IU SC 10 to 14 hours before surgery or 2,500 IU SC within two hours before surgery; postoperatively, 2,500 to 5,000 IU SC four to eight hours after surgery plus 5,000 IU SC once daily (usual duration, five to 10	



Drug	Strength	Usual Recommended Dose	Administration Considerations
		days after surgery)¶	
HEPARIN SODIUM (unfractionated heparin)	Preservative- free injection (1,000 USP units/mL): 2,000 USP units/2 mL	Therapeutic anticoagulant effect with full-dose heparin SC injection: 333 units/kg initially followed by 250 units/kg every 12 hours Intermittent IV injection: 10,000 units initially followed by 5000 to 10,000 units every 4 to 6 hours	Dosing recommendations are based on a 68 kg patient.
	Injection (contains benzyl alcohol) (1,000 USP units/mL): 10,000 USP units/10 mL 30,000 USP units/30 mL (5,000 USP units/mL): 50,000 USP units/10 mL 5,000 USP units/mL	Continuous IV infusion: 5000 units initially followed by 20,000 to 40,000 units per 24 hours Pediatric use Initial dose: 75 to 100 units/kg (IV bolus over 10 minutes) Maintenance dose: Infants: 25 to 30 units/kg/hour >1 year old: 18 to 20 units/kg/hour Cardiovascular surgery Minimum dose: 150 units/kg; higher doses of 300 units/kg is used for procedures that last 1 hour and 400 units/kg for procedures that last longer than 1 hour Low-dose prophylaxis of postoperative thromboembolism SC injection: 5000 units 2 hours before surgery and 5000 units every 8 to 12 hours for 7 days or until patient is ambulatory, whichever is longer	
	units/mL): 10,000 USP units/mL	Blood transfusion 400 to 600 USP units/100 mL of whole blood Extracorporeal dialysis 25 to 30 units/kg followed by 1500 to 2000 units/hour if specific manufacturers' recommendations are not available	
LOVENOX (enoxaparin)	Injection (100 mg/mL): 30 mg/0.3 mL 40 mg/0.4 mL 60 mg/0.6 mL 80 mg/0.8 mL 100 mg/1 mL 300 mg/3 mL	Prophylaxis of ischemic complications in UA and non-Q-wave <u>MI:</u> Injection: 1 mg/kg SC every 12 hours for a minimum of two days and continued until clinical stabilization (usual duration, two to eight days)# Injection (patients with creatinine clearance (CrCL) < 30 mL/minute): 1 mg/kg SC once-daily	For IV administration, LOVENOX can be mixed with normal saline solution or 5% dextrose in water.
	Injection (150 mg/mL): 120 mg/0.8 mL 150 mg/1 mL	Prophylaxis of DVT in medical patients who are at risk of thromboembolic complications due to severely restricted mobility during acute illness: Injection: 40 mg SC once-daily (usual duration, six to 11 days)** Injection (patients with CrCL < 30 mL/minute): 30 mg SC once-daily Prophylaxis of DVT in patients undergoing abdominal surgery	administration, LOVENOX should not be mixed with other injections or infusions.



Drug	Dosage Form: Strength	Usual Recommended Dose	Other Dosing/ Administration Considerations
		Injection: preoperatively, 40 mg SC two hours prior to surgery; postoperatively, 40 mg SC once-daily (usual duration, seven to 10 days)††	
		Injection (patients with CrCL < 30 mL/minute): 30 mg SC once-daily	
		Prophylaxis of DVT in patients undergoing hip replacement surgery: Injection: initial, 30 mg SC 12 to 24 hours after surgery or 40 mg SC once-daily administered 12(±3) hours prior to surgery; maintenance, 40 mg SC once-daily for three weeks (usual duration, seven to 10 days)**	
		Injection (patients with CrCL < 30 mL/minute): 30 mg SC once-daily	
		Prophylaxis of DVT in patients undergoing knee replacement surgery: Injection: initial, 30 mg SC 12 to 24 after surgery (usual duration, seven to 10 days)**	
		Injection (patients with CrCL < 30 mL/minute): 30 mg SC once-daily	
		<u>Treatment of acute DVT:</u> Injection (outpatient): 1 mg/kg SC every 12 hours for a minimum of five days and until a therapeutic oral anticoagulant effect has been achieved (average duration, seven days)‡‡	
		Injection (outpatients with CrCL < 30 mL/minute): 1 mg/kg SC once-daily	
		Injection (inpatient): 1 mg/kg SC twice-daily or 1.5 mg/kg SC once daily both for a minimum of five days and until a therapeutic oral anticoagulant effect has been achieved (average duration, seven days)‡‡	
		Injection (in patients with CrCL < 30 mL/minute): 1 mg/kg SC once-daily	
		Treatment of acute ST-segment elevation MI: Injection: initial, 30 mg IV as a single bolus dose plus 1 mg/kg SC; maintenance, 1 mg/kg SC twice-daily; maximum, 100 mg for the first two doses, followed by 1 mg/kg dosing for the remaining doses	
		Injection (patients < 75 years of age with CrCL < 30 mL/minute): initial, 30 mg IV as a single bolus dose plus 1 mg/kg SC; maintenance, 1 mg/kg SC once-daily	
		Injection (patients ≥ 75 years of age with CrCL < 30 mL/minute): 1 mg/kg SC once-daily	



DVT=deep vein thrombosis, IU=international units, IV=intravenous, MI=myocardial infarction, PE=pulmonary embolism, SC=subcutaneous, UA=unstable angina, VTE=venous thromboembolism

*Up to 10 days of treatment have been administered in clinical trials.

†Up to 11 days of treatment have been administered in clinical trials.

‡A total of 32 days (perioperative and extended prophylaxis) was administered in clinical trials.

§Up to 26 days of treatment have been administered in clinical trials.

In clinical trials, the usual duration of administration was 12 to 14 days.

Up to 14 days of treatment have been well tolerated in clinical trials.

#Up to 12.5 days of treatment has been administered in clinical trials.

** Up to 14 days of treatment have been administered in clinical trials.

††Up to 12 days of treatment have been administered in clinical trials.

##Up to 17 days of treatment have been administered in clinical trials.

SPECIAL POPULATIONS

Table 4. Special Populations

	Population and Precaution				
Drug	Elderly	Pediatrics	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy* and Nursing
ARIXTRA (fondaparinux)	No evidence of overall differences in safety or efficacy observed between elderly and younger adult patients. Serious adverse events increase with age. Due to the risk of increased adverse events in renal impairment, use caution as renal function generally declines with age.	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established	Use caution in patients with a CrCL 30 to 50 mL/minute. Contraindicated in patients with a CrCL < 30 mL/minute.	No dosage adjustment required, however a higher incidence of hemorrhage was observed in patients with moderate impairment.	Pregnancy Category B Unknown whether excreted in breast milk; use with caution.
FRAGMIN (dalteparin)	No evidence of overall differences in safety or efficacy observed between elderly and younger adult patients. Due to the potential for an increased bleeding risk with increased age, use caution in older patients with low body weight, decreased renal function, and	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established Use caution with multiple-dose vials due to benzyl alcohol content	Renal dose adjustment is required; for CrCL < 30 mL/minute, monitor anti-Xa levels to determine the appropriate dose.	No dosage adjustment required.	Pregnancy Category B Minimally excreted in breast milk; use with caution.

Data as of March 7, 2017 RS-U/MG-U/LMR

Page 9 of 15

This information is considered confidential and proprietary to OptumRx. It is intended for internal use only and should be disseminated only to authorized recipients.



	Population and Precaution				
Drug	Elderly	Pediatrics	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy* and Nursing
	concomitant medications.				
HEPARIN SODIUM (unfractionated heparin)	A higher incidence of bleeding has been reported in patients over 60 years of age, especially women. Lower doses may be required in these patients.	Although no adequate, well controlled studies have been conducted, pediatric dosing recommend- ations are based on clinical experience. The preservative- free formulation should be used in neonates and infants to avoid benzyl alcohol toxicity. Special attention should be given to ensure the correct strength of heparin is used to avoid fatal dosing errors.	Should be used with caution in severe renal disease due to an increased risk of hemorrhage.	Should be used with caution in liver disease with impaired hemostasis due to an increased risk of hemorrhage.	Pregnancy Category C Due to its high molecular weight, heparin is not likely to be excreted in human milk and would not be orally absorbed by the infant. Use caution when administering to a nursing mother and use the preservative-free formulation as benzyl alcohol is excreted in human milk and can be orally absorbed by the infant.
LOVENOX (enoxaparin)	No evidence of overall differences in safety or efficacy observed between elderly and younger adult patients.	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established	No dosage adjustment for moderate renal dysfunction is required. Renal dose adjustment is required for severe renal dysfunction (CrCL < 30 mL/minute).	Not studied in hepatic dysfunction; use with caution.	Pregnancy Category B; does not increase risk of major developmental abnormalities; monitor for bleeding. Due to benzyl alcohol content and its ability to cross the placenta, use of the multiple-dose vial should be used with caution. Unknown whether excreted in breast milk; use with caution.

Pregnancy Category B = No evidence of risk in humans, but there remains a remote possibility. Animal reproduction studies have failed to demonstrate

a risk to the fetus, and there are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. Pregnancy Category C = Pregnancy Category C = Risk cannot be ruled out. Animal reproduction studies have shown an adverse effect on the fetus and there are no adequate and well-controlled studies in humans, but potential benefits may warrant use of the drug in pregnant women despite potential risks.



CONCLUSION

- The injectable anticoagulants include UFH, LMWH agents (i.e., FRAGMIN, LOVENOX) and FXa inhibitors (i.e., ARIXTRA). The primary effect of UFH as an anticoagulant is a result of its binding to antithrombin which inhibits clot formation. Additional anticoagulant effects of UFH include inhibition of FIIa (thrombin), Xa, IXa, XIa, and XIIa (Garcia et al, 2012). The FXa inhibitors and LMWH agents work by binding to antithrombin, causing inhibition of the clotting factors thrombin and FXa. These agents have a greater inhibitory effect on FXa compared to thrombin (Hirsh et al, 2008; Weitz et al, 1997).
- Because the LMWH agents are prepared using different methods of depolymerization, the various agents in this class differ and are not clinically interchangeable (Hirsh et al, 2008).
- Currently, ARIXTRA, UFH, and LOVENOX are available generically (Micromedex, 2017; Orange Book: Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations, 2017).
- In general, the injectable anticoagulants are FDA-approved for prophylaxis and/or treatment of VTE. Certain agents in the class are also FDA-approved for the treatment of acute STEMI or for prophylaxis of ischemic complications UA and non-Q-wave MI; however, treatment for these indications will most likely be initiated in an acute hospital setting.
- UFH is considered an option for a number of off-label uses, including UA, NSTEMI, STEMI and use during PCI, by
 various guidelines. For most indications, UFH is administered IV; however, the SC route can be used for prophylaxis
 and/or treatment of VTE. For prophylaxis, the SC dose is administered two or three times daily and for treatment, the
 SC dose is administered twice daily.
- Outpatient or inpatient administration of the injectable anticoagulants for prophylaxis and treatment of VTE may be appropriate depending on the specific clinical situation. The most recent ACCP guidelines recommend PRADAXA, XARELTO, ELIQUIS, or SAVAYSA over warfarin for long-term VTE therapy (Kearon et al, 2016). They also recommend warfarin over LMWH; however, LMWH is preferred in patients with cancer.
- Evidence from clinical trials and recommendations from clinical guidelines support the use of the injectable anticoagulants in FDA-approved indications.
- Several placebo-controlled trials have consistently demonstrated the efficacy of the injectable anticoagulants, but when compared to other methods of anticoagulation (e.g., heparin, rivaroxaban, UFH, warfarin), their superiority in terms of recurrent VTE and safety has not always been demonstrated (Alikhan et al, 2003; Andras et al, 2012; Bergqvist et al, 1996; Bergqvist et al, 2002; Brookenthal et al, 2001; Colwell et al, 1994; Colwell et al, 1999; Cook et al, 2013; De et al, 2010; Eriksson et al, 1991; Eriksson et al, 2008; Erkens et al, 2010; Fitzgerald et al, 2001; Francis et al, 1997; Fuji et al, 2008; Handoll et al, 2002; Hull et al, 2010; Kakkar et al, 2008; Kanaan et al, 2007; Bauersachs, 2010; Buller, 2012; Kleber et al, 2003; Anderson et al, 2013; Lassen et al, 1998; Lassen et al, 2008; Leclerc et al, 1996; Leizorovicz et al, 2004; McLeod et al, 2001; Michot et al, 2002; No authors listed, 1991; Othieno et al, 2007; Planes et al, 1996; Rasmussen et al, 2009; Salazar et al, 2010; Samama et al, 1999; Senaran et al, 2006; Torholm et al, 1991; Turpie et al, 2009; Uchino et al, 2012; Melloni et al, 2013; van der Heijden, 2001; Akl et al, 2014). In a recent update to a Cochrane review comparing fixed dose LMWH with adjusted dose IV or SC UFH for initial VTE treatment, LMWH reduced the incidence of both recurrent VTE and major hemorrhage compared to UFH. No difference in overall mortality was observed (Robertson et al, 2017).
- When comparing ARIXTRA to the LMWH agents, treatment with ARIXTRA has demonstrated superiority in terms of the incidence of VTE in the majority of clinical trials, while demonstrating a comparable rate of major bleeding (Agnelli et al, 2005; Bauer et al, 2001; Bauer et al, 2002; Eriksson et al, 2001; Eriksson et al, 2003; Lassen et al, 2002; Turpie et al, 2002; Turpie AG et al, 2002). However, data from two clinical trials revealed no difference between treatment with ARIXTRA compared to FRAGMIN and LOVENOX in the development of VTE (Eriksson et al, 2003; Turpie et al, 2002).
- One trial revealed no difference between FRAGMIN compared to UFH treatment in critically ill patients in decreasing the incidence of proximal DVT; however, the trial found a statistically lower incidence of PE (definite or probable) with FRAGMIN. This result did require a large number needed to treat of 111 patients in order to achieve this outcome (Cook et al, 2011).
- In terms of safety measures, one trial comparing patients who were given LOVENOX with moderate renal impairment to those with normal renal function resulted in significantly more major bleeds in patients with moderate renal impairment (DeCarolis et al, 2012). In women who met criteria for thromboprophylaxis (patients at high-risk for VTE) after cesarean, one study resulted in a greater proportion of women who had wound separation when given LOVENOX compared to those women who were not given LOVENOX (Ferres et al, 2011).



Drug	Advantages	Disadvantages
ARIXTRA (fondaparinux)	May be used acutely for certain patients. May be used as alternative treatment in cases of heparin- induced thrombocytopenia (HIT).	Long half-life of 17 to 21 hours, which should be taken in account if managing a pre-operative patient. Not indicated in patients with symptomatic VTE and cancer or as prophylaxis of ischemic complications in UA and MI. No antidote effect
		Contraindicated in patients with severe renal impairment.
	Shorter half-life of 7 hours.	Not indicated in acute VTE or acute MI.
FRAGMIN (dalteparin)	Only agent approved for the extended treatment of symptomatic	Requires renal dose adjustment
	VIE in patients with cancer.	Partial antidote effect
	Shortest half-life of 1 hour and therefore, can be reversed quickly	typically administered at home
HEPARIN SODIUM (unfractionated heparin)	No renal excretion	Most indications are for IV administration
	Complete antidote effect	Most common cause of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia
	Shorter half-life of 7 hours.	Not FDA-approved specifically in cancer patients, although often prescribed.
LOVENOX (enoxaparin)	May be used acutely for certain patients.	Requires renal dose adjustment
		Partial antidote effect

Table 5. Advantages and Disadvantages of Injectable Anticoagulants

REFERENCES

- Agnelli G, Bergqvist D, Cohen AT, et al. Randomized clinical trial of postoperative fondaparinux vs perioperative dalteparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism in high-risk abdominal surgery. Br J Surg. 2005;92:1212-1220.
- Akl EA, Kahale L, Barba M, [a] et al. Anticoagulation for the long-term treatment of venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014 Jul 8;7:CD006650. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006650.pub4
- Alikhan R, Cohen AT, Combe S, et al. Prevention of venous thromboembolism in medical patients with enoxaparin: a subgroup analysis of the MEDENOX study. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis. 2003;14:341-346.
- American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Preventing Venous Thromboembolic Disease in Patients Undergoing Elective Hip and Knee Arthroplasty Evidence-Based Guideline and Evidence Report. 2011. Available at:
- http://www.aaos.org/research/guidelines/VTE/VTE_full_guideline.pdf. Accessed March 6, 2017.
- Amsterdam EA, Wenger NK, Brindis RG, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2014 Dec 23; 130(25):e344-426.
- Anderson DR, Dunbar MJ, Bohm ER, et al. Aspirin versus low molecular weight heparin for extended venous thromboembolism prophylaxis after total hip arthroplasty: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. Jun 4, 2013;158(11):800-806.
- Andras A, Sala Tenna A, Crawford F. Vitamin K antagonists or low-molecular-weight heparin for the long term treatment of symptomatic venous thromboembolism. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2012: Issue 10. Art. No.: CD002001. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002001.pub2.
- ARIXTRA prescribing information. Mylan Institutional LLC. Rockford, IL. October 2015.
 Baroletti SA, Golhaber SZ. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. Circulation. 2006;114(8):e355-e356.
- Bauer KA, Eriksson BI, Lassen MR, et al. Fondaparinux compared to enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after elective major knee surgery. N Engl J Med. 2001;345:1305-1310.
- Bauersachs R, Berkowitz ST, Brenner B, et al. Oral rivaroxaban for symptomatic venous thromboembolism. NEJM. 2010;363:2499-2510.
- Benjamin E, Blaha MJ, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2017 Update: A Report from the American Heart Association. [Epub ahead of print]. Circulation. 2017 Jan 25. pii: CIR.0000000000485. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000485.
- Bergqvist D, Agnelli G, Cohen AT, et al. Duration of prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism with enoxaparin after surgery for cancer. N Engl J Med. 2002;346:975-980.

Data as of March 7, 2017 RS-U/MG-U/LMR

Page 12 of 15



- Bergqvist D, Benoni G, Bjorgell O, et al. Low-molecular weight heparin (enoxaparin) as prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism after total hip replacement. N Engl J Med. 1996;335:696-700.
- Bhutia S, Wong PF. Once versus twice daily low molecular weight heparin for the initial treatment of venous thromboembolism. Cochrane Database
 of Systematic Reviews. 2013: Issue 7. Art. No.: CD003074. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003074.pub3.
- Blann AD, Lip GY. Venous thromboembolism. BMJ. 2006;332: 215–219.
- Brookenthal KR, Freedman KB, Lotke PA, et al. A meta-analysis of thromboembolic prophylaxis in total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2001;16(3):293-300.
- Büller HR, Prins MH, Lensing AW, et al. Oral rivaroxaban for the treatment of symptomatic pulmonary embolism. N Engl J Med. 2012;366:1287-1297.
- Bushnell C, McCullough LD, Awad IA, et al. Guidelines for the Prevention of Stroke in Women: A Statement for Healthcare Professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014 May;45(5):1545-88.
- Clark NP, Witt DM, Davies LE, et al. Bleeding, recurrent venous thromboembolism, and mortality risks during warfarin interruption for invasive procedures. JAMA Intern Med. 2015;175(7):1163-1168.
- Colwell CW, Collis DK, Paulson R, et al. Comparison of enoxaparin and warfarin for the prevention of venous thromboembolic disease after total hip arthroplasty. Evaluation during hospitalization and three months after discharge. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1999;81:932-940.
- Colwell CW, Spiro TE, Trowbridge AA, et al. Use of enoxaparin, a low-molecular-weight heparin, and unfractionated heparin for the prevention of deep venous thrombosis after elective hip replacement. A clinical trial comparing efficacy and safety. Enoxaparin Clinical Trial Group. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1994;76:3-14.
- Cook D, Meade M, Guyatt G, et al. Dalteparin versus unfractionated heparin in critically ill patients (PROTECT investigators). N Engl J Med. 2011 Apr 7;364(14):1305-1314.
- De A, Roy P, Garg VK, et al. Low-molecular-weight heparin and unfractionated heparin in prophylaxis against deep vein thrombosis in critically ill patients undergoing major surgery. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis. 2010;21:57-61.
- DeCarolis DD, Thorson JG, Clairmont MA, et al. Enoxaparin Outcomes in Patients with Moderate Renal Impairment. Arch Intern Med 2012 Dec 10;172(22):1713.
- Douketis JD, Spyropoulos AC, Kaatz S, et al. Perioperative bridging in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:823-833.
- Douketis JD, Spyropoulos AC, Spencer FA, et al. Antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest. 2012; 141(2)(Suppl):e326S–e350S.
- Drugs@FDA [database on the Internet]. Rockville (MD): Food and Drug Administration (US), Center for Drug Evaluation and Research; 2017. Available from: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm. Accessed March 7, 2017
- Eriksson BI, Bauer KA, Lassen MR, et al. Fondaparinux compared to enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after hip-fracture surgery. N Engl J Med. 2001;345:1298-1304.
- Eriksson BI, Borris LC, Friedman RJ, et al. Rivaroxaban vs enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after hip arthroplasty. N Engl J Med. 2008 Jun 26;358(26):2765-2775.
- Eriksson BI, Kalebo P, Anthymyr BA, et al. Prevention of deep-vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism after total hip replacement. Comparison of low-molecular-weight heparin and unfractionated heparin. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1991;73:484-493.
- Eriksson BI, Lassen MR. Duration of prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism with fondaparinux after hip fracture surgery. A multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1337-1342.
- Erkens PMG, Prins MH. Fixed dose subcutaneous low molecular weight heparins vs adjusted dose unfractionated heparin for venous thromboembolism. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2010, Issue 9. Art. No.:CD001100. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD001100.pub3.
- FDA. Low Molecular Weight Heparins: Drug Safety Communication Recommendations to Decrease Risk of Spinal Column Bleeding and Paralysis. MedWatch: The FDA Safety Information and Adverse Event Reporting Program. November 6, 2013. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ucm373918.htm. Accessed March 7, 2017.
- FDA approves first generic enoxaparin sodium injection [press release on the Internet]. Rockville (MD): Food and Drug Administration (US); 2010 Jul 23. Available from: http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm220092.htm. Accessed March 7, 2017.
- Ferres MA, Olivarez SA, Trinh V, et al. Rate of Wound Complications With Enoxaparin Use Among Women at High Risk for Postpartum Thrombosis. Obstet Gynecol 2011 Jan;117(1):119.
- FRAGMIN prescribing information. Pfizer, Inc. New York, NY. August 2016.
- Francis CW, Kessler CM, Goldhaber SZ, et al. Treatment of venous thromboembolism in cancer patients with dalteparin for up to 12 months: the DALTECAN study. J Thromb Haemost 2015; 13: 1028–1035.
- Francis CW, Pellegrini VD, Totterman S, et al. Prevention of deep-vein thrombosis after total hip arthroplasty. Comparison of warfarin and dalteparin. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1997;79:1365-1372.
- Fitzgerald RH, Spiro TE, Trowbridge AA, et al. Prevention of venous thromboembolic disease following primary total knee arthroplasty: a randomized, multicenter, open-label, parallel-group comparison of enoxaparin and warfarin. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2001;83:900-906.
- Fuji T, Ochi T, Niwa S, et al. Prevention of postoperative venous thromboembolism in Japanese patients undergoing total hip or knee arthroplasty: two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies with three dosage regimens of enoxaparin. J Orthop Sci. 2008;13:442-451.
- Garcia DA, Baglin TP, Weitz JI, et al. Parenteral anticoagulants: Antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest. 2012;141(2 Suppl):e24S-e43S.
- Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Crowther M, et al. American College of Chest Physicians Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis Panel. Executive summary: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest. 2012 Feb;141(Suppl 2):7-47.
- Handoll HHG, Farrar MJ, McBirnie J, et al. Heparin, low molecular weight heparin and physical methods for preventing vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism following surgery for hip fractures. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2002, Issue 4. Art. No.:CD000305. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD000305.
- HEPARIN SODIUM prescribing information. Hospira, Inc. Lake Forest, IL. September 2016.
- Hilal-Dandan R, Brunton LL. Blood coagulation and Anticoagulant, fibrinolytic, and antiplatelet drugs. In: Hilal-Dandan R, Brunton LL. eds. Goodman and Gilman's Manual of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2nd ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill; 2014. http://accesspharmacy.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?bookid=1810§ionid=124493032. Accessed March 07, 2017.
- Hirsh J, Bauer KA, Donati MB, et al. Parenteral anticoagulants: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (8th Edition). Chest. 2008;133 (Suppl 6):141S-159S.

Page 13 of 15



- Hull RD, Schellong SM, Tapson VF, et al. Extended-duration venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in acutely ill medical patients with recently reduced mobility. Ann Intern Med. 2010;153:8-18.
- Jaff MR, McMurtry MS, Archer SL, et al. On behalf of the American Heart Association Council on Cardiopulmonary, Critical Care, Perioperative and Resuscitation, Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease, and Council on Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis and Vascular Biology. Management of massive and submassive pulmonary embolism, iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis, and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2011 Apr 26;123(16):1788-1830.
- January CT, Wann S, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: Executive Summary. Circulation. 2014 Dec;130(23):2071-104.
- Kakkar AK, Brenner B, Dahl OE, et al. Extended duration rivaroxaban vs short-term enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after total hip arthroplasty: a double-blind, randomized controlled trial. Lancet. 2008 Jul 5;372(9632):31-39.
- Kanaan AO, Silva MA, Donovan JL, et al. Meta-analysis of venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in medically ill patients. Clin Ther. 2007;29(11):2395-2405.
- Kearon C, Aki EA, Ornelas J, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: CHEST guideline and expert panel report. Chest. 2016;149(2):315-352.
- Kernan WN, Ovbiagele B, Black HR, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke or transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014 May;45:2160-2236.
- Kleber FX, Witt C, Vogel G, et al. Randomized comparison of enoxaparin with unfractionated heparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism in medical patients with heart failure or severe respiratory disease. Am Heart J. 2003;145:614-621.
- Lassen MR, Ageno W, Borris LC, et al. Rivaroxaban vs enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after total knee arthroplasty. N Engl J Med. 2008 Jun 26;358(26):2776-2786.
- Lassen MR, Bauer KA, Eriksson BI, et al. Postoperative fondaparinux vs preoperative enoxaparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism in elective hip-replacement surgery: a randomized double-blind comparison. Lancet. 2002;359:1715-1720.
- Lassen MR, Borris LC, Anderson BS, et al. Efficacy and safety of prolonged thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin (dalteparin) after total hip arthroplasty-The Danish Prolonged Prophylaxis (DaPP) Study. Throm Res. 1998;89:281-287.
- Leclerc JR, Geerts WH, Desjardins L, et al. Prevention of venous thromboembolism after knee arthroplasty. A randomized, double-blind trial comparing enoxaparin with warfarin. Ann Intern Med. 1996;124:619-626.
- Lee AYY, Levine MN, Baker RI, et al. Low-molecular-weight heparin vs a coumarin for the prevention of recurrent venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. N Engl J Med. 2003;349:146-153.
- Lee AYY, Rickles FR, Julian JA, et al. Randomized comparison of low molecular weight heparin and coumarin derivatives on the survival of patients with cancer and venous thromboembolism. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23:2123-2129.
- Leizorovicz A, Cohen AT, Turpie AGG, et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of dalteparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism in acutely ill medical patients. Circulation. 2004;110:874-879.
- Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011 Dec 6;58(24):e44-122.
- LOVENOX prescribing information. Sanofi-aventis, LLC. Bridgewater, NJ. November 2013.
- Lyman GH, Bohlke K, Khorana AA, et al. Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis and treatment in patients with cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update 2014. J Clin Oncol. 2015; 33(6):654-656.
- McLeod RS, Geerts WH, Sniderman KW, et al. Subcutaneous heparin vs low-molecular-weight heparin as thromboprophylaxis in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. Ann Surg. 2001;233(3):438-444.
- Melloni C, Jones WS, Washam JB, et al. Antiplatelet and Anticoagulant Treatments for Unstable Angina/Non-ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Rockville MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; Nov 2013. Report No: 13(14)-EHC125-EF.
- Michot M, Conen D, Holtz D, et al. Prevention of deep-vein thrombosis in ambulatory arthroscopic knee surgery: a randomized trial of prophylaxis with low-molecular weight heparin. Arthroscopy. 2002;18(3):257-263.
- Micromedex Solutions [database on the Internet]. Truven Health Analytics; 2017. Available from: http://www.micromedexsolutions.com/home/dispatch. Accessed March 7, 2017.
- No authors listed. Low-molecular-weight heparin (enoxaparin) vs dextran 70. The prevention of postoperative deep vein thrombosis after total hip replacement. Arch Intern Med. 1991;151:1621-1624.
- O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2013;127 doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e3182742c84.
- Orange Book: Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations [database on the internet]. Silver Spring (MD): Food and Drug Administration (US), Center for Drug Evaluation and Research; 2017. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ob/default.cfm. Accessed March 7, 2017.
- Othieno R, Abu Affan M, Okpo E. Home vs in-patient treatment for deep vein thrombosis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2007, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD003076. DOI:10.10002/14651858.CD003076.pub2.
- Planes A, Vochelle N, Darmon JY, et al. Risk of deep-venous thrombosis after hospital discharge in patients having undergone total hip replacement: double-blind randomized comparison of enoxaparin vs placebo. Lancet. 1996;348:224-228.
- Rasmussen MS, Jorgensen LN, Willie-Jorgensen P. Prolonged thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin for abdominal or pelvic surgery. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2009, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD004318. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD004318.pub2.
- Robertson L, Jones LE. Fixed dose subcutaneous low molecular weight heparins versus adjusted dose unfractionated heparin for the initial treatment of venous thromboembolism. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2017 Feb;2:CD001100. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001100.pub4.
- Salazar CA, Malaga G, Malasquez G. Direct thrombin inhibitors vs vitamin K antagonists or low molecular weight heparins for prevention of venous thromboembolism following total hip or knee replacement. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2010, Issue 4. Art. No.:CD005981. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD005981.pub2.
- Samama MM, Cohen AT, Darmon JY, et al. A comparison of enoxaparin with placebo for the prevention of venous thromboembolism in acutely ill medical patients. N Engl J Med. 1999;341:793-800.
- Senaran H, Acaroglu E, Ozdemir HM, et al. Enoxaparin and heparin comparison of deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis in total hip replacement patients. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2006;126:1-5.

Page 14 of 15



- Testroote M, Stigter WAH, Janssen L, et al. Low molecular weight heparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism in patients with lower-leg immobilization. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2014, Issue 4. Art. No.:CD006681. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD006681.pub3.
 Terbelm C, Broong L, Sport, Jangapeon P, et al. Thromboercophylaxis by Jaw molecular weight heparin in elective his surgery. J. Roong Jaint Surgers 2014. State 2014. St
- Torholm C, Broeng L, Seest Jorgensen P, et al. Thromboprophylaxis by low-molecular-weight heparin in elective hip surgery. J Bone Joint Surg (Br). 1991;73-B:434-438.
- Turpie AG, Bauer KA, Eriksson BI, et al. Fondaparinux vs enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism in major orthopedic surgery: q meta-analysis of 4 randomized double-blind studies. Arch Intern Med. 2002a;162:1833-1840.
- Turpie AG, Bauer KA, Eriksson BI, et al. Postoperative fondaparinux vs postoperative enoxaparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism after elective hip-replacement surgery: a randomized double-blind trial. Lancet. 2002;359:1721-1726.
- Turpie AG, Lassen MR, Davidson BL, et al. Rivaroxaban vs enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after total knee arthroplasty (RECORD4): a randomized trial. Lancet. 2009 May 16;373(9676):1673-1680.
- Uchino K, Hernandez AV. Dabigatran association with higher risk of acute coronary events. Meta-analysis of noninferiority randomized controlled trials. Arch Intern Med. 2012;172(5):397-402.
- van der Heijden JF, Hutten BA, Buller HR, et al. Vitamin K antagonists or low-molecular-weight heparin for the long term management of symptomatic venous thromboembolism. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2001, Issue 3. Art. No.:CD002001. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD002001.
- Weitz JI. Low-molecular-weight heparins. N Engl J Med. 1997;337(10):688-698.

Publication date: March 30, 2017