Therapeutic Class Overview Amylin Analogs

Therapeutic Class

Overview/Summary: Pramlintide (SymlinPen[®]) is the only amylin analog in the medication class, and is Food and Drug Administration-approved as adjunct to treatment with insulin in patients with type 1 and 2 diabetes who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy. Specifically, pramlintide is a synthetic analog of human amylin, a naturally occurring neuroendocrine hormone synthesized by pancreatic β cells that contributes to glucose control during the post-prandial period.³ As an amylin analog, pramlintide slows gastric emptying, without altering nutrient absorption, decreases post-prandial glucagon secretion, and regulates food intake by centrally-mediated modulation of appetite. By slowing gastric emptying, pramlintide reduces the rate that food is released from the stomach to the small intestine, diminishing the initial post-prandial elevation in plasma glucose.¹⁻³ In patients with diabetes; this action is beneficial as post-prandial glucagon secretion has been shown to be abnormally elevated in such patients and contributes to post-prandial hyperglycemia.³ Compared to newer antidiabetic agents used in the management of type 2 diabetes, such as the incretin mimetics and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, pramlintide does not stimulate pancreatic insulin release which makes it a useful treatment option for patients with type 1 or 2 diabetes.¹⁻³ Pramlintide is available as a subcutaneous injection and the specific dose administered will vary depending on whether the patient has type 1 or 2 diabetes.¹ Currently, pramlintide is only available as a branded product.

Generic	Food and Drug Administration-Approved	Dosage	Generic
(Trade Name)	Indications	Form/Strength	Availability
Pramlintide (SymlinPen [®] 60, SymlinPen [®] 120)	Type 1 diabetes, as an adjunct treatment in patients who use mealtime insulin therapy and who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy, type 2 diabetes, as an adjunct treatment in patients who use mealtime insulin therapy and who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy, with or without a concurrent sulfonylurea agent and/or metformin	Multi-dose Pen: 1,000 µg/mL*	-

Table 1. Current Medications Available in Therapeutic Class¹

*Available in two sizes. The SymlinPen[®] 60 (1.5 mL) should be used for doses of 15, 30, 45 and 60 μg. The SymlinPen[®] 120 (2.7 mL) should be used for doses of 60 and 120 μg.

Evidence-based Medicine

- Overall, data demonstrate that treatment with pramlintide is associated with significantly greater baseline reductions in glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}), post-prandial glucose levels, and body weight compared to placebo. In addition, greater proportions of patients are able to achieve an HbA_{1c} <7.0% with pramlintide compared to placebo.⁴⁻¹⁷/₋₋
- Treatment with pramlintide is well-tolerated.⁴⁻¹⁷ The most commonly reported adverse events in clinical trials associated with pramlintide included nausea and anorexia.^{5,6,9,13,15} Though pramlintide itself does not cause hypoglycemia, there was an increased incidence of hypoglycemic events with pramlintide compared to placebo in some clinical trials; however, other trials reported no difference between the two treatments when added to insulin therapy.^{6-9,14,15}

Key Points within the Medication Class

- According to Current Clinical Guidelines:
 - Type 2 diabetes:¹⁸⁻²²
 - Metformin remains the cornerstone to most antidiabetic treatment regimens.¹⁸⁻²²



Page 1 of 3 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/19/2013



- Patients with high glycosylated hemoglobin will most likely require combination or triple therapy in order to achieve glycemic goals.¹⁸⁻²²
- In general, current clinical guidelines do not support the use of amylinomimetics in the management of type 2 diabetes.^{18,19}
 - However, it is noted that non-preferred or less well validated agents still may be appropriate choices in individual patients to achieve glycemic goals.¹⁸
- Type 1 diabetes:^{18,2}
 - The initiation of individualized insulin therapy is recommended at the time of diagnosis.^{18,22-24}
 - Among type 1 diabetics, the addition of pramlintide to first-line insulin therapy may be considered to enhance glycemic control and to assist with weight management.²²
- Other Key Facts:
 - Pramlintide is the only amylinomimetic in the medication class, and is only available as a branded product.

References

1.

- Symlin® [package insert]. San Diego (CA): Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2009 Aug.
- 2. Drug Facts and Comparisons 4.0 [database on the Internet]. St. Louis: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.; 2013 [cited 2013 Apr 19]. Available from: http://online.factsandcomparisons.com.
- 3. Younk LM, Mikeladze M, Davis SN. Pramlintide and the treatment of diabetes: a review of the data since its introduction. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2011 Jun;12(9):1439-51.
- 4. Edelman S, Garg S, Frias J, Maggs D, Wang Y, Strobel S, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial assessing pramlintide treatment in the setting of intensive insulin therapy in Type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2006;29:2189-98.
- 5. Whitehouse F, Kruger DF, Fineman M, et al. A randomized study and open-label extension evaluating the long-term efficacy of pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin therapy in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2002;25(4):724-30.
- Ratner RE, Dickey R, Fineman M, et al. Amylin replacement with pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin therapy improves longterm glycemic and weight control in Type 1 diabetes mellitus: a 1-year, randomized controlled trial. Diabet Med. 2004;21(11):1204-12.
- 7. Marrero D, Crean J, Zhang B, Kellmeyer T, Gloster M, Herrmann K, et al. Effect of adjunctive pramlintide treatment on treatment satisfaction in patients with Type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2007;30:210-6.
- Ratner R, Whitehouse F, Fineman S, Strobel S, Shen L, Maggs DG, et al. Adjunctive therapy with pramlintide lowers HbA_{1c} without concomitant weight gain and increased risk of severe hypoglycemia in patients with Type 1 diabetes approaching glycemic targets. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes. 2005;113:199-204.
- Karl D, Philis-Tsimikas A, Darsow T, Lorenzi G, Kellmeyer T, Lutz K, et al. Pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin in patients with Type 2 Diabetes in a clinical practice setting reduced A1C, postprandial glucose excursions, and weight. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2007;9(2):191-9.
- Singh-Franco D, Perez A, Harrington C. The effect of pramlintide acetate on glycemic control and weight in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and in obese patients without diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2011 Feb;13(2):169-80.
- 11. Riddle M, Frias J, Zhang B, Maier H, Brown C, Lutz K, Kolterman O. Pramlintide improved glycemic control and reduced weight in patients with type 2 diabetes using basal insulin. Diabetes Care. 2007 Nov;30(11):2794-9.
- 12. Hollander PA, Levy P, Fineman MS, Maggs DG, Shen LZ, Strobel SA, et al. Pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin therapy improves long-term glycemic and weight control in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 1-year randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Care. 2003 Mar;26(3):784-90.
- Ratner RE, Want LL, Fineman MS, et al. Adjunctive therapy with the amylin analogue pramlintide leads to a combined improvement in glycemic and weight control in insulin-treated subjects with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2002;4(1):51-61.
- Hollander P, Ratner R, Fineman M, Strobel S, Shen L, Maggs D, et al. Addition of pramlintide to insulin therapy lowers HbA_{1c} in conjunction with weight loss in patients with type 2 diabetes approaching glycemic targets. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2003 Nov;5(6):408-14.
- 15. Maggs D, Shen L, Strobel S, Brown D, Kolterman O, Weyer C. Effect of pramlintide on A1c and body weight in insulin treated African Americans and Hispanics with type 2 diabetes: a pooled post hoc analysis. Metabolism. 2003;52(12):1638-42.
- 16. Hollander P, Maggs D, Ruggles J, et al. Effect of pramlintide on weight in overweight and obese insulin-treated type 2 diabetes patients. Obes Res. 2004 Apr;12(4):661-8.
- 17. Riddle M, Pencek R, Charenkavanich S, Lutz K, Wilhelm K, Porter L. Randomized comparison of pramlintide or mealtime insulin added to basal insulin treatment for patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2009 Sep;32(9):1577-82.
- 18. The American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes-2013. Diabetes Care. 2013 Jan;36 Suppl 1:S4-10.
- 19. Nathan DM, Buse JB, Davidson MB, Ferrannini E, Holman RR, Sherwin R, et al. Medical management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: a consensus algorithm for the initiation and adjustment of therapy: a consensus statement of the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2009 Jan;32(1):193-203.
- Qaseem A, Humphrey LL, Sweet DE, Starkey M, Shekelle P; Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Oral pharmacologic treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med. 2012;156:218-31.



Page 2 of 3 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/19/2013



- Rodboard HW, Jellinger PS, Davidson JA, Einhorn D, Garber AJ, Grunberger G, et al. Statement by an American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinology Consensus Panel on type 2 diabetes mellitus: an algorithm for glycemic control. Endocr Pract. 2009;15(6):541-59.
- Rodbard HW, Blonde L, Braithwaite SS, Brett EM, Cobin RH, Handelsman Y, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists medical guidelines for clinical practice for the management of diabetes mellitus. Endocr Pract. 2007 May-Jun;13(Suppl 1):S1-68.
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Type 1 diabetes in adults [guideline on the internet]. London (UK): National Institute for Clinical Excellence; 2008 Aug 22 [cited 2013 Apr 19]. Available from: http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG15/Guidance/Adults/Part2/pdf/English.
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Type 1 diabetes: diagnosis and management of type 1 diabetes in children and young people [guideline on the internet]. London (UK): National Institute for Clinical Excellence; 2009 Jun [cited 2013 Apr 19]. Available from: http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG15/Guidance/Children/pdf/English.



Page 3 of 3 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/19/2013



Therapeutic Class Review Amylin Analogs

Overview/Summary

Pramlintide (Symlin[®], SymlinPen[®]) is the only amylin analog in the medication class, and is Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved as an adjunct treatment with insulin in patients with type 1 or 2 diabetes who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy.¹ Type 1 diabetes typically results in an absolute, or near total insulin deficiency, while type 2 diabetes is a complex disorder characterized by insulin deficiency, insulin resistance, inflammation, and gut neurohormonal imbalances.³ Concentrations of amylin and insulin in plasma show parallel peak and trough concentrations during fasting conditions and with meal intake.^{1,3} The amylin response to meal intake is absent in type 1 diabetes, exaggerated in obesity, and impaired or diminished in type 2 diabetes.⁴

Specifically, pramlintide is a synthetic analog of human amylin, a naturally occurring neuroendocrine hormone synthesized by pancreatic β cells that contributes to glucose control during the post-prandial period.³ As an amylin analog, pramlintide slows gastric emptying, without altering nutrient absorption, decreases post-prandial glucagon secretion, and regulates food intake by centrally-mediated modulation of appetite. By slowing gastric emptying, pramlintide reduces the rate that food is released from the stomach to the small intestine, diminishing the initial post-prandial glucagon secretion has been shown to be abnormally elevated in such patients and contributes to post-prandial hyperglycemia.³ Compared to newer antidiabetic agents used in the management of type 2 diabetes, such as the incretin mimetics and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, pramlintide does not stimulate pancreatic insulin release which makes it a useful treatment option for patients with type 1 or 2 diabetes.¹⁻³

Pramlintide is available as a brand only subcutaneous injection that is administered prior to meals. The recommended dose of pramlintide varies depending on whether the patient has type 1 or 2 diabetes. Of note, a 50% reduction in insulin dose is required for all patients initiating therapy with pramlintide to reduce the risk of insulin-induced hypoglycemia. Though pramlintide itself does not cause hypoglycemia, the likelihood of experiencing hypoglycemia is increased with combination therapy.^{1,2} Treatment with pramlintide is typically initiated with a lower dose and then titrated to targeted doses every three to seven days when no clinically significant nausea is apparent.¹ In comparison to other antidiabetic agents, pramlintide is associated with a generally modest glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) efficacy.⁵ In clinical trials, treatment with pramlintide achieved significantly greater baseline reductions in HbA_{1c}, post-prandial glucose, and body weight compared to placebo when given in combination with insulin. In addition, greater proportions of patients were able to achieve an HbA_{1c} <7.0% with pramlintide compared to placebo.⁶⁻¹⁹

According to current clinical guidelines for the management of type 2 diabetes, metformin remains the cornerstone to most antidiabetic treatment regimens. Additionally, patients with high HbA_{1c} will most likely require combination or triple therapy in order to achieve glycemic goals. At this time, uniform recommendations on the best agent to be combined with metformin cannot be made; therefore, advantages and disadvantages of specific antidiabetic agents for each patient should be considered.^{5,20-24} According to a position statement released by the American Diabetes Association/European Association for the Study of Diabetes regarding the management of type 2 diabetes, pramlintide is typically reserved for patients treated with intensive insulin therapy, usually in type 1 diabetes. Furthermore, the agent is not included in the recommended treatment algorithm; however, it may be used in selected patients when modest efficacy is appropriate and/or limiting adverse events are not an issue.⁵ For the management of type 1 diabetes, current clinical guidelines recommend the initiation of individualized insulin therapy at the time of diagnosis.²⁴⁻²⁶ Among type 1 diabetics, the addition of pramlintide to insulin therapy may be considered to enhance glycemic control and to assist with weight management.²⁴



Page 1 of 31 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/19/2013



Medications

Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review

Generic Name (Trade name)	Medication Class	Generic Availability
Pramlintide (Symlin [®] , SymlinPen [®])	Amylin analogs	-

Indications

Table 2. Food and Drug Administration-Approved Indications¹

Indication(s)	Pramlintide
Type 1 diabetes, as an adjunct treatment in patients who use mealtime insulin therapy	¢
and who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy	
Type 2 diabetes, as an adjunct treatment in patients who use mealtime insulin therapy	
and who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin	~
therapy, with or without a concurrent sulfonylurea agent and/or metformin	

Pharmacokinetics

Table 3. Pharmacokinetics²⁷

Generic	Bioavailability	Renal	Active Metabolites	Serum Half-Life
Name	(%)	Elimination (%)		(hours)
Pramlintide	30 to 40	Not reported	Des-lys(1) pramlintide (2-37 pramlintide)	0.50 to 0.83

Clinical Trials

The clinical trials demonstrating the safety and efficacy of the amylin analogs in Food and Drug Administration-approved indications are outlined in Table 4.⁶⁻¹⁹ In general, due to the approved indication of pramlintide, the agent has been evaluated as add-on therapy in type 1 and 2 diabetics already receiving insulin therapy.^{1,6-19}

With regards to the treatment of type 1 diabetes, results of a small meta-analysis (three trials) demonstrated that pramlintide was associated with an average baseline reduction in glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) of -0.3% and weight loss of -1.8 kg compared to placebo (P<0.0009 for both).¹¹ These findings were supported by a one year, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial in which type 1 diabetics were randomized to receive pramlintide 30 µg or placebo four-times daily (N=480). At trial end, pramlintide again was associated with a significant baseline reduction in both HbA_{1c} (-0.39 vs -0.12%; P=0.0071) and body weight (-1.0 vs -0.2 kg; P<0.001) compared to placebo. In this trial, greater incidences of nausea (46.5 vs 21.9%; P value not reported) and anorexia (17.2 vs 2.1%; P value not reported) were reported with pramlintide.⁷ A second one year trial (N=651) demonstrated similar results with regards to baseline reductions in HbA_{1c}; however, in this trial doses of pramlintide 60 µg three-times daily and four-times daily demonstrated "superiority" over placebo (26 weeks; P=0.012 and P=0.13, 52 weeks; P=0.011 and P=0.001, respectively).⁸ As mentioned previously, pramlintide itself does not cause hypoglycemia, but when administered in combination with insulin, the incidence of hypoglycemic events increases.¹ In a 29 week trial, the primary endpoint of the incidence of hypoglycemic events was significantly greater with pramlintide compared to placebo (0.57 vs 0.30 events per patient-year; P<0.05).⁶ In a post-hoc analysis of patient response to a satisfaction survey, treatment with pramlintide was favored for questions relating to glucose control, meal flexibility, weight control, and appetite control (P<0.05 for all). No difference between pramlintide and placebo was observed with questions relating to patients' ability to avoid hypoglycemia and patients' wanting to continue treatment with pramlintide (P value not significant).¹⁰

Data from clinical trials demonstrate that pramlintide is also associated with significant baseline reductions in HbA_{1c} in type 2 diabetics. Results from a meta-analysis of eight trials (four trials with type 2 diabetic patients and four trials with obese patients without diabetes) demonstrate that pramlintide (120 to 150 μ g) was associated with a -0.33% reduction in baseline HbA_{1c} (*P*=0.0004); however, no difference



Page 2 of 31 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/19/2013



was observed between pramlintide and placebo in the likely hood of achieving an HbA_{1c} \leq 7.0% (odds ratio, 1.52; 95% confidence interval, 0.83 to 2.78; *P*=0.18).¹³ In general, these findings were again supported by individual clinical trials. In a one year trial (N=656) in which patients were randomized to either pramlintide 90 or 120 µg or placebo twice-daily, after 26 weeks of treatment a significant baseline reduction in HbA_{1c} was achieved with pramlintide 120 µg compared to placebo (-0.68%; P<0.05). Furthermore, only pramlintide 120 µg maintained a significant improvement in HbA_{1c} throughout one year of treatment (-0.62%; P<0.05). However, a greater proportion of patients receiving pramlintide 90 or 120 µg achieved an HbA_{1c} <7.0% by trial end (9.4 and 12.2 vs 4.1%, respectively; *P* values not reported).¹⁵ In another one year trial (N=538), a significantly greater reduction in baseline HbA1c was achieved in patients receiving pramlintide 75 or 150 µg three-times daily compared to patients receiving placebo (-0.9%; P=0.0004 and -1.0%; P=0.0002) after 13 weeks of treatment, and only pramlintide 150 µg maintained "superiority" throughout one year (-0.6%; P=0.0068). In this trial, treatment with pramlintide was also associated with a significant baseline reduction in weight compared to placebo (P<0.05), and greater proportions of patients receiving pramlintide achieved an HbA_{1c} <7.0% (75 μ g, 13.4%; 150 μ g, 19.2%; placebo, 11.1%; *P* values not reported).¹⁶ In a third, 16 week trial, in addition to a significant baseline reduction in HbA_{1c} (-0.70 vs -0.36%; P<0.05), a significantly greater proportion of patients receiving pramlintide achieved the composite endpoint of HbA_{1c} ≤7.0% or an HbA_{1c} reduction from baseline ≥0.5%, mean daily post-prandial glucose increments ≤40 mg/dL, no weight gain, and no severe hypoglycemia compared to patients receiving placebo (25 vs 7%; P<0.001).¹⁴ Post-hoc analyses of these trials lasted up to one year and generally demonstrated sustained improvements in HbA_{1c}, weight loss, and the proportion of patients able to achieve an HbA_{1c} <7.0% with pramlintide.¹⁷⁻¹⁹



Page 3 of 31 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/19/2013



Table 4. Clinical Trials	le 4. Clinical Trials
--------------------------	-----------------------

Table 4. Clinical Trials	Study Dooign	Sampla Siza		
Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Type 1 Diabetes				
Edelman et al ⁶ Pramlintide 15 µg/meal SC, titrated to 60 µg/meal vs placebo All patients also received existing insulin regimens.	DB, MC, PC, RCT Type 1 diabetic patients <18 years of age with an HbA _{1c} 7.5 to 9.0%, intensely or continuously treated with insulin for the past year, and with no severe hypoglycemic event over the preceding 6 months	N=296 29 weeks	Primary: Safety Secondary: Change from baseline in HbA _{1c} , PPG concentrations, insulin, and weight; tolerability	 Primary: Both treatments resulted in a similar number of nonsevere hypoglycemic events. The event rate per patient years was 0.57 with pramlintide compared to 0.30 with placebo (<i>P</i><0.05). Secondary: Baseline HbA_{1c} was 8.1% with both treatments and at week 29 had decreased comparably (-0.50; 95% CI, -0.61 to -0.33 vs -0.50%; 95% CI, -0.63 to -0.35; <i>P</i> value not reported). Among pramlintide-treated patients, a significantly greater number were able to achieve a PPG concentration of 9.9 mmol/L at breakfast (68 vs 51%), lunch (71 vs 61%), and dinner (70 vs 58%; <i>P</i><0.0001 for each meal). At week 29 the total insulin dose with pramlintide decreased by -12% compared to an increase of 1% with placebo. Between weeks 0 through 29, the reduction in body weight was significant with pramlintide compared to placebo (<i>-</i>1.3 vs 1.2 kg; <i>P</i><0.0001). Reduced appetite, vomiting, and sinusitis occurred at twice the level with pramlintide compared to placebo (<i>P</i><0.01).
Whitehouse et al ⁷ Pramlintide 30 µg SC QID; after 20 weeks, patients receiving pramlintide who did not achieve an HbA _{1c} reduction ≥1.0% were re- randomized to either 30 or 60 µg SC QID vs	DB, PC, RCT Type 1 diabetic patients	N=480 52 weeks	Primary: Change from baseline HbA _{1c} Secondary: Change from baseline HbA _{1c} and body weight at weeks 13, 26, and 52	Primary: Significantly greater reductions in HbA _{1c} were observed with pramlintide (-0.39%) compared to placebo (-0.12%; P =0.0071) at 52 weeks. Secondary: Significantly greater reductions in HbA _{1c} with pramlintide were achieved at weeks 13 (-0.67 vs -0.16%; P <0.0001), 26 (-0.58 vs -0.18%; P =0.0001), and 52 (-0.39 vs -0.12%; P =0.0071). Pramlintide-treated patients had sustained reductions in body weight that were significantly different compared to placebo-treated patients (P <0.001) from week





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo All patients also received existing insulin regimens. Ratner et al ⁸ Pramlintide 60 µg SC TID or QID, or 90 µg SC TID vs placebo All patients also received existing insulin regimens.	DB, PC, RCT Type 1 diabetics	N=651 52 weeks	Primary: Change from baseline HbA _{1c} at week 26 Secondary: Change from baseline HbA _{1c} at week 52, proportion of patients achieving HbA _{1c} <7.0%, safety	 13 onward (data reported in graphical form only). The most commonly reported adverse events with pramlintide were nausea (46.5 vs 21.9%; <i>P</i> values not reported) and anorexia (17.7 vs 2.1%; <i>P</i> values not reported). Withdrawal due to adverse event(s) occurred in 31 (12.8%) and 19 (8.0%) pramlintide- and placebo-treated patients. Primary: Significantly greater reductions in HbA_{1c} were achieved with pramlintide 60 µg TID compared to placebo (-0.41 vs -0.18%; <i>P</i>=0.012) after 26 weeks. In addition, significantly greater reductions in HbA_{1c} were achieved with pramlintide 60 µg QID compared to placebo (-0.39 vs -0.18%; <i>P</i>=0.013). Secondary: Significantly greater reductions in HbA_{1c} were achieved with pramlintide 60 µg TID compared to placebo (-0.29 vs -0.04%; <i>P</i>=0.011) after 52 weeks. In addition, significantly greater reductions in HbA_{1c} were achieved with pramlintide 60 µg TID compared to placebo (-0.34 vs -0.04%; <i>P</i>=0.011). A threefold greater proportion of pramlintide-treated patients achieved HbA_{1c} <7.0% compared to placebo treated patients (<i>P</i> value not reported; data was reported in graphical form only). Pramlintide 90 µg was excluded from the analysis when results from a separate trial indicated the dose had an adverse tolerability profile. Patients originally randomized to this treatment continued to receive 90 µg to preserve the trial design. During the first four weeks of therapy, pramlintide-treated patients had a fourfold increase in severe hypoglycemic event rate compared to placebo-treated subjects (3.78 vs 0.87 events/year; no <i>P</i> value reported). The most commonly reported adverse event with pramlintide was nausea. Withdrawal due to adverse event(s) occurred in 38 (22.1%) patients receiving pramlintide 90 µg TID, 22 (13.7%)
Heptulla et al ⁹ Pramlintide 3 to 5 µg/hour	RCT Adolescents with	N=13 24 hours	Primary: PPG, glucagon, and insulin	 patients receiving pramlintide 60 µg QID, 32 (19.5%) patients receiving pramlintide 60 µg TID, and six (3.9%) patients receiving placebo. Primary: Postprandial hyperglycemia was reduced by 26% with pramlintide compared to placebo (<i>P</i><0.008).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
as a basal dose and insulin infusion (existing regimen was reduced by 30%) vs placebo All patients also received insulin infusion regimens.	type 1 diabetes mellitus on insulin pump therapy		concentrations Secondary: Not reported	Postprandial glucagon concentrations were suppressed with pramlintide compared to placebo (<i>P</i> <0.003). The plasma insulin concentrations were unchanged. Secondary: Not reported
Marrero et al ¹⁰ Pramlintide 15 µg SC with meals, titrated to 60 µg SC with meals vs placebo All patients also received existing insulin regimens.	Post hoc analysis Type 1 diabetic patients who completed a 29 week DB, non inferiority, dose- finding pramlintide trial	N=266 29 weeks	Primary: Patient response to satisfaction questionnaire Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: For the following topics the survey ratings favored pramlintide: Study medication (1) "made my blood glucose control more even or predictable," (2) "provided me with more flexibility in what I can eat," (3) "made it easier to control my weight," and (4) "made it easier to control my appetite" (<i>P</i><0.05 for all). There was no difference between treatments in the response to the following statements: Study medication (1) "made it easier to avoid low blood sugar reactions (hypoglycemia)" and (2) "I would like to continue taking the study medication" (<i>P</i> value not significant). Secondary: Not reported
Ratner et al ¹¹ Pramlintide vs placebo All patients also received existing insulin regimens.	MA (3 trials) Type 1 diabetic patients with HbA _{1c} 7.0 to 8.5%	N=477 26 weeks	Primary: Change from baseline in HbA _{1c} and body weight, adverse events (hypoglycemia) Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Significant baseline reductions in HbA _{1c} (-0.3%) and body weight (-1.8 kg) at end point were achieved with pramlintide (<i>P</i> <0.0009 for both). The risk of severe hypoglycemia was 1.40 with pramlintide compared to 1.86 with placebo. Secondary: Not reported





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Type 2 Diabetes				
Karl et al ¹² Pramlintide 120 μg SC with meals (either TID or BID) All patients also received existing insulin regimens.	MC, OL Type 2 diabetics >18 years of age currently receiving insulin therapy with or without oral antidiabetics, and HbA _{1c} >7.0 to <11.0%	N=166 12 months (all results reported at 6 months)	Primary: Change from baseline in HbA _{1c} , FPG, PPG, body weight, and insulin; safety Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Pramlintide resulted in significant HbA _{1c} reductions at months three and six (-0.66 and -0.56%; P <0.05). At some point during the initial six months after initiating therapy, 28.1% of the patients who had a baseline HbA _{1c} >7.0% achieved an HbA _{1c} <7.0%. Compared to baseline, both fasting and PPG concentrations were significantly reduced (P <0.05). Significant baseline reductions in weight were noted at months three and six (-2.3 and -2.8 kg; P <0.05). At months three and six, mealtime and total insulin doses remained significantly lower compared to baseline (P <0.05). Nausea (29.5%), vomiting (7.2%), and diarrhea (5.4%) were the most commonly reported adverse events. There was an overall incidence of 12% for hypoglycemia, with two patients experiencing severe hypoglycemia during the six month treatment period. Secondary: Not reported
Singh-Franco et al ¹³ Pramlintide 120 to 150 µg SC BID or TID with meals	MA (8 trials) Type 2 diabetic patients (4 trials) and obese patients without diabetes (4 trials)	N=1,616 6 to 52 weeks	Primary: Change from baseline in HbA _{1c} Secondary: Likelihood of achieving HbA _{1c} ≤7.0%; change from baseline in FPG, PPG, and weight	Primary: Pooled analysis revealed that compared to placebo, pramlintide was associated with a baseline reduction in HbA _{1c} of -0.33% (<i>P</i> =0.0004). Secondary: After 52 weeks, pramlintide-treated patients were 1.52 times (95% CI, 0.83 to 2.78) more likely to achieve an HbA _{1c} \leq 7.0% compared to placebo treated patients; however, this difference was not significant (<i>P</i> =0.18). Treatment with pramlintide was associated with a reduction from baseline in FPG of -6.34 mg/dL (95% CI, -24.96 to 12.28) over 24 weeks of treatment, but the difference was not significant (<i>P</i> =0.50).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Riddle et al ¹⁴ Pramlintide 60 µg SC BID or TID with meals, titrated to 120 µg SC vs placebo All patients also received existing insulin regimens.	Demographics DB, MC, PC, RCT Type 2 diabetics 25 to 75 years of age not achieving adequate glycemic control with insulin glargine (no mealtime insulin), with or without oral antidiabetic therapy, and an HbA _{1c} >7.0 to 10.5% and BMI 25 to 45 kg/m ²	N=212 16 weeks	Primary: Change from baseline HbA _{1c} at week 16, proportion of patients meeting all of the following prespecified criteria at week 16: HbA _{1c} ≤7.0% or an HbA _{1c} baseline reduction ≥0.5%, mean daily PPG increments ≤40 mg/dL, no weight gain, and no severe hypoglycemia Secondary: Individual components of	Treatment with pramlintide was associated with a reduction from baseline in PPG of -7.20 mg/dL (95% CI, -40.12 to 25.75) over 24 weeks of treatment, but the difference was not significant (<i>P</i> =0.67). Pramlintide was associated with a significant change in body weight in patients with type 2 diabetes compared to placebo (-2.21 kg; <i>P</i> <0.00001). Primary: Pramlintide-treated patients experienced significantly greater baseline reductions in HbA _{1c} at week 16 compared to placebo –treated patients (-0.70 vs -0.36%; <i>P</i> <0.05). At week 16, significantly more pramlintide-treated patients achieved the composite end point compared to placebo-treated patients (25 vs 7%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary: The proportion of patients who achieved an HbA _{1c} ≤7.0% or who had a reduction in HbA _{1c} ≥0.5% was not different between pramlintide and placebo (54 vs 45%; <i>P</i> value not reported). Significantly more pramlintide-treated patients achieved mean PPG increments ≤40 mg/dL (<i>P</i> <0.0001) and did not experience weight gain (<i>P</i> <0.0001) compared to placebo-treated patients (<i>P</i> <0.005), more patients reached the HbA _{1c} goal without weight gain (<i>P</i> <0.0001), and more patients had well controlled PPG without weight gain (<i>P</i> <0.0001). The proportion of patients achieving an HbA _{1c} ≤7.0 or ≤6.5% was 23 and 11% with pramlintide compared to 13 and 4% with placebo, respectively (<i>P</i> values not
			the composite endpoint; proportion of patients	The insulin glargine dosage increased steadily throughout the trial. The mean increase in insulin glargine dosage at week 16 was 11.7±1.9 and 13.1±1.6 units





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Hollander et al ¹⁵ Pramlintide 60, 90, or 120 µg SC BID vs placebo All patients also received existing insulin regimens. Data for patients randomized to pramlintide 60 µg SC BID are not reported.	DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT Type 2 diabetics >18 years of age requiring insulin therapy for ≥ 6 months prior to trial initiation with an HbA _{1c} $\ge 8.0\%$, and without hypoglycemia in the 2 weeks preceding the trial	N=656 12 months	achieving HbA _{1c} ≤7.0 or ≤6.5%; changes from baseline to each time point in HbA _{1c} , seven-point glucose profiles, PPG increments, FPG, weight, and insulin glargine dose Primary: Change from baseline in HbA _{1c} at week 26 Secondary: Absolute change in HbA _{1c} at other time points, proportion of patients who achieved an HbA _{1c} <7.0 or <8.0%	with pramlintide and placebo, respectively (<i>P</i> value not reported). The average change from baseline in FPG was -28.3 and -12.0 mg/dL at week 16 with pramlintide and placebo, respectively (<i>P</i> value not reported). At week 16, PPG was significantly decreased from baseline with pramlintide compared to placebo (-24.4 vs -0.4 mg/dL; <i>P</i> <0.0001). By week 16, pramlintide was associated with weight loss compared to weight gain with placebo (-1.6 vs 0.7 kg; <i>P</i> <0.0001) By the end of treatment, 68% of pramlintide-treated patients had lost weight compared to approximately 35% of placebo-treated patients (<i>P</i> <0.0001). Primary: After 26 weeks, pramlintide 120 µg was associated with a significant reduction in HbA _{1c} compared to placebo (-0.68; <i>P</i> <0.05), but no difference in the baseline reduction of HbA _{1c} was reported between the pramlintide 90 µg and placebo (- 0.54%; <i>P</i> value not reported). Secondary: After 52 weeks, pramlintide 120 µg was associated with a significant baseline reduction in HbA _{1c} compared to placebo (-0.62; <i>P</i> <0.05), but no difference in the baseline reduction of HbA _{1c} was reported between pramlintide 90 µg and placebo (-0.35%; <i>P</i> value not reported). More patients receiving pramlintide (either dose) achieved an HbA _{1c} <7.0% compared to patients receiving placebo (9.4 and 12.2 vs 4.1%, respectively; <i>P</i> value not reported). Similarly, 42.4, 45.7, and 27.6% of patients receiving pramlintide 90 µg, pramlintide 120 µg, and placebo, respectively, achieved an HbA _{1c} <8.0% (<i>P</i> value not reported).
Ratner et al ¹⁶ Pramlintide 30, 75, or 150 µg TID vs	DB, PC, RCT Type 2 diabetic patients	N=538 52 weeks	Primary: Change in baseline HbA _{1c} and body weight at weeks 13, 26, and 52	Primary: Significantly greater reductions in HbA _{1c} were achieved with pramlintide 75 μ g compared to placebo (-0.9%; <i>P</i> =0.0004) after 13 weeks. In addition, HbA _{1c} was significantly lower for the majority of the study periods with the exception of week 52 (<i>P</i> value not reported).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo All patients also received existing insulin regimens.			Secondary: Proportion of patients achieving HbA _{1c} <7.0 or 8.0%, relative change of insulin use, safety	Significantly greater reductions in HbA _{1c} were achieved with pramlintide 150 µg compared to placebo (-1.0%; P =0.0002). After 13 weeks, HbA _{1c} remained significantly lower for the rest of the trial (-0.6%; P =0.0068). Reductions in HbA _{1c} with pramlintide 30 µg were not different compared to placebo at any point during the trial. Significant baseline reductions (P <0.05) in body weight were achieved with all pramlintide doses throughout the trial when compared to placebo. Secondary: The proportions of patients achieving an HbA _{1c} <7.0% were 12.7, 13.4, and 19.2% in patients receiving pramlintide 30, 75, and 150 µg compared to 11.1% in patients receiving placebo (P values not reported). The proportions of patients achieving an HbA _{1c} <8.0% were 45.1, 46.4, and 54.0% in patients receiving pramlintide 30, 75, and 150 µg compared to 37.6% in patients receiving pramlintide 30, 75, and 150 µg compared to 37.6% in patients receiving pramlintide 30, 75, and 150 µg compared to 37.6% in patients receiving pramlintide 30, 75, and 150 µg compared to 37.6% in patients receiving pramlintide 30, 75, and 150 µg compared to 37.6% in patients receiving placebo (P values not reported).
Hollander et al ¹⁷ Pramlintide 120 µg SC BID vs	Post hoc analysis Type 2 diabetic patients who completed a 26 or 52 week, DB, PC,	N=186 26 and 52 weeks	Primary: Change in baseline HbA _{1c} , body weight, insulin use, and the rate of severe	The most commonly reported adverse event with pramlintide was nausea. Primary: At week 26, the difference in HbA _{1c} baseline reduction with pramlintide compared to placebo was- 0.43% (<i>P</i> < 0.0009). The proportion of patients who achieved an HbA _{1c} <7.0% at week 26 was 14% in the pramlintide group compared to 2% in the placebo group (<i>P</i> value was not reported).
placebo All patients also received existing insulin regimens.	RCT		hypoglycemia at week 26; safety Secondary: Not reported	At week 26, the difference in weight baseline reduction with pramlintide compared to placebo was 2 kg (<i>P</i> <0.0003). No significant change in insulin dose or the number of insulin injections was noted between the treatments (<i>P</i> value not reported).





		Duration	End Points	Results
				At week 26, no significant difference was noted between the treatments in rates of severe hypoglycemia as reported in event rate per subject year (0.13 vs 0.19; <i>P</i> value not reported). No serious adverse events were reported with either treatment. Secondary: Not reported
Pramlintide 120 µg SC BID or 150 µg SC TID vs placebo All patients also received	Post hoc analysis Type 2 diabetic patients who completed a 52 week, DB, PC, RCT	N=410 52 weeks	Primary: Change in baseline in HbA _{1c} and weight at week 52, safety Secondary: Not reported	 Primary: A significantly greater baseline reduction in HbA_{1c} was achieved with pramlintide compared to placebo at week 52 (<i>P</i><0.0001). This result was seen across the following ethnic groups: African Americans (-0.7%), Caucasians (-0.5%), and Hispanics (-0.3%). A significant baseline reduction in body weight was achieved with pramlintide compared to placebo at week 52 (-2.6 kg; <i>P</i><0.0001). Nausea was more common with pramlintide, and hypoglycemia was reported to a aimilar extent with both transmente.
Pramlintide 120 µg BID	Post hoc analysis Type 2 diabetic patients who completed a 26 or 52 week, DB, PC, RCT	N=498 26 and 52 weeks	Primary: Change in baseline HbA _{1c} , insulin dose, and body weight Secondary: Not reported	similar extent with both treatments. Secondary: Not reported Primary: At week 26, mean baseline reductions in HbA _{1c} with pramlintide compared to placebo (-0.59 vs -0.18%; <i>P</i> <0.0001). There was no difference in the change in total daily insulin requirements between the two treatments. At week 26, pramlintide-treated patients achieved a significant baseline reduction in weight compared to placebo (-1.5 vs 0.3 kg; <i>P</i> <0.0001). Secondary:

Drug regimen abbreviations: BID=twice-daily, QID=four times daily, SC=subcutaneous, TID=three times daily





Study abbreviations: CI=confidence interval, DB=double-blind, MA=meta-analysis, MC=multicenter, OL=open label, PC=placebo-controlled, PG=parallel-group, RCT=randomized controlled trial Miscellaneous abbreviation: BMI=body mass index, FPG=fasting plasma glucose, HbA_{1c}=glycosylated hemoglobin A_{1c}, PPG=post-prandial glucose





Special Populations

Table 5. Special Populations¹

Generic	Population and Precaution					
Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk	
Pramlintide	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly.	No dosage adjustment required.	No dosage adjustment required.	С	Unknown; use with caution.	
	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.					

Adverse Drug Events

Table 6. Adverse Drug Events (%)¹

Adverse Event	Pramlintide*
Central Nervous System	
Dizziness	2 to 6
Fatigue	3 to 7
Headache	5 to 13
Gastrointestinal	
Abdominal pain	2 to 8
Anorexia	0 to 17
Nausea	28 to 48
Vomiting	7 to 11
Respiratory	
Coughing	2 to 6
Pharyngitis	3 to 5
Other	
Allergic reaction	<1 to 6
Arthralgia	2 to 7
Inflicted injury	8 to 14
Severe hypoglycemia (medically assisted)	0.4 to 7.3
Severe hypoglycemia (patient-ascertained)	0.6 to 16.8

*In combination with insulin therapy.

Contraindications/Precautions

Table 7. Contraindications¹

Contraindication(s)	Pramlintide
Gastroparesis	✓
Hypersensitivity	✓
Hypoglycemia unawareness	✓

Table 8. Warnings and Precautions¹

Warning(s) and Precaution(s)	Pramlintide
Hypoglycemia; therapy does not cause hypoglycemia; however, when therapy is used in combination with insulin, the risk of insulin-induced severe hypoglycemia can be increased, particularly in type 1 diabetics	>
Patient selection; consider therapy in patients with insulin-using type 2 or type 1 diabetes who fulfill the following criteria: have failed to achieve adequate glycemic control despite individualized insulin management, and are receiving ongoing	~





Warning(s) and Precaution(s)	Pramlintide
care under the guidance of a healthcare professional skilled in the use of insulin	
and supported by the services of diabetes educators	
Patient selection; do not administer therapy to patients who fulfill any of the following criteria: poor compliance with current insulin regimen, poor compliance with prescribed self-blood glucose monitoring, glycosylated hemoglobin >9.0%, recurrent severe hypoglycemia requiring assistance during the past six months, presence of hypoglycemia unawareness, confirmed diagnosis of gastroparesis, require the use of drugs that stimulate gastrointestinal motility, and pediatric patients	~
Pramlintide and insulin should always be administered as separate injections and never mixed	v
Prescribe with caution in patients with visual or dexterity impairment	✓

Black Box Warning for Symlin[®], SymlinPen[®] (pramlintide)²

Pramlintide is used with insulin and has been associated with an increased risk of insulin-induced severe hypoglycemia, particularly in patients with type 1 diabetes. When severe hypoglycemia associated with pramlintide use occurs, it is seen within three hours following a pramlintide injection. If severe hypoglycemia occurs while operating a motor vehicle, heavy machinery, or while engaging in other high-risk activities, serious injuries may occur. Appropriate patient selection, careful patient instruction, and insulin dose adjustments are critical elements for reducing this risk.

WARNING

Drug Interactions

There are no significant drug interactions associated with the amylin analogs.²

Dosage and Administration

Table 9. Dosing and Administration¹

Generic Name	Usual Adult Dose	Usual Pediatric Dose	Availability
Pramlintide	<u>Type 1 diabetes, as an adjunct treatment in patients</u> who use mealtime insulin therapy and who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy:	Safety and efficacy in children have not been	Multi-dose Pen: 1,000 µg/mL*
	Multi-dose pen, vial: initial, 15 µg SC immediately prior to major meals; maintenance, 30 to 60 µg SC immediately prior to major meals	established.	Vial: 600 µg/mL (5 mL)
	<u>Type 2 diabetes, as an adjunct treatment in patients</u> who use mealtime insulin therapy and who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy, with or without a concurrent		
	sulfonylurea agent and/or metformin: Multi-dose pen, vial: Initial, 60 µg SC immediately prior to major meals; maintenance, 60 to 120 µg SC immediately prior to major meals		

*Available in two sizes. The SymlinPen[®] 60 (1.5 mL) should be used for doses of 15, 30, 45 and 60 μg. The SymlinPen[®] 120 (2.7 mL) should be used for doses of 60 and 120 μg.

SC=subcutaneous





Clinical Guidelines

Current clinical guidelines are summarized in Table 10. Please note that guidelines addressing the treatment of type 1 and 2 diabetes are presented globally, addressing the role of various medication classes.

Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
American Diabetes	Current criteria for the diagnosis of diabetes
Association: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes (2012) ²⁰	• The following are the criteria for a diagnosis of diabetes: glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA _{1c}) ≥6.5%, or a fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ≥126 mg/dL, or a two-hour plasma glucose ≥200 mg/dL during an oral glucose tolerance test or patients with classic symptoms of hyperglycemia, or classic symptoms of hyperglycemia or hyperglycemic crisis (random plasma glucose ≥200 mg/dL).
	 Prevention/delay of type 2 diabetes An ongoing support program for weight loss of 7% of body weight and an increase in physical activity to ≥150 minutes/week of moderate activity, should be encouraged in patients with impaired glucose tolerance, impaired fasting glucose, or an HbA_{1c} 5.7 to 6.4%. Metformin therapy for prevention of type 2 diabetes may be considered in patients with impaired glucose tolerance, impaired fasting glucose tolerance, impaired fasting glucose tolerance, impaired fasting glucose, or an HbA_{1c} 5.7 to 6.7%, especially for those with a body mass index >35 kg/m², age <60 years, and women with prior gestational diabetes mellitus.
	 <u>Glycemic goals in adults</u> Lowering HbA_{1c} to below or around 7.0% has been shown to reduce microvascular complications of diabetes, and if implemented soon after the diagnosis of diabetes is associated with long term reduction in macrovascular disease. A reasonable HbA_{1c} goal for many nonpregnant adults is <7.0%. It may be reasonable for providers to suggest more stringent HbA_{1c} goals (<6.5%) for selected patients, if this can be achieved without significant hypoglycemia or other adverse events of treatment. Such patients may include those with short duration of diabetes, long life expectancy, and no significant cardiovascular disease. Conversely, less stringent HbA_{1c} goals (<8.0%) may be appropriate for patients with a history of severe hypoglycemia, limited life expectancy, advanced microvascular or macrovascular complications, extensive comorbid conditions, and those with longstanding diabetes in whom the general goal is difficult to attain despite diabetes self-management education, appropriate glucose monitoring, and effective doses of multiple glucose-lowering agents including insulin. Pharmacologic and overall approaches to treatment-type 1 diabetes Recommended therapy consists of the following components: Use of multiple dose insulin injections (three to four injections per day of basal and pre-prandial insulin) or continuous subcutaneous (SC) insulin infusion therapy. Matching prandial insulin to carbohydrate intake, pre-meal blood glucose, and anticipated activity. For many patients, use of insulin analogs.

Table 10. Clinical Guidelines





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	Pharmacologic and overall approaches to treatment-type 2 diabetes
	• At the time of diagnosis, initiate metformin therapy along with lifestyle interventions, unless metformin is contraindicated.
	 In newly diagnosed patients with markedly symptomatic and/or elevated blood glucose levels or HbA_{1c}, consider insulin therapy, with or without additional agents, from the onset.
	 If noninsulin monotherapy at maximal tolerated dose does not achieve or maintain the HbA_{1c} target over three to six months, add a second oral agent, a glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist, or insulin.
American Diabetes Association/ European Association for the Study of Diabetes: Management of Hyperglycemia in Type 2 Diabetes: A Patient-Centered Approach (2012) ⁵	 agent, a glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist, or insulin. Key points Glycemic targets and glucose-lowering therapies must be individualized. Diet, exercise, and education remain the foundation of any type 2 diabetes treatment program. Unless there are prevalent contraindications, metformin is the optimal first line drug. After metformin, there are limited data to guide treatment decisions. Combination therapy with an additional one to two oral or injectable agents is reasonable, aiming to minimize adverse events where possible. Ultimately, many patients will require insulin therapy alone or in combination with other agents to maintain glucose control. All treatment decisions, where possible, should be made in conjunction with the patient, focusing on his/her preferences, needs, and values. Comprehensive cardiovascular risk reduction must be a major focus of therapy. Initial drug therapy It is generally agreed that metformin, if not contraindicated and if tolerated, is the preferred and most cost-effective first agent. Metformin should be initiated at, or soon after, diagnosis, especially in patients in whom lifestyle intervention alone has not achieved, or is unlikely to achieve, HbA_{1c} goals. Patients with high baseline HbA_{1c} (e.g., ≥9.0%) have a low probability of achieving a near-normal target with monotherapy; therefore, it may be justified to start directly with a combination of two on-insulin agents or with insulin itself in this circumstance. If a patient presents with significant hyperglycemic symptoms and/or has dramatically elevated plasma glucose concentrations or HbA_{1c} (e.g., ≥10.0 to 12.0%), insulin therapy should be strongly considered from the outset. Such therapy is mandatory when catabolic features are exhibited or, of course, if ketonuria is demonstrated, the latter reflecting profound insulin de
	event, potential for weight gain, and hypoglycemia should play a major role





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations					
	in drug selection.					
	Advancing to dual combination therapy					
	 If monotherapy alone does not achieve/maintain HbA_{1c} target over approximately three months, the next step would be to add a second oral agent, a GLP-1 receptor agonist or basal insulin. Notably the higher the HbA_{1c}, the more likely insulin will be required. On average, any second agent is typically associated with an approximate further reduction in HbA_{1c} of approximately 1.0%. If no clinically meaningful glycemic reduction is demonstrated, then adherence having been investigated, that agent should be discontinued, and another with a different mechanism of action substituted. Uniform recommendations on the best agent to be combined with metformin cannot be made, thus advantages and disadvantages of specific drugs for each patient should be considered. It remains important to avoid unnecessary weight gain by optimal medication selection and dose titration. For all medications, consideration should also be given to overall tolerability. 					
	Advancing to t			of adding a t	hird non-insi	ilin agent to
	 Some trials have shown advantages of adding a third non-insulin agent to a two drug combination that is not yet or no longer achieving the glycemic target. However, the most robust response will usually be with insulin. Many patients, especially those with long standing disease, will eventually need to be transitioned to insulin, which should be favored in circumstances where the degree of hyperglycemia (e.g., HbA_{1c} ≥8.5%) makes it unlikely that another drug will be of sufficient benefit. In using triple combinations the essential consideration is to use agents with complementary mechanisms of action. 					
			of drugs heigh			
	and drug-drug interactions which can negatively impact patient adherence. Anti-hyperglycemia Therapy in Type 2 Diabetes: General Recommendations					
	Initial Drug			Metformin		
	Monotherapy Efficacy			High		
	(↓HbA _{1c}) Hypoglycemia			Low risk		
	Weight		N	Veutral/loss		
	Adverse		Gastrointe	estinal/lactic aci	dosis	
	If needed to re	events If needed to reach individualized HbA _{1c} target after approximately three months, proceed to				
	two drug combination therapy (order not meant to denote any specific preference) Two Drug Metformin Metformin Metformin					
	Combin-	+	+	+	+	+
	ations	sulfonylurea	thia- zolidinedione	DPP-4 inhibitor	GLP-1 receptor	insulin (usually
			(TZD)		agonist	basal)
	Efficacy (↓HbA _{1c})	High	High	Inter- mediate	High	Highest
	Hypoglycemia	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk
	Weight	Gain	Gain	Neutral	Loss	Gain





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations					
	Major adverse	Hypo-	Oedema, heart	Rare	Gastro-	Hypo-
	events	glycemia	failure, bone fracture		intestinal	glycemia
			ed HbA _{1c} target after			
	Three Drug	Metformin	erapy (order not me Metformin	Metformin	Metformin	Metformin
	Combin-	+	+	+	+	+
	ations	sulfonylurea	TZD	DPP-4	GLP-1	insulin
		÷	+	inhibitor	receptor	therapy
				+	agonist	+
		TZD, DDP-4	Sulfonylurea,	Sulfonyl-		TZD,
		inhibitor,	or DPP-4	urea, TZD,	urea, TZD,	DPP-4
		GLP-1	inhibitor, GLP-1	or insulin	or insulin	inhibitor,
		receptor	receptor			or GLP-1
		agonist, or	agonist, or			receptor
	If combination	insulin therapy that in	insulin cludes basal insuli	n has failed to a	achieve HhA t	agonist arget after
			a more complex ir			
		<i>,</i> ,	one or two non-ins		,	
	More		Insulin (n	nultiple daily do	ses)	
	Complex Insulin					
	Strategies					
American College of		nacologic th	erapy in patient	s with type 2	2 diabetes sh	nould be
Physicians:			nodifications, in			
Oral Pharmacologic		•	equately improv	-		5
Treatment of Type 2			formin for initial			is
Diabetes Mellitus			most patients v	•		
(2012) ²¹			•	••		n to natients
. ,	 It is recommended that a second agent be added to metformin to patient with persistent hyperglycemia when lifestyle modifications and monotherapy with metformin fail to control hyperglycemia. 					
						4
American Association	Antihyperglyce			naonnyporg	iyoonna.	
of Clinical			utic agents shou	ild be based	l on their diff	ferina
Endocrinologists:			adverse event			
Medical Guidelines			of Clinical Endo			
for Clinical Practice			es Algorithm for			Jollege of
for Developing a						mollituo
Diabetes Mellitus	Insulin should be considered for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus					
Comprehensive		when noninsulin antihyperglycemic therapy fails to achieve target glycemic				
Care Plan (2011) ²²		control or when a patient, whether drug naïve or not, has symptomatic hyperglycemia.				
					مايير برما ام مريد	the states of
			ents may be bro			
			PG or postprar			
			/e; drugs acting			
			assively reduce		nese broad (categories
			decision-making			
	• TZDs and sulfonylureas are examples of oral agents primarily affecting FPG. Metformin and incretin enhancers (DPP-4 inhibitors) also favorably affect FPG.					
						o favorably
			s indicated in pa	atients with	type 2 diabe	tes to target
	 When insulin therapy is indicated in patients with type 2 diabetes to ta FPG, therapy with long-acting basal insulin should be the initial choice most cases; insulin analogues glargine and detemir are preferred over intermediate-acting neutral protamine Hagedorn (NPH) because they 					
	associated with less hypoglycemia.					
			agent targeting	FPG or PP	G involves	
			t assessment w			e alvcemic
			-monitoring of I			- 3.,
	<u>F. Child 300</u>			giacou		





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Clinical Guideline	 Recommendations When postprandial hyperglycemia is present, glinides and/or α-glucosidase inhibitors, short- or rapid-acting insulin, and metformin should be considered. Incretin-based therapy (DPP-4 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists) also target postprandial hyperglycemia in a glucose-dependent fashion, which reduces the risks of hypoglycemia. When control of postprandial hyperglycemia is needed and insulin is indicated, rapid-acting insulin analogues are preferred over regular human insulin because they have a more rapid onset and offset of action and are associated with less hypoglycemia. Pramlintide can be used as an adjunct to prandial insulin therapy to reduce postprandial hyperglycemia, HbA_{1c}, and weight. Premixed insulin analogue therapy may be considered for patients in whom adherence to a drug regimen is an issue; however, these preparations lack component dosage flexibility and may increase the risk for hypoglycemia compared to basal insulin or basal-bolus insulin. Basal-bolus insulin therapy is flexible and is recommended for intensive insulin therapy.
	 Intensification of pharmacotherapy requires glucose monitoring and medication adjustment at appropriate intervals when treatment goals are not achieved or maintained.
	 Most patients with an initial HbA_{1c} level >7.5% will require combination therapy using agents with complementary mechanisms of action.
American Association	Principles underlying the algorithm
of Clinical Endocrinologists/ American College of Endocrinology: Statement by an American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/ American College of Endocrinology Consensus Panel on Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: An Algorithm for Glycemic Control (2009) ²³	 Lifestyle (dietary and exercise) modifications are essential for all patients with diabetes. Achieving an HbA_{1c} 6.5% is recommended as the primary goal; however, the goal must be customized for individual patients. If glycemic goals are not achieved, dosages of medications can be titrated, regimens can be changed (add or discontinue medications), or, in certain instances, glycemic goals can be reconsidered and revised. When using combination therapy it is important to have medications that have complementary mechanisms of action. Effectiveness of therapy must be re-evaluated frequently, typically every two to three months. Stratification by current HbA_{1c} Patients with an HbA_{1c} <7.5% may be able to achieve a goal of 6.5% with monotherapy; however, if monotherapy fails to achieve this goal, the usual progression is to combination therapy, and then to triple therapy. Insulin therapy, with or without additional agents, should be initiated if goals still fail to be achieved. Patients with an HbA_{1c} 7.6 to 9.0% should be initiated on combination therapy as monotherapy fails, triple therapy and then insulin therapy, with or without additional agents, should be administered. Patients with an HbA_{1c} >9.0% have a small possibility of achieving glycemic goals, even with combination therapy. In these patients if they are asymptomatic triple therapy based on a combination of metformin and an incretin mimetic or a DPP-4 inhibitor combined with either a sulfonylurea or a TZD should be initiated. If patients are symptomatic or if they have failed therapy with similar agents, insulin therapy with or without additional oral agents, should be initiated.





 Management of patients with a HbA₁₅ 6.5 to 7.5% In these patients monotherapy with metformin, an α-glucosidase inhibitor, a DPP-4 inhibitor, or a TZD are recommended. Because of the established safety and efficacy of metformin, it is the connerstone of monotherapy. If monotherapy, even after appropriate inhibit choice for monotherapy. If monotherapy, even after appropriate indication therapy for most patients. When contraindicated, a TZD may be used as the foundation for combination therapy of most patients. When contraindicated, a TZD may be used as the foundation for combination therapy options. Due to the mechanism of action (insulin sensitizer) of metformin and TZDs, it is recommended that the second agent in combination therapy points. The GLP-1 receptor agonists (incretin mimetics) and DPP-4 inhibitors are associated with less hypoglycemia compared to the secretagogue. Despite the gastrointestinal adverse events, dosing frequency and injection-based therapy, the GLP-1 receptor agonists are preferred due to its greater effectiveness in reducing PPG exursions (relative to the DPP-4 inhibitor) and the potential for weight loss. Combination metformin and TZD therapy is efficacious but carries risks of adverse events associated with bes of metformin and a colleces/agoing tadministration. The combination therapy polycemia and greater flexibility in timing of administration. The combination therapy regimes are considered: Metformin + GLP-1 receptor agonists are preferred tue to the maximally effective dose then triple therapy should be initiated. The following triple therapy regimens are considered: Metformin + GLP-1 receptor agonists are preferred tue to its greater effectivenes in reducing PPG exclusions (relative to the DP-4 inhibitor) of collesevelan to lower lipid profiles. M	Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
 In these patients monotherapy with metformin, an c-glucosidase inhibitor, a DPP-4 inhibitor, or a TZD are recommended. Because of the established safety and efficacy of metformin, it is the cornerstone of monotherapy and is usually the most appropriate linital choice for monotherapy. If monotherapy, even after appropriate dosage titration, is unsuccessful in achieving glycemic goals combination therapy should be initiated. Because of the established safety and efficacy of metformin, it is considered the cornerstone of combination therapy for most patients. When contraindicated, a TZD may be used as the foundation for combination therapy potions. Due to the mechanism of action (insulin sensitizer) of metformin and TZDs, it is recommended that the second agent in combination therapy be an incretin mimetic, DPP-4 inhibitor, or a secretagogue (glinide or sulforylurea). The GLP-1 receptor agonists (incretin mimetics) and DPP-4 inhibitors are associated with less hypoglycemia compared to the secretagogue. Despite the gastrointestinal adverse events, dosing frequency and injection-based therapy, the GLP-1 receptor agonists are preferred due to its greater effectiveness in reducing PPG excursions (relative to the DP-4 inhibitors) and the potential for weight loss. Combination metformin and TZD therapy is efficacious but carries risks of adverse events associated with bot agents. The combination is recommended with a higher priority than a secretagogue because of a lower risk of hypoglycemia and greater flexibility of colsevaliam to lower lipid profiles. If combination therapy fails after each medication has been itrated to its maximally effective dose then triph therapy solutide in that algorithm because of the rashety and therapy ragonist + sulfonylurea. Metformin + DP-4 inhibitor + sulfonylurea. Metformin + DP-4 inhibitor + sulfonylurea. Metformin + DP-4 inhibitor + sulfonylur		
 glucagon secretion in a glucose-dependent manner after consumption of means resulting in increased satiety and delayed gastric emptying. The third component of triple therapy is recommended in order to minimize the risk of hypoglycemia. The combination with metformin, especially when combined with an incretin mimetic, may counteract the weight gain often associated with glinides, sulfonylureas, and TZDs. When triple therapy fails to achieve glycemic goals, insulin therapy is 	Clinical Guideline	 In these patients monotherapy with metformin, an α-glucosidase inhibitor, a DPP-4 inhibitor, or a TZD are recommended. Because of the established safety and efficacy of metformin, it is the cornerstone of monotherapy and is usually the most appropriate initial choice for monotherapy. If monotherapy, even after appropriate dosage titration, is unsuccessful in achieving glycemic goals combination therapy should be initiated. Because of the established safety and efficacy of metformin, it is considered the cornerstone of combination therapy for most patients. When contraindicated, a TZD may be used as the foundation for combination therapy options. Due to the mechanism of action (insulin sensitizer) of metformin and TZDs, it is recommended that the second agent in combination therapy be an incretin mimetic, DPP-4 inhibitor, or a secretagogue (glinide or sulfonylurea). The GLP-1 receptor agonists (incretin mimetics) and DPP-4 inhibitors are associated with less hypoglycemia compared to the secretagogues. Despite the gastrointestinal adverse events, dosing frequency and injection-based therapy, the GLP-1 receptor agonists are preferred due to its greater effectiveness in reducing PPG excursions (relative to the DPP-4 inhibitors) and the potential for weight loss. Combination metformin and TZD therapy is efficacious but carries risks of adverse events associated with both agents. The combination is recommended with a higher priority than a secretagogue because of a lower risk of hypoglycemia and greater flexibility in timing of administration. The combination therapy regimens are considered: Metformin + GLP-1 receptor agonist + TZD. Metformin + GLP-1 receptor agonist + sulfonylurea. Metformin + GLP-1 receptor agonist + glinide. Metformin + GLP-1 receptor agonist + glinide. Metformin + GLP-1 receptor ago
 The third component of triple therapy is recommended in order to minimize the risk of hypoglycemia. The combination with metformin, especially when combined with an incretin mimetic, may counteract the weight gain often associated with glinides, sulfonylureas, and TZDs. When triple therapy fails to achieve glycemic goals, insulin therapy is 		• The GLP-1 receptor agonist, exenatide, is the second preferred component of triple therapy because of its safety (low risk of hypoglycemia) and its potential for inducing weight loss. It also inhibits glucagon secretion in a glucose-dependent manner after consumption of
glinides, sulfonylureas, and TZDs.When triple therapy fails to achieve glycemic goals, insulin therapy is		 The third component of triple therapy is recommended in order to minimize the risk of hypoglycemia. The combination with metformin, especially when combined with an
needed.		glinides, sulfonylureas, and TZDs.









 For patients who are asymptomatic, particularly with a relatively recent onset of diabetes, there is a good chance that some endogenous β-cell function exists; implying that combination or triple therapy may be sufficient. The following combination and triple therapy regimens are considered: Metformin + GLP-1 receptor agonist. Metformin + DPP-4 inhibitor. Metformin + DPP-4 inhibitor. Metformin + DPP-4 inhibitor + sulfonylurea. Metformin + T2D. Metformin + T2D. Metformin + DPP-4 inhibitor + sulfonylurea. Metformin + T2D. Metformin + DPP-4 inhibitor + T2D. Metformin + DPP-4 inhibitor + T2D. Metformin again provides the foundation of treatment in these patients. An incretin-based therapy can be added with a GLP-1 receptor agonist being preferred due to its greater effectiveness at controlling post-prandia glycernia and its potential for inducing weight loss. However the DPP-4 inhibitors in combination with metformin have also demonstrated a robust benefit for drug-naive patients in this HbA₁₋ range. A sulfonylurea or a T2D can also be added, with a sulfonylurea being preferred because of its somewhat greater efficacy and more rapid onset of action. If patients are symptomatic (polydipsia, polyuria, weight loss) or if they have already failed the aforementioned treatment regimens, insulin therapy should be initiated without delay. Insulin therapy for these patients follows the same principals as outlined previously for patients with different HbA₁₊ levels. This algorithm moves sulfonylureas to a lower priority due to the risks of hypoglycemia and weight gain associated with the reflectiveneess and overall safety profiles. Additionally, due to the i	Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
situations, due to their limited efficacy. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists: Medical Guidelines for Clinical Practice for the Management of Diabetes Mellitus of Diabetes Mellitus	Clinical Guideline	 onset of diabetes, there is a good chance that some endogenous β-cell function exists; implying that combination or triple therapy may be sufficient. The following combination and triple therapy regimens are considered: Metformin + GLP-1 receptor agonist. Metformin + DPP-4 inhibitor. Metformin + TZD. Metformin + TZD. + sulfonylurea. Metformin + TZD. + sulfonylurea. Metformin + DPP-4 inhibitor + TZD. Metformin again provides the foundation of treatment in these patients. An incretin-based therapy can be added with a GLP-1 receptor agonist being preferred due to its greater effectiveness at controlling post-prandial glycemia and its potential for inducing weight loss. However the DPP-4 inhibitors in combination with metformin have also demonstrated a robust benefit for drug-naïve patients in this HbA_{1c} range. A sulfonylurea or a TZD can also be added, with a sulfonylurea being preferred because of its somewhat greater efficacy and more rapid onset of action. If patients are symptomatic (polydipsia, polyuria, weight loss) or if they have already failed the aforementioned treatment regimens, insulin therapy should be initiated without delay. Insulin therapy for these patients follows the same principals as outlined previously for patients with different HbA_{1c} levels. This algorithm favors the use of GLP-1 receptor agonist (at the time of publication only exenatide had Food and Drug Administration approval) and DPP-4 inhibitors with higher priority due to their effectiveness and overall safety profiles. Additionally, due to the increasing amount of literature indicating the serious risks of hypoglycemia, these agents are becoming preferred in most patients in place of secretagogues. The algorit
of Clinical Encourage patients to achieve glycemic levels as near normal as possible without inducing clinically significant hypoglycemia. Glycemic targets include the following: O HbA_{1c} ≤6.5%. O FPG <100 mg/dL. O Two-hour PPG <140 mg/dL. Induction of Diabetes Mellitus		
• Refer patients for comprehensive, ongoing education in diabetes self-	of Clinical Endocrinologists: Medical Guidelines for Clinical Practice for the Management	 Encourage patients to achieve glycemic levels as near normal as possible without inducing clinically significant hypoglycemia. Glycemic targets include the following: HbA_{1c} ≤6.5%. FPG <100 mg/dL. Two-hour PPG <140 mg/dL.



Page 22 of 31 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/19/2013



Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	Initiate self-monitoring blood glucose levels.
	 <u>Glycemic management-patients with type 1 diabetes</u> Initiate intensive insulin therapy with one of the following regimens:
	 Initiate intensive insulin merapy with one of the following regimens. Basal-bolus therapy, using a long-acting insulin analog in
	combination with a rapid-acting insulin analog or inhaled insulin at
	meals.
	 Continuous SC insulin infusion with an insulin pump; insulin pump therease indicated for:
	therapy indicated for:Patients unable to achieve control using a regimen of
	multiple daily injections.
	 Patients with histories of frequent hypoglycemia and/or
	hypoglycemia unawareness.
	Patients who are pregnant.Patients with extreme insulin sensitivity (pump therapy
	facilitates better precision than SC injections).
	 Patients with a history of dawn phenomenon (these
	patients can program a higher basal rate for the early
	morning hours to counteract the rise in blood glucose concentration).
	 Patients who require more intensive diabetes
	management because of complications including
	neuropathy, nephropathy, and retinopathy.
	 Patients taking multiple daily injections who have demonstrated willingness and ability to comply with
	prescribed diabetes self-care behavior including frequent
	glucose monitoring, carbohydrate counting, and insulin
	adjustment.
	Consider adding pramlintide to intensive insulin therapy to enhance subserve and to assist with weight management
	 glycemic control and to assist with weight management. Consider adding an insulin sensitizer to address insulin resistance as
	needed. Exercise caution because of the potential for increased fluid
	retention when TZDs are used with insulin.
	Instruct patients whose glycemic levels are at or above target while
	receiving multiple daily injections or using an insulin pump to monitor glucose levels at least three times daily.
	 Instruct patients whose glycemic levels are above target or who
	experience frequent hypoglycemia to monitor glucose levels more
	frequently. Monitoring should include both pre-prandial and two-hour PPG
	levels and occasional 2:00 to 3:00 AM glucose levels.
	 Instruct insulin-treated patients to always check glucose levels before administering a dose of insulin by injection or changing the rate of insulin
	infusion delivered by an insulin pump.
	Instruct patients to monitor glucose levels anytime there is a suspected (or
	risk of) low glucose level and/or before driving.
	 Instruct patients to monitor glucose levels more frequently during illness and to perform a ketone test each time a measured glucose concentration
	is >250 mg/dL.
	Glycemic management-patients with type 2 diabetes
	 Aggressively implement all appropriate components of care at the time of diagnosis
<u> </u>	diagnosis.





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	Persistently monitor and titrate pharmacologic therapy until all glycemic
	goals are achieved.
	 First assess current HbA_{1c} level, fasting/pre-prandial glycemic
	profile, and two-hour PPG profile to evaluate the level of control
	and identify patterns.
	 After initiating pharmacologic therapy based on the patterns
	identified in the profile, persistently monitor and titrate therapy over
	the next two to three months until all glycemic goals are achieved.
	 If glycemic goals are not achieved at the end of two to three months, initiate a more intensive regimen and persistently monitor
	and titrate therapy over the next two to three months until all
	glycemic goals are achieved.
	 Recognize that patients currently treated with monotherapy or
	combination therapy who have not achieved glycemic goals will
	require either increased dosages of current medications or the
	addition of a second or third medication.
	 Consider insulin therapy in patients with HbA_{1c} >8.0% and
	symptomatic hyperglycemic, and in patients with elevated fasting
	blood glucose levels or exaggerated PPG excursions regardless of
	HbA _{1c} levels.
	 Initiate insulin therapy to control hyperglycemia and to reverse
	glucose toxicity when HbA _{1c} >10.0%. Insulin therapy can then be
	 modified or discontinued once glucose toxicity is reversed. Consider a continuous SC insulin infusion in insulin-treated
	patients.
	 Instruct patients whose glycemic levels are at or above target while
	receiving multiple daily injections or using an insulin pump to monitor
	glucose levels at least three times daily. Although monitoring glucose
	levels at least three times daily is recommended, there is no supporting
	evidence regarding optimal frequency of glucose monitoring with or
	without insulin pump therapy.
	Instruct insulin-treated patients to always check glucose levels before
	administering a dose of insulin by injection or changing the rate of insulin
	infusion delivered by an insulin pump.
	Instruct patients whose glycemic levels are above target while being tracted with and agents along and agents plug area deity insuling or anote
	treated with oral agents alone, oral agents plus once-daily insulin, or once- daily insulin alone to monitor glucose levels at least two times daily. There
	is no supporting evidence regarding optimal frequency of glucose
	monitoring in these patients.
	 Instruct patients who are meeting target glycemic levels, including those
	treated non-pharmacologically, to monitor glucose levels at least once
	daily.
	Instruct patients whose glycemic levels are above target or who
	experience frequent hypoglycemia to monitor glucose levels more
	frequently. Monitoring should include both pre-prandial and two-hour PPG
	levels and occasional 2:00 to 3:00 AM glucose levels.
	Instruct patients to obtain comprehensive pre-prandial and two-hour PPG
	measurements to create a weekly profile periodically and before clinician
	visits to guide nutrition and physical activity, to detect post-prandial
	hyperglycemia, and to prevent hypoglycemia.
	 Instruct patients to monitor glucose levels anytime there is a suspected (or risk of) low glucose level and/or before driving.
	ווא טון וטא פוענטפר ובירבו מווע/טו שבוטוב עוויזווש.





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	 Instruct patients to monitor glucose levels more frequently during illness and to perform a ketone test each time a measured glucose concentration is >250 mg/dL.
	 <u>Clinical support-clinical considerations in patients with type 1 diabetes</u> Instruct patients to administer pre-prandial rapid-acting analog insulin 20 to 30 minutes before the meal when the pre-meal blood glucose levels is high and after the meal has begun when the pre-meal blood glucose level is below the reference range. Measure 2:00 to 3:00 AM blood glucose periodically in all patients with diabetes to asses for nocturnal hypoglycemia, especially when the morning blood glucose level is elevated. Consider using regular insulin instead of rapid-acting insulin analogs to obtain better control of post-prandial and pre-meal glucose levels in patients with gastroparesis. Insulin pump therapy may also be advantageous in these patients. Some type 1 diabetics treated with basal insulin may require two daily injections of basal insulin for greater stability. Carefully assess PPG levels when the HbA_{1c} level is elevated and pre-meal glucose measurements are at target levels. Instruct patients to assess PPG levels periodically to detect unrecognized exaggerated PPG excursions even when the HbA_{1c} level is at or near target. Arrange for continuous glucose monitoring for patients with unstable glucose control and for patients unable to achieve an acceptable HbA_{1c} level. Continuous glucose monitoring is particularly valuable in detecting both unrecognized nocturnal hypoglycemia and post-prandial hyperglycemia. Some patients using pramlinitide may achieve better post-prandial and premeal glucose control by combining it with regular insulin rather than rapid-acting analogs. Individualize insulin regimens to accommodate patient exercise patterns.
	 Treat hypoglycemic reactions with simple carbohydrates. <u>Clinical support-clinical considerations in patients with type 2 diabetes</u> Combining therapeutic agents with different modes of action may be advantageous. Use insulin sensitizers, such as metformin or TZDs, as part of the therapeutic regimen in most patients unless contraindicated or intolerance has been demonstrated. Insulin is the therapy of choice in patients with advanced chronic kidney disease. Metformin, TZDs, and incretin mimetics do not cause hypoglycemia. However, when used in combination with secretagogues or insulin, these medications may need to be adjusted as blood glucose levels decline. The weight gain associated with TZDs in some patients may be partly offset by combination therapy with metformin. Carefully assess PPG levels if the HbA_{1c} level is elevated and pre-prandial glucose measurements are at target levels. Instruct patients to assess PPG levels periodically to detect unrecognized exaggerated PPG excursions even when the HbA_{1c} level is at or near target.





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	Individualize treatment regimens to accommodate patient exercise
	patterns.
	Administer basal insulin in the evening if fasting glucose is elevated.
	Long-acting insulin analogs are associated with less hypoglycemia than
National Institute for	NPH insulin.
Clinical Excellence:	 Insulin regimens Patients should have access to the types (preparation and species) of
Managing Type 1	 Patients should have access to the types (preparation and species) of insulin they find allow them optimal well-being.
Diabetes in Adults	 Cultural preferences need to be discussed and respected in agreeing on
(full guideline part	the insulin regimen for a patient.
2) (2008) ²⁵	Multiple insulin injection regimens, in patients who prefer them, should be
	used as part of an integrated package of which education, food, and skills
	training should be integral parts.
	Appropriate self-monitoring and education should be used as part of an
	integrated package to help achieve optimal diabetes outcomes.
	 Mealtime insulin injections should be provided by injection unmodified ('soluble') insulin or rapid-acting insulin analogs before main meals.
	 Rapid-acting insulin on rapid-acting insulin analogs before main meals. Rapid-acting insulin analogs should be used as an alternative to mealtime
	unmodified insulin where nocturnal or late inter-prandial hypoglycemia is a
	problem, and in those in whom they allow equivalent blood glucose control
	without use of snacks between meals and this is needed or desired.
	Basal insulin therapy (including nocturnal insulin supply) should be
	provided by the use of isophane (NPH) insulin or long-acting insulin
	analogs (insulin glargine). Isophane (NPH) insulin should be given at
	bedtime. If rapid-acting insulin analogs are given at mealtimes or the midday insulin dose is small or lacking, the need to give isophane (NPH)
	insulin twice-daily (or more often) should be considered.
	Long-acting insulin analogs (insulin glargine) should be used when:
	 Nocturnal hypoglycemia is a problem on isophane (NPH) insulin.
	 Morning hypoglycemia on isophane (NPH) insulin results in
	difficult daytime blood glucose control.
	 Rapid-acting insulin analogues are used for mealtime blood glucose control.
	 Twice-daily insulin regimens should be used by those adults who consider
	 I wice-daily insum regimens should be used by mose addits who consider number of daily injections an important issue in quality of life:
	 Biphasic insulin preparations (pre-mixes) are often the
	preparations of choice in this circumstance.
	 Biphasic rapid-acting insulin analog pre-mixes may give an
	advantage to those prone to hypoglycemia at night.
	 Such twice-daily regimens may also help: These who find adherence to their agreed lunchtime
	 Those who find adherence to their agreed lunchtime insulin injection difficult.
	 Those with learning difficulties who may require
	assistance from others.
	Patients whose nutritional and physical activity patterns vary considerably
	from day-to-day, for vocational or recreational reasons, may need careful
	and detailed review of their self-monitoring and insulin injection
	regimen(s). This should include all the appropriate preparations and
	 consideration of unusual patterns and combinations. For patients undergoing periods of fasting or sleep following eating (e.g.,
	 For patients undergoing periods of fasting of sleep following eating (e.g., during religious feasts and fasts, after night-shift work), a rapid-acting
	insulin analog before the meal (provided the meal is not prolonged) should
L	





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	be considered.
	 For patient with erratic and unpredictable blood glucose control, rather than a change in a previously optimized insulin regimen, the following should be considered:
	 Re-suspension of insulin and injection technique. Injection sites. Self-monitoring skills. Knowledge and self-management skills.
	 Nature of lifestyle. Psychological and psychosocial difficulties. Possible organic causes (e.g., gastroparesis).
	 Continuous SC insulin infusion is recommended as an option provided that:
	 Multiple-dose insulin therapy (including, where appropriate, the use of insulin glargine) has failed, and Patients receiving the treatment have the commitment and
	 competence to use the therapy effectively. Partial insulin replacement to achieve blood glucose control targets (basal insulin only, or just some mealtime insulin) should be considered for patients initiating insulin therapy, until such time as islet β-cell deficiency progresses further.
	 Clear guidelines and protocols should be given to all patients to assist them in adjusting insulin doses appropriate during intercurrent illness. Oral glucose-lowering drugs should generally not be used in the management of type 1 diabetics.
	 Insulin delivery Patients who inject insulin should have access to the insulin injection delivery device they find allows them optimal well-being, often using one or more types of insulin injection pen.
	• Patients who have special visual or psychological needs should be provided with injection devices or needle-free systems that they can use independently for accurate dosing.
	 Insulin injection should be made into the deep SC fat. To achieve this, needles of a length appropriate to the individual should be made available. Patients should be informed that the abdominal wall is the therapeutic choice for mealtime insulin injections.
	 Patients should be informed that extended-acting suspension insulin (e.g., isophane [NPH] insulin) may give a longer profile of action when injected into the SC tissue of the thigh rather than the arm or abdominal wall. Patients should be recommended to use one anatomical area for the
	 Patients should be recommended to use one anatomical area for the injections given at the same time of day, but to move the precise injection site around in the whole of the available skin within that area. Patients should be provided with suitable containers for the collection of
	 Indicates should be provided with suitable containers for the concettor of used needles. Arrangements should be available for the suitable disposal of these containers. Injection site condition should be checked annually, and if new problems
	with blood glucose control occur.
National Institute for Clinical	 Insulin regimens Pre-school and primary school children should be offered the most
Excellence/National Collaborating Center	 appropriate individualized regimens to optimize glycemic control. Young people should be offered multiple daily injection regimens to help





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
for Women's and	optimize glycemia control.
Children's Health:	 As it improves glycemic control, multiple daily injection regimens should be
Diagnosis and	offered only as part of a package of care that involves continuing
Management of	education; dietary management; instruction on the use of insulin delivery
Type 1 Diabetes in	systems and blood glucose monitoring; emotional and behavioral support;
Children and Young	and medical, nursing, and dietetic expertise in pediatric diabetes.
People (2004 and	 Children and young people using multiple daily injection regimens should
2009 Update) ²⁶	be informed that they may experience an initial increase in the risk of
. ,	hypoglycemia and short-term weight gain.
	 Children and young people and their families should be informed about
	strategies for the avoidance and management of hypoglycemia.
	 Young people who do not achieve satisfactory glycemic control with
	multiple daily injection regimens should be offered additional support and,
	if appropriate, alternative insulin therapy (once-, twice-, or three-times daily
	mixed insulin regimens or continuous SC insulin infusion using an insulin
	pump).
	 Young people who have difficulty adhering to the multiple daily injection
	regimens should be offered twice-daily injection regimens.
	 Continuous SC insulin infusion is recommended as an option for patients
	provided that:
	 Multiple-dose insulin therapy (including, where appropriate, the
	use of insulin glargine) has failed, and;
	 Patients receiving the treatment have the commitment and
	competence to use the therapy effectively.
	Continuous SC insulin infusion therapy should be initiated only by a
	trained specialist team.
	All individuals beginning continuous SC insulin infusion therapy should be
	provided with specific training in its use.
	Established users of continuous SC insulin infusion therapy should have
	their insulin management reviewed by their specialist team so that a
	decision can be made about whether a trial or a switch to multiple-dose
	insulin incorporating insulin glargine would be appropriate.
	Insulin preparations
	 Children and young people should be offered the most appropriate insulin preparations according to their individual needs with the aim of obtaining
	an HbA _{1c} <7.5% without frequent disabling hypoglycemia and maximizing
	quality of life.Children and young people using multiple daily insulin regimens should be
	 Children and young people using multiple daily insulin regimens should be informed that injection of rapid-acting insulin analogs before eating (rather
	than after eating) reduces PPG levels thus helps to optimize blood glucose
	control.
	 For pre-school children it may be appropriate to use rapid-acting insulin analogs shortly after eating (rather than before eating) because food
	intake can be unpredictable.
	 Children and young people who use insulin preparations containing
	 Children and young people who use insulin preparations containing intermediate-acting insulin should be informed that these preparations
	should be mixed before use according to instructions provided in patient
	information leaflets.
	Insulin delivery
	 Children and young people should be offered a choice of insulin delivery



Page 28 of 31 Copyright 2013 • Review Completed on 04/19/2013



Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	 systems that takes account of their insulin requirements and personal preferences. Children and young people using insulin injection regimens should be offered needles that are of an appropriate length for their body fat.
	 <u>Non-insulin agents (oral antidiabetic agents)</u> Children and young people should not be offered acarbose or sulfonylureas in combination with insulin because they may increase the risk of hypoglycemia without improving glycemic control. Metformin in combination with insulin is suitable for use only within research trials because the effectiveness of this combination therapy in providing glycemic control is uncertain.

Conclusions

Pramlintide (Symlin[®], SymlinPen[®]) is the only agent within the amylin analog medication class, and is Food and Drug Administration-approved as adjunctive therapy to mealtime insulin for the management of diabetes (type 1 and 2). Pramlintide is approved for use in combination with insulin therapy, specifically in patients unable to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy.¹ Data from clinical trials demonstrate that treatment with pramlintide is associated with significant baseline reductions in glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) compared to treatment with placebo in type 1 and 2 diabetics already receiving insulin. Furthermore, treatment with pramlintide is associated with significant baseline reductions in fasting plasma glucose levels, post-prandial glucose levels, insulin use, and body weight.⁶⁻²⁰ However, compared to other available antidiabetic agents, pramlintide is associated with modest HbA_{1c} lowering ability, and its use is often limited by adverse events.⁵ Although pramlintide itself does not cause hypoglycemia, when used in combination with insulin therapy, the risk of insulin-induced hypoglycemia can be increased.¹

In general, current clinical guidelines do not support the use of amylin analogs in the management of type 2 diabetes.^{5,21-25} Among type 1 diabetic patients, the addition of pramlintide to first line insulin therapy may be considered to enhance glycemic control and to assist with weight management.²⁵





References

- 1. Symlin[®] [package insert]. San Diego (CA): Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2009 Aug.
- 2. Drug Facts and Comparisons 4.0 [database on the Internet]. St. Louis: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.; 2013 [cited 2013 Apr 19]. Available from: http://online.factsandcomparisons.com.
- 3. Younk LM, Mikeladze M, Davis SN. Pramlintide and the treatment of diabetes: a review of the data since its introduction. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2011 Jun;12(9):1439-51.
- 4. Weyer C, Maggs DG, Young AA, Kolterman OG. Amylin replacement with pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin therapy in type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a physiological approach toward improved metabolic control. Curr Pharm Des. 2001 Sep;7(14):1353-73.
- Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, Diamant M, Ferrannini E, Nauck M, et al. Management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: a patient-centered approach. Position statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). Diabetes Care. 2012 Jun;35(6):1364-79.
- 6. Edelman S, Garg S, Frias J, Maggs D, Wang Y, Strobel S, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial assessing pramlintide treatment in the setting of intensive insulin therapy in Type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2006;29:2189-98.
- Whitehouse F, Kruger DF, Fineman M, et al. A randomized study and open-label extension evaluating the long-term efficacy of pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin therapy in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2002;25(4):724-30.
- 8. Ratner RE, Dickey R, Fineman M, et al. Amylin replacement with pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin therapy improves long-term glycemic and weight control in Type 1 diabetes mellitus: a 1-year, randomized controlled trial. Diabet Med. 2004;21(11):1204-12.
- 9. Heptulla RA, Rodriquez LM, Mason KJ, Haymond MW. Twenty-four-hour simultaneous basal-bolus administration of insulin and amylin in adolescents with type 1 diabetes decreased postprandial hyperglycemia. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2009;94:1608-11.
- 10. Marrero D, Crean J, Zhang B, Kellmeyer T, Gloster M, Herrmann K, et al. Effect of adjunctive pramlintide treatment on treatment satisfaction in patients with Type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2007;30:210-6.
- Ratner R, Whitehouse F, Fineman S, Strobel S, Shen L, Maggs DG, et al. Adjunctive therapy with pramlintide lowers HbA_{1c} without concomitant weight gain and increased risk of severe hypoglycemia in patients with Type 1 diabetes approaching glycemic targets. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes. 2005;113:199-204.
- 12. Karl D, Philis-Tsimikas A, Darsow T, Lorenzi G, Kellmeyer T, Lutz K, et al. Pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin in patients with Type 2 Diabetes in a clinical practice setting reduced A1C, postprandial glucose excursions, and weight. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2007;9(2):191-9.
- 13. Singh-Franco D, Perez A, Harrington C. The effect of pramlintide acetate on glycemic control and weight in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and in obese patients without diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2011 Feb;13(2):169-80.
- 14. Riddle M, Frias J, Zhang B, Maier H, Brown C, Lutz K, Kolterman O. Pramlintide improved glycemic control and reduced weight in patients with type 2 diabetes using basal insulin. Diabetes Care. 2007 Nov;30(11):2794-9.
- Hollander PA, Levy P, Fineman MS, Maggs DG, Shen LZ, Strobel SA, et al. Pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin therapy improves long-term glycemic and weight control in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 1-year randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Care. 2003 Mar;26(3):784-90.
- 16. Ratner RE, Want LL, Fineman MS, et al. Adjunctive therapy with the amylin analogue pramlintide leads to a combined improvement in glycemic and weight control in insulin-treated subjects with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2002;4(1):51-61.
- 17. Hollander P, Ratner R, Fineman M, Strobel S, Shen L, Maggs D, et al. Addition of pramlintide to insulin therapy lowers HbA_{1c} in conjunction with weight loss in patients with type 2 diabetes approaching glycemic targets. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2003 Nov;5(6):408-14.
- Maggs D, Shen L, Strobel S, Brown D, Kolterman O, Weyer C. Effect of pramlintide on A1c and body weight in insulin treated African Americans and Hispanics with type 2 diabetes: a pooled post hoc analysis. Metabolism. 2003;52(12):1638-42.





- 19. Hollander P, Maggs D, Ruggles J, et al. Effect of pramlintide on weight in overweight and obese insulin-treated type 2 diabetes patients. Obes Res. 2004 Apr;12(4):661-8.
- 20. The American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes-2013. Diabetes Care. 2013 Jan;36 Suppl 1:S4-10.
- Qaseem A, Humphrey LL, Sweet DE, Starkey M, Shekelle P; Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Oral pharmacologic treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med. 2012 Feb 7;156(3):218-31.
- 22. Handelsman Y, Mechanick JI, Blonde L, Grunberger G, Bloomgarden ZT, Bray GA, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists Medical Guidelines for clinical practice for developing a diabetes mellitus comprehensive care plan: executive summary. Endocr Pract. 2011;17:287-302.
- Rodboard HW, Jellinger PS, Davidson JA, Einhorn D, Garber AJ, Grunberger G, et al. Statement by an American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinology Consensus Panel on type 2 diabetes mellitus: an algorithm for glycemic control. Endocr Pract. 2009;15(6):541-59.
- 24. Rodbard HW, Blonde L, Braithwaite SS, Brett EM, Cobin RH, Handelsman Y, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists medical guidelines for clinical practice for the management of diabetes mellitus. Endocr Pract. 2007 May-Jun;13(Suppl 1):S1-68.
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Type 1 diabetes in adults [guideline on the internet]. London (UK): National Institute for Clinical Excellence; 2008 Aug 22 [cited 2013 Apr 19]. Available from: http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG15/Guidance/Adults/Part2/pdf/English.
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Type 1 diabetes: diagnosis and management of type 1 diabetes in children and young people [guideline on the internet]. London (UK): National Institute for Clinical Excellence; 2009 Jun [cited 2013 Apr 19]. Available from: http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG15/Guidance/Children/pdf/English.
- Micromedex[®] Healthcare Series [database on the Internet]. Greenwood Village (CO): Thomson Reuters (Healthcare) Inc.; Updated periodically [cited 2013 Apr 19]. Available from: http://www.thomsonhc.com/.



